

Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board Voting for March 2017

Table 1. Parole Docket, March 2017*

	Docket Total		Favorable Votes	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Non-Violent	116	59.8%	41	35.3%
Violent	78	40.2%	1	1.3%
TOTAL	194	100%	42	21.6%

* Ineligible not included in analysis (15 or 7.2%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=194)

	Non-Violent (116)		Violent (78)	
	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent
Robert "Brett" Macy	49	42.2%	4	5.1%
Thomas Gillert	50	43.1	5	6.4
William Latimer	47	40.5	5	6.4
BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL	41	35.3%	1	1.3%

*There were two vacant member seats during the month of March.

Table 3. Protests and Delegate Support Appearances, by Type (n=194)

	Victim Protest Appearances	District Attorney Protest Appearances	Appearances by Delegates Supporting the Offender
Non-Violent	0	17	15
Violent	0	13	0
TOTAL PROTESTS	0	30	15

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=41)

	Number	Percent
Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs)	32	78.0%
Substance Abuse Treatment	32	78.0
Psychological Counseling	10	24.4
Interlock Device	5	14.6
AG or Tribal Batterer's Program	5	12.2
Detainer	6	14.6
Consecutive Sentences	4	9.7
Sober Living Facility	3	7.3
Education/GED	3	7.3
Anger Management	2	4.9
Community Level/Work Release	2	4.9
Parenting Classes	1	2.4
Thinking for a Change	1	2.4
Restitution	1	2.4

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender (n=41)

	Number	Percent
One	9	21.9%
Two	9	21.9
Three	12	29.3
Four	11	26.8
Total	41	100%
Average Number of Conditions (per offender)	2.6	
Range	4	