



Third-Party Cybersecurity Management Standard

Introduction

OMES Information Services is committed to preventing incidents that may impact the confidentiality, integrity or availability of information assets through third-party cyber management (TPCM) for the State of Oklahoma. Third-party cyber management is a critical component of the OMES IS information security program, which helps ensure any risk to confidentiality, integrity and/or availability is identified, analyzed and maintained at acceptable levels.

State policy requires the performance of regular security reviews to identify risk and ensure appropriate controls are in place. TPCM security reviews allow the alignment of information security with business objectives and regulatory requirements. Identifying information security risk and control requirements from the onboarding of a vendor is essential and far less costly than retrofitting or addressing the impact of a security incident. Furthermore, these security reviews allow management to prioritize and focus on areas that pose the most significant impact on critical and sensitive information assets, providing the foundation for informed decision-making regarding cybersecurity.

OMES IS considers current and potential vulnerabilities, the current threat landscape and current/future security controls in place in the state's IT infrastructure. These inputs help determine the resulting level of risk posed to information, information systems, processes and individuals that support business functions and the citizens of Oklahoma. While TPCM and related security reviews takes many forms (e.g., security assessments, software reviews, risk analysis platform, configuration analysis, and/or vulnerability scanning and testing), they all have the same goal: to improve overall security posture by identifying and acting on current and potential risk. An entity can never truly eliminate risk but can take steps to mitigate it.

As per OMES IS policy, any vendor that is or will be hosting, storing, transmitting, processing and /or accessing State of Oklahoma data or having direct access to State of Oklahoma information systems on premises must be properly assessed and managed for risk and undergo a TPCM security review as part of its business partnership life cycle.

Purpose

This document establishes the requirement for TPCM security reviews for vendors that are or will be hosting, accessing, processing, transmitting or storing State of Oklahoma data in compliance with OMES IS security policies, standards and procedures.

Definitions

Vendor – Any supplier, contractor, service provider, consultant or any other individual and/or organization external to state government providing services on behalf of, for, or as an agent of state government.

Tier 3: Low criticality – Any system or data intended for public disclosure. The loss of confidentiality, integrity or availability of the system or data would have no adverse impact on safety, finances or reputation.

Tier 2: Medium criticality – Any system or data not generally available to the public. The loss of confidentiality, integrity or availability of the system or data could have a mildly adverse impact on safety, finances or reputation.

Tier 1: High criticality – Any system or data protected by law or regulation (e.g., FTI, CJI, PHI, PII and PCI). The loss of confidentiality, integrity or availability of the data or system could significantly and adversely impact safety, finances or reputation. This type of risk requires the state to self-report to the regulatory entity and/or notify the individual if data is inappropriately accessed.

Standard

The state agency shall categorize data as confidential by system owners, including protected health information and personally identifiable information, in accordance with applicable federal and state laws, directives, standards, guidance, policies and regulations.

OMES IS shall conduct third-party cybersecurity reviews. The review should address the likelihood and magnitude of harm should there be unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the information system and the information it processes, stores or transmits. Additionally, these guidelines apply to risk assessments:

- OMES IS shall document the results of the annual security review.
- OMES IS shall review risk analysis results annually.
- OMES IS shall disseminate security review results to stakeholders.
- OMES IS shall update the third-party security review annually or whenever there are significant changes to the information system or environment of operation (including the identification of new threats and vulnerabilities) or other conditions that may impact the system's security state.

All vendors given access to State of Oklahoma information, information systems or information assets must complete a security review. The purpose of the review is for the State of Oklahoma to identify and manage the risk stemming from the business partnership.

A vendor is required to complete a TPCM security review if hosting, accessing, processing, storing or transmitting State of Oklahoma data. The review is not restricted to a specific service or solution but is for the vendor to be assessed from an internal security standpoint (organizational policies, standards, procedures, guidelines and controls). The security of hardware, software, IT solutions and/or IT services being acquired should have no bearing on the enterprise security controls of the vendor.

Industry-standard assessments and certifications may be used in lieu of the OMES IS review if they are substantially similar in structure and content. The following industry- standard assessments and certifications are approved:

- SIG Lite for Tier 2 and 3 vendors.
- SIG Core for Tier 1, 2 and 3 vendors.
- CSA CAIQ v3.1 for Tier 1, 2 and 3 cloud service providers.
- CSA CCM/CAIQ v4 for Tier 1, 2 and 3 cloud service providers.
- FedRAMP for Tier 1, 2 and 3 cloud service providers.
- StateRAMP for Tier 1, 2 and 3 vendors (preferred for cloud service providers).
- ISO 27001 for Tier 2 and 3 vendors.
- HITRUST for Tier 1, 2, and 3 vendors.
- AICPA SOC 2 Type II for Tier 1, 2, and 3 vendors (Must cover all 5 Trust Services Criteria).
- DoD CMMC 2.0 Level 2 or 3 for Tier 2 and 3 vendors.

Compliance

This standard shall take effect upon publication and is made pursuant to Title 62 O.S. §§ 34.11.1 and 34.12 and Title 62 O.S. § 35.8. OMES IS may amend and publish the amended standards policies and standards at any time. Compliance is expected with all published policies and standards, and any published amendments thereof. Employees found in violation of this standard may be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

Rationale

To coordinate and require central approval of state agency information technology purchases and projects to enable the chief information officer to assess the needs and capabilities of state agencies as well as streamline and consolidate systems to ensure that the state delivers essential public services to its citizens in the most efficient manner at the lowest possible cost to taxpayers.

References

- National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publications: NIST SP 800-53a –Risk Assessment, NIST SP 800-12, NIST SP 800-30, NIST SP 800-39, NIST SP 800-40, NISTSP 800-70, NIST SP 800-100 and NIST SP 800-115; NIST Federal Information Processing Standards 199.
- [Attachment D State of Oklahoma IT Terms.](#)
- [State of Oklahoma Information Security Policy, Procedures, Guidelines.](#)
- [Cloud Security Alliance \(CSA\).](#)
- [Shared Assessments \(SIG\).](#)
- [FedRAMP Authorization Management Program.](#)
- [StateRAMP.](#)
- [International Organization of Standardization \(ISO\).](#)
- [DOD CMMC 2.0.](#)

Revision history

This standard is subject to periodic review to ensure relevancy.

Effective date: 11/06/2020	Review cycle: Annual
Last revised: 04/18/2024	Last reviewed: 08/28/2024
Approved by: Joe McIntosh, Chief Information Officer	