

**Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board
Voting for June 2016**

Table 1. Parole Docket, June 2016

	Docket Total		Favorable Votes	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Non-Violent	103	59.2%	39	37.9%
Violent	71	40.8%	3	4.2%
TOTAL	174	100%	42	24.1%

**Ineligible not included in analysis (14 or 7.4%)*

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=174)

	Non-Violent (103)		Violent (71)	
	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent
Vanessa Price (Chair)	42	40.8%	9	12.7%
Patricia High (Vice-Chair)	28	27.2	7	9.8
Robert "Brett" Macy	30	29.1	7	9.8
Thomas Gillert	35	34.0	11	15.5
William Latimer	43	41.7	16	22.5
BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL	39	37.9%	3	4.2%

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=174)

	Victim	District Attorney	Delegates Supporting the Offender
Non-Violent	0	20	9
Violent	0	15	1
TOTAL PROTESTS	0	35	10

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=39)

	Number	Percent
Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs)	34	87.2%
Substance Abuse Treatment	33	84.6
Psychological Counseling	27	69.2
Sober Living Facility	8	20.5
Cognitive Behavioral Treatment	5	12.8
Interlock Device	3	7.7
Community Level Work Release	3	7.7
Restitution	2	5.1
Sexual Offender Treatment	1	2.6
Literacy	1	2.6
Keys to Life	1	2.6
Education/GED	1	2.6
Battle Buddies	1	2.6
AG or Tribal Batterer's Program	1	2.6
Anger Management	1	2.6

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Non-Violent Offender (n=39)

	Number	Percent
Zero	8	20.5%
One	0	0.0
Two	1	2.6
Three	14	35.9
Four	15	38.5
Five	1	2.6
Total	39	100%
Average Number of Conditions (<i>per offender</i>)	2.8	
Range	5	