LONG-RANGE CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
April 29, 2026, 1:00 PM
Oklahoma State Capitol Building
Senate Conference Room 4s.9
2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73105

A meeting notice was filed with the Secretary of State, and an agenda has been posted in accordance with the Open Meeting Act.

MEMBERS PRESENT:
Travis Mason, Chairman, Director of Commercial Oklahoma, Governor Appointee
Bailey Cook, Wm. Bailey Cook III, P.C., House Speaker Appointee
Brandon Ersteniuk, CEO of Ersteniuk Insurance Agency, Senate Appointee
Gary Hamer, Director of Strategic Planning, PartnerTulsa, Pro Tempore Appointee
Anthony Sammons, Director of Fiscal Staff of Senate, Pro Tempore Appointee
Jeff Wills, Managing Partner, Wills Holdings LLC, Governor Appointee

MEMBERS ABSENT:
Josh Cruzan, CEO of Rimrock Energy Partners, LLC, Governor Appointee
Jeff Wills, Managing Partner, Wills Holdings LLC, Governor Appointee

GUESTS:
Beverly Hicks, OMES
Mary Jackson, OMES Legal
Jason Seabolt, OMES Legal
Monte Kaul, OMES
Carie Carman, OMES
Dave Wenrich, OMES
Steven Birkes, OMES
Tim Bunson, Dept. of Commerce
Chad Carden, ODMHSAS
Nick Hathaway, OSRHE
James Vaughn, OSRHE
Jamie Manoles, OCIA
Trey Clark, OCIA
Kevin Otiao, OCIA
María Maule, OAG
Susan Smith, DRS
Matthew Stanton, DRS
Jonathan Woodward, DRS

1. **Announcement of filing of meeting notice and posting of the agenda in accordance with the Open Meeting Act.**

   Chairman Travis Mason announced that notice of the meeting had been filed and the agenda posted in accordance with the Oklahoma Open Meeting Act.

2. **Call to order and confirmation of quorum.** [Chair]

   Chairman Mason called the meeting to order at 1:15 p.m. A roll call confirmed that a quorum was present.

3. **Welcome/Introductions.** [Chair]

Chairman Mason welcomed commission members and guests to the meeting.

4. **Approval of minutes from the Jan. 22, 2026, Commission meeting:**

Gary Hamer moved to approve the January meeting minutes as presented. Anthony Sammons seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

5. **Discussion and possible action to approve the transfer of State-owned Real Property. OMES requests the approval of the Long-Range Capital Planning Commission for the transfer of the following property:**
   1. **Taft Lake and surrounding lands located off Highway 62 and SW 114th Street West, Muskogee County, Oklahoma.**
      - **a. The property is owned by the State of Oklahoma.**
      - **b. The property consists of a lake comprising 50+ acres, mol, gravel boat ramp, picnic tables, and additional surrounding lands consisting of 90+ acres mol.**
      - **c. The property will be sold through an Interlocal/Interagency Agreement or by Sealed Bid Auction for no less than 90% of the appraised value.**
      - **d. Proceeds will be deposited in the appropriate account in accordance with the timing of the transaction or at the time of closing.**

Eva Thurman, Real Property Specialist with the Office of Management and Enterprise Services (Office of Management and Enterprise Services) Real Estate and Leasing Services (REALS) Division, presented an overview of the state-owned Taft Lake property located in Muskogee County, Oklahoma. Ms. Thurman provided supporting materials, including photographs, and described the property's location, features, and condition.

Ms. Thurman reviewed the property's ownership history and outlined the proposed disposition process. She stated that OMES is proposing disposition of the property through either interagency or interlocal agreement or sealed-bid auction, with a minimum sale threshold of not less than 90 percent of appraised value.

Chairman Mason inquired regarding the current status of title and agency ownership. Ms. Thurman confirmed the property is vested in the State of Oklahoma through three separate deeds covering multiple tracts. She stated that OMES research confirmed that no state agency, including the Department of Corrections or the Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Services, has asserted ownership interest. She further noted that the property is not currently listed on the State's annual real property inventory maintained by OMES REALS.

Chairman Mason inquired whether the Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department (OTRD) had been made aware of the property. Ms. Thurman stated that Commission approval was being sought prior to formally engaging other potentially interested agencies, including the Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation and the Oklahoma Department of Transportation. She noted that ODWC has periodic involvement with the lake through fish stocking but does not claim ownership. She further stated that ODOT was contacted regarding potential interest due to roadway maintenance in the area but did not express interest. She added that the property is publicly referenced on the Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department website as Taft Lake for informational purposes only, and that OTRD does not assert ownership.

Chairman Mason noted that the property is somewhat unique compared to other properties typically reviewed by the Commission for disposition and stated that OTRD or ODWC may have potential interest given its recreational use.

Commissioner Anthony Sammons asked whether the property would be transferred or sold if the Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department expressed interest. Ms. Thurman responded that disposition could occur through an interagency agreement, subject to appraisal, with final terms coordinated between agency leadership.

Carie Carman, OMES Director of Real Estate and Leasing Services, agreed and stated that in many cases interagency transfers occur without exchange of funds, with any associated costs handled between agencies.

Commissioner Bailey Cook asked whether selling the property would benefit the citizens of the State of Oklahoma or the agency. Ms. Carman responded that the property is not currently being used by agencies in the area and requires ongoing maintenance. She stated that the State has an intermittent interlocal agreement with the county for upkeep while a long-term solution is evaluated. She further stated that disposition options would first prioritize other state agencies, then the county, and then private-sector interest if no public use is identified.

Commissioner Cook asked whether there was a preliminary estimate of value. Ms. Carman deferred to Ms. Thurman, who stated that the estimated value of the property is $900,000.00.

Gary Hamer moved to authorize OMES to transfer the property through an interagency or interlocal agreement to either another governmental jurisdiction, county government, or local government, before exploring the sale to a private entity. Anthony Sammons seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

6. **Finance and project updates for the Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund.**

Brandy Manek, OMES Director of Budget Policy and Gaming, provided the Commission with an overview of financial and project updates. She stated that the ending cash balance of $10,715,075.33 reflects all expenditures, including 20 percent payouts on projects that are winding down, and that $812,000.00 represents a reimbursement payment to the Oklahoma Department of Human Services (Oklahoma Department of Human Services) for the Service First project (LRP10098). She reported that $62,478.68 in revenues represents the return of unexpended funds from completed projects, resulting in an overall balance of approximately $10.7 million.

She stated that from this amount, a 20 percent set-aside for eight projects in progress (approximately $1 million) and a $4.3 million set-aside for the OKDHS Service First project are deducted, leaving an available balance of approximately $5.3 million. She noted that this amount will be the first transfer into the OCAMP Fund.

Commissioner Anthony Sammons asked whether the total amount provided to OKDHS for the Service First project was available. Ms. Manek responded that she did not have the exact amount at the time and would provide the information following the meeting. She further stated that a representative from OKDHS would attend the June meeting to provide an update on the Service First project and its progress.

Ms. Manek then provided project updates to the Commission, reporting that eight projects remain in progress. She noted that two projects are in "yellow" status, including mental health projects at the Oklahoma Forensic Center, where renovation work is ongoing and project commencement has been delayed. These projects have been granted extensions with no specified end dates.

She stated that the Oklahoma Department of Human Services, which oversees Service First projects, will provide a detailed update at the June meeting.

Ms. Manek reported that the remaining projects are in "green" status and that Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund projects continue to progress.

Update only. No action taken.

7. **Discussion and possible action on a request from the Oklahoma Department of Rehabilitation Services for an extension for the School of the Deaf construction project LRP10159 – Griffing and Read Hall Elevator Replacement.**

Susan Smith, Department of Rehabilitation Services, which manages operational projects for the Oklahoma School for the Deaf, requested an extension of the previously approved August 31, 2026, deadline for the Oklahoma School for the Deaf construction project (LRP10159) – Griffing and Read Hall Elevator Replacement.

Ms. Smith stated that the request is due to contractor-related delays impacting the project schedule. She reported that the project was awarded and the notice to proceed was issued in May 2025, with a 365-day construction timeline and a substantial completion date of May 12, 2026. She noted that the project is currently significantly behind schedule.

She stated that the agency has issued multiple notices to the contractor and has met with the contractor and surety to address performance issues. She further noted that design and construction

coordination issues have contributed to additional delays, including structural concerns identified during elevator installation that require resolution prior to continued work.
Ms. Smith reported that approximately 80 percent of project funds previously awarded by the Commission have been received by the agency, and that additional construction administration costs are anticipated due to ongoing project issues.

Ms. Smith responded to questions from Commissioners regarding project delays, contractor performance, and potential cost impacts. Chairman Mason asked whether any cost overruns were anticipated due to delays, including construction administration and project management fees. Ms. Smith responded that no contractor replacement has occurred at this time and that any additional construction administration costs may be evaluated for potential recovery from the contractor.

Chairman Mason further asked whether overall project costs had changed. Ms. Smith responded that the only anticipated change at this time is additional construction administration costs. Commissioner Hamer asked whether sufficient funds remained to complete the project. Ms. Smith confirmed that sufficient awarded funds remain available.

Commissioner Ersteniuk inquired regarding the requested extension. Commission counsel Mary Jackson clarified that the project falls under the Office of Management and Enterprise Services Construction and Properties within the Capital Assets Management Division. OMES counsel Jason Seabolt provided background on contractor performance issues and the issuance of notices to the contractor.

Ms. Jackson stated that the requested extension would need to be at least one year in duration. Brandy Manek confirmed that project funds have been set aside for this purpose and remain available, with an expiration date of August 31.

Anthony Sammons moved to approve a one-year extension for the School of Deaf construction project, LRP10159 – Griffing and Read Hall Elevator Replacement, extending the project completion deadline to August 31, 2027. Gary Hamer seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

8. **Discussion and possible action on a request from the Oklahoma Department of Tourism and Recreation (OTRD) for an extension for project LRP10147 – Tenkiller Lagoon.**

Chris Gibbons, Deputy Operations Director for the Oklahoma Department of Tourism and Recreation (OTRD), requested a twelve-month extension for LRP10147 – Tenkiller Lagoon due to ongoing investigation and repair of lagoon cell deficiencies.

Mr. Gibbons summarized prior work completed under a Memorandum of Agreement with the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) following a September 2020 lagoon inspection that identified multiple violations and required corrective actions. OTRD engaged CEC

Engineering to assist with implementation of the corrective work. He stated that system monitoring and flow data indicate significant infiltration and inflow (I&I) not attributable to rainfall, suggesting structural leakage within one or more lagoon cells.

Based on these findings, OTRD is isolating individual cells to identify and confirm the source of the issue, after which targeted repairs will be initiated. He reported that isolation valves are being installed in Cells 3, 4, and 5 to isolate individual lagoon cells and identify structural failures for repair.

Mr. Gibbons requested a twelve-month extension to complete isolation testing and begin corrective repairs.

He reported that $255,996.73 has been expended to date, with $9,676.00 remaining outstanding, for a total expenditure of $265,672.73 out of an approximately $400,000 funding allocation.

Commissioner Cook remarked that the calculations Mr. Gibson presented were impressive and asked whether any of the lagoons had been drained to inspect the liners for integrity. He also asked whether it was Mr. Gibson's opinion that the infiltration was occurring through groundwater or surface water beneath the lagoon. Mr. Gibbons replied that he was not certain and would need to follow up with that information.

Commissioner Cook asked what the anticipated remedy would be once the source of the water infiltration is identified. Mr. Gibson replied that the remedy would depend on the findings and could include replacing the liner, repairing the liner, or implementing another appropriate solution to restore the system.

Commissioner Cook asked whether those repairs were included in the current request. Mr. Gibson responded that they were not, explaining that the request was solely for an extension. Chairman Mason reiterated that the request before the Commission was only for an extension. Mr. Forrest McMurray, Chief Operating Officer for the Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department (OTRD), added that no additional funding was being requested and that the agency was seeking only additional time. Commissioner Cook acknowledged the clarification.

Anthony Sammons moved to approve a one-year extension of LRP10147 – Tenkiller Lagoon. Brandon Ersteniuk seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

9. **Update and report on the passage of Senate Bill 1991.**

Chairman Mason noted that Senate Bill 1991 contains several provisions and stated that the Commission had already discussed one of the items that will require further consideration at the next meeting. Chairman Mason then introduced Brandy Manek, OMES Director of Budget Policy and Gaming, who provided an overview of the bill and its implications for the Commission.

Ms. Manek provided a high-level overview of Senate Bill 1991 and explained that additional details regarding implementation would be presented at the June meeting. She stated that the bill aligns with the Commission's legislative priorities by improving efficiency through the consolidation of revenue streams currently directed to the Maintenance of State Buildings Revolving Fund (MSBRF) into the Oklahoma Capital Assets Maintenance and Protection (OCAMP) Fund.

Ms. Manek further explained that the legislation combines reporting requirements, incorporates OCAMP provisions into the Long-Range Capital Planning Commission (LRCPC) statute, and updates statutory references from eight-year plans and annual updates to five-year plans and biennial updates. She also noted that the bill allows the OCAMP Fund to become interest-bearing, with interest proceeds available for eligible projects and plans.

Ms. Manek stated that the legislation requires the transfer of unencumbered MSBRF funds into the OCAMP Fund effective July 1, 2026. She explained that OMES will work with agencies to reconcile remaining project balances and establish a process for transferring eligible funds as projects are completed or closed out. She also noted that the legislation eliminates the MSBRF.

Ms. Manek asked Commission Counsel Mary Jackson if she had any legal comments regarding the legislation. Ms. Jackson stated that she did not have additional comments at this time but anticipated further review would be needed to determine necessary updates for alignment with the new law. She stated that a more detailed report would likely be provided at the June meeting.

Chairman Mason commented that he believes Senate Bill 1991 is a great bill for the Commission. He noted that there are items the Commission will need to discuss, including the establishment of an interest-bearing OCAMP Fund and the use of interest proceeds for additional maintenance needs. He further stated that some statutory or implementation issues will need to be addressed, similar to issues discussed the prior year, including matters related to the Department of Human Services (DHS) and the treatment of fund proceeds.

Update only. No action taken.

10. **Update and discussion regarding permanent administrative rulemaking for the Long-Range Capital Planning Commission Title 428.**

Mary Jackson, OMES Legal Counsel, provided an update on the administrative rulemaking process. Ms. Jackson explained that the Regulations from the Executive in Need of Scrutiny (REINS) Act established additional requirements for agencies promulgating administrative rules, including preparation of fiscal and economic impact analyses and review of certain major rules by the Legislative Office of Fiscal Transparency (LOFT). She noted that rules meeting the statutory threshold for a major rule are subject to additional review by LOFT.

Ms. Jackson explained that the Commission's proposed rulemaking was intended to establish procedures related to the Oklahoma Capital Assets Maintenance and Protection (OCAMP) Fund under the existing statutory framework. She stated that, during the current rulemaking process, OMES was required to prepare a fiscal analysis estimating the administrative impact of the proposed rules. The analysis included estimating the staff hours required for agencies to compile and submit information necessary to develop the Commission's five-year capital plan. Based on responses received from several agencies, the projected administrative cost approached the statutory threshold for classification as a major rule, resulting in referral of the proposed rules to LOFT for review.

Ms. Jackson reported that LOFT completed its review and that the proposed Title 428:15 OCAMP rules, along with another section of proposed Title 428 rules, were disapproved by the House Rules Committee. She also noted that a separate section of LRCPC rules containing only minor administrative revisions, such as removing obsolete fax number references, had been included in the rule submission.

Ms. Jackson stated that OMES subsequently met with Representative Kendricks to discuss a path forward following the committee's action. She explained that OMES intends to withdraw the current rule submissions and prepare revised emergency rules incorporating the provisions of Senate Bill 1991. She noted that, because Senate Bill 1991 amended the Commission's governing statutes, the proposed rules would have required revision even if they had been approved during the current rulemaking cycle. Ms. Jackson stated that the revised rules would be presented to the Commission for review and discussion before being submitted as emergency rules and proceeding through the permanent rulemaking process.

Chairman Mason asked whether the matter would be brought before the Commission for action at the June meeting following the July 1 effective date of Senate Bill 1991. Ms. Jackson explained that, because the current agenda item did not authorize Commission action, OMES would withdraw the proposed rules administratively and request that the Commission ratify that action at its June meeting. She stated that this approach would also allow additional time to revise the rules before submitting them as emergency rules.

Commissioner Hamer asked whether the primary concern identified during the review process was the administrative burden associated with the proposed rules. Ms. Jackson explained that the projected administrative burden was based on estimates of the staff time agencies and OMES would spend compiling the required information and projecting those costs over a five-year period. She noted that the initial effort would be significant but that the workload should decrease over time as agencies maintain, rather than initially develop, their capital asset information.

Commissioner Hamer asked whether the work associated with compiling the required information had essentially already been completed. Ms. Jackson confirmed that it had and stated that LOFT was aware of that information, which had been communicated earlier that morning.

Chairman Mason commented that, while comprehensive planning is important, the Commission should also recognize the challenges faced by agencies with extensive real estate portfolios and limited staff resources, such as the Department of Corrections and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Ms. Jackson added that agencies without the resources to perform facility assessments internally may need to hire consultants to complete the work, resulting in additional costs.

Chairman Mason asked whether the revised rules would incorporate both LOFT's feedback and the requirements of Senate Bill 1991 and whether the Commission would receive the revised rules for review prior to taking action. Ms. Jackson confirmed that the rules would be redrafted and presented to the Commission for discussion.

Update only. No action taken.

11. **Update and discussion from Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority ("OCIA") on status of Memorandum of Understandings and distribution of OCAMP proceeds.**

Jamie Manoles, Director of the Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority (OCIA), reported that, since the Commission's February meeting, the Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department (OTRD) had made its first draw from the Oklahoma Capital Assets Maintenance and Protection (OCAMP) Fund in the amount of $121,600.

Update only. No action taken.

12. **Discussion and possible action to adopt the OCAMP State Five-Year Plan for maintaining and repairing of all state-owned properties and buildings.**

Monte Kaul, OMES Capital Assets Management (CAM) Administrator, presented the draft FY 2026–2030 OCAMP State Building Plan. Mr. Kaul reported that, of the 42 agencies initially surveyed for participation in the plan, 18 agencies submitted building assessment and project information in the requested format, and the submitted information was included in the plan. He explained that the original deadline for submission was the end of January; however, OMES continued working with agencies through March 18 to provide additional opportunities for participation, correct agency-submitted information, and accept additional submissions.

Mr. Kaul stated that, due to the challenges agencies experienced in gathering information during the first year of the planning process, OMES accepted project submissions even when a complete building assessment was not available. He explained that agencies were advised OMES would begin working on the next five-year plan following approval of the FY 2026–2030 plan and would continue working with agencies to update information, address questions, and improve data quality. He noted that the initial development of the plan represented a significant effort for many agencies.

Chairman Mason commented that, when reviewing the plan information, it was important to recognize the challenges faced by agencies with large real estate portfolios and limited resources. He specifically noted that agencies such as the Department of Corrections and the Department of Veterans Affairs were not able to provide complete information due to the volume and complexity of their facilities. He stated that these circumstances should be considered when reviewing the information presented.

Mr. Kaul agreed and explained that OMES wanted to ensure agencies that were unable to complete a comprehensive five-year plan still had the opportunity to address critical maintenance needs identified for FY 2026.

Mr. Kaul explained that the draft plan booklet may contain projects that appear duplicated. He stated that, due to space limitations when converting the original project information matrix into the booklet format, certain columns were removed. He provided an example involving Department of Corrections projects, explaining that projects were divided among CSI division categories in the original submission, which resulted in similar projects appearing multiple times in the condensed version. He noted that the document remained a draft and OMES would continue reviewing and correcting information.

Mr. Kaul stated that OMES removed projects from the plan when agencies did not provide information necessary for evaluation, including missing priority rankings, criticality rankings, estimated costs, useful life information, or end dates. He explained that the focus of the first-year plan was to ensure the highest priority maintenance needs were identified and included. He stated that projects identified by agencies as Priority 1, meaning the system had already failed, and Priority 2, meaning failure was expected within one year, were included in the plan. He also noted that Priority 3 projects were included when the building system was expected to fail within five years and was assigned a Criticality 1 rating, meaning failure of the system would shut the building down for an extended period of time.

Commissioner Sammons emphasized the importance of agencies prioritizing and ranking projects based on their needs to assist in evaluating funding recommendations.

Mr. Kaul noted that the Commission packet included three potential funding allocation options for consideration under a subsequent agenda item.

Chairman Mason requested that the Commission first focus on adoption of the State Five-Year Plan before considering funding allocation options.

Commissioner Hamer asked for clarification regarding previously approved OCAMP plans and allocations, including the Higher Education and Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department plans, and how those plans related to the State Five-Year Plan currently before the Commission.

Ms. Jackson clarified that the Commission had previously adopted plans and approved distributions for Higher Education and Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department projects. She explained that the current State Five-Year Plan included all state agencies that own property and represented the comprehensive statewide plan required under statute.

Ms. Jackson further explained that, while the Commission had previously taken action on specific agency plans, including Higher Education and Tourism, the current plan addressed the full scope of state-owned properties. She stated that OMES projects were not presented as a separate allocation because the Commission was considering the complete State Five-Year Plan.

The Commission discussed how the State Five-Year Plan related to prior Commission actions regarding Higher Education and Oklahoma Tourism and Recreation Department projects. Commissioners sought clarification regarding the scope of the current statewide plan and how previous Commission actions related to the plan presented for adoption.

Gary Hamer moved to approve Item 12 and adopt the OCAMP State Five-Year Plan for maintaining and repairing all state-owned properties and buildings prior to consideration of funding allocation Options 1, 2, or 3. Anthony Sammons seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

13. **Discussion and possible action to approve funding allocation options 1, 2, or 3 for the OCAMP State Five-Year Plan.**

Chairman Mason noted that the funding allocation options presented under Items 13 and 14 followed the Commission's discussion under Item 12, during which the Commission requested that OMES provide funding allocation recommendations for the OCAMP State Five-Year Plan. He stated that the options presented represented OMES' recommendations and requested any additional information or feedback from Mr. Kaul regarding Options 1, 2, and 3.

Mr. Kaul stated that the Commission had previously discussed the funding allocation options and reiterated that the options were presented as recommendations for the Commission's consideration.

Commissioner Hamer stated that he did not favor Option 2 because he believed it could disadvantage agencies that lacked the staffing resources necessary to compile and submit project information, particularly larger agencies with extensive property portfolios. He stated that agencies such as the Department of Corrections and the Department of Veterans Affairs may have more difficulty providing information due to the size and complexity of their facilities. Commissioner Hamer stated that he preferred Option 1 because it prioritized projects based on criticality and priority rather than the number of buildings or requests submitted by an agency.

Commissioner Cook asked whether the allocation percentages illustrated in the funding options could be applied independently of the proposed funding amounts. Ms. Carman explained the methodologies used for Options 1 and 2, including how the percentages would be affected by funding levels and other funding sources.

Commissioner Sammons discussed the importance of verifying whether agencies had received funding from other sources for identified projects. He noted that OSBI had projects funded through an alternate source and requested consideration of available funding sources when evaluating project needs.

Chairman Mason discussed the merits of initially allocating less than the full available appropriation to allow flexibility for agencies that may submit additional information in the future. He stated that, among the presented options, his preference was Option 1 as the funding allocation methodology.

Allocation Option 1: Places all projects with the highest criticality and priority scores into the FY 2026 section of the plan, regardless of the total dollar amount requested. This option includes all Priority 1 and Priority 2 projects and those Priority 3 projects that have a Criticality of 1. After FY 2026, subsequent requests are distributed evenly throughout the remaining five-year OCAMP State Plan, with higher criticality and priority projects being funded first.

Gary Hamer moved to approve and adopt funding allocation Option 1 for the OCAMP State Five-Year Plan. Brandon Ersteniuk seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

14. **Discussion and possible action to approve the disbursement of no more than $101,250,000 for the fiscal year 2026 funding by the Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority (OCIA) to the Office of Management and Enterprise Services.**

Chairman Mason stated that the Commission's consideration centered on whether to approve funding for the current year and invited questions or additional feedback from the Commission or OMES.

Commissioner Sammons noted that, if the Commission continued with Option 1, the Commission could adjust percentages in Year 2.

Anthony Sammons moved to approve $50 million for the first year of the $101 million allocation to OMES. Bailey Cook seconded the motion. The motion passed, and the following votes were recorded:

Mr. Ersteniuk, aye; Mr. Hamer, aye; Mr. Cook, aye; Mr. Sammons, aye; Chair Mason, aye.

15. **Discussion limited to agenda items and 3 minutes in duration.**

Commissioner Hamer asked OMES representatives, how closely, I mean, are you guys still, in terms of this Plan being the draft, are you still taking, for instance, I know I'm kind of still steering on Corrections, other agencies that do have those big lifts that we're talking about. Are they still assembling project information that will flow into this plan, like right now?

Mr. Kaul replied that, for FY 2026, agencies were focused on getting their highest-priority items submitted first, beginning with Priority 1 projects and items identified by criticality. He stated that agencies were continuing to work on their remaining project lists, which would be added to next year's plan.

Commissioner Hamer asked whether, if OMES received additional project information from agencies that had not yet completed their submissions, the Commission could consider allocating funding toward those needs in an earlier fiscal year, such as FY 2027. Chairman Mason stated that the Commission could do so, and Mr. Kaul confirmed that any such action would be at the Commission's discretion. Commissioner Hamer stated that leaving a portion of the available funding unallocated would provide an opportunity to address additional critical needs as agencies completed their submissions and additional project information became available. Mr. Kaul agreed.

Commissioner Sammons asked whether, after removing the 10% allocation for OSBI, OMES would re-present the Option 1 percentages at the next meeting due to anticipated changes. Ms. Jackson confirmed that revised percentages would be presented.

Chairman Mason thanked everyone present and stated that the discussion demonstrated the value of working together to ensure state funds are used effectively and responsibly.

16. **Adjournment:**
There being no further business, Commissioner Anthony Sammons moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Bailey Cook seconded the motion. Seeing no opposition, the meeting adjourned at 2:56 PM.