#### OKLAHOMA UNIFORM BUILDING CODE COMMISSION

# BUILDING, EXISTING BUILDING, AND FIRE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES UNIFORM BUILDING CODE COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM 2401 NW 23<sup>RD</sup> STREET, SUITE 82 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73107 OCTOBER 5, 2023 – 1:30 P.M.

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Larry Curtis, Marcia Gallant, Paul Gunderson, Bryant VanFossen, Brandon Wilkerson, Cary Williamson, Joe Williford, and Scott Wise

#### **ALTERNATE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Russell Bainbridge, Bob Kolibas, and Kahle Wilson

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT**

Cal Cornwell, Kyle Lombardo, Sam Stropes, and Donny Williamson

#### **ALTERNATE COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

None

#### **OTHERS PRESENT:**

David Adcock (OUBCC Staff), Kathy Hehnly (OUBCC Staff), Alisha Davidson (OUBCC Staff), Amber Armstrong (A & E Code Prof)

#### **CALL TO ORDER:**

Mr. Joe Williford called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m.

#### **WELCOME TO NEW MEMBERS:**

Mr. Bainbridge, Mr. Curtis, and Mr. Wilson were welcomed to the committee.

#### **CONFIRMATION OF ALTERNATES:**

Ms. Hehnly confirmed alternates could not motion and vote, but were able to take part in the discussions as all the counterparts were at the meeting.

#### **ACTION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

<u>Discussion and possible approval of the September 13, 2023, technical review committee</u> <u>meeting minutes</u>

MR. BRANDON WILKERSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MS. MARCIA GALLANT TO APPROVE THE SEPTEMBER 13, 2023, TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion and possible action to select an alternate date for the July 2024 meeting as the first</u> Thursday is July 4, 2024

Ms. Hehnly stated the first Thursday in July of 2024 was a holiday and the committee needed to select a different day for that month's meeting.

MS. MARCIA GALLANT MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. BRANDON WILKERSON TO MEET ON THE SECOND THURSDAY, JULY 11, 2024.

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion and possible action on chapter 1 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code®</u> (IEBC®)

Mr. Williford acknowledged Ms. Armstrong in the audience and noted if she wanted to speak on any of the items during the meeting to come up to the podium and she would be recognized.

Discussion and possible action on comment form BEBF-48, related to Section 104.1. Applicability
The committee reviewed the previous change history. Ms. Hehnly noted when the change was initially made in 2009, a blank existed in the code requiring a date to be added for when a building would qualify to utilize the IEBC. The committee discussed the original date used in the 2009 adoption, that it was always set for 10 years from the date of the adoption of the code; and if the change was kept, did they need to modify the date in the change. There was discussion regarding white box buildings and utilizing the IEBC on a new building for tenant buildouts; the current language in the code did not include a blank to allow a date to be inserted; rewording the section to utilize the date of a change in occupancy; and the definitions of an "Existing Building" and an "Existing Structure" in the IEBC which both stated as part of their definitions: "one for which a legal building permit has been issued." There was further discussion on changing the definition of an existing building or existing structure; whether Section 101.4.1 addressed the issue of a white box structure or building, alteration levels that the IEBC called out when requirements had to meet either the IBC or IRC; how jurisdictions applied the code; and different scenarios with how the IEBC would be applied.

The committee discussed the initial purpose of the change; that the change could be burdensome; changes of occupancy and means of egress issues that were allowed to be modified

by the IEBC but not the IBC; not trying to outsmart the I-codes unless there was a specific reason as the items had been reviewed extensively at the national level; and for fire protection and means of egress issues, if a date was included, how those items were affected by design criteria change and which had the potential to cause large problems. At the end of the discussion, the committee consensus was that the code language in the 2021 code was sufficient, and the comment form didn't need to be brought forward into the next adoption.

MS. MARCIA GALLANT MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. BRANDON WILKERSON TO DENY THE COMMENT FORM AND REMOVE THE CHANGE FROM THE AGENCY'S RULES

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

#### Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 1

There was no further discussion on Chapter 1 of the 2021 IEBC®.

#### Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 1 of the 2021 IEBC®

MR. PAUL GUNDERSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. CARY WILLIAMSON TO APPROVE CHAPTER 1 OF THE 2021 EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review and possible action on Chapter 2 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code® (IEBC®)</u>

#### Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 2

There was some discussion on the definitions for an "Existing Building" and an "Existing Structure" and if they needed to be modified. The committee discussed how several jurisdictions addressed existing buildings and structures, if they issued certificates of occupancy or certificates of completion; creating loopholes if a building was only a building after construction was

completed and what would it be considered while under construction; different options for amending the language; structures that were older that were never issued certificates of occupancies; smaller jurisdictions that didn't issue a certificate of occupancy; and correlating actions with the other codes that would be needed if the definitions were modified, to make sure they didn't affect other requirements in the other codes. At the end of the discussion, the committee determined no change to either definition was needed.

#### Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 2 of the 2021 IEBC®

MR. CARY WILLIAMSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MS. MARCIA GALLANT TO APPROVE CHAPTER 2 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review and possible action on Chapter 3 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code® (IEBC®)</u>

## <u>Discussion and possible action on comment form BEBF-53 related to Sections 303.2 Addition to a Group E occupancy and 303.2.1 Required occupant capacity</u>

Mr. Williford noted in the previous 2018 adoption, the sections were in Chapter 11 but had been moved to Chapter 3 in the 2021 edition. Ms. Hehnly noted storm shelters for schools had been an issue, adding the Commission submitted emergency rules to move the section to an appendix and not require storm shelters because there were school bonds that when the requirement went into effect, were already in the process and the requirement was not taken into account. She stated the requirement had since been put back into the code. She noted the agency had received a lot of calls on the issue, with the biggest question being when it was applicable and what the intent was behind the change. She gave the example of one issue an architect had and how the enforcement authority was interpreting it. Ms. Hehnly stated she wasn't sure what the change should be, but the language needed to be clearer, and the intent of the committee should come through the change.

Mr. Williamson noted the City of Purcell had not adopted the 2018 code and they were trying to apply the state adopted 2018 code to the school. He added the school sued and prevailed, although he wasn't sure how. The committee discussed looking at the code and making sure it was clearer when interpreted; the exceptions to the sections; and the selection of the fittest if only the group in the addition were covered with a storm shelter. There was further discussion on the occupant capacity requirements that create a number greater than the number of people

regularly on the school campus due to the number of buildings on the site; that the commentary called out the occupancy should be based to accommodate the students and staff; and prohibitive design construction costs for required storm shelters keeping school bonds from passing. The committee discussed changing the requirement to simply say a shelter was required for all students and staff on the site; that the change puts the liability on the architect if variables were changed by the owner; that some enforcement entities would not have pre-development meetings, so items needed to be assumed and if the official interpreted the code differently, more changes had to be made.

There was further discussion on using the legal number of enrolled students reported by each school annually and using that as a basis for the design; the trend of schools using remote learning options or sending kids home early when there is a potential for bad storms/tornados; how one city was discounting hallways, storage rooms, and other areas typically not in use faculty and students; how new shop and ag buildings were larger, (i.e., large enough to host 130 kids, but only 25 would be using it during a class) but the safe room had to be large enough to hold the possible occupancy; language in 2021 code; if the code was ambiguous on purpose; and adding an exception for the code official to utilize the enrollment amount plus a percentage. The committee discussed provisions for building officials in the 2020 ICC 500 standard; multi-story shelters; local jurisdictions working with schools on shelters; if the section could be pulled out and put in an appendix for the jurisdictions to adopt, that the added percentage caught some of the growth that may happen if the enrollment number was used; and costs for local jurisdictions. At the end of the discussion, the committee decided to table the comment form, submit some new comment forms and revisit the topic at a future meeting.

## MR. SCOTT WISE MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. BRYANT VANFOSSEN TO TABLE COMMENT FORM BEBF-53

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

#### <u>Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 3</u>

Ms. Gallant stated she had submitted two comment forms on Sections 306.7.11 and 306.7.12 as she felt the language was subjective in that the wording "in the same area" could be interpreted in several different ways. She noted her changes removed that language so that the requirement would only require accessible facilities "on the same floor." She noted she would be open to stipulating within a certain distance instead. There was discussion by the committee to include

making the accessible facilities within sight of the existing facilities; maximum travel distances for facilities and accessible facilities staying within them; if there was any representation for people with disabilities to speak on behalf of the community; and providing flexibility in situations where there was a cluster of facilities that were not accessible, and the area may be surrounded by fixed walls that were not movable and another restroom was 100 feet away that could be renovated to be accessible but would not necessarily be "in the same area." After the discussion, Ms. Gallant stated she would provide revised versions of her comment forms taking into account the discussion that just occurred.

#### Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 3 of the 2021 IEBC®

MS. MARCIA GALLANT MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. SCOTT WISE TO TABLE APPROVAL OF CHAPTER 3 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE®

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review, and possible action on Chapter 4 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code® (IEBC®)</u>

#### Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 4

There was no discussion on Chapter 4 of the 2021 IEBC®.

#### Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 4 of the 2021 IEBC®

MS. MARCIA GALLANT MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. BRANDON WILKERSON TO APPROVE CHAPTER 4 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review, and possible action on Chapter 5 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code® (IEBC®)</u>

<u>Discussion and possible action on comment form BEBF-49, related to Section 503.1, General</u>

Ms. Hehnly noted the change was based on errata to the 2018 code and was correct in the 2021 code.

MR. BRYANT VANFOSSEN MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MS. MARCIA GALLANT TO DENY COMMENT FORM BEBF-49 AND DELETE THE LANGUAGE FROM THE AGENCY'S RULES

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 5

There was no further discussion on Chapter 5 of the 2021 IEBC<sup>®</sup>.

Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 5 of the 2021 IEBC®

MR. PAUL GUNDERSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. CARY WILLIAMSON TO APPROVE CHAPTER 5 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

**ABSTAIN:** 

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review, and possible action on Chapter 6 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code® (IEBC®)</u>

<u>Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 6</u>

There was no discussion on Chapter 6 of the 2021 IEBC®.

None

Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 6 of the 2021 IEBC®

MR. BRANDON WILLKERSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MS. MARCIA GALLANT TO APPROVE CHAPTER 6 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant
Paul Gunderson
Bryant VanFossen

Cary Williamson
Joe Williford
Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review, and possible action on Chapter 7 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code® (IEBC®)</u>

#### Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 7

There was no discussion on Chapter 7 of the 2021 IEBC®.

#### Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 7 of the 2021 IEBC®

MR. CARY WILLIAMSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. PAUL GUNDERSON TO APPROVE CHAPTER 7 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia GallantCary WilliamsonPaul GundersonJoe WillifordBryant VanFossenScott Wise

VOTING NAY: None ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review, and possible action on Chapter 8 of the 2021 International Existing Building</u> Code® (IEBC®)

#### Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 8

There was no discussion on Chapter 8 of the 2021 IEBC®.

#### Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 8 of the 2021 IEBC®

MS. MARCIA GALLANT MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. BRANDON WILKERSON TO APPROVE CHAPTER 8 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review, and possible action on Chapter 9 of the 2021 International Existing Building</u> Code® (IEBC®)

<u>Discussion and possible action on comment form BEBF-50, related to Section 901.2 Compliance</u>

Mr. Williford noted the change was due to errata in the 2018 code and was correct in the 2021 code.

MS. MARCIA GALLANT MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. CARY WILLIAMSON TO DENY COMMENT FORM BEBF-50 AND DELETE THE LANGUAGE FROM THE AGENCY'S RULES

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 9

There was no further discussion on Chapter 9 of the 2021 IEBC®

Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 9 of the 2021 IEBC®

MS. MARCIA GALLANT MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. SCOTT WISE TO APPROVE CHAPTER 9 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE® AS WRITTEN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

<u>Discussion, review, and possible action on Chapter 10 of the 2021 International Existing Building Code® (IEBC®)</u>

## <u>Discussion and possible action on comment form BEBF-51, related to Section 1001.2.1 Change in</u> Use

Mr. Williford noted the change was also due to errata in the 2018 code.

MR. BRYANT VANFOSSEN MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. BRANDON WILKERSON TO DENY COMMENT FORM BEBF-51 AND REMOVE THE LANGUAGE FROM THE AGENCY'S RULES

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

## <u>Discussion and possible action on comment form BEBF-112, related to Section 1011.7.1 Exterior</u> wall rating for change of occupancy classification to a higher-hazard category

Mr. VanFossen explained he was proposing to add an exception in cases like a strip mall that had less than 10 feet and no rated exterior wall, as there was nothing that would retroactively require the wall to be rated until a new occupant moved in with a higher category in Table 1011.7. He noted it had happened where a grow facility moved in and they had 8 feet of fire separation from the property line, which then required the entire wall for every tenant to be rated. He added his change would allow just the exterior wall of the new tenant and the demising walls between the tenants to be rated so that no other tenant was affected by one tenant moving out and another moving in.

The committee discussed the language "where approved by the building official" and how that helped a building official and how it provided flexibility to each jurisdiction to make their own call and not allow the exception; and examples for when the exception would and would not be allowed. There was discussion on the fact that some jurisdictions did not provide design phase consulting so it was easier if the language wasn't subjective as it was hard to design and budget if the designer did not know ahead of time if the exception would be allowed; and that the language typically allowed a building official to allow something that the code generally wouldn't, not make it harder on designers. There was further discussion on the language related to "approved by the building official."

The committee discussed the intent of a rated exterior wall, inconvenience and undue burdening to existing tenants to have their exterior walls torn down so that rated walls could be utilized; "demising walls" and what the submitter meant by that term; and Section 1011.6.1 which addressed the interior walls. There was further discussion on how the change required fire rated walls on the interior walls or the exception could not be utilized; creating an envelope for the new tenant and if the change conflicted with other items in the IEBC® related to the demising

walls. The committee discussed if the term "demising wall" was defined or if it needed to be defined, changing the language to "tenant separation wall" or "fire barrier;" that the change required more restrictive requirements than the IEBC would normally require if the exception was utilized; and available products that could be added to a wall to meet the need.

Ms. Armstrong asked if the demising walls were intended to be just the adjacent walls and asked if the front wall would also be a demising wall if it opened into another space. There was discussion on what was required for different types of structures; other ways to word the language using existing language in other sections of the code; examples of how the language could be interpreted; how it was easier for code officials to point to allowable exceptions than to allow for alternative needs if there was a court case; and alternative methods were hard for upkeep and tracking purposes. At the end of the discussion, the committee consensus was to table the comment form for revisions to the language.

MR. BRANDON WILKERSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MS. MARCIA GALLANT TO TABLE COMMENT FORM BEBF-112

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None
ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

#### <u>Discussion and call for any further comments regarding Chapter 10</u>

Mr. Wise noted in relation to Chapter 10 and changes in occupancy, something that might need to be addressed was schools. He added there was a situation where a charter school moved into an anchor store at a repurposed mall. He noted it wasn't new construction or a new add-on, and a storm shelter wasn't required. He added now there were a number of children in the facility without a storm shelter, whereas everyone else in the state was required to have a storm shelter. He noted if a school wanted to be creative instead of doing an add-on for classrooms, they could do one for storage and put students in the previous storage rooms and not need a storm shelter. He noted he was curious to hear what others thought of that issue.

#### Discussion and possible approval of Chapter 10 of the 2021 IEBC®

MR. BRYANT VANFOSSEN MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. PAUL GUNDERSON TO TABLE APPROVAL OF CHAPTER 10 OF THE 2021 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE®

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None
ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

The committee discussed what they would need to review for the next meeting. Committee consensus was to address any tabled items from the meeting, the remaining items on the agenda and to add the review of Chapter 4 of the 2021 International Building Code® and any applicable comment forms to the next agenda.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Ms. Armstrong stated in relation to storm shelters, she noted one of the requirements in the code was the wind speed was 250 mph, and she wanted the committee to consider that there was a portion of the Oklahoma panhandle that was not in the 250 mph category. She wanted to know if it would be addressed by the committee. Ms. Hehnly noted the committee would be addressing the existing rule that changed the entire state to 250 mph and would need to confirm whether or not they recommended the change continue in the next adoption. There was further discussion on making sure the change was correlated into the IEBC® if it was kept and what the change actually was in the 2018 adoption.

#### ADJOURNMENT: (12:04 P.M.)

MR. BRANDON WILKERSON MADE A MOTION WITH A SECOND BY MR. BRYANT VANFOSSEN TO ADJOURN

VOTING AYE: Larry Curtis Brandon Wilkerson

Marcia Gallant Cary Williamson
Paul Gunderson Joe Williford
Bryant VanFossen Scott Wise

VOTING NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Cal Cornwell Sam Stropes

Kyle Lombardo Donny Williamson

Minutes approved in the regular meeting on the 2nd day of November 2023.

### JOE WILLIFORD

Joe Williford, Chairman Building, Existing Building, and Fire Technical Committee

PREPARED BY: KATHY HEHNLY

Kathy Hehnly, Building, Existing Building, and Fire Technical Committee Secretary

Official Copy: Original with signatures in office file.