

## **Amendment of Solicitation**

Date of Issuance: 08/08/2017	<b>Solicitation No.</b> <u>0900000274</u>		
Requisition No. 0900009422	Am	endment No. 2	
Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed:	□No	Yes, to: August 23,2017 3PM CST/CDT	
Pursuant to OAC 260:115-7-30(d), this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent.  Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:  (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,  (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.			
ATTN: Cini Zacharia  5005 N. Lincoln Blvd., Ste 200	cini Zacharia contracting C cini.zacharia -Mail Addre	Officer @omes.ok.gov	
or Personal or Common Carrier Delivery:			
,ОК -			
<b>Description of Amendment:</b>			
a. This is to incorporate the following:			
This amendment # 2 is to answer all the wiki questions:  Questions set # 1 through wiki on 07/25/2017			
Question 1:		_	
In section H, the RFP limits answers in Section C to two pages maximum. Since section C asks for "detail" on 7 separate occasions, as well as "a comprehensive view/plan" on several other occasions, can the State either extend or abolish the page count or eliminate the requests for detail, since the page count makes it impossible to comply with the requirements?			
Answer:			
we can extend it to 10 pages.			
Question 2:			
C.5.11 looks to be a repeat of C.5.2. Can C.5.11 be eliminated from the response?			
Answer:			
No.			

#### Question 3:

Section D.4.1, item h. asks for resumes of all personnel who will be involved in the project. Section C.5.2 asks for Key personnel. Rivada expects to have hundreds of people performing duties on this project. Can Section D.4.1 be eliminated or modified to just resumes of key personnel?

## **Answer:**

Agreed, modify to Key personnel only.

## Question 4:

D.4.1 has evaluation criteria that is not part of the C section or any other part of the proposal. These deal mostly with past performance. Does the State require these to be answered (D.4.1 items I to o). If so is it appropriate to answer them in Section 2 of our response as outlined in Section E of the RFP?

#### Answer:

Reply appropriately under each section, responses are expected on all sections as requested.

#### Question 5:

E.11 and E.12 have checkboxes asking yes / no to P-cards and EFT. Where in the response format should we affirm this information?

#### Answer:

With the cost proposal.

## Question: 6

Section G.1 of the RFP references an Exibit I, Table I.3 entitled "Operational Costs". Where can this table be found?

## **Answer:**

The vendor is expected to create the table and populate it with what operational cost they see in their design of the proposed implementation of the broadband service.

## Question 7:

Section G.1 of the RFP references "RFP B2Z08043 terms and conditions". As the RFP also states that the solicitation becomes part of any awarded contract, can this reference removed from the RFP?

## Answer:

No

### Question 8:

Section G.1 makes reference to an Exhibit L. Where can this exhibit be found?

## Answer:

The vendor is expected to create the table and populate it with what operational cost they see in their design of the proposed implementation of the broadband service.

## Questions set #2 Through wiki on 08/02/2017

### Question #1

With respect to "Addendum to Respondent's and/or 3rd Party'2 Pre-Printer Terms and Conditions", the State of Oklahoma makes reference to RFP B2Z08043. This RFP B2Z08043 does not seemingly relate to the Solicitation#: 0900000274.

Vendor respectfully requests that the State of Oklahoma clarify its position with respect to RFP B2Z08043 in the context of Solicitation#: 0900000274?

#### Answer:

we don't understand the question. No changes recommended.

# Questions set #3 Through wiki on 08/04/2017

### Question #1

In order to provide the full detail of the technical solution we would like to submit an appendix that would have a complete discussion of the technical solution; this would be in much greater depth than we can supply in the responses to the questions that have been asked in Section C of the Solicitation. Would the reviewers be amenable to this and read the appendix?

#### Answer:

Yes as long as the appendix is referencing a requirement, appendix cannot be referenced as a response all requirements.

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.	
Supplier Company Name (PRINT)	Date
Authorized Representative Name (PRINT) Title	Authorized Representative Signature