

# 2024-2025 Strong Readers Act Study

In fulfillment of Section 1210.508C of Title 70 of the Oklahoma Statutes. This study provides data on third grade reading achievement by socio-economic status, learning disability status, English learner status and race. It also provides evidence on reading instructional practices and remediation efforts currently being used by districts in Oklahoma and explores the potential efficacy of these practices.



OKLAHOMA  
Education

# Table of Contents

|           |                            |    |
|-----------|----------------------------|----|
| <b>01</b> | Table of Tables .....      | 03 |
| <b>02</b> | Table of Figures .....     | 04 |
| <b>03</b> | Background .....           | 05 |
| <b>04</b> | Purpose .....              | 06 |
| <b>05</b> | Key Findings .....         | 07 |
| <b>06</b> | Organization .....         | 08 |
| <b>07</b> | Limitations .....          | 09 |
| <b>08</b> | Results and Analysis ..... | 09 |
| <b>09</b> | Data Sources .....         | 10 |
| <b>10</b> | Question 1 .....           | 11 |
|           | Question 2 .....           | 14 |
|           | Question 3 .....           | 16 |
|           | Question 4 .....           | 23 |
|           | Question 5 .....           | 24 |
|           | Question 6 .....           | 25 |
|           | Question 7 .....           | 40 |
|           | Question 8 .....           | 45 |
|           | Question 9 .....           | 76 |
|           | Question 10 .....          | 79 |
|           | Question 11 .....          | 81 |
| <b>11</b> | Conclusion .....           | 83 |
| <b>12</b> | Works Referenced .....     | 85 |

# Table of Tables

|           |                                                                                                                                |    |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>01</b> | Approved Screening Assessments for Strong Readers .....                                                                        | 12 |
| <b>02</b> | Students Identified as At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning of Year Compared to Total Enrollment .....                  | 14 |
| <b>03</b> | Students Remaining At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at the End of the Year Compared to Total Enrollment .....                    | 17 |
| <b>04</b> | Students Completing a Student Literacy Intervention Plan (SLIP) by Meeting Grade-Level Target At or Prior to End of Year ..... | 19 |
| <b>05</b> | Students At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning Versus End of Year .....                                                  | 21 |
| <b>06</b> | Students Demonstrating Proficiency on an Approved Screener .....                                                               | 23 |
| <b>07</b> | Students Enrolled in District For Less Than 2 Years .....                                                                      | 24 |
| <b>08</b> | Students Not Meeting End of year Grade-Level Targets by District .....                                                         | 26 |
| <b>09</b> | 2025 Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) Data .....                                                                          | 41 |
| <b>10</b> | 2024 Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) Data .....                                                                          | 42 |
| <b>11</b> | 2023 Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) Data .....                                                                          | 43 |
| <b>12</b> | Strong Readers Funding Appropriated to Each District .....                                                                     | 47 |
| <b>13</b> | Evidence-based Materials Used for Interventions .....                                                                          | 81 |

# Table of Figures

|           |                                                                                                                                                                                                  |    |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>01</b> | Screening Assessments Used by School Sites in SY2024-2025 .....                                                                                                                                  | 13 |
| <b>02</b> | Students Identified as At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning Of Year Compared To Total Enrollment .....                                                                                    | 15 |
| <b>03</b> | Students Remaining as At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at the End of the Year as Compared to Total Enrollment .....                                                                                | 18 |
| <b>04</b> | Students At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning of Year Compared to Students who Completed the Student Literacy Intervention Plan (SLIP) by Meeting Grade-Level Target by End of Year ..... | 20 |
| <b>05</b> | Students At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning Versus End of Year .....                                                                                                                    | 22 |
| <b>06</b> | History of RSA/Strong Readers Allocation: Total and Per Pupil .....                                                                                                                              | 46 |
| <b>07</b> | Overall District Spending for SY2024-2025 by Category .....                                                                                                                                      | 74 |
| <b>08</b> | Instructional Practices and Methods for Tier 1 (Core Instruction) for Students in Grades K-3 .....                                                                                               | 76 |
| <b>09</b> | Instructional Practices and Methods for Students in Grades K-3 Who Are Not Meeting Grade-Level Targets .....                                                                                     | 77 |
| <b>10</b> | Instructional Methods and Practices for Students Beyond 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade Still Receiving Interventions .....                                                                                | 77 |
| <b>11</b> | Reading Resources Accessible to Students Outside of School .....                                                                                                                                 | 79 |

# Background

The original version of the Strong Readers Act, formerly known as the Reading Sufficiency Act (RSA), was enacted in Oklahoma in 1997 to improve students' reading skills by the end of third grade. It required reading assessments for kindergarten through third-grade students at the beginning and end of each school year. Amendments to the law include:

- 2012: Third-grade students are required to demonstrate reading proficiency or qualify for good-cause exemptions to advance to fourth grade starting in the 2013-2014 school year.
- 2014 (HB 2625): Introduced "probationary promotion," allowing third graders to advance based on recommendations from a Student Reading Proficiency Team (SRPT), which included the student's parents and educators.
- 2017 (HB 1760): Made the SRPT a permanent option.
- 2020 (SB 601): Amended good-cause exemptions, added a mid-year reading assessment for kindergarten through third grade, and clarified language around expectations for kindergarten students.
- 2024 (SB 362): Changed the name from Reading Sufficiency Act (RSA) to Strong Readers Act, added exemptions for certain student groups, removed third-grade promotion requirements, and introduced new reporting requirements.

# Purpose

The State Department of Education (SDE) annually conducts a study on reading instruction as required by Section 1210.508C of Title 70 of the Oklahoma Statutes. The purpose of the study is to identify trends in assessment data for students in kindergarten through third grade. Through this report, data is also collected on the instructional practices utilized by schools and the research literature regarding the effectiveness of those practices is discussed.

The purpose of the Strong Readers Act is to strengthen literacy among Oklahoma's students by integrating reading proficiency into the criteria for grade progression, ensuring appropriate instructional support, and maintaining clear communication with families about student progress. As such, the Strong Readers Act follows the Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) model. Third grade is the transition year in which students apply the foundational skills they have been learning in the early grades to begin to focus on more critical analysis and understanding of text. The 2024–2025 school year marks the first year in which the previous third-grade retention requirement of the law is no longer in effect. Promotion decisions for third grade are now determined locally, consistent with the process for all other grade levels. Reports such as this one allow Oklahomans the opportunity to take an informed glance at the progress in continually improving literacy in schools across our state.

# Key Findings

## In this report you will see:

- In the 2024–25 school year, 78,714 K–3 students (41.9%) were identified as at risk for reading difficulties through beginning-of-year screening processes. By the end of the school year, 65,893 (35%) students were identified as at-risk through end of year screening processes and on a reading plan for intervention.
- There was a 6.9% decrease in the number of students needing reading interventions from the beginning to the end of the school year. Although this reduction is smaller than last year’s 10.3% decrease, it is important to note that both the starting and ending percentages of students identified as at risk were lower this year. The final at-risk percentage was 41.9%, compared to 46.9% last year.
- The percentage of students identified as at risk for reading difficulties has declined steadily over the past three years. This year’s rate of 41.9% is the lowest observed since before the pandemic, approaching the 2019 level of 39.3%.
- 27.31% of third graders scored proficient or advanced as determined by the Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP).
- Hispanic, Black, and Pacific Islander subgroups did not meet proficiency on the OSTP at the same rate as other subgroups.

# Organization

**As outlined in 70 O.S. § 1210.508C, this report is organized around these central questions:**

1. What screening instruments are being used to identify reading deficiencies and monitor reading progress?
2. How many students (number and percent) in kindergarten through third grade have been determined as at-risk for reading difficulties at the beginning of the year as compared to the total number of students enrolled in each grade?
3. How many kindergarten through third grade students successfully completed their reading instruction program and demonstrated grade-level reading proficiency, as determined by results from approved reading assessments, and how many students (by number and percentage) continue to be identified as at risk for reading difficulties at the end of the year?
4. How many students demonstrated grade-level proficiency on an approved screening assessment, disaggregated by grade level and IEP status?
5. How many students have been enrolled in their current district for fewer than two years?
6. For each district, what number and percentage of students in kindergarten through third grade did not meet the grade-level target on an approved reading assessment?
7. How many third-grade students participated in the Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) and of that number how many scored proficient or above on the reading portion of the statewide third grade assessment? How does reading proficiency on the OSTP reading assessment vary by socioeconomic status, learning disability status, English learner (EL) status and race/ethnicity?
8. What funding was appropriated to each district for reading remediation?
9. What types of reading instructional practices, instructional methods and remediation efforts are currently being used by districts?
10. What types of reading resources do students have access to outside of school?
11. What evidence-based materials are being used by districts for interventions?

# Limitations

This report provides information that, when placed in the proper context, can help Oklahomans better understand the implementation and effectiveness of programs of reading instruction for kindergarten through third grade classrooms across the state. Current data reporting methods include self-reported data from districts, and thus, limit the conclusions which can be confidently drawn from this report. Data is reported at the site level rather than by student level. Therefore, it is difficult to know if data changed due to student improvement or movement to another school. Data show if students met a set target. However, it does not show the rate of improvement to determine if students made reasonable progress through the year. Because data is not collected at the student level, it cannot be determined which students on a reading plan might also be receiving additional instructional supports, such as English learner instruction or special education services, or if the student was referred for additional services during the year.

# Results & Analysis

This section of the study will provide the critical data, tables, and narrative as outlined in 70 O.S. § 1210.508C. The Data Sources and Survey Results sections will provide the background for how the data was collected for the subsequent sections. The questions were also grouped into categories and include evaluative information based on the tables and figures provided.

# Data Sources

District-reported data was used to determine the number and percentage of students considered at-risk for reading difficulties at the beginning of the year as compared to the total number of students. This data delineated the number of students who were considered at-risk based on their enrollment in a program of reading instruction and the total number of students screened. These numbers were directly reported to the OSDE by districts.

This study used data from the following sources:

- Strong Readers Act Survey, including Beginning-of-Year and End-of-Year reports;
- Strong Readers district funding data;
- State-developed survey on instructional practices, instructional methods, remediation efforts, and reading resource access;
- Student information data; and
- Literature on instructional practices, instructional methods, remediation efforts, and reading resources.

Any student data contained in the report was reported only in the aggregate so that individual students could not be identified. To gather information on reading instruction, a survey was sent to district personnel, administrators, and teachers who work with kindergarten through third-grade students. There were 461 responses to the survey. The respondents represented 54 counties in Oklahoma and 122 of the 544 school districts. The majority of the respondents (45%) were classroom teachers. Responses to the survey do not represent all districts and reflect a limited number of educators.

## QUESTION 1

### ➤ **What screening instruments are being used to identify reading deficiencies and monitor reading progress?**


Screening assessments are brief tests that are valid, reliable, and evidence-based. They are used with all students to measure their skills in each of the five components of reading: phonemic awareness, vocabulary, phonics, reading fluency and comprehension. These tests help teachers identify students with reading deficiencies and, together with diagnostic assessments, drive instruction toward the specific needs of their students. The assessments on this list meet criteria for reliability and validity, as well as alignment to the Oklahoma Academic Standards. Districts were able to choose which of the screening assessments best fit their needs.

The approved screening assessments available for district selection during the 2024–2025 school year are listed in Table 1. Most districts identified a single screening assessment for districtwide use across kindergarten through third grade. However, some districts reported using different assessments by school site or using one assessment for certain grade levels and a different assessment for other grades. As a result, data was collected at the site level. Sites reporting the use of multiple assessments were included in the total count for each assessment used.

**Table 1.** Approved Screening Assessments for Strong Readers

| Approved Screening Assessment                                                             | Publisher                  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Acadience                                                                                 | Acadience Learning, Inc.   |
| AimswebPlus                                                                               | Pearson                    |
| Amira                                                                                     | Amira Learning             |
| Early Learning Quick Assessment (ELQA)<br><small>* approved for Kindergarten only</small> | University of Oklahoma     |
| ExactPath                                                                                 | Edmentum, Inc.             |
| FastBridge                                                                                | Renaissance learning, Inc. |
| i-Ready Diagnostic                                                                        | Curriculum Associates      |
| Istation                                                                                  | Amira Learning             |
| MAP Reading Fluency                                                                       | NWEA                       |
| MAP Growth                                                                                | NWEA                       |
| mCLASS DIBELS 8th Edition                                                                 | Amplify Education, Inc.    |
| Star Early Learning Suite                                                                 | Renaissance Learning, Inc. |

**Figure 1. Screening Assessments Used by School Sites in SY2024-2025**



### Data Points of Interest:

- The majority of school sites (498, or 53.2%) reported using Star Early Learning as their screening assessment for SY2024-2025.
- Slightly less than half of districts reported using one the remaining 11 approved screening assessments:
  - 9 of the assessments were used by 5% or fewer of school sites, and
  - 2 assessments were used by 10-20% of school sites.
- 37 school sites (4%) reported using Amira, the newly state-contracted assessment at the beginning of the year. However, the contract began in August 2024. As the school year progressed, additional sites adopted the assessment and transitioned from other screeners.

## QUESTION 2


- **How many students (number and percent) in kindergarten through third grade have been determined as at-risk for reading difficulties at the beginning of the year as compared to the total number of students enrolled in each grade?**

The following table showcases the percent of students who are identified as at-risk of not achieving reading proficiency as determined by a beginning-of-year screening assessment administered within the first few weeks of the school year. The data provided does not indicate the progress made in that grade level throughout the year.

**Table 2.** Students Identified as At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning of Year Compared to Total Enrollment

|  OKLAHOMA Education | Grade             | At-Risk BOY   | Total Enrolled | Percent At-Risk BOY |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| <b>2022</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 22,073        | 49,857         | 44.3%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 25,867        | 50,185         | 51.5%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 26,386        | 49,356         | 53.5%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 23,582        | 49,834         | 47.3%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>97,908</b> | <b>199,232</b> | <b>49.1%</b>        |
| <b>2023</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 19,930        | 48,424         | 41.2%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 25,044        | 50,826         | 49.3%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 25,645        | 49,215         | 52.1%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 24,104        | 49,517         | 48.7%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>94,723</b> | <b>197,982</b> | <b>47.8%</b>        |
| <b>2024</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 18,322        | 46,463         | 39.4%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 23,662        | 48,887         | 48.4%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 25,590        | 49,113         | 52.1%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 23,167        | 49,061         | 47.2%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>90,741</b> | <b>193,524</b> | <b>46.9%</b>        |
| <b>2025</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 14,471        | 44,541         | 32.5%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 20,707        | 46,936         | 44.1%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 22,679        | 47,614         | 47.6%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 20,857        | 48,971         | 42.6%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>78,714</b> | <b>188,062</b> | <b>41.9%</b>        |

**Figure 2.** Students Identified as At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning Of Year Compared To Total Enrollment



### Data Points of Interest:

- K-3rd grade enrollment for SY2024-2025 decreased by 5,462 students from SY2023-2024.
- The percentage of K–3 students identified as at risk for reading difficulties at the beginning of the year decreased from 46.9% to 41.9%. This five-point percentage decline represents the largest reduction observed over the past four years.
- Kindergarten experienced a 6.9% decrease in the number of students identified with reading difficulties at the beginning of the year, the largest decline among all grades. The other grades each saw reductions of approximately 4%.

## QUESTION 3


- **How many kindergarten through third grade students (by number and percentage) continue to be identified as at risk for reading difficulties and how many students successfully completed their program of reading instruction and are reading on grade level at the end of the year, as determined by results from approved reading assessments?**

To determine the number and percentage of students considered at-risk for reading difficulties at the end of the year, a calculation was made using the number of students not meeting grade-level reading targets on a Student Literacy Intervention Plan (SLIP) at the end of the year as compared to the number of students tested at the beginning of the year. These data were directly reported to the OSDE by districts. End-of-year data reflect the effectiveness of instruction for students over the course of that school year. It does not reflect the influence (if any) of a summer break. The data do not differentiate between students who have made progress but have not quite reached the goal, students who have maintained growth at the same rate as their peers but have not closed the learning gap, or students who continue to struggle and have fallen further behind their peers.

**Table 3.** Students Remaining At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at the End of the Year Compared to Total Enrollment

|  OKLAHOMA Education | Grade             | At-Risk EOY   | Total Enrolled | Percent At-Risk EOY |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| <b>2022</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 15,464        | 49,857         | 31.0%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 18,229        | 50,185         | 36.3%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 18,677        | 49,356         | 37.8%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 15,551        | 49,834         | 31.2%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>67,921</b> | <b>199,232</b> | <b>34.1%</b>        |
| <b>2023</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 13,889        | 48,424         | 28.7%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 18,651        | 50,826         | 36.7%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 19,587        | 49,215         | 39.8%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 17,323        | 49,517         | 35.0%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>69,450</b> | <b>197,982</b> | <b>35.1%</b>        |
| <b>2024</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 14,580        | 46,463         | 31.4%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 18,937        | 48,887         | 38.7%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 20,482        | 49,113         | 41.7%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 16,878        | 49,061         | 34.4%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>70,877</b> | <b>193,524</b> | <b>36.6%</b>        |
| <b>2025</b>                                                                                         | Kindergarten      | 12,109        | 44,541         | 27.2%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 1           | 17,626        | 46,936         | 37.6%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 2           | 18,869        | 47,614         | 39.6%               |
|                                                                                                     | Grade 3           | 17,289        | 48,971         | 35.3%               |
|                                                                                                     | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>65,893</b> | <b>188,062</b> | <b>35.0%</b>        |


**Figure 3.** Students Remaining as At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at the End of the Year as Compared to Total Enrollment



**Table 4.** Students Completing a Student Literacy Intervention Plan (SLIP) by Meeting Grade-Level Target At or Prior to End of Year

|  OKLAHOMA<br>Education | Grade             | Number of Students<br>on Plan | Number of Students<br>Completed Plan | Percent of Students<br>Completed Plan |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>2022</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 24,221                        | 8,697                                | 35.9%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 26,946                        | 8,640                                | 32.1%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 27,039                        | 8,291                                | 30.7%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 24,057                        | 8,425                                | 35.0%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>102,263</b>                | <b>34,053</b>                        | <b>33.3%</b>                          |
| <b>2023</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 22,723                        | 8,827                                | 38.8%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 27,579                        | 8,918                                | 32.3%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 27,219                        | 7,619                                | 28.0%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 25,756                        | 8,420                                | 32.7%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>103,277</b>                | <b>33,784</b>                        | <b>32.7%</b>                          |
| <b>2024</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 22,435                        | 7,855                                | 35.0%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 27,218                        | 8,281                                | 30.4%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 28,121                        | 7,639                                | 27.2%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 25,183                        | 8,305                                | 33.0%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>102,957</b>                | <b>32,080</b>                        | <b>31.2%</b>                          |
| <b>2025</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 18,451                        | 6,343                                | 34.4%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 22,466                        | 6,839                                | 30.4%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 24,961                        | 6,091                                | 24.4%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 23,091                        | 5,802                                | 25.1%                                 |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>90,969</b>                 | <b>25,076</b>                        | <b>28%</b>                            |


**Figure 4.** Students At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning of Year Compared to Students who Completed the Student Literacy Intervention Plan (SLIP) by Meeting Grade-Level Target by End of Year



**Table 5. Students At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning Versus End of Year**

|  OKLAHOMA<br>Education | Grade             | Percent At-Risk<br>BOY | Percent At-Risk<br>EOY | Percent Decreased<br>from BOY |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>2022</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 44.3%                  | 31.0%                  | -13.3%                        |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 51.5%                  | 36.3%                  | -15.2%                        |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 53.5%                  | 37.8%                  | -15.7%                        |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 47.3%                  | 31.2%                  | -16.1%                        |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>49.1%</b>           | <b>34.1%</b>           | <b>-15.0%</b>                 |
| <b>2023</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 41.2%                  | 28.7%                  | -12.5%                        |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 49.3%                  | 36.7%                  | -12.6%                        |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 52.1%                  | 39.8%                  | -12.3%                        |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 48.7%                  | 35.0%                  | 13.7%                         |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>47.8%</b>           | <b>35.1%</b>           | <b>-12.7%</b>                 |
| <b>2024</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 39.4%                  | 31.4%                  | -8%                           |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 48.4%                  | 38.7%                  | -9.7%                         |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 52.1%                  | 41.7%                  | -10.4%                        |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 47.2%                  | 34.4%                  | 12.8%                         |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>46.9%</b>           | <b>36.6%</b>           | <b>-10.3%</b>                 |
| <b>2025</b>                                                                                            | Kindergarten      | 32.5%                  | 27.20%                 | -5.3%                         |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 1           | 44.1%                  | 37.60%                 | -6.5%                         |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 2           | 47.6%                  | 39.60%                 | -8%                           |
|                                                                                                        | Grade 3           | 42.6%                  | 35.30%                 | -7.3%                         |
|                                                                                                        | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>41.9%</b>           | <b>35.0%</b>           | <b>-6.9%</b>                  |

**Figure 5. Students At-Risk for Reading Difficulty at Beginning Versus End of Year**



### Data Points of Interest:

- The number of students identified as at-risk for reading difficulties in the beginning of the SY2024-2025 was 78,714 (41.9%). At the end of the year, 65,893 (35%) students were identified as at-risk and remained on a reading plan for intervention. The decrease throughout the year was 6.9% of students needing reading interventions.
- For the five school years between 2021-2025, second grade students consistently had the largest percentage of students remaining at-risk at the end of the year. This could be due in part to being expected to perform tasks more independently and having less supports in place than in the earlier grades. Students with foundational gaps may no longer be able to keep up with grade level expectations.

## QUESTION 4

### ➤ How many students demonstrated grade-level proficiency on an approved screening assessment, disaggregated by grade level and IEP status?

This reporting requirement was established through the passage of the Strong Readers Act and was implemented beginning in the 2024–2025 school year. Districts were required to post this information on their websites and submit the data to OSDE through the Strong Readers Act Survey. As this is a new requirement, three-year historical data is not available.

For the purposes of the Strong Readers Act, proficiency is defined as achieving at or above the 60th percentile rank on an approved screener. This benchmark is more rigorous than the grade-level target used to identify students at risk for reading difficulties and in need of intervention, which is set at the 40th percentile rank. As such, these measures serve different purposes and should not be directly compared.

**Table 6.** Students Demonstrating Proficiency on an Approved Screener

|  OKLAHOMA<br>Education | Grade             | All Students<br>Demonstrating Proficiency | Students on IEP<br>Demonstrating Proficiency |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <b>2025</b>                                                                                               | Kindergarten      | 24,004                                    | 1,501                                        |
|                                                                                                           | Grade 1           | 21,268                                    | 1,343                                        |
|                                                                                                           | Grade 2           | 20,089                                    | 1,169                                        |
|                                                                                                           | Grade 3           | 22,411                                    | 1,227                                        |
|                                                                                                           | <b>All Grades</b> | 87,772                                    | 5,240                                        |

#### Data Points of Interest:

- Statewide, students with Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) comprised 6% of the total number of students who demonstrated proficiency on an approved screener.
- When compared with the total number of K–3 students assessed, 47% demonstrated proficiency.

## QUESTION 5

### ➤ How many students have been enrolled in their current district for fewer than two years?

As with the information addressed in the previous question, this reporting requirement was established through the passage of the Strong Readers Act and implemented beginning in the 2024–2025 school year. Districts were required to post this information on their websites and submit the data to OSDE via the Strong Readers Act Survey.

This information would be valuable to stakeholders reviewing their district's Strong Readers data, as it provides important context by indicating how many students had not yet received a full two years of instruction in the district when interpreting school wide student performance on state-approved screeners.

Because this is a new requirement, three years of historical data are not available.

**Table 7. Students Enrolled in District For Less Than 2 Years**

|  OKLAHOMA<br>Education | Grade             | Newly Enrolled |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| <b>2025</b>                                                                                               | Kindergarten      | 14,702         |
|                                                                                                           | Grade 1           | 9,371          |
|                                                                                                           | Grade 2           | 8,615          |
|                                                                                                           | Grade 3           | 8,162          |
|                                                                                                           | <b>All Grades</b> | <b>40,850</b>  |

#### Data Points of Interest:

- The higher number of kindergarten students who have been enrolled in their current district for less than two years is likely attributable to students who did not attend pre-K
- Approximately 22% of the total statewide K–3 enrollment consists of newly enrolled students.

## QUESTION 6

- **For each district, what number and percentage of students in kindergarten through third grade did not meet the grade-level target on an approved reading assessment?**

For each district, the number of students who did not meet the grade-level target was calculated by adding the reported figures for grades K–3 remaining at risk for reading difficulties on the Strong Readers End of the Year Survey. This total was then divided by the number of students tested in the district at the beginning of the year to determine the percentage of students who did not meet the grade-level target.

For Strong Readers purposes, the grade-level target is set at the 40th percentile rank on a state approved screening assessment.

**Table 8. Students Not Meeting End of Year Grade-Level Targets by District**

| District Name                        | # Students Not Meeting Grade-level Target | % Students Not Meeting Grade-level Target | District Name       | # Students Not Meeting Grade-level Target | % Students Not Meeting Grade-level Target |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| <b>ACADEMIES OF OKLAHOMA CHARTER</b> | 24                                        | 31%                                       | <b>ASHER</b>        | 28                                        | 37%                                       |
| <b>ACHILLE</b>                       | 34                                        | 40%                                       | <b>ATOKA</b>        | 61                                        | 29%                                       |
| <b>ADA</b>                           | 157                                       | 20%                                       | <b>AVANT</b>        | 6                                         | 20%                                       |
| <b>ADAIR</b>                         | 44                                        | 16%                                       | <b>BALKO</b>        | 5                                         | 17%                                       |
| <b>AFTON</b>                         | 23                                        | 22%                                       | <b>BANNER</b>       | 5                                         | 3%                                        |
| <b>AGRA</b>                          | 37                                        | 35%                                       | <b>BARNSDALL</b>    | 51                                        | 35%                                       |
| <b>ALEX</b>                          | 30                                        | 39%                                       | <b>BARTLESVILLE</b> | 421                                       | 24%                                       |
| <b>ALINE-CLEO</b>                    | 2                                         | 7%                                        | <b>BATTIEST</b>     | 4                                         | 6%                                        |
| <b>ALLEN</b>                         | 32                                        | 23%                                       | <b>BEARDEN</b>      | 21                                        | 34%                                       |
| <b>ALLEN-BOWDEN</b>                  | 114                                       | 81%                                       | <b>BEAVER</b>       | 10                                        | 19%                                       |
| <b>ALTUS</b>                         | 388                                       | 35%                                       | <b>BEGGS</b>        | 58                                        | 23%                                       |
| <b>ALVA</b>                          | 41                                        | 13%                                       | <b>BELFONTE</b>     | 4                                         | 7%                                        |
| <b>AMBER-POCASSET</b>                | 23                                        | 20%                                       | <b>BENNINGTON</b>   | 23                                        | 40%                                       |
| <b>ANADARKO</b>                      | 222                                       | 52%                                       | <b>BERRYHILL</b>    | 105                                       | 35%                                       |
| <b>ANDERSON</b>                      | 28                                        | 25%                                       | <b>BETHANY</b>      | 69                                        | 16%                                       |
| <b>ANTLERS</b>                       | 46                                        | 16%                                       | <b>BETHEL</b>       | 78                                        | 25%                                       |
| <b>ARAPAHO-BUTLER</b>                | 14                                        | 11%                                       | <b>BIG PASTURE</b>  | 30                                        | 56%                                       |
| <b>ARDMORE</b>                       | 309                                       | 38%                                       | <b>BILLINGS</b>     | 6                                         | 67%                                       |
| <b>ARKOMA</b>                        | 39                                        | 41%                                       | <b>BINGER-ONEY</b>  | 31                                        | 38%                                       |
| <b>ARNETT</b>                        | 9                                         | 13%                                       | <b>BISHOP</b>       | 108                                       | 34%                                       |

| District Name       | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name                  | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| <b>BIXBY</b>        | 498                                        | 21%                                        | <b>BUFFALO VALLEY</b>          | 13                                         | 27%                                        |
| <b>BLACKWELL</b>    | 22                                         | 7%                                         | <b>BURLINGTON</b>              | 10                                         | 26%                                        |
| <b>BLAIR</b>        | 3                                          | 7%                                         | <b>BURNS FLAT-DILL CITY</b>    | 50                                         | 34%                                        |
| <b>BLANCHARD</b>    | 171                                        | 30%                                        | <b>BUTNER</b>                  | 23                                         | 37%                                        |
| <b>BLUEJACKET</b>   | 9                                          | 16%                                        | <b>BYNG</b>                    | 96                                         | 17%                                        |
| <b>BOISE CITY</b>   | 33                                         | 47%                                        | <b>CACHE</b>                   | 99                                         | 20%                                        |
| <b>BOKOSHE</b>      | 18                                         | 51%                                        | <b>CADDO</b>                   | 44                                         | 30%                                        |
| <b>BOONE-APACHE</b> | 34                                         | 24%                                        | <b>CALERA</b>                  | 93                                         | 29%                                        |
| <b>BOSWELL</b>      | 40                                         | 54%                                        | <b>CALUMET</b>                 | 2                                          | 3%                                         |
| <b>BOWLEGS</b>      | 25                                         | 33%                                        | <b>CALVIN</b>                  | 6                                          | 14%                                        |
| <b>BOWRING</b>      | 8                                          | 38%                                        | <b>CAMERON</b>                 | 23                                         | 33%                                        |
| <b>BRAGGS</b>       | 13                                         | 31%                                        | <b>CANADIAN</b>                | 53                                         | 39%                                        |
| <b>BRAY-DOYLE</b>   | 23                                         | 31%                                        | <b>CANEY</b>                   | 11                                         | 18%                                        |
| <b>BRIDGE CREEK</b> | 152                                        | 29%                                        | <b>CANEY VALLEY</b>            | 36                                         | 23%                                        |
| <b>BRIGGS</b>       | 49                                         | 32%                                        | <b>CANTON</b>                  | 29                                         | 34%                                        |
| <b>BRISTOW</b>      | 91                                         | 19%                                        | <b>CANUTE</b>                  | 7                                          | 7%                                         |
| <b>BROKEN ARROW</b> | 1627                                       | 30%                                        | <b>CARLTON LANDING ACADEMY</b> | 1                                          | 5%                                         |
| <b>BROKEN BOW</b>   | 52                                         | 14%                                        | <b>CARNEGIE</b>                | 60                                         | 39%                                        |
| <b>BRUSHY</b>       | 26                                         | 17%                                        | <b>CARNEY</b>                  | 11                                         | 20%                                        |
| <b>BUFFALO</b>      | 11                                         | 18%                                        | <b>CASHION</b>                 | 120                                        | 54%                                        |

| District Name                 | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name                  | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| CATOOSA                       | 167                                        | 33%                                        | CLEVELAND                      | 156                                        | 40%                                        |
| CAVE SPRINGS                  | 13                                         | 59%                                        | CLINTON                        | 152                                        | 28%                                        |
| CEMENT                        | 4                                          | 31%                                        | COALGATE                       | 53                                         | 25%                                        |
| CENTRAL                       | 26                                         | 19%                                        | COLBERT                        | 48                                         | 20%                                        |
| CENTRAL HIGH                  | 18                                         | 15%                                        | COLCORD                        | 101                                        | 50%                                        |
| CHANDLER                      | 57                                         | 21%                                        | COLEMAN                        | 36                                         | 49%                                        |
| CHATTANOOGA                   | 11                                         | 20%                                        | COLLEGE BOUND ACADEMY of TULSA | 221                                        | 43%                                        |
| CHECOTAH                      | 170                                        | 37%                                        | COLLINSVILLE                   | 190                                        | 21%                                        |
| CHELSEA                       | 116                                        | 53%                                        | COMANCHE                       | 56                                         | 21%                                        |
| CHEROKEE                      | 25                                         | 24%                                        | COMANCHE ACADEMY               | 65                                         | 100%                                       |
| CHEROKEE IMMERSION CHARTER ES | 50                                         | 83%                                        | COMMERCE                       | 79                                         | 31%                                        |
| CHEYENNE                      | 6                                          | 9%                                         | COPAN                          | 20                                         | 28%                                        |
| CHICKASHA                     | 170                                        | 29%                                        | CORDELL                        | 45                                         | 25%                                        |
| CHISHOLM                      | 75                                         | 26%                                        | COTTONWOOD                     | 20                                         | 29%                                        |
| CHOCTAW-NICOMA PARK           | 432                                        | 29%                                        | COVINGTON-DOUGLAS              | 9                                          | 16%                                        |
| CHOUTEAU-MAZIE                | 68                                         | 27%                                        | COWETA                         | 265                                        | 25%                                        |
| CIMARRON                      | 11                                         | 19%                                        | COYLE                          | 40                                         | 45%                                        |
| CLAREMORE                     | 339                                        | 32%                                        | CRESCENT                       | 50                                         | 30%                                        |
| CLAYTON                       | 6                                          | 15%                                        | CROOKED OAK                    | 150                                        | 45%                                        |
| CLEORA                        | 9                                          | 12%                                        | CROWDER                        | 1                                          | 1%                                         |

| District Name                          | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name                    | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| CRUTCHO                                | 39                                         | 26%                                        | DRUMMOND                         | 15                                         | 15%                                        |
| CUSHING                                | 149                                        | 64%                                        | DRUMRIGHT                        | 19                                         | 18%                                        |
| CYRIL                                  | 26                                         | 30%                                        | DUKE                             | 9                                          | 30%                                        |
| DAHLONEGAH                             | 14                                         | 20%                                        | DUNCAN                           | 220                                        | 24%                                        |
| DALE                                   | 34                                         | 15%                                        | DURANT                           | 367                                        | 31%                                        |
| DARLINGTON                             | 37                                         | 34%                                        | E-SCHOOL VIRTUAL CHARTER ACADEMY | 25                                         | 21%                                        |
| DAVENPORT                              | 18                                         | 19%                                        | EAGLETOWN                        | 14                                         | 34%                                        |
| DAVIDSON                               | 6                                          | 46%                                        | EARLSBORO                        | 15                                         | 27%                                        |
| DAVIS                                  | 55                                         | 22%                                        | EDMOND                           | 2497                                       | 35%                                        |
| DEBORAH BROWN COMMUNITY CHARTER SCHOOL | 29                                         | 17%                                        | EL RENO                          | 250                                        | 36%                                        |
| DEER CREEK                             | 490                                        | 21%                                        | ELGIN                            | 153                                        | 24%                                        |
| DEER CREEK-LAMONT                      | 9                                          | 23%                                        | ELK CITY                         | 274                                        | 47%                                        |
| DENISON                                | 29                                         | 23%                                        | ELMORE CITY-PERNELL              | 32                                         | 27%                                        |
| DEPEW                                  | 50                                         | 44%                                        | EMPIRE                           | 33                                         | 23%                                        |
| DEWAR                                  | 27                                         | 20%                                        | ENID                             | 785                                        | 38%                                        |
| DEWEY                                  | 99                                         | 31%                                        | EPIC CHARTER VIRTUAL SCHOOL      | 975                                        | 23%                                        |
| DIBBLE                                 | 118                                        | 59%                                        | ERICK                            | 12                                         | 21%                                        |
| DICKSON                                | 70                                         | 20%                                        | EUFAULA                          | 94                                         | 31%                                        |
| DOVE SCHOOLS OF TULSA                  | 0                                          | 0%                                         | FAIRLAND                         | 49                                         | 34%                                        |
| DOVER                                  | 6                                          | 11%                                        | FAIRVIEW                         | 44                                         | 23%                                        |

| District Name     | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| FANSHAWE          | 11                                         | 32%                                        | GEARY         | 15                                         | 31%                                        |
| FARGO             | 10                                         | 15%                                        | GERONIMO      | 18                                         | 19%                                        |
| FELT              | 1                                          | 4%                                         | GLENCOE       | 20                                         | 25%                                        |
| FLETCHER          | 16                                         | 11%                                        | GLENPOOL      | 225                                        | 28%                                        |
| FLOWER MOUND      | 35                                         | 17%                                        | GLOVER        | 12                                         | 46%                                        |
| FOREST GROVE      | 32                                         | 60%                                        | GOODWELL      | 12                                         | 23%                                        |
| FORGAN            | 7                                          | 26%                                        | GORE          | 29                                         | 21%                                        |
| FORT COBB-BROXTON | 35                                         | 47%                                        | GRACEMONT     | 56                                         | 106%                                       |
| FORT GIBSON       | 86                                         | 20%                                        | GRAHAM-DUSTIN | 18                                         | 38%                                        |
| FORT SUPPLY       | 9                                          | 26%                                        | GRAND VIEW    | 48                                         | 21%                                        |
| FORT TOWSON       | 20                                         | 22%                                        | GRANDFIELD    | 16                                         | 28%                                        |
| FOX               | 12                                         | 29%                                        | GRANDVIEW     | 13                                         | 42%                                        |
| FOYIL             | 50                                         | 45%                                        | GRANITE       | 12                                         | 19%                                        |
| FREDERICK         | 34                                         | 17%                                        | GROVE         | 250                                        | 30%                                        |
| FREEDOM           | 2                                          | 18%                                        | GUTHRIE       | 349                                        | 34%                                        |
| FRIEND            | 10                                         | 10%                                        | GUYMON        | 439                                        | 49%                                        |
| FRINK-CHAMBERS    | 36                                         | 20%                                        | GYPSY         | 0                                          | 0%                                         |
| FRONTIER          | 35                                         | 41%                                        | HAILEYVILLE   | 23                                         | 27%                                        |
| GANS              | 35                                         | 35%                                        | HAMMON        | 1                                          | 1%                                         |
| GARBER            | 17                                         | 19%                                        | HANNA         | 7                                          | 54%                                        |

| District Name | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name                              | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| HARDESTY      | 10                                         | 71%                                        | HOWE                                       | 46                                         | 26%                                        |
| HARMONY       | 41                                         | 42%                                        | HUGO                                       | 171                                        | 52%                                        |
| HARRAH        | 275                                        | 49%                                        | HULBERT                                    | 52                                         | 36%                                        |
| HARTSHORNE    | 64                                         | 41%                                        | HUPFELD CHARTER ACADEMY at WESTERN VILLAGE | 19                                         | 9%                                         |
| HASKELL       | 137                                        | 66%                                        | HYDRO-EAKLY                                | 16                                         | 12%                                        |
| HAWORTH       | 48                                         | 46%                                        | IDABEL                                     | 108                                        | 30%                                        |
| HAYWOOD       | 2                                          | 5%                                         | INDIAHOMA                                  | 8                                          | 15%                                        |
| HEALDTON      | 40                                         | 27%                                        | INDIANOLA                                  | 4                                          | 7%                                         |
| HEAVENER      | 46                                         | 22%                                        | INOLA                                      | 118                                        | 32%                                        |
| HENNESSEY     | 17                                         | 8%                                         | JAY                                        | 133                                        | 28%                                        |
| HENRYETTA     | 105                                        | 34%                                        | JENKS                                      | 824                                        | 24%                                        |
| HILLDALE      | 178                                        | 32%                                        | JENNINGS                                   | 71                                         | 56%                                        |
| HINTON        | 21                                         | 10%                                        | JONES                                      | 42                                         | 13%                                        |
| HOBART        | 58                                         | 33%                                        | JUSTICE                                    | 32                                         | 52%                                        |
| HODGEN        | 39                                         | 35%                                        | JUSTUS-TIAWAH                              | 43                                         | 26%                                        |
| HOLDENVILLE   | 77                                         | 26%                                        | KANSAS                                     | 58                                         | 25%                                        |
| HOLLIS        | 16                                         | 13%                                        | KELLYVILLE                                 | 79                                         | 32%                                        |
| HOLLY CREEK   | 25                                         | 27%                                        | KENWOOD                                    | 7                                          | 18%                                        |
| HOMINY        | 22                                         | 15%                                        | KEOTA                                      | 41                                         | 43%                                        |
| HOOKER        | 66                                         | 37%                                        | KETCHUM                                    | 49                                         | 34%                                        |

| District Name                  | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name   | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| KEYS                           | 61                                         | 29%                                        | LIBERTY         | 90                                         | 33%                                        |
| KEYSTONE                       | 50                                         | 41%                                        | LINDSAY         | 100                                        | 27%                                        |
| KIEFER                         | 27                                         | 10%                                        | LITTLE AXE      | 115                                        | 36%                                        |
| KILDARE                        | 9                                          | 20%                                        | LOCUST GROVE    | 117                                        | 42%                                        |
| KINGFISHER                     | 57                                         | 17%                                        | LOMEGA          | 14                                         | 26%                                        |
| KINGSTON                       | 205                                        | 58%                                        | LONE GROVE      | 114                                        | 25%                                        |
| KINTA                          | 9                                          | 18%                                        | LONE STAR       | 33                                         | 9%                                         |
| KIOWA                          | 18                                         | 20%                                        | LONE WOLF       | 3                                          | 13%                                        |
| KONAWA                         | 37                                         | 26%                                        | LOOKEBA SICKLES | 9                                          | 14%                                        |
| KREBS                          | 38                                         | 17%                                        | LOWREY          | 18                                         | 67%                                        |
| KREMLIN-HILLSDALE              | 19                                         | 30%                                        | LUKFATA         | 29                                         | 19%                                        |
| LANE                           | 15                                         | 18%                                        | LUTHER          | 79                                         | 34%                                        |
| LATTA                          | 53                                         | 16%                                        | MACOMB          | 45                                         | 57%                                        |
| LAVERNE                        | 12                                         | 10%                                        | MADILL          | 131                                        | 26%                                        |
| LAWTON                         | 1508                                       | 38%                                        | MANGUM          | 21                                         | 12%                                        |
| LE FLORE                       | 17                                         | 27%                                        | MANNFORD        | 132                                        | 31%                                        |
| LE MONDE INTERNATIONAL CHARTER | 54                                         | 24%                                        | MANNSVILLE      | 32                                         | 91%                                        |
| LEACH                          | 28                                         | 41%                                        | MAPLE           | 7                                          | 9%                                         |
| LEEDEY                         | 13                                         | 22%                                        | MARBLE CITY     | 10                                         | 23%                                        |
| LEXINGTON                      | 78                                         | 29%                                        | MARIETTA        | 105                                        | 34%                                        |

| District Name         | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name        | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| MARLOW                | 71                                         | 18%                                        | MOFFETT              | 35                                         | 23%                                        |
| MARYETTA              | 88                                         | 33%                                        | MONROE               | 5                                          | 8%                                         |
| MASON                 | 35                                         | 47%                                        | MOORE                | 1421                                       | 23%                                        |
| MAUD                  | 22                                         | 36%                                        | MOORELAND            | 35                                         | 21%                                        |
| MAYSVILLE             | 18                                         | 23%                                        | MORRIS               | 63                                         | 26%                                        |
| MCALESTER             | 359                                        | 50%                                        | MORRISON             | 22                                         | 13%                                        |
| MCCORD                | 20                                         | 14%                                        | MOSELEY              | 6                                          | 7%                                         |
| MCCURTAIN             | 10                                         | 15%                                        | MOSS                 | 11                                         | 19%                                        |
| MCLOUD                | 153                                        | 38%                                        | MOUNDS               | 15                                         | 14%                                        |
| MEDFORD               | 13                                         | 13%                                        | MOUNTAIN VIEW-GOTEBO | 8                                          | 13%                                        |
| MEEKER                | 59                                         | 31%                                        | MOYERS               | 5                                          | 13%                                        |
| MERRITT               | 45                                         | 17%                                        | MULDROW              | 121                                        | 35%                                        |
| MIAMI                 | 131                                        | 23%                                        | MULHALL-ORLANDO      | 10                                         | 15%                                        |
| MIDDLEBERG            | 19                                         | 16%                                        | MUSKOGEE             | 659                                        | 48%                                        |
| MIDWAY                | 22                                         | 31%                                        | MUSTANG              | 941                                        | 24%                                        |
| MIDWEST CITY-DEL CITY | 1607                                       | 48%                                        | NASHOBA              | 0                                          | 0%                                         |
| MILBURN               | 8                                          | 17%                                        | NAVAJO               | 23                                         | 18%                                        |
| MILL CREEK            | 17                                         | 35%                                        | NEW LIMA             | 7                                          | 10%                                        |
| MILLWOOD              | 115                                        | 44%                                        | NEWCASTLE            | 92                                         | 14%                                        |
| MINCO                 | 56                                         | 31%                                        | NEWKIRK              | 37                                         | 21%                                        |

| District Name                                | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name                   | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| NINNEKAH                                     | 0                                          | 0%                                         | OKLAHOMA VITUAL CHARTER ACADEMY | 451                                        | 52%                                        |
| NOBLE                                        | 241                                        | 30%                                        | OKMULGEE                        | 120                                        | 37%                                        |
| NORMAN                                       | 1775                                       | 43%                                        | OKTAHA                          | 30                                         | 18%                                        |
| NORTH ROCK CREEK                             | 111                                        | 37%                                        | OLIVE                           | 5                                          | 14%                                        |
| NORWOOD                                      | 10                                         | 26%                                        | OLUSTEE-ELDORADO                | 14                                         | 37%                                        |
| NOWATA                                       | 95                                         | 44%                                        | OOLOGAH-TALALA                  | 184                                        | 38%                                        |
| OAK GROVE                                    | 17                                         | 25%                                        | OPTIMA                          | 20                                         | 87%                                        |
| OAKDALE                                      | 98                                         | 34%                                        | OSAGE                           | 27                                         | 60%                                        |
| OAKS-MISSION                                 | 19                                         | 79%                                        | OSAGE HILLS                     | 16                                         | 19%                                        |
| OILTON                                       | 0                                          | 0%                                         | OWASSO                          | 1001                                       | 36%                                        |
| OKARCHE                                      | 71                                         | 68%                                        | PADEN                           | 15                                         | 25%                                        |
| OKAY                                         | 42                                         | 40%                                        | PANAMA                          | 49                                         | 24%                                        |
| OKEENE                                       | 22                                         | 25%                                        | PANOLA                          | 11                                         | 33%                                        |
| OKEMAH                                       | 34                                         | 16%                                        | PAOLI                           | 13                                         | 30%                                        |
| OKLAHOMA CITY                                | 5828                                       | 61%                                        | PAULS VALLEY                    | 243                                        | 66%                                        |
| OKLAHOMA CONNECTIONS ACADEMY CHARTER VIRTUAL | 95                                         | 35%                                        | PAWHUSKA                        | 66                                         | 32%                                        |
| OKLAHOMA SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND                | 3                                          | 75%                                        | PAWNEE                          | 24                                         | 15%                                        |
| OKLAHOMA SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF                 | 6                                          | 86%                                        | PEAVINE                         | 14                                         | 35%                                        |
| OKLAHOMA UNION                               | 62                                         | 39%                                        | PECKHAM                         | 6                                          | 17%                                        |

| District Name         | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name  | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| PEGGS                 | 27                                         | 45%                                        | PURCELL        | 149                                        | 35%                                        |
| PERKINS-TRYON         | 59                                         | 13%                                        | PUTNAM CITY    | 2805                                       | 53%                                        |
| PERRY                 | 56                                         | 20%                                        | QUAPAW         | 70                                         | 43%                                        |
| PIEDMONT              | 274                                        | 18%                                        | QUINTON        | 17                                         | 18%                                        |
| PIONEER               | 42                                         | 25%                                        | RATTAN         | 41                                         | 34%                                        |
| PIONEER-PLEASANT VALE | 19                                         | 15%                                        | RAVIA          | 7                                          | 26%                                        |
| PITTSBURG             | 4                                          | 11%                                        | RED OAK        | 53                                         | 82%                                        |
| PLAINVIEW             | 83                                         | 19%                                        | REYDON         | 11                                         | 38%                                        |
| PLEASANT GROVE        | 23                                         | 27%                                        | RINGLING       | 21                                         | 28%                                        |
| POCOLA                | 69                                         | 29%                                        | RINGWOOD       | 7                                          | 9%                                         |
| PONCA CITY            | 420                                        | 35%                                        | RIPLEY         | 14                                         | 11%                                        |
| POND CREEK-HUNTER     | 8                                          | 10%                                        | RIVERSIDE      | 21                                         | 32%                                        |
| PORTER CONSOLIDATED   | 63                                         | 34%                                        | ROBIN HILL     | 14                                         | 8%                                         |
| PORUM                 | 41                                         | 40%                                        | ROCK CREEK     | 58                                         | 37%                                        |
| POTEAU                | 103                                        | 17%                                        | ROCKY MOUNTAIN | 32                                         | 40%                                        |
| PRAGUE                | 104                                        | 39%                                        | ROFF           | 71                                         | 113%                                       |
| PRESTON               | 69                                         | 42%                                        | ROLAND         | 44                                         | 19%                                        |
| PRETTY WATER          | 29                                         | 24%                                        | RUSH SPRINGS   | 17                                         | 12%                                        |
| PRUE                  | 23                                         | 34%                                        | RYAL           | 2                                          | 10%                                        |
| PRYOR                 | 288                                        | 35%                                        | RYAN           | 19                                         | 29%                                        |

| District Name                 | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name            | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| <b>SALINA</b>                 | 79                                         | 46%                                        | <b>SKIATOOK</b>          | 204                                        | 30%                                        |
| <b>SALLISAW</b>               | 194                                        | 37%                                        | <b>SMITHVILLE</b>        | 17                                         | 23%                                        |
| <b>SAND SPRINGS</b>           | 530                                        | 42%                                        | <b>SNYDER</b>            | 37                                         | 30%                                        |
| <b>SANKOFA CHARTER SCHOOL</b> | 2                                          | 10%                                        | <b>SOPER</b>             | 49                                         | 45%                                        |
| <b>SAPULPA</b>                | 418                                        | 45%                                        | <b>SOUTH COFFEYVILLE</b> | 36                                         | 61%                                        |
| <b>SASAKWA</b>                | 24                                         | 73%                                        | <b>SOUTH ROCK CREEK</b>  | 35                                         | 21%                                        |
| <b>SAVANNA</b>                | 22                                         | 35%                                        | <b>SPERRY</b>            | 115                                        | 40%                                        |
| <b>SAYRE</b>                  | 48                                         | 26%                                        | <b>SPIRO</b>             | 120                                        | 35%                                        |
| <b>SCHULTER</b>               | 31                                         | 56%                                        | <b>SPRINGER</b>          | 13                                         | 20%                                        |
| <b>SEILING</b>                | 15                                         | 11%                                        | <b>STERLING</b>          | 18                                         | 23%                                        |
| <b>SEMINOLE</b>               | 158                                        | 36%                                        | <b>STIDHAM</b>           | 8                                          | 22%                                        |
| <b>SENTINEL</b>               | 7                                          | 8%                                         | <b>STIGLER</b>           | 88                                         | 30%                                        |
| <b>SEQUOYAH</b>               | 126                                        | 38%                                        | <b>STILLWATER</b>        | 560                                        | 34%                                        |
| <b>SHADY GROVE</b>            | 19                                         | 31%                                        | <b>STILWELL</b>          | 251                                        | 89%                                        |
| <b>SHADY POINT</b>            | 23                                         | 33%                                        | <b>STONEWALL</b>         | 63                                         | 49%                                        |
| <b>SHARON-MUTUAL</b>          | 15                                         | 25%                                        | <b>STRAIGHT</b>          | 27                                         | 169%                                       |
| <b>SHATTUCK</b>               | 10                                         | 11%                                        | <b>STRATFORD</b>         | 70                                         | 39%                                        |
| <b>SHAWNEE</b>                | 442                                        | 47%                                        | <b>STRINGTOWN</b>        | 20                                         | 32%                                        |
| <b>SHIDLER</b>                | 5                                          | 11%                                        | <b>STROTHER</b>          | 27                                         | 29%                                        |
| <b>SILLO</b>                  | 119                                        | 30%                                        | <b>STROUD</b>            | 77                                         | 32%                                        |

| District Name                      | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| STUART                             | 5                                          | 9%                                         | TURKEY FORD   | 14                                         | 25%                                        |
| SULPHUR                            | 110                                        | 30%                                        | TURNER        | 21                                         | 24%                                        |
| SWEETWATER                         | 8                                          | 23%                                        | TURPIN        | 15                                         | 14%                                        |
| TAHLEQUAH                          | 349                                        | 37%                                        | TUSHKA        | 10                                         | 8%                                         |
| TALIHINA                           | 37                                         | 31%                                        | TUSKAHOMA     | 8                                          | 30%                                        |
| TALOGA                             | 8                                          | 28%                                        | TUTTLE        | 99                                         | 20%                                        |
| TANNEHILL                          | 3                                          | 5%                                         | TWIN HILLS    | 33                                         | 24%                                        |
| TECUMSEH                           | 282                                        | 60%                                        | TYRONE        | 13                                         | 28%                                        |
| TEMPLE                             | 14                                         | 27%                                        | UNION         | 2071                                       | 52%                                        |
| TENKILLER                          | 27                                         | 44%                                        | UNION CITY    | 19                                         | 21%                                        |
| THACKERVILLE                       | 54                                         | 57%                                        | VALLIANT      | 56                                         | 22%                                        |
| THOMAS-FAY-CUSTER UNIFIED DISTRICT | 15                                         | 12%                                        | VANOSS        | 50                                         | 30%                                        |
| TIMBERLAKE                         | 9                                          | 13%                                        | VARNUM        | 34                                         | 32%                                        |
| TIPTON                             | 18                                         | 38%                                        | VELMA-ALMA    | 70                                         | 51%                                        |
| TISHOMINGO                         | 61                                         | 23%                                        | VERDEN        | 10                                         | 11%                                        |
| TONKAWA                            | 43                                         | 19%                                        | VERDIGRIS     | 83                                         | 24%                                        |
| TULSA                              | 5774                                       | 59%                                        | VIAN          | 78                                         | 33%                                        |
| TULSA LEGACY CHARTER SCHOOL        | 183                                        | 95%                                        | VICI          | 16                                         | 21%                                        |
| TUPELO                             | 33                                         | 49%                                        | VINITA        | 100                                        | 28%                                        |

| District Name   | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | District Name | # Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target | % Students Did Not Meet Grade-level Target |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| WAGONER         | 186                                        | 34%                                        | WETUMKA       | 42                                         | 41%                                        |
| WAINWRIGHT      | 8                                          | 22%                                        | WEWOKA        | 80                                         | 52%                                        |
| WALTERS         | 59                                         | 39%                                        | WHITE OAK     | 3                                          | 14%                                        |
| WANETTE         | 3                                          | 14%                                        | WHITE ROCK    | 17                                         | 22%                                        |
| WAPANUCKA       | 34                                         | 68%                                        | WHITEBEAD     | 39                                         | 27%                                        |
| WARNER          | 53                                         | 21%                                        | WHITEFIELD    | 10                                         | 10%                                        |
| WASHINGTON      | 44                                         | 12%                                        | WHITESBORO    | 10                                         | 20%                                        |
| WATONGA         | 61                                         | 32%                                        | WICKLIFFE     | 56                                         | 137%                                       |
| WATTS           | 22                                         | 38%                                        | WILBURTON     | 95                                         | 35%                                        |
| WAUKOMIS        | 19                                         | 22%                                        | WILSON        | 100                                        | 45%                                        |
| WAURIKA         | 47                                         | 41%                                        | WISTER        | 40                                         | 34%                                        |
| WAYNE           | 32                                         | 25%                                        | WOODALL       | 44                                         | 28%                                        |
| WAYNOKA         | 13                                         | 21%                                        | WOODLAND      | 17                                         | 21%                                        |
| WEATHERFORD     | 222                                        | 30%                                        | WOODWARD      | 245                                        | 37%                                        |
| WEBBERS FALLS   | 27                                         | 36%                                        | WRIGHT CITY   | 50                                         | 36%                                        |
| WELCH           | 15                                         | 19%                                        | WYANDOTTE     | 93                                         | 41%                                        |
| WELEETKA        | 15                                         | 12%                                        | WYNNEWOOD     | 48                                         | 24%                                        |
| WELLSTON        | 55                                         | 45%                                        | WYNONA        | 26                                         | 118%                                       |
| WESTERN HEIGHTS | 412                                        | 48%                                        | YALE          | 27                                         | 26%                                        |
| YUKON           | 978                                        | 37%                                        | ZION          | 45                                         | 40%                                        |
| ZANEIS          | 36                                         | 26%                                        | STATE         | 65893                                      | 35%                                        |

## Data Points of Interest:

- Statewide, 65,893 K–3 students scored below the grade-level benchmark of the 40th percentile rank, resulting in an overall rate of 35% below grade-level.
- The percentage of students not meeting grade-level targets by the end of the year varies widely, ranging from 0% to 100%.
- When interpreting this data, it is important to note that it is self-reported by districts. OSDE only has direct access to scores from districts that use the state-contracted Amira literacy screening assessment.

## QUESTION 7

- **How many third-grade students participated in the Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) and of that number how many scored proficient or above on the reading portion of the statewide third grade assessment? How does reading proficiency vary by socioeconomic status, learning disability status, English learner (EL) status and race/ethnicity?**

To determine the number and percentage of students meeting the performance criteria on the reading portion of the statewide third-grade assessment, OSTP reading scores were analyzed. The total number of third grade students completing the OSTP reading assessment was 50,383. The performance levels for the reading portion of the third grade test identified by the Commission for Educational Quality and Accountability are below basic, basic, proficient, and advanced. For this question, the proficient and advanced scores were grouped. Additionally, demographic data were analyzed to provide descriptive statistics on reading proficiency and retention by free- and reduced-lunch (FRL), individualized education program (IEP), English learner (EL) status, and race/ethnicity.

It should be noted that this approach differs from previous years. Prior to the removal of the third-grade promotion criteria from Oklahoma's early literacy law, third graders were required to meet specific RSA criteria as the primary pathway for promotion. With the removal of this requirement from statute, there is no longer an RSA-based measure. For the first time, therefore, overall student performance on the third-grade ELA test were examined, rather than focusing solely on the standards previously evaluated under the RSA criteria. Overall third-grade performance data from the prior two years are also included to provide a three-year historical perspective.

It should also be noted that due to standard setting conducted in summer 2024 and the decision to revert to the prior cut score in fall 2024, scores should not be compared directly across years. A concordance table was provided to support districts in assessing growth. Although the 2024 scores appear substantially higher, converting them using the [concordance](#) table yields results that more closely align with both the preceding and subsequent years. Full links to the concordance table and testing FAQs are provided on the Works Referenced page. 40

**Table 9. 2025 Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) Data**

|  OKLAHOMA<br>Education | Subgroup         | Scored Proficient or Advanced |               | Scored Basic or Below Basic |               | Total         |       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| <b>IEP</b>                                                                                            | IEP – Yes        | 1,042                         | 10%           | 9,486                       | 91%           | 10,528        | 20.7% |
|                                                                                                       | IEP – No         | 12,787                        | 32%           | 27,572                      | 69%           | 40,359        | 79.3% |
| <b>EL</b>                                                                                             | EL – Yes         | 839                           | 12%           | 6,149                       | 88%           | 6,988         | 13.9% |
|                                                                                                       | EL – No          | 13,019                        | 30%           | 30,377                      | 70%           | 43,396        | 86.1% |
| <b>FRL</b>                                                                                            | FRL – Yes        | 6,591                         | 20%           | 26,362                      | 80%           | 32,953        | 65.4% |
|                                                                                                       | FRL – No         | 7,146                         | 41%           | 10,284                      | 59%           | 17,430        | 34.6% |
| <b>Race/Ethnicity</b>                                                                                 | American Indian  | 1,184                         | 23%           | 3,963                       | 77%           | 5,147         | 10.2% |
|                                                                                                       | Asian            | 478                           | 39%           | 760                         | 62%           | 1,238         | 2.5%  |
|                                                                                                       | Black            | 584                           | 15%           | 3,307                       | 85%           | 3,891         | 7.7%  |
|                                                                                                       | Hispanic         | 2,044                         | 19%           | 8,713                       | 81%           | 10,757        | 21.4% |
|                                                                                                       | Pacific Islander | 24                            | 9%            | 237                         | 90%           | 261           | 0.5%  |
|                                                                                                       | White            | 7,361                         | 34%           | 14,288                      | 66%           | 21,649        | 43.0% |
|                                                                                                       | Two or More      | 2,083                         | 28%           | 5,358                       | 72%           | 7,441         | 14.8% |
|                                                                                                       | Total            | <b>13,758</b>                 | <b>27.31%</b> | <b>36,626</b>               | <b>72.69%</b> | <b>50,384</b> |       |

**Table 10. 2024 Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) Data**

|  <b>OKLAHOMA</b><br>Education | Subgroup         | Scored Proficient or Advanced |              | Scored Basic or Below Basic |              | Total         |       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| <b>IEP</b>                                                                                                  | IEP – Yes        | 2,408                         | 23%          | 8,060                       | 77%          | 10,468        | 20.7% |
|                                                                                                             | IEP – No         | 23,473                        | 59%          | 16,709                      | 42%          | 40,182        | 79.3% |
| <b>EL</b>                                                                                                   | EL – Yes         | 2,082                         | 30%          | 4,927                       | 71%          | 7,009         | 13.9% |
|                                                                                                             | EL – No          | 23,388                        | 54%          | 19,924                      | 46%          | 43,312        | 86.1% |
| <b>FRL</b>                                                                                                  | FRL – Yes        | 14,717                        | 43%          | 19,851                      | 58%          | 34,568        | 68.3% |
|                                                                                                             | FRL – No         | 11,058                        | 69%          | 4,968                       | 31%          | 16,026        | 31.7% |
| <b>Race/Ethnicity</b>                                                                                       | American Indian  | 2,545                         | 49%          | 2,648                       | 51%          | 5,193         | 10.3% |
|                                                                                                             | Asian            | 739                           | 62%          | 453                         | 38%          | 1,192         | 2.4%  |
|                                                                                                             | Black            | 1,215                         | 31%          | 2,665                       | 68%          | 3,880         | 7.7%  |
|                                                                                                             | Hispanic         | 4,118                         | 39%          | 6,440                       | 61%          | 10,558        | 21%   |
|                                                                                                             | Pacific Islander | 72                            | 30%          | 165                         | 69%          | 237           | 0.5%  |
|                                                                                                             | White            | 13,051                        | 60%          | 8,700                       | 40%          | 21,751        | 43.3% |
|                                                                                                             | Two or More      | 3,913                         | 53%          | 3,470                       | 47%          | 7,383         | 14.7% |
|                                                                                                             | Total            | <b>25,653</b>                 | <b>51.1%</b> | <b>24,541</b>               | <b>48.9%</b> | <b>50,194</b> |       |

**Table 11. 2023 Oklahoma State Testing Program (OSTP) Data**

|  |                  | Subgroup      |              | Scored Proficient or Advanced |              | Scored Basic or Below Basic |       | Total |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| IEP                                                                             | IEP – Yes        | 1,112         | 11%          | 8,894                         | 88%          | 10,006                      | 19.8% |       |  |
|                                                                                 | IEP – No         | 13,203        | 33%          | 27,206                        | 68%          | 40,409                      | 80.2% |       |  |
| EL                                                                              | EL – Yes         | 942           | 14%          | 5,786                         | 86%          | 6,728                       | 13.4% |       |  |
|                                                                                 | EL – No          | 13,450        | 31%          | 29,938                        | 69%          | 43,388                      | 86.6% |       |  |
| FRL                                                                             | FRL – Yes        | 6,240         | 20%          | 25,272                        | 81%          | 31,512                      | 62.5% |       |  |
|                                                                                 | FRL – No         | 8,134         | 43%          | 10,782                        | 57%          | 18,916                      | 37.5% |       |  |
| Race/Ethnicity                                                                  | American Indian  | 1,278         | 24%          | 3,992                         | 75%          | 5,270                       | 10.6% |       |  |
|                                                                                 | Asian            | 482           | 40%          | 724                           | 60%          | 1,206                       | 2.4%  |       |  |
|                                                                                 | Black            | 586           | 15%          | 3,323                         | 85%          | 3,909                       | 7.8%  |       |  |
|                                                                                 | Hispanic         | 1,912         | 19%          | 8,149                         | 81%          | 10,061                      | 20.2% |       |  |
|                                                                                 | Pacific Islander | 17            | 7%           | 222                           | 93%          | 239                         | 0.5%  |       |  |
|                                                                                 | White            | 7,849         | 35%          | 14,353                        | 64%          | 22,202                      | 44.5% |       |  |
|                                                                                 | Two or More      | 2,011         | 29%          | 4,993                         | 72%          | 7,004                       | 14%   |       |  |
| Total                                                                           |                  | <b>14,135</b> | <b>28.3%</b> | <b>35,756</b>                 | <b>71.7%</b> | <b>49,891</b>               |       |       |  |

## Data Points of Interest:

- Fluctuations in proficiency averages are due to standard setting conducted in summer 2024 and the decision to revert to prior cut scores in fall 2024, making direct year-to-year comparisons inaccurate.
- In SY 2025, the highest-performing subgroup was students who did not qualify for free or reduced-price lunch, with 41% scoring proficient, compared to 20% of students who did qualify.
- The Asian and White subgroups are at least 11% more likely to score proficient than any other single ethnicity subgroup with the greatest disparity being between the Pacific Islander (9% proficient) and the Asian subgroup (39% proficient).
- In SY 2025, Pacific Islander and Black student subgroups had the lowest proficiency rates among all racial and ethnic groups, at 9% and 15%, respectively.

## QUESTION 8


### ➤ What funding was appropriated to each district for reading remediation?

The State Department of Education Office of State Aid maintains records of funding allocated to each district. Those amounts are reported here. Strong Readers funds are allocated to districts as defined by 70 O.S. § 1210.508D. The total allocation is disbursed to districts for their use throughout the year. Districts do not submit claims for reimbursement, but use the Oklahoma Cost Accounting System (OCAS) to track expenditures. Funds are disbursed after every school site serving kindergarten through third-grade students has certified their Beginning of Year data report and has submitted an Annual District Reading Plan that has been approved by the Oklahoma State Department of Education. Funds are generally received by districts in December of each year.

Strong Readers funds may be used for the following:

- Salaries for teachers and teaching assistants for before-school and after-school programs;
- Summer school teachers and during-school reading interventionists;
- Data processing services, software services and internet services;
- Printing and binding, copy supplies and office supplies;
- Instructional materials for students identified and placed on a program of reading instruction;
- Approved screening assessments, academic student assessment supplies and materials;
- Books, state-adopted textbooks, supplemental non-state-adopted textbooks, workbooks, magazines, approved technology-related equipment and reading software;
- Contracted services (non-payroll personnel) for offsite, onsite or online professional development training;
- Travel and registration fees for teachers, paraprofessionals and interventionists to attend approved professional development training; and
- Salaries for bus drivers providing student transportation for before- and after-school programs or the Summer Academy Reading Programs.

**Figure 6.** History of RSA/Strong Readers Allocation: Total and Per Pupil



**Table 12. Strong Readers Funding Appropriated to Each District**

| County  | District       | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| ADAIR   | CAVE SPRINGS   | \$3,048.25  | \$4,551.92  | \$3,379.20  | \$1,746.32  |
| ADAIR   | DAHLONEGAH     | \$4,389.48  | \$6,292.36  | \$6,617.60  | \$5,893.83  |
| ADAIR   | MARYETTA       | \$17,314.06 | \$16,333.36 | \$16,896.00 | \$26,631.38 |
| ADAIR   | PEAVINE        | \$2,072.81  | \$1,071.04  | \$2,534.40  | \$4,365.80  |
| ADAIR   | ROCKY MOUNTAIN | \$5,242.99  | \$6,961.76  | \$6,617.60  | \$7,858.44  |
| ADAIR   | STILWELL       | \$22,922.84 | \$27,847.04 | \$28,864.00 | \$35,799.56 |
| ADAIR   | WATTS          | \$3,657.90  | \$4,953.56  | \$3,520.00  | \$5,457.25  |
| ADAIR   | WESTVILLE      | \$20,118.45 | \$17,002.76 | \$18,867.20 | \$24,666.77 |
| ADAIR   | ZION           | \$4,023.69  | \$5,890.72  | \$7,040.00  | \$12,005.95 |
| ALFALFA | BURLINGTON     | \$1,950.88  | \$803.28    | \$2,252.80  | \$2,182.90  |
| ALFALFA | CHEROKEE       | \$5,242.99  | \$5,622.96  | \$4,364.80  | \$6,330.41  |
| ALFALFA | TIMBERLAKE     | \$2,316.67  | \$2,008.20  | \$2,675.20  | \$3,929.22  |
| ATOKA   | ATOKA          | \$10,364.05 | \$16,601.12 | \$13,516.80 | \$14,188.85 |
| ATOKA   | CANEY          | \$7,315.80  | \$6,024.60  | \$5,209.60  | \$6,330.41  |
| ATOKA   | HARMONY        | \$3,535.97  | \$8,032.80  | \$5,632.00  | \$12,005.95 |
| ATOKA   | LANE           | \$7,437.73  | \$8,702.20  | \$5,913.60  | \$4,365.80  |
| ATOKA   | STRINGTOWN     | \$2,560.53  | \$4,284.16  | \$3,801.60  | \$3,929.22  |
| ATOKA   | TUSHKA         | \$4,633.34  | \$6,560.12  | \$4,224.00  | \$8,076.73  |
| BEAVER  | BALKO          | \$1,463.16  | \$803.28    | \$985.60    | \$2,182.90  |
| BEAVER  | BEAVER         | \$1,463.16  | \$1,204.92  | \$1,548.80  | \$1,528.03  |

| County  | District     | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025         |
|---------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| BEAVER  | FORGAN       | \$243.86    | \$1,071.04  | \$1,126.40  | \$1,528.03   |
| BEAVER  | TURPIN       | \$3,292.11  | \$4,418.04  | \$6,195.20  | \$7,640.15   |
| BECKHAM | ELK CITY     | \$36,457.07 | \$42,573.84 | \$40,409.60 | \$57,846.85  |
| BECKHAM | ERICK        | \$1,707.02  | \$2,945.36  | \$2,252.80  | \$3,492.64   |
| BECKHAM | MERRITT      | \$13,900.02 | \$14,860.68 | \$15,628.80 | \$15,716.88  |
| BECKHAM | SAYRE        | \$13,900.02 | \$12,316.96 | \$8,588.80  | \$15,498.59  |
| BLAINE  | CANTON       | \$3,414.04  | \$6,024.60  | \$5,632.00  | \$7,640.15   |
| BLAINE  | GEARY        | \$5,486.85  | \$5,087.44  | \$3,379.20  | \$3,492.64   |
| BLAINE  | OKEENE       | \$4,755.27  | \$4,685.80  | \$5,068.80  | \$9,604.76   |
| BLAINE  | WATONGA      | \$15,485.11 | \$18,743.20 | \$17,600.00 | \$18,118.07  |
| BRYAN   | ACHILLE      | \$7,437.73  | \$7,229.52  | \$8,729.60  | \$8,949.89   |
| BRYAN   | BENNINGTON   | \$4,877.20  | 7,095.64    | \$5,772.80  | \$8,076.73   |
| BRYAN   | CADDO        | \$13,778.09 | \$9,639.36  | \$9,856.00  | \$17,244.91  |
| BRYAN   | CALERA       | \$11,461.42 | 19,412.60   | \$19,993.60 | \$23,575.32  |
| BRYAN   | COLBERT      | \$9,144.75  | \$11,781.44 | \$12,672.00 | \$13,533.98  |
| BRYAN   | DURANT       | \$60,477.28 | \$68,412.68 | \$72,371.20 | \$102,596.30 |
| BRYAN   | ROCK CREEK   | \$12,071.07 | \$10,710.40 | \$11,827.20 | \$18,336.36  |
| BRYAN   | SILO         | \$16,948.27 | \$23,295.12 | \$28,160.00 | \$37,764.17  |
| CADDO   | ANADARKO     | \$28,409.69 | \$31,194.04 | \$37,875.20 | \$50,643.28  |
| CADDO   | BINGER-ONEY  | \$3,414.04  | \$3,614.76  | \$5,632.00  | \$5,675.54   |
| CADDO   | BOONE-APACHE | \$5,242.99  | \$8,032.80  | \$8,870.40  | \$11,787.66  |

| County   | District          | 2022         | 2023         | 2024         | 2025         |
|----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| CADDO    | CARNEGIE          | \$7,681.59   | \$7,898.92   | \$7,462.40   | \$7,858.44   |
| CADDO    | CEMENT            | \$4,755.27   | \$2,275.96   | \$2,816.00   | \$873.16     |
| CADDO    | CYRIL             | \$6,828.08   | \$7,363.40   | \$7,603.20   | \$6,112.12   |
| CADDO    | FORT COBB-BROXTON | \$7,925.45   | \$5,622.96   | \$5,491.20   | \$9,168.18   |
| CADDO    | GRACEMONT         | \$853.51     | \$1,071.04   | \$1,971.20   | \$6,330.41   |
| CADDO    | HINTON            | \$10,120.19  | \$8,300.56   | \$5,913.60   | \$11,787.66  |
| CADDO    | HYDRO-EAKLY       | \$8,291.24   | \$9,505.48   | \$8,448.00   | \$8,513.31   |
| CADDO    | LOOKEBA SICKLES   | \$2,194.74   | \$2,945.36   | \$2,393.60   | \$4,365.80   |
| CANADIAN | BANNER            | \$5,608.78   | \$5,622.96   | \$6,476.80   | \$2,837.77   |
| CANADIAN | CALUMET           | \$2,804.39   | \$2,409.84   | \$2,956.80   | \$2,182.90   |
| CANADIAN | DARLINGTON        | \$4,877.20   | \$9,237.72   | \$6,476.80   | \$7,421.86   |
| CANADIAN | EL RENO           | \$49,625.51  | \$59,844.36  | \$50,547.20  | \$68,979.64  |
| CANADIAN | MAPLE             | \$4,023.69   | \$2,008.20   | \$3,238.40   | \$3,274.35   |
| CANADIAN | MUSTANG           | \$233,861.74 | \$273,516.84 | \$285,964.80 | \$273,735.66 |
| CANADIAN | PIEDMONT          | \$51,820.25  | \$43,511.00  | \$55,616.00  | \$87,752.58  |
| CANADIAN | RIVERSIDE         | \$1,707.02   | \$1,606.56   | \$2,252.80   | \$6,330.41   |
| CANADIAN | UNION CITY        | \$2,804.39   | \$3,079.24   | \$6,899.20   | \$6,330.41   |
| CANADIAN | YUKON             | \$153,022.15 | \$160,522.12 | \$172,902.40 | \$265,222.35 |
| CARTER   | ARDMORE           | \$52,551.83  | \$58,907.20  | \$66,316.80  | \$91,900.09  |
| CARTER   | DICKSON           | \$9,388.61   | \$27,177.64  | \$10,419.20  | \$13,970.56  |

| County   | District                   | 2022        | 2023        | 2024                              | 2025        |
|----------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| CARTER   | FOX                        | \$2,072.81  | \$2,677.60  | \$2,956.80                        | \$2,401.19  |
| CARTER   | HEALDTON                   | \$9,144.75  | \$9,639.36  | \$9,574.40                        | \$14,188.85 |
| CARTER   | LONE GROVE                 | \$42,431.64 | \$22,759.60 | \$23,372.80                       | \$32,306.92 |
| CARTER   | PLAINVIEW                  | \$17,557.92 | \$16,467.24 | \$18,444.80                       | \$27,286.25 |
| CARTER   | SPRINGER                   | \$3,779.83  | \$5,221.32  | \$8,166.40                        | \$4,802.38  |
| CARTER   | WILSON                     | \$9,266.68  | \$11,513.68 | \$12,531.20                       | \$17,244.91 |
| CARTER   | ZANEIS                     | \$6,096.50  | \$6,292.36  | \$10,560.00                       | \$8,949.89  |
| CHEROKEE | BRIGGS                     | \$10,607.91 | \$7,497.28  | \$8,166.40                        | \$12,879.11 |
| CHEROKEE | CHEROKEE IMMERSION CHARTER | \$4,755.27  | \$2,543.72  | \$0<br>Did not complete reporting | \$5,457.25  |
| CHEROKEE | GRAND VIEW                 | \$10,607.91 | \$12,316.96 | \$15,206.40                       | \$17,244.91 |
| CHEROKEE | HULBERT                    | \$9,022.82  | \$7,898.92  | \$7,462.40                        | \$13,533.98 |
| CHEROKEE | KEYS                       | \$8,778.96  | \$10,174.88 | \$10,841.60                       | \$8,949.89  |
| CHEROKEE | LOWREY                     | \$2,926.32  | \$2,275.96  | \$2,252.80                        | \$3,929.22  |
| CHEROKEE | NORWOOD                    | \$2,682.46  | \$2,811.48  | \$1,971.20                        | \$2,837.77  |
| CHEROKEE | PEGGS                      | \$3,779.83  | \$3,347.00  | \$4,083.20                        | \$7,421.86  |
| CHEROKEE | SHADY GROVE                | \$2,194.74  | \$4,418.04  | \$3,942.40                        | \$5,020.67  |
| CHEROKEE | TAHLEQUAH                  | \$61,696.58 | \$56,497.36 | \$54,912.00                       | \$91,681.80 |
| CHEROKEE | TENKILLER                  | \$3,170.18  | \$3,480.88  | \$3,801.60                        | \$3,274.35  |
| CHEROKEE | WOODALL                    | \$7,559.66  | \$23,696.76 | \$9,011.20                        | \$12,224.24 |
| CHOCTAW  | BOSWELL                    | \$6,584.22  | \$4,819.68  | \$1,548.80                        | \$6,330.41  |
| CHOCTAW  | FORT TOWSON                | \$6,950.01  | \$7,363.40  | \$6,899.20                        | \$7,203.57  |

| COUNTY    | DISTRICT          | 2022         | 2023         | 2024         | 2025         |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| CHOCTAW   | HUGO              | \$21,581.61  | \$24,232.28  | \$28,723.20  | \$40,383.65  |
| CHOCTAW   | SOPER             | \$8,657.03   | \$5,622.96   | \$7,040.00   | \$8,731.60   |
| CIMARRON  | BOISE CITY        | \$1,828.95   | \$2,008.20   | \$4,646.40   | \$4,802.38   |
| CIMARRON  | FELT              | \$609.65     | \$535.52     | \$1,126.40   | \$654.87     |
| CLEVELAND | LEXINGTON         | \$15,850.90  | \$19,412.60  | \$19,289.60  | \$21,610.71  |
| CLEVELAND | LITTLE AXE        | \$21,581.61  | \$27,311.52  | \$24,499.20  | \$28,814.28  |
| CLEVELAND | MOORE             | \$336,526.80 | \$383,700.08 | \$372,697.60 | \$417,370.48 |
| CLEVELAND | NOBLE             | \$62,428.16  | \$71,224.16  | \$46,745.60  | \$73,563.73  |
| CLEVELAND | NORMAN            | \$229,959.98 | \$267,358.36 | \$263,436.80 | \$377,423.41 |
| CLEVELAND | ROBIN HILL        | \$1,463.16   | \$1,338.80   | \$1,408.00   | \$654.87     |
| COAL      | COALGATE          | \$9,998.26   | \$14,459.04  | \$14,643.20  | \$18,336.36  |
| COAL      | COTTONWOOD        | \$3,170.18   | \$3,480.88   | \$2,393.60   | \$3,710.93   |
| COAL      | TUPELO            | \$4,145.62   | \$4,016.40   | \$5,209.60   | \$7,858.44   |
| COMANCHE  | BISHOP            | \$22,069.33  | \$24,232.28  | \$25,203.20  | \$31,215.47  |
| COMANCHE  | CACHE             | \$31,823.73  | \$26,508.24  | \$27,596.80  | \$34,708.11  |
| COMANCHE  | CHATTANOOGA       | \$2,682.46   | \$1,874.32   | \$2,675.20   | \$2,837.77   |
| COMANCHE  | COMMANCHE ACADEMY | ---          | ---          | \$2,956.80   | \$8,731.60   |
| COMANCHE  | ELGIN             | \$28,653.55  | \$33,336.12  | \$33,369.60  | \$43,439.71  |
| COMANCHE  | FLETCHER          | \$7,803.52   | \$6,827.88   | \$6,195.20   | \$5,457.25   |
| COMANCHE  | FLOWER MOUND      | \$4,877.20   | \$4,819.68   | \$7,040.00   | \$10,259.63  |
| COMANCHE  | GERONIMO          | \$2,926.32   | 3,480.88     | \$2,956.80   | \$7,203.57   |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT     | 2022         | 2023         | 2024         | 2025         |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| COMANCHE | INDIAHOMA    | \$2,804.39   | \$3,213.12   | \$2,956.80   | \$3,492.64   |
| COMANCHE | LAWTON       | \$322,870.64 | \$340,992.36 | \$331,161.60 | \$408,202.30 |
| COMANCHE | STERLING     | \$3,170.18   | \$2,677.60   | \$3,097.60   | \$6,330.41   |
| COTTON   | BIG PASTURE  | \$4,267.55   | \$3,079.24   | \$3,238.40   | \$6,548.70   |
| COTTON   | TEMPLE       | \$2,926.32   | \$2,811.48   | \$3,379.20   | \$2,401.19   |
| COTTON   | WALTERS      | \$7,559.66   | \$10,442.64  | \$9,011.20   | \$15,280.30  |
| CRAIG    | BLUEJACKET   | \$5,486.85   | \$4,685.80   | \$4,224.00   | \$3,710.93   |
| CRAIG    | KETCHUM      | \$11,827.21  | \$13,120.24  | \$9,011.20   | \$12,005.95  |
| CRAIG    | VINITA       | \$18,411.43  | \$25,838.84  | \$23,513.60  | \$28,814.28  |
| CRAIG    | WELCH        | \$3,292.11   | \$2,142.08   | \$1,689.60   | \$3,710.93   |
| CRAIG    | WHITE OAK    | \$121.93     | \$1,204.92   | \$985.60     | \$1,091.45   |
| CREEK    | ALLEN-BOWDEN | \$9,022.82   | \$8,032.80   | \$11,827.20  | \$14,625.43  |
| CREEK    | BRISTOW      | \$23,288.63  | \$26,106.60  | \$30,131.20  | \$28,814.28  |
| CREEK    | DEPEW        | \$6,584.22   | \$6,292.36   | \$6,758.40   | \$7,858.44   |
| CREEK    | DRUMRIGHT    | \$6,706.15   | \$8,166.68   | \$6,195.20   | \$6,985.28   |
| CREEK    | GYPSY        | \$365.79     | \$937.16     | \$704.00     | \$1,091.45   |
| CREEK    | KELLYVILLE   | \$13,656.16  | \$17,806.04  | \$18,022.40  | \$22,920.45  |
| CREEK    | KIEFER       | \$12,436.86  | \$13,254.12  | \$10,700.80  | \$15,716.88  |
| CREEK    | LONE STAR    | \$12,071.07  | \$10,978.16  | \$11,827.20  | \$12,224.24  |
| CREEK    | MANNFORD     | \$27,190.39  | \$27,847.04  | \$25,907.20  | \$44,312.87  |
| CREEK    | MOUNDS       | \$5,730.71   | \$5,355.20   | \$7,603.20   | \$5,457.25   |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT                       | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|----------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| CREEK    | OILTON                         | \$5,608.78  | \$4,284.16  | \$3,238.40  | \$2,619.48  |
| CREEK    | OLIVE                          | \$3,170.18  | \$2,811.48  | \$1,971.20  | \$2,182.90  |
| CREEK    | PRETTY WATER                   | \$2,560.53  | \$5,489.08  | \$5,632.00  | \$8,295.02  |
| CREEK    | SAPULPA                        | \$59,501.84 | \$57,568.40 | \$62,374.40 | \$82,950.20 |
| CUSTER   | ARAPAHO-BUTLER                 | \$3,048.25  | \$3,213.12  | \$3,097.60  | \$2,401.19  |
| CUSTER   | CLINTON                        | \$40,602.69 | \$44,983.68 | \$52,800.00 | \$51,516.44 |
| CUSTER   | THOMAS-FAY-CUSTER UNIFIED DIST | \$2,072.81  | \$3,213.12  | \$2,816.00  | \$4,147.51  |
| CUSTER   | WEATHERFORD                    | \$28,043.90 | \$40,565.64 | \$44,211.20 | \$61,557.78 |
| DELAWARE | CLEORA                         | \$1,097.37  | \$2,142.08  | \$2,112.00  | \$3,710.93  |
| DELAWARE | COLCORD                        | \$13,900.02 | \$17,806.04 | \$19,148.80 | \$22,920.45 |
| DELAWARE | GROVE                          | \$48,162.35 | \$48,598.44 | \$47,872.00 | \$67,233.32 |
| DELAWARE | JAY                            | \$37,310.58 | \$43,377.12 | \$58,713.60 | \$36,236.14 |
| DELAWARE | KANSAS                         | \$5,486.85  | \$7,363.40  | \$7,884.80  | \$13,315.69 |
| DELAWARE | KENWOOD                        | \$1,341.23  | \$2,811.48  | \$2,956.80  | \$2,182.90  |
| DELAWARE | LEACH                          | \$5,121.06  | \$4,284.16  | \$6,758.40  | \$7,421.86  |
| DELAWARE | MOSELEY                        | \$4,999.13  | \$5,756.84  | \$5,209.60  | \$5,893.83  |
| DELAWARE | OAKS-MISSION                   | \$3,414.04  | \$2,275.96  | \$844.80    | \$2,619.48  |
| DEWEY    | SEILING                        | \$8,291.24  | \$5,756.84  | \$4,083.20  | \$4,802.38  |
| DEWEY    | TALOGA                         | \$1,828.95  | \$1,472.68  | \$985.60    | \$1,309.74  |
| DEWEY    | VICI                           | \$4,145.62  | \$4,150.28  | \$4,224.00  | \$5,675.54  |
| ELLIS    | ARNETT                         | \$1,707.02  | \$1,740.44  | \$2,816.00  | \$4,584.09  |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT              | 2022         | 2023         | 2024         | 2025         |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| ELLIS    | FARGO                 | \$2,682.46   | \$2,008.20   | \$2,956.80   | \$3,710.93   |
| ELLIS    | SHATTUCK              | \$2,316.67   | \$3,079.24   | \$2,956.80   | \$2,182.90   |
| GARFIELD | CHISHOLM              | \$20,362.31  | \$18,341.56  | \$16,755.20  | \$21,610.71  |
| GARFIELD | COVINGTON-DOUGLAS     | \$1,219.30   | \$1,338.80   | \$1,830.40   | \$1,528.03   |
| GARFIELD | DRUMMOND              | \$1,707.02   | \$3,079.24   | \$2,816.00   | \$5,457.25   |
| GARFIELD | ENID                  | \$184,114.30 | \$186,762.60 | \$181,632.00 | \$237,062.94 |
| GARFIELD | GARBER                | \$6,340.36   | \$6,024.60   | \$8,166.40   | \$8,949.89   |
| GARFIELD | KREMLIN-HILLSDALE     | \$3,292.11   | \$3,480.88   | \$3,660.80   | \$3,274.35   |
| GARFIELD | PIONEER-PLEASANT VALE | \$7,193.87   | \$7,363.40   | \$7,180.80   | \$8,295.02   |
| GARFIELD | WAUKOMIS              | \$3,170.18   | \$3,614.76   | \$4,224.00   | \$6,330.41   |
| GARVIN   | ELMORE CITY-PERNELL   | \$6,462.29   | \$3,882.52   | \$5,913.60   | \$8,513.31   |
| GARVIN   | LINDSAY               | \$16,094.76  | \$19,948.12  | \$21,542.40  | \$28,159.41  |
| GARVIN   | MAYSVILLE             | \$2,072.81   | \$6,292.36   | \$3,801.60   | \$6,330.41   |
| GARVIN   | PAOLI                 | \$1,097.37   | \$669.40     | \$1,830.40   | \$1,528.03   |
| GARVIN   | PAULS VALLEY          | \$23,288.63  | \$26,106.60  | \$26,752.00  | \$30,560.60  |
| GARVIN   | STRATFORD             | \$11,095.63  | \$12,852.48  | \$14,220.80  | \$19,864.39  |
| GARVIN   | WHITEBEAD             | \$9,998.26   | \$10,442.64  | \$9,996.80   | \$12,442.53  |
| GARVIN   | WYNNEWOOD             | \$11,095.63  | \$11,781.44  | \$10,560.00  | \$15,935.17  |
| GRADY    | ALEX                  | \$4,023.69   | \$4,685.80   | \$5,913.60   | \$12,660.82  |
| GRADY    | AMBER-POCASSET        | \$2,560.53   | \$4,819.68   | \$4,224.00   | \$8,076.73   |
| GRADY    | BRIDGE CREEK          | \$30,970.22  | \$31,327.92  | \$34,073.60  | \$48,023.80  |

| COUNTY  | DISTRICT          | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| GRADY   | CHICKASHA         | \$37,432.51 | \$46,590.24 | \$52,800.00 | \$61,994.36 |
| GRADY   | FRIEND            | \$1,585.09  | \$2,811.48  | \$3,942.40  | \$4,147.51  |
| GRADY   | MIDDLEBERG        | \$4,755.27  | \$6,694.00  | \$7,040.00  | \$8,076.73  |
| GRADY   | MINCO             | \$4,389.48  | \$5,489.08  | \$4,083.20  | \$5,238.96  |
| GRADY   | NINNEKAH          | \$3,779.83  | \$6,961.76  | \$8,588.80  | \$8,513.31  |
| GRADY   | PIONEER           | \$4,511.41  | \$2,945.36  | \$5,491.20  | \$11,787.66 |
| GRADY   | RUSH SPRINGS      | \$7,071.94  | \$6,024.60  | \$6,617.60  | \$5,893.83  |
| GRADY   | TUTTLE            | \$19,874.59 | \$22,357.96 | \$27,456.00 | \$31,215.47 |
| GRADY   | VERDEN            | \$3,048.25  | \$4,685.80  | \$3,801.60  | \$4,365.80  |
| GRANT   | DEER CREEK-LAMONT | \$975.44    | \$1,338.80  | \$985.60    | \$2,401.19  |
| GRANT   | MEDFORD           | \$2,072.81  | \$4,016.40  | \$2,816.00  | \$3,929.22  |
| GRANT   | POND CREEK-HUNTER | \$2,926.32  | \$2,409.84  | \$3,660.80  | \$4,584.09  |
| GREER   | GRANITE           | \$1,585.09  | \$2,142.08  | \$2,675.20  | \$3,274.35  |
| GREER   | MANGUM            | \$9,510.54  | \$8,300.56  | \$11,968.00 | \$8,949.89  |
| HARMON  | HOLLIS            | \$9,266.68  | \$8,434.44  | \$6,617.60  | \$8,949.89  |
| HARPER  | BUFFALO           | \$1,341.23  | \$4,551.92  | \$3,520.00  | \$1,309.74  |
| HARPER  | LAVERNE           | \$4,389.48  | \$4,819.68  | \$4,224.00  | \$3,710.93  |
| HASKELL | KEOTA             | \$8,169.31  | \$6,827.88  | \$7,744.00  | \$11,351.08 |
| HASKELL | KINTA             | \$2,560.53  | \$2,409.84  | \$2,393.60  | \$5,675.54  |
| HASKELL | MCCURTAIN         | \$2,682.46  | \$5,355.20  | \$2,534.40  | \$3,274.35  |
| HASKELL | STIGLER           | \$19,508.80 | \$21,822.44 | \$27,315.20 | \$30,560.60 |

| COUNTY    | DISTRICT         | 2022        | 2023        | 2024            | 2025            |
|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| HASKELL   | WHITEFIELD       | \$6,706.15  | \$7,497.28  | \$7,603.20      | \$4,584.09      |
| HUGHES    | CALVIN           | \$4,145.62  | \$3,882.52  | \$2,675.20      | \$3,056.06      |
| HUGHES    | GRAHAM-DUSTIN    | \$2,682.46  | 3,480.88    | \$4,646.40      | \$3,710.93      |
| HUGHES    | HOLDENVILLE      | \$14,875.46 | \$16,467.24 | \$17,600.00     | \$24,448.48     |
| HUGHES    | MOSS             | \$3,414.04  | \$5,221.32  | \$4,224.00      | \$6,548.70      |
| HUGHES    | STUART           | \$1,463.16  | \$133.88    | \$1,830.40      | \$4,147.51      |
| HUGHES    | WETUMKA          | \$9,144.75  | \$10,041.00 | \$8,307.20      | \$12,660.82     |
| JACKSON   | ALTUS            | \$62,306.23 | \$62,388.08 | \$64,345.60     | \$97,357.34     |
| JACKSON   | BLAIR            | \$4,633.34  | \$4,551.92  | \$1,548.80      | \$1,746.32      |
| JACKSON   | DUKE             | \$1,585.09  | \$2,008.20  | \$1,971.20      | \$3,056.06      |
| JACKSON   | NAVAJO           | \$7,071.94  | \$6,158.48  | \$5,772.80      | \$7,203.57      |
| JACKSON   | OLUSTEE-ELDORADO | \$5,242.99  | \$6,024.60  | \$4,505.60      | \$3,492.64      |
| JEFFERSON | RINGLING         | \$6,584.22  | \$5,355.20  | \$4,646.40      | \$8,076.73      |
| JEFFERSON | RYAN             | \$2,804.39  | \$3,347.00  | \$3,379.20      | \$6,985.28      |
| JEFFERSON | TERRAL           | \$1,828.95  | \$1,472.68  | District Closed | District Closed |

| COUNTY    | DISTRICT   | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025         |
|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| JEFFERSON | WAURIKA    | \$10,364.05 | \$3,347.00  | \$7,040.00  | \$14,407.14  |
| JOHNSTON  | COLEMAN    | \$2,926.32  | \$3,480.88  | \$4,224.00  | \$9,168.18   |
| JOHNSTON  | MANNSVILLE | \$2,560.53  | \$3,213.12  | \$3,801.60  | \$5,457.25   |
| JOHNSTON  | MILBURN    | \$1,585.09  | \$3,213.12  | \$2,393.60  | \$2,401.19   |
| JOHNSTON  | MILL CREEK | \$1,341.23  | \$2,677.60  | \$3,660.80  | \$2,182.90   |
| JOHNSTON  | RAVIA      | \$2,560.53  | \$2,008.20  | \$1,548.80  | \$4,365.80   |
| JOHNSTON  | TISHOMINGO | \$14,265.81 | \$16,467.24 | \$18,726.40 | \$17,681.49  |
| JOHNSTON  | WAPANUCKA  | \$3,535.97  | \$3,347.00  | \$3,379.20  | \$5,893.83   |
| KAY       | BLACKWELL  | \$25,849.16 | \$21,019.16 | \$9,996.80  | \$21,174.13  |
| KAY       | KILDARE    | \$1,219.30  | \$937.16    | \$1,971.20  | \$2,401.19   |
| KAY       | NEWKIRK    | \$7,559.66  | \$10,308.76 | \$11,827.20 | \$9,386.47   |
| KAY       | PECKHAM    | \$1,950.88  | \$1,472.68  | \$2,252.80  | \$2,401.19   |
| KAY       | PONCA CITY | \$82,302.75 | \$95,991.96 | \$92,364.80 | \$112,855.93 |
| KAY       | TONKAWA    | \$11,949.14 | \$13,789.64 | \$13,094.40 | \$16,371.75  |

| COUNTY      | DISTRICT             | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| KINGFISHER  | CASHION              | \$9,144.75  | \$9,773.24  | \$5,632.00  | \$15,498.59 |
| KINGFISHER  | DOVER                | \$1,097.37  | \$1,204.92  | \$2,675.20  | \$2,619.48  |
| KINGFISHER  | HENNESSEY            | \$7,559.66  | \$13,655.76 | \$14,784.00 | \$14,843.72 |
| KINGFISHER  | KINGFISHER           | \$7,437.73  | \$13,388.00 | \$16,473.60 | \$13,533.98 |
| KINGFISHER  | LOMEGA               | \$1,950.88  | \$2,008.20  | \$1,830.40  | \$3,929.22  |
| KINGIFISHER | OKARCHE              | \$4,633.34  | \$7,765.04  | \$6,195.20  | \$9,386.47  |
| KIOWA       | HOBART               | \$10,607.91 | \$13,120.24 | \$12,953.60 | \$16,153.46 |
| KIOWA       | LONE WOLF            | \$1,463.16  | \$1,338.80  | \$1,126.40  | \$1,746.32  |
| KIOWA       | MOUNTAIN VIEW-GOTEBO | \$6,462.29  | \$6,024.60  | \$3,660.80  | \$3,492.64  |
| KIOWA       | SNYDER               | \$7,559.66  | \$9,103.84  | \$8,166.40  | \$9,386.47  |
| LATIMER     | BUFFALO VALLEY       | \$2,072.81  | 3,213.12    | \$4,646.40  | \$5,675.54  |
| LATIMER     | PANOLA               | \$853.51    | \$1,338.80  | \$1,267.20  | \$3,274.35  |
| LATIMER     | RED OAK              | \$3,657.90  | \$3,213.12  | \$-         | \$6,766.99  |
| LATIMER     | WILBURTON            | \$20,240.38 | \$26,106.60 | \$21,683.20 | \$30,124.02 |
| LE FLORE    | ARKOMA               | \$6,950.01  | \$9,103.84  | \$11,404.80 | \$9,386.47  |
| LE FLORE    | BOKOSHE              | \$3,414.04  | \$3,748.64  | \$2,956.80  | \$3,929.22  |
| LE FLORE    | CAMERON              | \$6,462.29  | \$6,426.24  | \$4,646.40  | \$7,640.15  |
| LE FLORE    | FANSHAWE             | \$2,682.46  | \$2,811.48  | \$1,971.20  | \$1,309.74  |
| LE FLORE    | HEAVENER             | \$6,828.08  | \$12,718.60 | \$14,080.00 | \$16,371.75 |
| LE FLORE    | HODGEN               | \$7,437.73  | \$6,158.48  | \$6,054.40  | \$10,914.50 |
| LE FLORE    | HOWE                 | \$7,437.73  | \$8,032.80  | \$12,812.80 | \$10,696.21 |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT    | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| LE FLORE | LE FLORE    | \$3,414.04  | \$3,748.64  | \$3,942.40  | \$4,802.38  |
| LE FLORE | MONROE      | \$853.51    | \$2,811.48  | \$1,830.40  | \$3,929.22  |
| LE FLORE | PANAMA      | \$12,558.79 | \$11,245.92 | \$13,657.60 | \$13,315.69 |
| LE FLORE | POCOLA      | \$13,900.02 | \$20,617.52 | \$22,246.40 | \$22,920.45 |
| LE FLORE | POTEAU      | \$29,750.92 | \$29,989.12 | \$36,044.80 | \$52,171.31 |
| LE FLORE | SHADY POINT | \$2,804.39  | \$4,551.92  | \$6,336.00  | \$8,513.31  |
| LE FLORE | SPIRO       | \$22,191.26 | \$24,500.04 | \$26,329.60 | \$37,327.59 |
| LE FLORE | TALIHINA    | \$9,754.40  | \$12,450.84 | \$10,137.60 | \$10,914.50 |
| LE FLORE | WHITESBORO  | \$2,194.74  | \$2,008.20  | \$2,816.00  | \$1,964.61  |
| LE FLORE | WISTER      | \$7,681.59  | \$9,907.12  | \$7,462.40  | \$13,970.56 |
| LINCOLN  | AGRA        | \$4,755.27  | \$5,221.32  | \$5,632.00  | \$9,168.18  |
| LINCOLN  | CARNEY      | \$2,682.46  | \$3,347.00  | \$5,068.80  | \$4,147.51  |
| LINCOLN  | CHANDLER    | \$17,557.92 | \$17,136.64 | \$13,376.00 | \$16,808.33 |
| LINCOLN  | DAVENPORT   | \$5,608.78  | \$3,480.88  | \$2,956.80  | \$5,020.67  |
| LINCOLN  | MEEKER      | \$11,339.49 | \$13,120.24 | \$14,784.00 | \$19,864.39 |
| LINCOLN  | PRAGUE      | \$12,314.93 | \$11,513.68 | \$10,278.40 | \$18,336.36 |
| LINCOLN  | STROUD      | \$9,266.68  | \$10,174.88 | \$11,404.80 | \$17,026.62 |
| LINCOLN  | WELLSTON    | \$9,754.40  | \$8,032.80  | \$8,870.40  | \$8,513.31  |
| LINCOLN  | WHITE ROCK  | \$3,901.76  | \$4,284.16  | \$5,491.20  | \$5,675.54  |
| LOGAN    | COYLE       | \$5,121.06  | \$6,158.48  | \$7,180.80  | \$6,766.99  |
| LOGAN    | CRESCENT    | \$9,510.54  | \$6,426.24  | \$11,404.80 | \$16,590.04 |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT        | 2022        | 2023        | 2024            | 2025            |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| LOGAN    | GUTHRIE         | \$68,524.66 | \$75,374.44 | \$72,934.40     | \$105,434.07    |
| LOGAN    | MULHALL-ORLANDO | \$3,048.25  | \$2,275.96  | \$2,252.80      | \$3,492.64      |
| LOVE     | GREENVILLE      | \$2,316.67  | \$1,874.32  | District Closed | District Closed |
| LOVE     | MARIETTA        | \$21,581.61 | \$22,357.96 | \$17,600.00     | \$31,433.76     |
| LOVE     | THACKERVILLE    | \$3,535.97  | \$6,827.88  | \$7,040.00      | \$12,879.11     |
| LOVE     | TURNER          | \$5,364.92  | \$4,418.04  | \$4,083.20      | \$4,584.09      |
| MAJOR    | ALINE-CLEO      | \$731.58    | \$535.52    | \$985.60        | \$873.16        |
| MAJOR    | CIMARRON        | \$3,901.76  | \$4,685.80  | \$4,646.40      | \$2,182.90      |
| MAJOR    | FAIRVIEW        | \$10,973.70 | \$12,986.36 | \$14,361.60     | \$15,062.01     |
| MAJOR    | RINGWOOD        | \$4,145.62  | \$14,994.56 | \$6,899.20      | \$6,112.12      |
| MARSHALL | KINGSTON        | \$17,192.13 | \$26,776.00 | \$22,809.60     | \$30,342.31     |
| MARSHALL | MADILL          | \$22,191.26 | \$35,344.32 | \$28,019.20     | \$43,439.71     |
| MAYES    | ADAIR           | \$12,558.79 | \$9,907.12  | \$10,560.00     | \$12,224.24     |
| MAYES    | CHOUTEAU-MAZIE  | \$19,264.94 | \$12,183.08 | \$14,502.40     | \$20,519.26     |
| MAYES    | LOCUST GROVE    | \$20,606.17 | \$19,278.72 | \$17,036.80     | \$32,525.21     |
| MAYES    | OSAGE           | \$3,779.83  | \$5,221.32  | \$1,830.40      | \$3,274.35      |
| MAYES    | PRYOR           | \$44,626.38 | \$48,598.44 | \$49,561.60     | \$79,020.98     |
| MAYES    | SALINA          | \$16,094.76 | \$19,680.36 | \$17,881.60     | \$23,138.74     |
| MAYES    | WICKLIFFE       | \$3,779.83  | \$3,748.64  | \$4,505.60      | \$6,330.41      |
| MCCLAIN  | BLANCHARD       | \$32,555.31 | \$35,344.32 | \$36,185.60     | \$49,333.54     |
| MCCLAIN  | DIBBLE          | \$9,632.47  | \$6,560.12  | \$17,318.40     | \$13,970.56     |

| COUNTY    | DISTRICT     | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| MCCLAIN   | NEWCASTLE    | \$40,236.90 | \$38,691.32 | \$28,441.60 | \$34,708.11 |
| MCCLAIN   | PURCELL      | \$28,897.41 | \$34,273.28 | \$33,792.00 | \$50,643.28 |
| MCCLAIN   | WASHINGTON   | \$5,730.71  | \$13,120.24 | \$16,192.00 | \$15,498.59 |
| MCCLAIN   | WAYNE        | \$7,681.59  | \$8,836.08  | \$10,982.40 | \$13,752.27 |
| MCCURTAIN | BATTIEST     | \$3,414.04  | \$4,551.92  | \$5,209.60  | \$2,837.77  |
| MCCURTAIN | BROKEN BOW   | \$17,679.85 | \$22,224.08 | \$22,950.40 | \$24,011.90 |
| MCCURTAIN | DENISON      | \$7,559.66  | \$8,702.20  | \$9,996.80  | \$6,112.12  |
| MCCURTAIN | EAGLETOWN    | \$0.00      | \$3,079.24  | \$4,364.80  | \$4,802.38  |
| MCCURTAIN | FOREST GROVE | \$5,121.06  | \$6,694.00  | \$6,195.20  | \$7,421.86  |
| MCCURTAIN | GLOVER       | \$2,926.32  | \$2,677.60  | \$2,534.40  | \$1,746.32  |
| MCCURTAIN | HAWORTH      | \$9,266.68  | \$7,095.64  | \$5,350.40  | \$11,351.08 |
| MCCURTAIN | HOLLY CREEK  | \$3,414.04  | \$4,551.92  | \$6,899.20  | \$9,823.05  |
| MCCURTAIN | IDABEL       | \$23,532.49 | \$19,412.60 | \$20,697.60 | \$25,976.51 |
| MCCURTAIN | LUKFATA      | \$7,437.73  | \$10,041.00 | \$12,108.80 | \$16,153.46 |
| MCCURTAIN | SMITHVILLE   | \$1,707.02  | \$3,748.64  | \$3,379.20  | \$3,274.35  |
| MCCURTAIN | VALLIANT     | \$11,217.56 | \$14,592.92 | \$14,784.00 | \$14,843.72 |
| MCCURTAIN | WRIGHT CITY  | \$10,607.91 | \$11,781.44 | \$14,080.00 | \$11,132.79 |
| MCINTOSH  | CHECOTAH     | \$22,069.33 | \$13,923.52 | \$33,228.80 | \$40,820.23 |
| MCINTOSH  | EUFAULA      | \$19,630.73 | \$20,215.88 | \$16,473.60 | \$18,554.65 |
| MCINTOSH  | HANNA        | \$853.51    | \$1,472.68  | \$1,126.40  | \$1,528.03  |
| MCINTOSH  | MIDWAY       | \$5,364.92  | \$4,016.40  | \$4,364.80  | \$7,858.44  |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT          | 2022        | 2023         | 2024         | 2025         |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| MCINTOSH | RYAL              | \$1,463.16  | \$4,150.28   | \$2,112.00   | \$2,619.48   |
| MCINTOSH | STIDHAM           | \$1,341.23  | \$3,614.76   | \$5,491.20   | \$2,619.48   |
| MURRAY   | DAVIS             | \$12,558.79 | \$14,860.68  | \$11,827.20  | \$16,153.46  |
| MURRAY   | SULPHUR           | \$17,679.85 | \$22,491.84  | \$22,950.40  | \$28,159.41  |
| MUSKOGEE | BRAGGS            | \$1,828.95  | \$1,740.44   | \$2,675.20   | \$3,056.06   |
| MUSKOGEE | FORT GIBSON       | \$20,118.45 | \$17,672.16  | \$18,867.20  | \$27,504.54  |
| MUSKOGEE | HASKELL           | \$17,314.06 | \$11,647.56  | \$18,867.20  | \$23,357.03  |
| MUSKOGEE | HILDALE           | \$22,313.19 | \$46,858.00  | \$39,705.60  | \$53,044.47  |
| MUSKOGEE | MUSKOGEE          | \$85,229.07 | \$104,694.16 | \$119,257.60 | \$150,620.10 |
| MUSKOGEE | OKTAHA            | \$7,071.94  | \$8,032.80   | \$12,672.00  | \$13,752.27  |
| MUSKOGEE | PORUM             | \$8,169.31  | \$10,710.40  | \$10,560.00  | \$12,442.53  |
| MUSKOGEE | WAINWRIGHT        | \$1,585.09  | \$1,606.56   | \$985.60     | \$1,964.61   |
| MUSKOGEE | WARNER            | \$10,851.77 | \$11,112.04  | \$14,502.40  | \$18,772.94  |
| MUSKOGEE | WEBBERS FALLS     | \$2,926.32  | \$6,694.00   | \$4,787.20   | \$7,421.86   |
| NOBLE    | BILLINGS          | \$1,219.30  | \$803.28     | \$844.80     | \$654.87     |
| NOBLE    | FRONTIER          | \$4,999.13  | \$8,032.80   | \$5,350.40   | \$6,985.28   |
| NOBLE    | MORRISON          | \$8,169.31  | \$9,371.60   | \$10,137.60  | \$5,675.54   |
| NOBLE    | PERRY             | \$16,948.27 | \$20,349.76  | \$19,712.00  | \$24,011.90  |
| NOWATA   | NOWATA            | \$11,217.56 | \$13,254.12  | \$24,076.80  | \$15,716.88  |
| NOWATA   | OKLAHOMA UNION    | \$12,071.07 | \$10,710.40  | \$9,856.00   | \$13,970.56  |
| NOWATA   | SOUTH COFFEYVILLE | \$3,535.97  | \$4,819.68   | \$4,224.00   | \$5,675.54   |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT                       | 2022         | 2023          | 2024          | 2025         |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| OKFUSKEE | BEARDEN                        | \$5,121.06   | \$4,418.04    | \$3,238.40    | \$5,675.54   |
| OKFUSKEE | MASON                          | \$5,242.99   | \$6,426.24    | \$3,097.60    | \$9,168.18   |
| OKFUSKEE | OKEMAH                         | \$11,949.14  | \$9,907.12    | \$10,419.20   | \$9,604.76   |
| OKFUSKEE | PADEN                          | \$4,023.69   | \$1,606.56    | \$2,534.40    | \$1,091.45   |
| OKFUSKEE | WELEETKA                       | \$8,900.89   | \$6,158.48    | \$5,491.20    | \$8,731.60   |
| OKLAHOMA | ACADEMIES OF OKLAHOMA          | \$4,633.34   | \$4,551.92    | \$ 2,816.00   | \$5,893.83   |
| OKLAHOMA | ASTEC CHARTERS                 | \$12,314.93  | \$16,199.48   | \$14,361.60   | \$34,053.24  |
| OKLAHOMA | BETHANY                        | \$17,801.78  | \$16,868.88   | \$20,416.00   | \$23,793.61  |
| OKLAHOMA | CHOCTAW-NICOMA PARK            | \$77,913.27  | \$119,554.84  | \$96,025.60   | \$92,773.25  |
| OKLAHOMA | CROOKED OAK                    | \$26,824.60  | \$25,838.84   | \$25,344.00   | \$38,419.04  |
| OKLAHOMA | CRUTCHO                        | \$12,680.72  | \$11,781.44   | \$9,856.00    | \$6,112.12   |
| OKLAHOMA | DEER CREEK                     | \$94,495.75  | \$84,746.04   | \$131,929.60  | \$148,218.91 |
| OKLAHOMA | DOVE SCHOOLS OF OKC            | \$33,043.03  | \$39,092.96   | \$36,185.60   | \$34,708.11  |
| OKLAHOMA | EDMOND                         | \$341,038.21 | \$315,956.80  | \$369,600.00  | \$717,082.65 |
| OKLAHOMA | EPIC BLENDED LEARNING CHARTER  | \$225,814.36 | \$ 391,197.36 | \$ 312,716.80 | \$401,435.31 |
| OKLAHOMA | EPIC ONE ON ONE CHARTER SCHOOL | \$285,194.27 | ---           | ---           | ---          |
| OKLAHOMA | ESCHOOL VIRTUAL                | \$2,194.74   | \$3,748.64    | \$3,379.20    | \$5,020.67   |
| OKLAHOMA | HARRAH                         | \$26,702.67  | \$30,123.00   | \$31,680.00   | \$50,206.70  |
| OKLAHOMA | JOHN W REX CHARTER ELEMENTARY  | \$9,388.61   | \$10,844.28   | \$ 28,723.20  | \$36,891.01  |
| OKLAHOMA | JONES                          | \$16,216.69  | \$17,404.40   | \$18,444.80   | \$23,357.03  |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT                        | 2022         | 2023         | 2024         | 2025           |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| OKLAHOMA | KIPP OKC                        | ---          | ---          | \$5,913.60   | \$22,483.87    |
| OKLAHOMA | LEMONDE INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL    | \$5,974.57   | \$6,024.60   | \$ 3,660.80  | \$12,224.24    |
| OKLAHOMA | LUTHER                          | \$15,728.97  | \$20,082.00  | \$20,838.40  | \$25,103.35    |
| OKLAHOMA | MIDWEST CITY-DEL CITY           | \$257,516.16 | \$277,399.36 | \$293,145.60 | \$377,859.99   |
| OKLAHOMA | MILLWOOD                        | \$27,434.25  | \$28,248.68  | \$22,809.60  | \$32,306.92    |
| OKLAHOMA | OAKDALE                         | \$9,144.75   | \$9,103.84   | \$10,419.20  | \$13,970.56    |
| OKLAHOMA | OKC CHARTER: HUPFELD/W VILLAGE  | \$14,143.88  | \$11,915.32  | \$14,924.80  | \$21,392.42    |
| OKLAHOMA | OKLAHOMA CITY                   | \$966,661.04 | \$915,739.20 | \$811,008.00 | \$1,299,698.66 |
| OKLAHOMA | OKLAHOMA CONNECTIONS ACAD       | \$17,801.78  | \$19,680.36  | \$23,372.80  | \$29,250.86    |
| OKLAHOMA | OKLAHOMA VIRTUAL CHARTER ACAD   | \$30,482.50  | \$62,789.72  | \$61,952.00  | \$87,752.58    |
| OKLAHOMA | PUTNAM CITY                     | \$440,533.09 | \$506,334.16 | \$516,876.80 | \$682,811.12   |
| OKLAHOMA | SANTA FE SOUTH CHARTER SCHOOLS  | \$60,843.07  | \$59,710.48  | \$60,403.20  | \$110,236.45   |
| OKLAHOMA | VIRTUAL PREPATORY ACADEMY       | ---          | ---          | \$ 2,534.40  | \$5,020.67     |
| OKLAHOMA | W.K. JACKSON LEADERSHIP ACADEMY | ---          | \$4,150.28   | \$7,884.80   | \$12,224.24    |
| OKLAHOMA | WESTERN GATEWAY                 | \$5,608.78   | \$18,207.68  | \$23,372.80  | \$27,286.25    |
| OKLAHOMA | WESTERN HEIGHTS                 | \$74,743.09  | \$89,164.08  | \$80,819.20  | \$129,009.39   |
| OKMULGEE | BEGGS                           | \$18,167.57  | \$21,153.04  | \$16,614.40  | \$17,026.62    |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT    | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| OKMULGEE | DEWAR       | \$6,462.29  | \$5,489.08  | \$6,336.00  | \$7,640.15  |
| OKMULGEE | HENRYETTA   | \$16,948.27 | \$22,491.84 | \$19,993.60 | \$31,652.05 |
| OKMULGEE | MORRIS      | \$14,753.53 | \$13,923.52 | \$17,740.80 | \$23,138.74 |
| OKMULGEE | OKMULGEE    | \$26,458.81 | \$24,098.40 | \$24,780.80 | \$34,926.40 |
| OKMULGEE | PRESTON     | \$9,510.54  | \$11,647.56 | \$13,235.20 | \$10,914.50 |
| OKMULGEE | SCHULTER    | \$2,560.53  | \$4,418.04  | \$5,209.60  | \$6,548.70  |
| OKMULGEE | TWIN HILLS  | \$4,877.20  | \$9,371.60  | \$7,180.80  | \$9,386.47  |
| OKMULGEE | WILSON      | \$8,169.31  | \$7,095.64  | \$5,350.40  | \$8,076.73  |
| OSAGE    | ANDERSON    | \$5,852.64  | \$4,551.92  | \$7,462.40  | \$7,640.15  |
| OSAGE    | AVANT       | \$2,316.67  | \$1,740.44  | \$1,267.20  | \$1,964.61  |
| OSAGE    | BARNSDALL   | \$10,729.84 | \$10,710.40 | \$12,249.60 | \$18,991.23 |
| OSAGE    | BOWRING     | \$731.58    | \$401.64    | \$844.80    | \$654.87    |
| OSAGE    | HOMINY      | \$14,265.81 | \$13,789.64 | \$13,798.40 | \$18,991.23 |
| OSAGE    | MCCORD      | \$3,414.04  | \$4,150.28  | \$4,787.20  | \$5,893.83  |
| OSAGE    | OSAGE HILLS | \$5,974.57  | \$5,489.08  | \$4,224.00  | \$5,020.67  |
| OSAGE    | PAWHUSKA    | \$13,046.51 | \$12,450.84 | \$9,856.00  | \$18,991.23 |
| OSAGE    | PRUE        | \$5,364.92  | \$4,953.56  | \$6,195.20  | \$6,330.41  |
| OSAGE    | SHIDLER     | \$3,170.18  | \$3,480.88  | \$2,956.80  | \$3,274.35  |
| OSAGE    | WOODLAND    | \$4,511.41  | \$4,685.80  | \$2,393.60  | \$4,584.09  |
| OSAGE    | WYNONA      | \$3,292.11  | \$5,221.32  | \$3,801.60  | \$2,837.77  |
| OTTAWA   | AFTON       | \$5,852.64  | \$6,158.48  | \$5,209.60  | \$4,584.09  |

| COUNTY    | DISTRICT                                  | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025         |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| OTTAWA    | COMMERCE                                  | \$17,435.99 | \$21,554.68 | \$23,795.20 | \$30,342.31  |
| OTTAWA    | FAIRLAND                                  | \$7,681.59  | \$8,568.32  | \$8,307.20  | \$12,005.95  |
| OTTAWA    | MIAMI                                     | \$46,089.54 | \$48,732.32 | \$29,145.60 | \$37,109.30  |
| OTTAWA    | QUAPAW                                    | \$13,046.51 | \$15,128.44 | \$16,332.80 | \$21,610.71  |
| OTTAWA    | TURKEY FORD                               | \$1,828.95  | \$2,811.48  | \$3,379.20  | \$4,147.51   |
| OTTAWA    | WYANDOTTE                                 | \$13,778.09 | \$18,743.20 | \$19,008.00 | \$28,814.28  |
| PAWNEE    | CLEVELAND                                 | \$35,115.84 | \$34,005.52 | \$43,366.40 | \$38,855.62  |
| PAWNEE    | JENNINGS                                  | \$8,291.24  | \$7,765.04  | \$10,560.00 | \$19,209.52  |
| PAWNEE    | PAWNEE                                    | \$11,095.63 | \$11,245.92 | \$9,574.40  | \$7,640.15   |
| PAYNE     | CUSHING                                   | \$17,679.85 | \$17,806.04 | \$16,332.80 | \$24,448.48  |
| PAYNE     | GLENCOE                                   | \$4,389.48  | \$4,150.28  | \$5,068.80  | \$6,112.12   |
| PAYNE     | OAK GROVE                                 | \$2,804.39  | \$2,811.48  | \$2,534.40  | \$5,020.67   |
| PAYNE     | PERKINS-TRYON                             | \$23,410.56 | \$25,437.20 | \$22,387.20 | \$29,250.86  |
| PAYNE     | RIPLEY                                    | \$4,267.55  | \$6,426.24  | \$7,321.60  | \$8,295.02   |
| PAYNE     | STILLWATER                                | \$88,886.97 | \$93,983.76 | \$90,534.40 | \$149,310.36 |
| PAYNE     | YALE                                      | \$3,414.04  | \$3,614.76  | \$4,364.80  | \$8,513.31   |
| PITTSBURG | CANADIAN                                  | \$5,730.71  | \$7,898.92  | \$8,448.00  | \$7,858.44   |
| PITTSBURG | CANADIAN CHARTER: CARLTON LANDING ACADEMY | \$1,463.16  | \$1,204.92  | \$1,689.60  | \$1,746.32   |
| PITTSBURG | CROWDER                                   | \$1,828.95  | \$1,740.44  | \$2,252.80  | \$1,964.61   |

| COUNTY       | DISTRICT       | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| PITTSBURG    | FRINK-CHAMBERS | \$4,633.34  | \$6,560.12  | \$7,603.20  | \$13,970.56 |
| PITTSBURG    | HAILEYVILLE    | \$6,584.22  | \$6,158.48  | \$7,040.00  | \$7,421.86  |
| PITTSBURG    | HARTSHORNE     | \$12,680.72 | \$16,333.36 | \$11,545.60 | \$10,696.21 |
| PITTSBURG    | HAYWOOD        | \$1,707.02  | \$1,874.32  | \$2,534.40  | \$2,401.19  |
| PITTSBURG    | INDIANOLA      | \$3,048.25  | \$2,543.72  | \$1,830.40  | \$1,528.03  |
| PITTSBURG    | KIOWA          | \$4,511.41  | \$5,221.32  | \$4,787.20  | \$6,112.12  |
| PITTSBURG    | KREBS          | \$3,414.04  | \$8,300.56  | \$7,321.60  | \$9,386.47  |
| PITTSBURG    | MCALESTER      | \$52,429.90 | \$59,978.24 | \$65,331.20 | \$80,985.59 |
| PITTSBURG    | PITTSBURG      | \$487.72    | \$669.40    | \$1,126.40  | \$1,964.61  |
| PITTSBURG    | QUINTON        | \$8,047.38  | \$10,174.88 | \$7,744.00  | \$8,949.89  |
| PITTSBURG    | SAVANNA        | \$4,267.55  | \$2,945.36  | \$4,083.20  | \$5,457.25  |
| PITTSBURG    | TANNEHILL      | \$1,585.09  | \$2,275.96  | \$1,971.20  | \$1,964.61  |
| PONTOTOC     | ADA            | \$44,016.73 | \$56,363.48 | \$57,446.40 | \$74,218.60 |
| PONTOTOC     | ALLEN          | \$7,559.66  | \$8,300.56  | \$6,336.00  | \$12,224.24 |
| PONTOTOC     | BYNG           | \$24,142.14 | \$19,278.72 | \$17,600.00 | \$28,595.99 |
| PONTOTOC     | LATTA          | \$10,120.19 | \$11,915.32 | \$11,404.80 | \$23,138.74 |
| PONTOTOC     | ROFF           | \$4,633.34  | \$3,347.00  | \$3,801.60  | \$9,386.47  |
| PONTOTOC     | STONEWALL      | \$9,510.54  | \$10,442.64 | \$9,292.80  | \$12,879.11 |
| PONTOTOC     | VANOSS         | \$9,754.40  | \$10,308.76 | \$8,307.20  | \$14,625.43 |
| POTTAWATOMIE | ASHER          | \$3,901.76  | \$6,024.60  | \$7,603.20  | \$7,640.15  |
| POTTAWATOMIE | BETHEL         | \$14,509.67 | \$20,751.40 | \$20,275.20 | \$23,575.32 |

| COUNTY       | DISTRICT         | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025         |
|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| POTTAWATOMIE | DALE             | \$10,851.77 | \$9,103.84  | \$9,292.80  | \$13,970.56  |
| POTTAWATOMIE | EARLSBORO        | \$5,730.71  | \$4,953.56  | \$5,913.60  | \$3,929.22   |
| POTTAWATOMIE | GROVE            | \$8,778.96  | \$12,049.20 | \$12,953.60 | \$13,752.27  |
| POTTAWATOMIE | MACOMB           | \$4,633.34  | \$2,543.72  | \$3,520.00  | \$4,802.38   |
| POTTAWATOMIE | MAUD             | \$7,315.80  | \$8,166.68  | \$6,195.20  | \$7,858.44   |
| POTTAWATOMIE | MCLOUD           | \$20,850.03 | \$30,390.76 | \$31,116.80 | \$45,404.32  |
| POTTAWATOMIE | NORTH ROCK CREEK | \$20,118.45 | \$21,688.56 | \$24,217.60 | \$24,011.90  |
| POTTAWATOMIE | PLEASANT GROVE   | \$4,267.55  | \$3,213.12  | \$3,801.60  | \$8,295.02   |
| POTTAWATOMIE | SHAWNEE          | \$71,207.12 | \$76,981.00 | \$73,497.60 | \$126,389.91 |
| POTTAWATOMIE | SOUTH ROCK CREEK | \$4,633.34  | \$6,024.60  | \$3,379.20  | \$9,168.18   |
| POTTAWATOMIE | TECUMSEH         | \$30,482.50 | \$41,904.44 | \$52,800.00 | \$66,360.16  |
| POTTAWATOMIE | WANETTE          | \$1,707.02  | \$3,079.24  | \$3,801.60  | \$3,274.35   |
| PUSHMATAHA   | ALBION           | \$1,707.02  | \$1,472.68  | \$1,408.00  | \$1,309.74   |
| PUSHMATAHA   | ANTLERS          | \$13,900.02 | \$10,576.52 | \$10,982.40 | \$12,660.82  |
| PUSHMATAHA   | CLAYTON          | \$3,535.97  | \$3,748.64  | \$3,379.20  | \$3,274.35   |
| PUSHMATAHA   | MOYERS           | \$2,682.46  | \$1,874.32  | \$2,956.80  | \$2,182.90   |
| PUSHMATAHA   | NASHOBA          | \$2,072.81  | \$1,338.80  | \$985.60    | \$1,964.61   |
| PUSHMATAHA   | RATTAN           | \$9,510.54  | \$9,103.84  | \$8,025.60  | \$12,224.24  |
| PUSHMATAHA   | TUSKAHOMA        | \$853.51    | \$2,409.84  | \$1,548.80  | \$1,309.74   |
| ROGER MILLS  | CHEYENNE         | \$2,926.32  | \$3,213.12  | \$2,675.20  | \$3,710.93   |
| ROGER MILLS  | HAMMON           | \$4,145.62  | \$4,418.04  | \$3,097.60  | \$1,964.61   |

| COUNTY      | DISTRICT       | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025         |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| ROGER MILLS | LEEDEY         | \$1,585.09  | \$2,409.84  | \$2,816.00  | \$3,492.64   |
| ROGER MILLS | REYDON         | \$1,341.23  | \$1,606.56  | \$1,408.00  | \$2,619.48   |
| ROGER MILLS | SWEETWATER     | \$1,097.37  | \$1,204.92  | \$1,689.60  | \$2,837.77   |
| ROGERS      | CATOOSA        | \$41,700.06 | \$27,579.28 | \$23,232.00 | \$41,256.81  |
| ROGERS      | CHELSEA        | \$13,656.16 | \$14,191.28 | \$15,769.60 | \$24,230.19  |
| ROGERS      | CLAREMORE      | \$52,064.11 | \$81,265.16 | \$60,684.80 | \$104,342.62 |
| ROGERS      | FOYIL          | \$8,291.24  | \$8,166.68  | \$8,307.20  | \$12,224.24  |
| ROGERS      | INOLA          | \$24,629.86 | \$28,382.56 | \$25,484.80 | \$35,144.69  |
| ROGERS      | JUSTUS-TIAWAH  | \$5,486.85  | \$9,103.84  | \$13,376.00 | \$14,843.72  |
| ROGERS      | OOLOGAH-TALALA | \$12,680.72 | \$17,806.04 | \$19,712.00 | \$37,109.30  |
| ROGERS      | SEQUOYAH       | \$17,435.99 | \$23,295.12 | \$18,585.60 | \$22,920.45  |
| ROGERS      | VERDIGRIS      | \$18,533.36 | \$17,939.92 | \$22,668.80 | \$31,652.05  |
| SEMINOLE    | BOWLEGS        | \$3,535.97  | \$5,355.20  | \$7,744.00  | \$6,985.28   |
| SEMINOLE    | BUTNER         | \$1,341.23  | \$2,945.36  | \$2,956.80  | \$6,112.12   |
| SEMINOLE    | JUSTICE        | \$4,511.41  | \$3,079.24  | \$3,660.80  | \$8,076.73   |
| SEMINOLE    | KONAWA         | \$11,217.56 | \$8,032.80  | \$8,588.80  | \$13,097.40  |
| SEMINOLE    | NEW LIMA       | \$3,170.18  | \$3,347.00  | \$2,534.40  | \$4,147.51   |
| SEMINOLE    | SASAKWA        | \$1,707.02  | \$1,472.68  | \$3,379.20  | \$3,056.06   |
| SEMINOLE    | SEMINOLE       | \$25,605.30 | \$30,390.76 | \$27,456.00 | \$40,383.65  |
| SEMINOLE    | STROTHER       | \$9,510.54  | 10,978.16   | \$7,603.20  | \$8,949.89   |
| SEMINOLE    | VARNUM         | \$5,486.85  | \$5,489.08  | \$6,617.60  | \$7,203.57   |

| COUNTY   | DISTRICT     | 2022        | 2023        | 2024        | 2025        |
|----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| SEMINOLE | WEWOKA       | \$15,241.25 | \$13,120.24 | \$9,996.80  | \$14,407.14 |
| SEQUOYAH | BELFONTE     | \$1,828.95  | \$3,748.64  | \$6,336.00  | \$4,584.09  |
| SEQUOYAH | BRUSHY       | \$8,900.89  | \$9,907.12  | \$8,729.60  | \$15,062.01 |
| SEQUOYAH | CENTRAL      | \$5,364.92  | \$6,961.76  | \$9,574.40  | \$10,259.63 |
| SEQUOYAH | GANS         | \$5,974.57  | \$8,032.80  | \$8,870.40  | \$10,041.34 |
| SEQUOYAH | GORE         | \$19,508.80 | \$13,521.88 | \$13,939.20 | \$11,787.66 |
| SEQUOYAH | LIBERTY      | \$7,681.59  | \$10,576.52 | \$10,419.20 | \$11,787.66 |
| SEQUOYAH | MARBLE CITY  | \$1,585.09  | \$3,614.76  | \$3,238.40  | \$3,492.64  |
| SEQUOYAH | MOFFETT      | \$3,170.18  | \$3,480.88  | \$3,097.60  | \$7,640.15  |
| SEQUOYAH | MULDROW      | \$21,581.61 | \$22,625.72 | \$26,329.60 | \$30,124.02 |
| SEQUOYAH | ROLAND       | \$10,973.70 | \$10,041.00 | \$20,416.00 | \$20,519.26 |
| SEQUOYAH | SALLISAW     | \$30,238.64 | \$25,704.96 | \$37,171.20 | \$47,587.22 |
| SEQUOYAH | VIAN         | \$16,826.34 | \$16,601.12 | \$18,444.80 | \$20,300.97 |
| STEPHENS | BRAY-DOYLE   | \$6,462.29  | \$5,489.08  | \$6,195.20  | \$7,203.57  |
| STEPHENS | CENTRAL HIGH | \$5,486.85  | \$6,158.48  | \$5,068.80  | \$7,421.86  |
| STEPHENS | COMANCHE     | \$10,973.70 | \$11,379.80 | \$13,939.20 | \$7,203.57  |
| STEPHENS | DUNCAN       | \$50,844.81 | \$51,811.56 | \$54,771.20 | \$70,289.38 |
| STEPHENS | EMPIRE       | \$7,681.59  | \$7,765.04  | \$10,278.40 | \$10,696.21 |
| STEPHENS | GRANDVIEW    | \$1,950.88  | \$2,142.08  | \$1,971.20  | \$2,837.77  |
| STEPHENS | MARLOW       | \$17,070.20 | \$17,538.28 | \$17,881.60 | \$22,920.45 |
| STEPHENS | VELMA-ALMA   | \$5,242.99  | \$8,434.44  | \$11,123.20 | \$11,569.37 |

| COUNTY  | DISTRICT                   | 2022         | 2023         | 2024         | 2025         |
|---------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| TEXAS   | GOODWELL                   | \$4,145.62   | \$6,024.60   | \$4,364.80   | \$2,401.19   |
| TEXAS   | GUYMON                     | \$64,622.90  | \$63,726.88  | \$94,617.60  | \$127,263.07 |
| TEXAS   | HARDESTY                   | \$1,219.30   | \$2,409.84   | \$1,408.00   | \$1,091.45   |
| TEXAS   | HOOKER                     | \$8,047.38   | \$5,890.72   | \$7,744.00   | \$14,843.72  |
| TEXAS   | OPTIMA                     | \$1,585.09   | \$1,472.68   | \$1,548.80   | \$2,837.77   |
| TEXAS   | STRAIGHT                   | \$2,682.46   | \$2,142.08   | \$1,830.40   | \$2,401.19   |
| TEXAS   | TYRONE                     | \$4,877.20   | \$3,480.88   | \$3,238.40   | \$4,802.38   |
| TEXAS   | YARBROUGH                  | \$1,828.95   | \$2,142.08   | \$2,534.40   | \$1,746.32   |
| TILLMAN | DAVIDSON                   | \$609.65     | \$803.28     | \$844.80     | \$1,309.74   |
| TILLMAN | FREDERICK                  | \$13,656.16  | \$11,245.92  | \$10,560.00  | \$18,554.65  |
| TILLMAN | GRANDFIELD                 | \$4,023.69   | \$2,275.96   | \$4,224.00   | \$6,112.12   |
| TILLMAN | TIPTON                     | \$4,755.27   | \$4,016.40   | \$2,956.80   | \$4,802.38   |
| TULSA   | BERRYHILL                  | \$20,362.31  | \$17,939.92  | \$16,614.40  | \$27,286.25  |
| TULSA   | BIXBY                      | \$96,812.42  | \$113,396.36 | \$98,982.40  | \$137,304.41 |
| TULSA   | BROKEN ARROW               | \$341,038.21 | \$404,049.84 | \$382,553.60 | \$475,435.62 |
| TULSA   | COLLINSVILLE               | \$51,942.18  | \$57,702.28  | \$58,713.60  | \$70,071.09  |
| TULSA   | DEBORAH BROWN<br>(CHARTER) | \$10,364.05  | \$7,229.52   | \$9,011.20   | \$16,153.46  |
| TULSA   | DOVE SCHOOLS<br>OF TULSA   | \$15,850.90  | \$26,240.48  | \$28,160.00  | \$27,067.96  |
| TULSA   | GLENPOOL                   | \$37,676.37  | \$46,590.24  | \$36,889.60  | \$59,156.59  |
| TULSA   | JENKS                      | \$186,065.18 | \$210,057.72 | \$215,142.40 | \$244,266.51 |

| COUNTY     | DISTRICT                      | 2022         | 2023         | 2024         | 2025           |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| TULSA      | KEYSTONE                      | \$5,364.92   | \$7,363.40   | \$7,884.80   | \$10,259.63    |
| TULSA      | LIBERTY                       | \$7,193.87   | \$8,568.32   | \$9,856.00   | \$14,188.85    |
| TULSA      | OWASSO                        | \$138,390.55 | \$154,899.16 | \$174,873.60 | \$246,667.70   |
| TULSA      | SAND SPRINGS                  | \$107,176.47 | \$78,319.80  | \$81,382.40  | \$118,749.76   |
| TULSA      | SANKOFA MIDDLE SCHL (CHARTER) | \$0.00       | \$2,945.36   | \$ 2,816.00  | \$436.58       |
| TULSA      | SKIATOOK                      | \$22,435.12  | \$29,587.48  | \$29,849.60  | \$51,298.15    |
| TULSA      | SPERRY                        | \$25,239.51  | \$26,508.24  | \$28,160.00  | \$25,321.64    |
| TULSA      | TULSA                         | \$705,974.70 | \$834,741.80 | \$830,438.40 | \$1,180,294.03 |
| TULSA      | TULSA CHARTER: COLLEGE BOUND  | \$29,019.34  | \$28,248.68  | \$49,561.60  | \$68,106.48    |
| TULSA      | TULSA CLASSICAL ACADEMY       | ---          | ---          | ---          | \$8,731.60     |
| TULSA      | TULSA LEGACY CHARTER SCHL INC | \$17,435.99  | \$19,010.96  | \$ 9,715.20  | \$17,244.91    |
| TULSA      | UNION                         | \$312,384.66 | \$334,700.00 | \$343,552.00 | \$433,742.23   |
| WAGONER    | COWETA                        | \$55,112.36  | \$68,948.20  | \$65,753.60  | \$78,366.11    |
| WAGONER    | OKAY                          | \$6,096.50   | \$7,229.52   | \$9,292.80   | \$11,351.08    |
| WAGONER    | PORTER CONSOLIDATED           | \$11,705.28  | \$11,513.68  | \$9,856.00   | \$22,265.58    |
| WAGONER    | WAGONER                       | \$37,798.30  | \$50,338.88  | \$36,748.80  | \$55,227.37    |
| WASHINGTON | BARTLESVILLE                  | \$89,130.83  | \$106,434.60 | \$92,364.80  | \$116,130.28   |
| WASHINGTON | CANEY VALLEY                  | \$16,582.48  | \$16,065.60  | \$14,361.60  | \$11,787.66    |
| WASHINGTON | COPAN                         | \$2,194.74   | \$3,347.00   | \$3,520.00   | \$5,238.96     |
| WASHINGTON | DEWEY                         | \$14,875.46  | \$15,663.96  | \$14,361.60  | \$30,560.60    |


| COUNTY       | DISTRICT             | 2022                   | 2023                   | 2024                   | 2025                   |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| WASHITA      | BURNS FLAT-DILL CITY | \$11,949.14            | 12,986.36              | \$10,700.80            | \$12,660.82            |
| WASHITA      | CANUTE               | \$1,707.02             | \$2,945.36             | \$2,252.80             | \$3,056.06             |
| WASHITA      | CORDELL              | \$7,437.73             | \$8,032.80             | \$7,884.80             | \$8,731.60             |
| WASHITA      | SENTINEL             | \$4,389.48             | \$4,953.56             | \$5,068.80             | \$5,893.83             |
| WOODS        | ALVA                 | \$4,999.13             | \$7,363.40             | \$9,152.00             | \$10,477.92            |
| WOODS        | FREEDOM              | \$487.72               | \$133.88               | \$844.80               | \$873.16               |
| WOODS        | WAYNOKA              | \$2,316.67             | \$2,142.08             | \$2,112.00             | \$1,528.03             |
| WOODWARD     | FORT SUPPLY          | \$975.44               | \$1,338.80             | \$1,267.20             | \$1,528.03             |
| WOODWARD     | MOORELAND            | \$5,974.57             | \$5,355.20             | \$7,321.60             | \$7,203.57             |
| WOODWARD     | SHARON-MUTUAL        | \$2,926.32             | \$3,480.88             | \$3,238.40             | \$3,056.06             |
| WOODWARD     | WOODWARD             | \$37,798.30            | \$33,603.88            | \$34,636.80            | \$61,557.78            |
| <b>STATE</b> | <b>ALL DISTRICTS</b> | <b>\$10,999,810.50</b> | <b>\$12,000,106.74</b> | <b>\$13,000,283.52</b> | <b>\$12,999,500.80</b> |

### Data Points of Interest:

- The per-student funding allocation for SY2024-2025 was \$218.29. This number increased from \$140.80 in SY2023-2024 and \$133.88 in SY2022-2023.
- The total amount of Strong Readers funding distributed to schools was \$17,500,309.30 for SY2024-2025 compared to \$12,999,500.80 in SY2023-2024. This increased allocation paired with the smaller number of students at-risk rendered the highest per pupil allotment in Oklahoma RSA / Strong Readers history to date.

When spending funds from the Strong Readers allocation, districts use the Oklahoma Cost Accounting System (OCAS) codes to report how funds are expended. Some funds may be carried over to the next year to cover costs that occur in the fall.

**Figure 7.** Overall District Spending for SY2024-2025 by Category



### Data Points of Interest:

- The largest expense category is Instruction and Materials. This includes salaries for interventionists and teachers who conduct small group interventions or provide tutoring in after-school programs. Additionally, instructional materials and intervention curricula fall under this category.

- Instructional Staff Training represents the second largest expense category, serving as a vital investment in equipping educators with the skills and knowledge necessary to effectively support the literacy development of young students.
- The category for vehicle services is likely costs associated with Summer Reading Academy.
- The total amount accounted for is \$14,657,624.98. The remaining \$2,937,129.95 is likely carry over for districts to use at the beginning of the next school year.


## QUESTION 9

### ➤ What types of reading instructional practices, instructional methods and remediation efforts are currently being used by districts?


A survey was made available to Oklahoma teachers and administrators to gain feedback on the types of instructional practices and methods being used for both core and supplemental instruction in kindergarten through third grade, as well as supplemental practices to aid students after third grade who were continuing to receive interventions. There were 461 responses received from 54 counties and 122 districts. These results reflect a limited sample of educators and do not represent all districts.

For this question, respondents were asked to identify the instructional practices or methods regularly used as part of on-grade-level core instruction for all kindergarten through third-grade students.


**Figure 8.** Instructional Practices and Methods for Tier 1 (Core Instruction) for Students in Grades K-3



**Figure 9.** Instructional Practices and Methods for Students in Grades K-3 Who Are Not Meeting Grade-Level Targets



**Figure 10.** Instructional Methods and Practices for Students Beyond 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Still Receiving Interventions



## Data Points of Interest:


- 93% of schools who responded to the survey report implementation of the required 90-minute reading instruction time allocation.
- 81-90% of schools reported explicit, direct instruction in phonemic awareness, vocabulary, and phonics as shown in Figure 8. Explicit and direct instruction in these areas is proven to support reading proficiency for all students.
- As shown in Figure 8, 59% of respondents reported using sight-word memorization. This figure reflects a slight decrease from last year's percentage of 66%. This downward trend suggests more informed selection of instructional practices across districts, as this practice is not supported by evidence or research demonstrating it's effectiveness.
- For students who are still receiving interventions beyond 3rd grade, a varied list of supports and interventions are reported. Regular monitoring of student progress was reported at 67%, although 100% of students on an individualized reading plan should be regularly monitored.

## QUESTION 10

### ► What types of reading resources do students have access to outside of school?

This question asked respondents to identify the reading resources available to students outside of school. This could include resources used after school, on weekends during the school year or over the summer. Responses reflect only the resources respondents were personally aware of and may not represent the full range of resources available to students. In fact, several respondents indicated they were not aware of the resources available to students. It is also important to note that while respondents report that these resources are available to students, it does not mean that all students have equitable access to reading resources dependent on factors outside of the responsibility or control of the school or student.

**Figure 11.** Reading Resources Accessible to Students Outside of School



## Data Points of Interest:

- As Figure 11 shows, electronic or online reading programs and resources and public library access are reported as the top resources offered to students outside of school at 67% and 75%, respectively.
- All other resources, except for the use of the school library, are reported as being made available at or below 30% of the time.
- Many of the resources listed in this table reflect programs or services that depend on the availability of specific school or community offerings and, therefore, are not equally accessible to all students.

## QUESTION 11

### ➤ What evidence-based materials are being used by districts for interventions?

Districts reported what evidence-based materials were being used for interventions for students on an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) as well as for students not on an IEP who are not reading at the grade level appropriate level. Districts reported using 169 different intervention programs. Fifteen programs were reported by more than 100 districts, collectively representing 65.4% of all reported interventions.

**Table 13.** Evidence-based Materials Used for Interventions

| Intervention Programs                                           | Percentage of Use |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Curriculum and Intervention Resources | 8.70%             |
| Heggerty                                                        | 8.50%             |
| University of Florida Literacy Institute (UFLI)                 | 7.70%             |
| Really Great Reading                                            | 7.20%             |
| Florida Center for Reading Research Activities                  | 4.80%             |
| McGraw Hill: Wonders, SRA Reading Laboratory                    | 4.40%             |
| Lexia CORE5 Reading                                             | 3.60%             |
| Amira Learning                                                  | 3.30%             |
| Orton Gillingham Approach                                       | 3.00%             |
| Renaissance                                                     | 2.80%             |
| iStation                                                        | 2.50%             |
| Literacy First                                                  | 2.30%             |
| IXL                                                             | 2.30%             |
| Wilson Reading                                                  | 2.20%             |
| 95% Group                                                       | 2.20%             |

## Data Points of Interest:

- Of the intervention programs shown in Table 13, five are online-based programs. While online literacy intervention programs can be valuable for addressing skill gaps and supporting literacy growth, effective oversight by a qualified educator is essential.
- Districts have the flexibility to select intervention materials at the local level without relying on a state-approved list. To support these decisions, OSDE developed a guidance document that includes evidence-based materials which responded to a Request For Information (RFI) and met approval criteria.
- As school personnel strengthen their understanding of evidence-based reading instruction, clear shifts are emerging in the programs selected by schools. With ongoing training and support, these more informed decisions are expected to contribute to improved student outcomes.

# Conclusion

Data indicates that Oklahoma students are steadily improving since the pandemic disrupted learning. Although pre-COVID performance levels have not yet been fully regained, the progress reflects the positive impact of recovery efforts. To further enhance student achievement, Oklahoma remains focused on three key objectives: adopting and implementing high-quality instructional materials, providing teacher support to apply the science of reading, and delivering continuous intervention support and training. These goals establish a comprehensive approach to reading instruction, ensuring students receive the needed instructional support necessary to achieve reading proficiency by the end of third grade.

## Recommend Steps for the Future:

Looking at other states that have made great strides in improving their early literacy rates, there are a few notable differences in the Oklahoma state literacy plans. Making adjustments in these areas could make a difference for Oklahoma's young readers.

An examination of states that have achieved significant gains in early literacy reveals several key differences compared to our current state literacy plan.

- **Coaching:** Here in Oklahoma, there are 15 coaches each supporting an average of 15 schools. In states where success is noted, there is a larger network with each coach supporting 2 schools. This allows coaches to provide more intensive coaching. This team should be expanded to allow coaches to spend more time in each school in order to make the most gains. Oklahoma's current coaching team is a pilot program that will sunset in June 2026. Sustainable funding is needed to see a long-term effect.
- **Summer Reading Programs:** Currently, offering summer reading programs or summer school is optional for districts. However, for struggling readers, the lack of instruction during this time can significantly hinder their progress. Making these programs mandatory and designating funding for schools with students performing below the grade-level target could have a meaningful impact on improving reading outcomes.

# Conclusion

- **Funding:** In some states with successful state literacy initiatives, literacy funding is managed through a centralized, state-level model. In contrast, Oklahoma allocates 100% of its \$17.5 million Strong Readers budget directly to districts, providing broad spending guidance. While some districts make wise, strategic spending decisions, others do not use them as effectively. Large-scale programs and support that align district efforts may be a better option moving forward.
- **Professional Development:** While Oklahoma currently offers science of reading professional development and requires districts to spend 10% of their Strong Readers funds on it, that does not reach all who need it. Making science of reading professional development mandatory for teachers and administrators in targeted support schools, as was done in other states, could ensure it reaches those who would benefit most.

Oklahoma has established a strong foundation for a literacy turnaround. The systems currently in place are yielding positive results, as evidenced by screening data showing a decline in the number of students identified with reading difficulties for the third consecutive year. The current task is to stabilize those programs demonstrating success, complete initiatives already underway, and maintain a clear focus on ensuring every child's right to read.

# Works Referenced

Cognia. (2024) Oklahoma School Testing Program Concordance Tables  
<https://oklahoma.gov/content/dam/ok/en/oeqa/documents/standard-setting/OSTP%20ELA%20and%20Math%20Concordance%20Tables%202024.pdf>

Cognia. (2024). FAQs. Educational Quality and Accountability (0275).  
<https://oklahoma.gov/oeqa/about-oeqa/faqs.html>

Foorman, B., Beyler, N., Borradaile, K., Coyne, M., Denton, C. A., Dimino, J., Furgeson, J., Hayes, L., Henke, J., Justice, L., Keating, B., Lewis, W., Sattar, S., Streke, A., Wagner, R., & Wissel, S. (2016). Foundational skills to support reading for understanding in kindergarten through 3rd grade (NCEE 2016-4008). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance (NCEE), Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from the NCEE website: <http://whatworks.ed.gov>

Gersten, R., Compton, D., Connor, C.M., Dimino, J., Santoro, L., Linan-Thompson, S., and Tilly, W.D. (2008). Assisting students struggling with reading: Response to Intervention and multi-tier intervention for reading in the primary grades. A practice guide. (NCEE 2009-4045). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/publications/practiceguides/>

Oklahoma State Department of Education. Response to Intervention (RTI) Guidance Document. pp. 10. 2010.  
<https://sde.ok.gov/sites/ok.gov.sde/files/RtlGuidanceDoc.pdf>