

**Minutes of the Regular Meeting of  
STATEWIDE CHARTER SCHOOL BOARD  
OKLAHOMA HISTORY CENTER  
800 NAZIH ZUHDI DR.  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK  
December 8, 2025**

The Statewide Charter School Board met in regular session at 1:01 p.m. on Monday, December 8, 2025, at the Oklahoma History Center at 800 Nazih Zuhdi Dr., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

**Members of the Statewide Charter School Board Present:**

Brian Shellem  
Bill Pearson  
Damon Gardenhire  
Jared Buswell  
Britni Tomcho  
Kitty Campbell, designee for State Auditor and Inspector, Cindy Byrd  
Courtney Anderson, designee for State Superintendent of Public Instruction, Lindel Fields  
Amber Hidy  
David Rutkauskas

**Others in Attendance:**

Rebecca Wilkinson, Executive Director  
Skyler Lusnia, Director of School Performance  
Merin Gracey, Interim Secretary to the Board  
Lecrecia Schmidt, Operations Officer  
Shelly Hickman, Communications Director  
Ellie Rivas, Financial Compliance Officer  
Lisa Daniels, Director of Horizon: Digitally Enhanced Campus

**Other general public:**

Barry Schmelzenbach, OPCS	Mr. & Mrs. Larry Manous
Kendra Cope, OYACS	Melissa Gregory, OKCA
Barry Wise	Dontelle Navarro, KOCO
Sharon Gordon, PTPLA	Marsha Lyons, OKC Chapter Chums, Inc.
Joycelyn Brown, PTPLA	Audra Plummer, OVCA
Brett Robinson, HB Strategies	Eric Doss, OPSRC
JG Wade, PTPLA	Dawn Bowles, PTPLA
Judith Christian, PTPLA	Priscilla Metoyer, PTPLA
Ellis Christian, PTPLA	Des Chew, PTPLA
Jake Merrick	Adria Oliver, PTPLA
Nuria Martinez-Keel, Oklahoma Voice	Charlesetta Jossell, PTPLA
Susan Baldwin, POSRC	Nathan Jossell, PTPLA
Rod Downey	Vickie Williams, PTPLA

**1. Call to Order and Roll Call**

Brian Shellem – Present  
Bill Pearson – Present  
Amber Hidy - Present  
Jared Buswell – Present  
Britni Tomcho – Present  
David Rutkauskas – Present  
Damon Gardenhire – Present  
Kitty Campbell - Present, designee for State Auditor and Inspector, Cindy Byrd  
Courtney Anderson - Present, designee for State Dept of Education Superintendent, Lindel Fields

**2. Invocation, Pledge of Allegiance, Salute to the Oklahoma State Flag, and Moment of Silence**

**3. (Action) Discussion and possible action regarding the minutes of the November 10, 2025, Regular Board Meeting**

Jared Buswell made a motion to approve the minutes of the November 10, 2025, regular board meetings. Bill Pearson seconded the motion. The motion carried with the following votes:

Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Amber Hidy - Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
David Rutkauskas – Yes

**4. Chairman's Opening Comments**

Chairman Shellem shared an article by Dr. Jim Goenner, President and CEO of the National Charter Schools Institute, who wrote about the charter school movement. Mr. Shellem urged the board to refocus on its mission and purpose by striving for excellence. He reiterated the board's mission to support and expand educational choice by authorizing and overseeing high-quality charter schools, providing innovative learning opportunities that promote academic success, and aligning every decision with this mission.

**5. Public Comment**

*The Statewide Charter School Board shall hear public comment on any action item listed on the current board meeting agenda. Public comments will be limited to only those subject matters covered in the current meeting agenda. Public comment will not be taken on issues relating to: (1) pending litigation against SCSB or agency employees; (2) a pending grievance; (3) an employee complaint; (4) complaints against SCSB employees; (5) disciplinary action, suspension, or termination of an SCSB employee; or (6) any administrative proceeding initiated by the Board pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act. A sign-up sheet will be posted at least fifteen (15) minutes prior to the scheduled start time of the Board Meeting. Sign up must be completed prior to the scheduled start time of the meeting. Only individuals who have signed up to speak will be recognized during the Public Comment period and will be recognized in the order in which they have signed in. Each speaker will be allocated three (3) minutes for presentation. The Board Chairperson may interrupt and/or terminate any presentation during public comment which does not conform to the procedures outlined under this Section. The Board Chairperson reserves and retains the right*

*to interrupt, terminate, or postpone public comment as necessary to effectuate the management of the public meeting.*

Four individuals signed up to address the Board: Audra Plummer, representing Oklahoma Virtual Charter Academy; Charlesetta Jossell and Dr. Sharri Coleman, representing Proud to Partner Leadership Academy; and Eric Doss, representing the Oklahoma Public School Resource Center.

#### **6. Board Spotlight – Oklahoma’s Top 10 charter ranking by the Center for Education Reform**

Shelly Hickman shared that Oklahoma recently ranked in the top 10 nationally in the Center for Education Reform’s 2025 Parent Power Index, earning an overall grade of B. The Index evaluates states on school choice, charter school access, and innovation, with Oklahoma scoring 82.3% in school choice, 82.2% in charter schools, and 80% in innovation. Oklahoma outperformed neighboring states such as Texas, Louisiana, and Arkansas, while only Arizona and Florida received an A.

Furthermore, the report praised the Oklahoma Statewide Charter School Board as a major contributor to the state’s strong ranking, though it incorrectly deducted points by claiming charter schools are not allowed in rural areas; this error has been reported, and a higher ranking is anticipated in 2026.

#### **7. Presentation and possible discussion regarding the Statewide Charter School Board Working Group reports: Budget, Communications, Governance, and Horizon: Digitally Enhanced Campus**

Jared Buswell, Chair of the Budget Working Group, noted that there were no updates. Damon Gardenhire, Chair of the Communications Working Group, shared that he and Shelly Hickman hosted a lunch and learn training session for charter leaders, with approximately 60 attendees receiving positive feedback. The session focused on deploying AI in education. David Rutkauskas, Chair of the Governance Working Group, noted that there were no updates. Brittney Tomcho, Chair of the Horizon: Digitally Enhanced Campus Working Group, announced a new committee member has joined, additional members are being recruited, and plans are underway to meet after the new year.

#### **8. Administration**

- a. (Action) Review, discussion, and possible action on a resolution granting informed consent to potential conflicts of interest under Oklahoma Rule of Professional Conduct (ORPC) R.1.9 for Alliance Defending Freedom (ADF)

The board reviewed a request from Alliance Defending Freedom (ADF) for a waiver allowing the firm to represent other clients in Oklahoma. Damon Gardenhire requested clarification from Board Counsel of the three options ADF provided. Thomas Schneider, Counsel for SCSB, explained the three options—risk avoidant (rejecting the waiver), risk averse (negotiating informed consent on a case-by-case basis), and risk aware (approving the waiver as presented, acknowledging potential future conflicts). Brian Shellem clarified that while ADF previously represented the board, any confidential information would remain protected, though ADF could represent other parties, including potentially adverse ones. Jared Buswell raised a concern about language in the resolution regarding board review of potential adverse interests and showed a need for Executive Session. However, Mr. Schneider noted that the waiver is a common advance conflict waiver and that executive session was not appropriate as it was not previously outlined on the agenda. Damon Gardenhire expressed that the risk-aware option was the most efficient and least burdensome for the board. Mr. Buswell made a motion



to adopt the resolution as submitted, authorizing the risk aware waiver, and Mr. Gardenhire seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

David Rutkauskas – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Bill Pearson – No  
Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
Amber Hidy - Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes

- b. (Action) Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding the adoption of proposed amendments and reorganization to title 777, chapters 1 and 10 of the Oklahoma Administrative Code.

Chairman Shellem opened discussion on the proposed amendments, noting that the Board had received substantial written and verbal public comment, and then deferred to Rebecca Wilkinson. Dr. Wilkinson outlined that the priority of the rule revisions was to strengthen and streamline the application process by establishing a two-phase structure aligned with current pre-opening requirements: Phase One would focus on the proposed school model, leadership experience, skill sets, and operational plan, while Phase Two would provide a detailed, month-by-month review to ensure school readiness prior to opening.

Skyler Lusnia reported that, consistent with Secretary of State rulemaking requirements, SCSB held an optional public comment hearing November 17 in accordance with rulemaking requirements. Three members of the public attended, one provided verbal comment and submitted a formal letter, and two others either listened or declined to speak due to previously submitted written comments.

Dr. Wilkinson also noted that the primary amendment to Chapter 1 was removing the word “virtual” from the Board’s name, with other public comments preserved for future consideration.

For expediency, the Board discussed separating the amendments to Chapters 1 and 10 and entertaining a motion to approve the proposed changes to Chapter 1 independently, absent any additional substantive revisions. Bill Pearson made a motion to accept the changes to Chapter 1 as presented, and Jared Buswell seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes

David Rutkauskas – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes

Skyler Lusnia addressed the board reiterating from his earlier address the formal comments received during the comment period regarding the proposed amendments to Chapter 10, Administrative Rules. Mr. Lusnia highlighted the areas that generated significant public comment, including proposed language related to charter school governing boards. The discussion focused on clarifying that, upon authorization, charter school boards must comply with applicable requirements of the Oklahoma Charter Schools Act—including the Open Meeting Act—without imposing unnecessary or prospective obligations intended for traditional public-school boards. Dr. Wilkinson explained that the intent was to provide additional clarification regarding the Open Meeting Act by more narrowly and explicitly applying statutory requirements to charter school governing boards, once they have been authorized, favoring language that preserved charter flexibility while ensuring legal compliance. Jared Buswell suggested alternative wording proposed in public comments regarding charter school governing board compliance requirements, focusing on whether the language should specify compliance with requirements “expressly applicable” to charter school governing boards under the Oklahoma Charter Schools Act. Thomas Schneider indicated there was no administrative or legal disadvantage to the narrower language and explained that the Charter Schools Act generally specifies explicit provisions apply to charter schools and which do not. Brian Shellem suggested that if Mr. Buswell agreed, if distinctions were being drawn, they preferred to err on the side of granting charter schools the latitude intended by statute. For clarity and recordkeeping purposes, Mr. Shellem also suggested considering rule amendments individually. Mr. Buswell made a motion to amend Rule 777:10-1-3(C)(1)(A) to state that, upon approval of a charter school application, the school governing board shall comply with all requirements expressly applicable to charter school governing boards under the Oklahoma Charter Schools Act, including adherence to the Open Meeting Act. Damon Gardenhire seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
David Rutkauskas – Yes

Skyler Lusnia addressed the board regarding rule 777:10-3-3(a)(8)-(10), over application review provisions that had generated significant public concern, particularly those introducing a potential 30-day SCSB staff review period prior to an application being formally considered. Mr. Lusnia recommended pausing on these provisions to allow additional time to assess statutory and regulatory authority, rather than adopting language that could create unintended or irreversible effects. Brian Shellem discussed the intent behind the proposal, noting recent challenges with incomplete or poorly prepared applications and emphasizing the goal of supporting stronger applications without creating staff gatekeeping or limiting an applicant’s statutory right to a Board vote. It was agreed that informal pre-submission guidance could be provided administratively without rule changes. Thomas Schneider clarified the various procedural options. Bill Pearson made a motion to move to



withdraw and strike the proposed language in subsections eight and nine, while retaining subsequent provisions and adopting minor, spelling corrections in related sections.

The motion carried with the following votes:

Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
David Rutkauskas – Yes

Skyler Lusnia addressed the board regarding rule 777:10-3-3(c)(3)(A), a public comment requesting clarification that educational management organizations (EMOs) be permitted to employ school leaders, noting that this structure is currently used by several virtual charter schools. Mr. Lusnia explained that the intent of the proposed language was to emphasize the importance of recruiting and hiring a qualified school leader, not to prohibit existing EMO employment models. To address this concern, language was revised to state that a qualified school leader may be employed by an educational management organization, consistent with the school's mission and charter contract. Damon Gardenhire made a motion to approve the proposed amendment. Bill Pearson seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
David Rutkauskas – Yes

Skyler Lusnia addressed the board regarding proposed language in 777:10-3-4(c)(4)(F)(ii) and 777:10-3-4(d)(4)(F)(ii), the performance framework for charter schools, affirming the optional inclusion of up to three mission-specific goals to allow schools with unique educational models to demonstrate success aligned with their missions, while preserving a strong focus on academic performance. Mr. Lusnia also discussed a performance framework provision duplicating language across the traditional, virtual, and alternative education charter schools that permits the Board to place a school on a continuous improvement action plan in cases of significant performance failures. In response to public input, he recommended revising the term "student proficiency" to "academic performance" to provide a broader and more appropriate basis for oversight. Damon Gardenhire made a motion to approve amended changes in the two rules. Bill Pearson seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

Kitty Campbell – Yes

Jared Buswell – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
David Rutkauskas – Yes

Brian Shellem presented proposed Rule 777:10-3-3(B)(3) concerning a community support requirement. While Dr. Wilkinson strongly supported retaining the provision—citing alignment with national charter authorizing practices, the Board’s mission to expand educational choice, and examples of successful Oklahoma charter schools founded on demonstrated community demand, she conceded public confusion over the provision, the lack of clear definitions for “community” and “support,” the Legislature’s 2023 removal of a similar requirement, and feedback from the Governor’s Office have raised concerns. While Jared Buswell and Brian Shellem both affirmed the value of community engagement, they expressed worries that the provision could be misused to deny otherwise qualified applications. Damon Gardenhire echoed these concerns. Ultimately, the Board agreed to withdraw the prioritization language from the rule and instead emphasize community support through applicant training and guidance, with the intent to revisit and more clearly define the concept in the future. Jared Buswell made a motion to withdraw the language. Damon Gardenhire seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

David Rutkauskas – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes

Skyler Lusnia presented the final motion required to approve the remaining rule amendments, noting that the rules had previously been introduced to initiate the rulemaking process and were now before the Board for final approval. Damon Gardenhire made a motion to propose a blanket adoption of all remaining rule changes as amended during the meeting. Jared Buswell seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

David Rutkauskas – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Kitty Campbell – Yes



Courtney Anderson – Yes

- c. (Action) Presentation of the Proud to Partner Leadership Academy Charter School's corrective action plan (the "Plan") and review, discussion, and possible action regarding the Plan.
- d. (Action) Review, discussion, and possible action on the probation status of the Proud to Partner Leadership Academy Charter School presentation, and possible discussion regarding submitted charter school and virtual charter school applications for initial authorization
- e. (Action) Review, discussion, and possible action on the contract between Proud to Partner Leadership Academy Charter School and the Statewide Charter School Board

Superintendent Dawn Bowles of Proud to Partner Leadership Academy (PTPLA) presented a corrective action plan addressing multiple deficiencies identified by the Statewide Charter School Board (SCSB). She disputed reported staffing inaccuracies, explaining that the school experienced a reduction in force from 10 to 6 paid staff, during which former employees briefly volunteered before being rehired on a part-time basis. She stated that student-teacher ratios were maintained and that instructional schedules remained structured. Enrollment reached 115 students by October 1, 2025, resulting in a favorable mid-year funding adjustment.

Ms. Bowles responded to concerns regarding lack of student engagement by presenting Edmentum and Bootstrap data showing 85 percent benchmark completion, and a 99 percent impact rate in financial literacy coursework. She reported first-quarter attendance at 87 percent, attributing the rate to the school's virtual and blended learning model and student participation in off-site postsecondary activities.

Ms. Bowles acknowledged that 23 students were enrolled in virtual learning and were age 21 or older. She noted that, following earlier guidance from the Oklahoma State Department of Education, the school had begun developing a related policy; however, after receiving guidance from Skyler Lusnia, implementation of the policy was paused pending further legal clarification.

Regarding financial concerns, Ms. Bowles explained that Year 1 challenges, including a negative ending balance and multiple delayed submissions, were the result of lower-than-anticipated enrollment, ineffective consulting guidance, a missed Charter School Program grant opportunity, and delayed receipt of a \$400,000 Walton Family Foundation grant. She stated that all outstanding obligations have since been paid, a new treasurer has been hired, all OCAS deadlines have been met, and current monthly financial obligations are being covered.

Skyler Lusnia provided an update on the four deficiencies at Proud to Partner Leadership Academy (PTPLA). Enrollment grew steadily from 59 to 111 students, with preliminary first-quarter data showing an average daily membership of about 70. While Superintendent Bowles initially reported there were only three employees (supplemented by volunteers), she later clarified stating there were six paid staff, several volunteers, and some teachers were rehired part-time; however, sign-in sheets revealed inconsistencies needing stronger controls. Academic performance remained concerning, with only one of 18 juniors scoring proficient in English and none in math last year, most falling below basic. New student accountability logs in the e-hub common area of the school facility were implemented to boost engagement, but further site access is required to verify attendance and schedules.



Mr. Lusnia clarified that the requested pause in online learning applied only to obtain clarity on serving students over 21 years of age, not the program overall; 23 such students were reported, but state records showed 15, requiring verification along with three blended learners.

Financially, the submitted budget included a \$400,000 Walton grant used to cover last year's deficit but did not reflect the resulting negative balance; an amended budget and contact with the new finance team remain outstanding. OCAS delays incurred a penalty, and other compliance issues persist, including a late estimate of needs and a prior-year deficit. The board has yet to receive requested prior-year budgets.

Ongoing organizational concerns over 18 months include delayed personnel reports, starting the school year in a temporary location, a significant physical altercation, a validated special education complaint, missed superintendent training, and withheld child nutrition funds due to noncompliance.

Mr. Lusnia emphasized the necessity for unrestricted access to records and the school to confirm corrective actions, noting conflicting statements from PTPLA, an email restricting SCSB site visits, and a recent attorney letter alleging Board breach of contract hindering a cooperative resolution.

Brian Shellem expressed concern about the lack of transparency and cooperation from PTPLA, citing troubling communications from the school's attorney, unresolved questions about budgets, enrollment, attendance, instructional time, online learning, and continued resistance to document access and site visits.

Damon Gardenhire voiced disappointment in PTPLA's handling of the deficiencies, citing a lack of cooperation in the corrective action plan. The presentation raised more questions than answers on budget transparency and instructional time, amid persistent resistance, remarking the issues were largely self-inflicted. Mr. Gardenhire closed by stating that prioritizing student learning is paramount, especially given reports of parents leaving the school and raising public concerns. Mr. Gardenhire concluded by affirming SCSB's full contractual compliance and its substantial efforts to provide support.

Jared Buswell acknowledged that a corrective action plan was presented and if implemented as described and supported by accurate information, would provide a reasonable path forward. While low 11th-grade test scores were acknowledged, they reflect limited first-year data. There was no indication of bad faith, though ongoing concerns require close monitoring. If school leadership maintains transparency, allows site visits, and provides requested documentation, the Board may be able to verify compliance and instructional progress.

Brian Shellem questioned whether the corrective action plan was workable and stated that the Board was not yet satisfied due to continued administrative, organizational, and transparency concerns. He emphasized that the Board's responsibility is first to students, then to parents and taxpayers, and underscored the need for trust, access, and cooperation. While expressing a desire to see the school succeed, he noted unresolved issues with budgeting, enrollment, attendance, access to records, and recent legal correspondence. Options discussed included maintaining probation with strict access conditions, moving toward a notice of intent to close, or allowing additional time to obtain needed information before taking further action.

David Rutkukas stated he was not satisfied, expressing frustration that the Board and staff had gone to great lengths to accommodate the school, only to receive a threatening letter from the school's attorney shortly before the meeting, despite SCSB staff having acted professionally.

William Pearson stated that the situation was not a “gotcha,” emphasized the Board’s duty to students and parents, and highlighted serious administrative and organizational weaknesses. While expressing a desire to help the school succeed, he stressed that cooperation, trust, and access to information are essential, and that continued collaboration depends on the school’s willingness to work with the Board.

Damon Gardenhire asked about the options available to the Board at this time. Brian Shellem stated that they are currently on probation and can stay on probation and discussed its current options while PTPLA remains on probation, agreeing that the school should not be reduced to a warning status but must address outstanding deficiencies. He further emphasized the Board’s duty to students, parents, and taxpayers, noting unresolved concerns related to budgets, enrollment, attendance, online students, and access to records. While Mr. Gardenhire was prepared to consider a notice of intent to close, he favored consensus and additional diligence.

Dr. Wilkinson stated that although the requested documents should already be available, staff need time to review and reconcile them with SDE data and school records and to conduct follow-up meetings with PTPLA for clarification; she indicated that reporting back at the next board meeting would be appropriate. When asked by Brian Shellem if she could provide documentation requested by the board, Superintendent Bowles expressed uncertainty about what information was required. Mr. Shellem noted that the school had been given ample opportunity to cooperate. Damon Gardenhire emphasized that the budget should be provided no later than the following day.

William Pearson moved to extend PTPLA’s probation until the next board meeting on January 12, 2026; Mr. Gardenhire offered a friendly amendment to leave the request open-ended, which Mr. Pearson accepted, and Jared Buswell seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

Bill Pearson – Yes  
Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Abstain  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
David Rutkauskas – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes

**9. Executive Session - (Action)** Proposed Executive Session in accordance with 25 O.S. 2021, § 307(B)(4), consistent with 2005 OK AG 29, about pending, anticipated, or potential threatened litigation related to the letter of intent and future application of the National Ben Gamla Jewish Charter School Foundation, Inc. submitted on November 3, 2025:

- A. Vote to enter Executive Session, at 4:55pm. Damon Gardenhire motioned to go into Executive Session. Jared Buswell seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:



David Rutkauskas – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes

**B. EXECUTIVE SESSION** in accordance with 25 O.S.2021, § 307(B)(4),

**C.** Vote to exit Executive Session, at 5:11pm. Jared Buswell motioned to exit Executive Session.  
Damon Gardenhire seconded the motion.

The motion carried with the following votes:

David Rutkauskas – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Kitty Campbell – Yes  
Jared Buswell – Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
Amber Hidy – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes

**D.** Possible action on matters discussed in Executive Session  
No action taken.

## **10. New Business**

*Discussion and possible action of any item that could not have been known or reasonably foreseen prior to time of posting agenda (As defined in Oklahoma Statutes Title 25, Section 311 (A)(9)).*

There was no new business.

## **11. Adjournment**

David Gardenhire made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Jared Buswell seconded the motion. The motion carried with the following votes:

Amber Hidy - Yes  
Britni Tomcho – Yes  
Bill Pearson – Yes  
Courtney Anderson – Yes  
Damon Gardenhire – Yes  
Brian Shellem – Yes  
Kitty Campbell – Yes

DRAFT Minutes - Statewide Charter School Board Regular Meeting  
December 8, 2025

Jared Buswell – Yes  
David Rutkauskas – Yes

**The meeting was adjourned at 5:13 p.m.**

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Brian Shellem", written over a horizontal line.

Brian Shellem, Chairman of the Board

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Merin Gracey", written over a horizontal line.

Merin Gracey, Interim Secretary of the Board