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# Oklahoma Restaurant and All Industry Employment, Earnings and Turnover Comparisons: Executive Report 

## Introduction

While economic conditions at that time of this writing (March 2017) may have altered the landscape somewhat for the restaurant industry, the significance of its employment past decade growth should not be marginalized. In recent years, a variety of stakeholders, restaurant interest groups and workforce and commerce analysts have noted restaurant employment increases. The previous analysis included public employers reported that restaurant employment growth over the last decade increased faster than an aggregate of all industries' employment. This was found to be true both statewide and for three of Oklahoma's four Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA), with the exception of Enid MSA. This analysis examines restaurant industry employment, employee average monthly earnings and employee turnover trends by the employee characteristics of age and sex, comparing these changes with the same items in the all industries aggregate.

The following analysis uses data from the Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) program, which is part of the Center for Economic Studies at the U.S. Census Bureau. Oklahoma private employer data for employee characteristics for NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places and for the all industries aggregate, were extracted and analyzed for the 10 -year period from 1st quarter 2006 to 4th quarter 2015, when the quarter was available. Oklahoma geological areas of statewide and each of the four MSAs were also extracted and examined.

## Summary of Employment Findings

Oklahoma statewide employment for the All ages (Ages 14 to 99) age group of the restaurant industry grew at a larger percentage than all industries over the 10 -year period. The oldest two groups, Ages 55 to 64 and 65 to 99 , grew the largest percentage in both industries and had their largest percent increases after the recession. The restaurant industry included the age group with the largest percent growth of 76.1 percent in Ages 55 to 64 .

Both statewide and in Oklahoma's four MSAs, employment in All ages (Ages 14 to 99) of the restaurant industry grew at a larger percent than all industries over the 10 -year period. Table A below, shows this percent change in employment for statewide and the four MSA geographical areas.

Table A: Oklahoma All ages (Ages 14 to 99) 10-Year Employment Percent Change, by Georgraphic Area

| Industry | Statewide <br> Percent Change | Oklahoma City MSA Percent Change | Tulsa MSA Percent Change | Lawton MSA Percent Change | Enid MSA Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restaurant (NAICS 7225) | 16.9 | 21.5 | 15.9 | 24.8 | 31.7 |
| All industry | 8.8 | 12.2 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 15.1 |
| Differences | 8.1 | 9.3 | 9 | 18.5 | 16.6 |

The largest 10 -year employment change in the restaurant industry and all industries was in Enid MSA, with percent changes of 31.7 percent and 15.1 percent, respectively. The largest percentage difference between the two industries' growth was in the Lawton MSA with an 18.5 percent difference.

In four Oklahoma MSAs, employment grew the largest percent for both industries in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and 65 to 99 . The growth in three of the MSAs showed the same pattern of percentage growth as the statewide: that of the restaurant industry growing the largest percent in the former age group and all industries growing the largest percent in the latter. However, in Lawton MSA the employment also grew the most in the older age group, an astounding 174.4 percent growth.

In this 10 -year analysis for the employee characteristic of sex, restaurant and all industries employment was analyzed by the three groups of 'male', 'female' and 'both male and female', for statewide and four Oklahoma MSAs. Restaurant employment for male increased a larger percent than restaurant female and also increased a larger percent than both male and female in all industries. This was also true in all four of Oklahoma MSA geographical areas, with the largest percent growth for both male and female in Enid MSA, with male growing by 46.5 percent and the female group growing by 21.8 percent.

## Summary of Earnings Findings

Oklahoma statewide restaurant average monthly earnings increase for All ages (14 to 99) was 28.5 percent, while all industry earnings grew by 34.0 percent for all ages age group. The oldest age group of Ages 65 to 99 had the largest percent earnings growth in both industries, with the next largest percent earnings increase in restaurant in Ages 22 to 24 age group. All industries had their second largest earnings percent increase in the Ages 55 to 64 age group, over the 10 -years examined.

A comparison of the 10-year average statewide monthly earnings percent change in the two industries in the four Oklahoma MSA areas, for our nine age groups, identified similar patterns of growth in three of the MSAs. All industry earnings growth in the statewide and the three metropolitan areas of Oklahoma City MSA, Tulsa MSA and the Enid MSA determined that the first and second largest earnings percent growth was in the Ages 65 to 99 and Ages 55 to 64 age groups, respectively. The restaurant very oldest age group also grew the largest percent in the statewide and these same three MSA, with the younger Ages 22 to 24 age group being the second highest in earnings growth.

In the remaining Lawton MSA, all industries also had the oldest and second oldest age group as first and second in percent earnings growth. However in this MSA the restaurant industry had the highest percent earnings in the second oldest age group of Ages 55 to 65 , and the second highest earnings increase in the youngest Ages 14 to 18 age group, not the Ages 22 to 24 age group noted above.

Displayed in Table B below is the 10-year percent change in average monthly earnings for all ages ( 14 to 99) in Oklahoma statewide and the four Oklahoma MSA geographical areas.

Table B: Oklahoma All ages (Ages 14 to 99) 10-Year Earnings ${ }^{1}$ Percent Change, by Georgraphic Area

|  | Statewide <br> Percent Change | Oklahoma City <br> MSA Percent <br> Change | Tulsa MSA <br> Percent Change | Lawton MSA <br> Percent Change | Enid MSA <br> Percent Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Industry | 28.5 | 28.0 | 25.4 | 23.8 | 46.5 |
| Restaurant (NAICS 7225) | 34.0 | 38.6 | 27.2 | 21.3 | 31.7 |
| All industry | -5.5 | -10.6 | -1.8 | 2.5 | 14.8 |
| Differences |  |  |  |  |  |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
All industry earnings increased more than restaurant in the statewide, Oklahoma City MSA and the Tulsa MSA, while all industry earnings increase less than restaurant in the Lawton MSA and Enid MSA.

In this 10 -year analysis for the employee characteristic of sex, restaurant and all industries earnings was analyzed by the three groups of 'male', 'female' and 'both male and female', for statewide and four Oklahoma MSAs. In statewide and in the three MSA geographical areas of Oklahoma City MSA, Tulsa MSA and Enid MSA all three groups' earnings increasing more in all industries than in their restaurant counterparts. However in the remaining Lawton MSA, in all three groups the restaurant earnings increased at a larger percent than their counter parts in all industries.

Female restaurant earnings increased a larger percent than restaurant male in statewide and in all four Oklahoma MSAs. However, all industries female earnings only increased a larger percent than all industries male earnings in the statewide and in the Tulsa MSA.

## Summary of Turnover Findings

Restaurant turnover trends are of interest, due to the traditional difficulties the industry often has with staff departures. The 10 -year comparison of statewide restaurant turnover by age group determined that all nine ages groups showed larger decline (improvement) than their all industries counterparts. The highest rate of restaurant staff turnover decrease was in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 . This is noteworthy, because this is also the two restaurant age groups that experienced the largest employment percent increases, with the 65 to 99 age group also experiencing the highest percent of earnings increases. Table C below, shows this percent change in turnover for statewide and these four MSA geographical areas.

Table C: Oklahoma All ages (Ages 14 to 99) 10-Year Turnover ${ }^{1}$ Percent Change, by Georgraphic Area

| Industry | Statewide Percent Change | Oklahoma City MSA Percent Change | Tulsa MSA Percent Change | Lawton MSA Percent Change | Enid MSA Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restaurant (NAICS 7225) | -11.0 | -11.2 | -9.8 | -6.3 | -11.9 |
| All industry | -5.2 | -6.0 | -5.4 | 4.9 | 16.5 |
| Differences | -5.8 | -5.2 | -4.4 | -11.2 | -28.4 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).

A comparison by age group of the statewide 10-year restaurant turnover with those of all industries and in the four Oklahoma MSAs determined that all ages (14 to 99) turnover decreased or improved in restaurant over that of all industries for the same area. The highest turnover rate decrease was in Enid MSA, showing an 11.9 percent decline for all ages, while all industries showed the largest turnover increase of 16.5 percent for all ages.

While the turnover rates in restaurant decreased over that of all industries in all nine statewide age groups, a large majority of age groups in each of the state's four MSAs also did the same. Oklahoma City MSA had eight age groups with turnover decreasing more than all industries, Tulsa MSA had seven age groups with turnover decreasing more than all industries, Lawton MSA had eight age groups with turnover decreasing over that of all industries and Enid MSA having only eight age groups with determinable data, experiencing six age groups with restaurant turnover decreasing over that of all industries for the same age group.

In this 10 -year analysis for the employee characteristic of sex, restaurant and all industries turnover was analyzed by the three groups of 'male', 'female' and 'both male and female', for statewide and four Oklahoma MSAs. In statewide and in all four metropolitan areas the three groups' turnovers declined more in restaurant industry than in their counterparts in all industries, or restaurant turnover declined while all industry turnover for the same group increased. Restaurant female turnover declined more than male in the Oklahoma City MSA, Lawton MSA and Enid MSAs, while the reverse was true in statewide and Tulsa MSA. The area with the largest group turnover decline was Enid MSA, where restaurant female declined 18.0 percent.

## Section I: Oklahoma Restaurant and All Industry Employment, Earnings and Turnovers Comparisons

## Introduction

As noted in our previous report comparing the 10-year trend of "Oklahoma Restaurant Employment and All Industry Employment", in recent years state and national restaurant associations have noticed employment increases in their industry, an increase also observed by state restaurant establishment managers, workforce analysts and commerce analysts. The previous analysis established and reported that over the 10 -year period restaurant industry employment increased faster than an aggregate of all industries' employment. This was determined to be true statewide and in all Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA), with the exception of Enid MSA. It was also determined to be true, that this increase in restaurant employment was not due to increased establishment size, but was related to an increase in the number of establishments in the geographical areas. It was therefore determined that it might be informative to look at restaurant industry employment, employee average weekly earnings and employee turnover trends by the employee characteristics of age and sex, comparing these changes with the same items in the all industries aggregate.

The following analysis uses data from the Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) program, which is part of the Center for Economic Studies at the U.S. Census Bureau. Oklahoma data for employee characteristics for NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places and for the all industries aggregate were extracted and analyzed for the 10-year period from 1st quarter 2006 to 4th quarter 2015, when the quarter was available. This analysis examines employment, employee earnings and employee turnovers as they differ in the restaurant and all industries aggregate, by the employee characteristics of age and sex; in the Oklahoma geographic regions of statewide, the four state metropolitan areas, of Oklahoma City MSA, Tulsa MSA, Lawton MSA and Enid MSA. The results are summarized in this report.

This analysis will attempt to answer three specific research questions. First, do employment, employee earnings and employee turnover differ in the restaurant industry and the all industries aggregate by the employee characteristics of age and sex? Second, if they do differ by age and sex, which specific groups having these characteristics differ? Third, if differences are discovered, do these differences exist statewide and persist across all four state MSAs?

## Statewide Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Turnovers by the Employee Characteristic of Age: 2006-2015

The statewide data for employment, employee earnings and employee age are first displayed in tables by annual changes. On the next page, Table 1 shows the restaurant and all industries aggregate employment annual changes from 2006 to 2015, for the nine age groups All Ages (14-99), Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21, Ages 22 to 24, Ages 25 to 34, Ages 35 to 44, Ages 45 to 54, Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 . The overall percent change for each age group during the 10 -year period is also provided in Table 1.

| Table 1, Oklahoma: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages (14 } \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | Ages 14 to 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | Ages 45 to 54 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 97,782 | 19,218 | 16,875 | 12,323 | 20,520 | 13,059 | 9,099 | 4,014 | 2,675 |
| 2007 | 99,345 | 19,525 | 16,077 | 12,206 | 21,212 | 13,526 | 9,593 | 4,308 | 2,897 |
| 2008 | 104,381 | 20,628 | 16,060 | 12,358 | 23,010 | 14,198 | 10,421 | 4,651 | 3,054 |
| 2009 | 105,806 | 19,034 | 16,258 | 12,596 | 24,003 | 14,329 | 11,133 | 5,089 | 3,365 |
| 2010 | 100,378 | 14,733 | 16,188 | 12,351 | 23,944 | 13,662 | 10,907 | 5,127 | 3,466 |
| 2011 | 100,445 | 14,236 | 16,369 | 12,185 | 24,129 | 13,711 | 10,794 | 5,414 | 3,606 |
| 2012 | 104,405 | 14,787 | 16,625 | 12,557 | 25,289 | 14,422 | 11,258 | 5,713 | 3,754 |
| 2013 | 107,909 | 15,382 | 16,830 | 12,944 | 26,099 | 15,086 | 11,490 | 6,089 | 3,990 |
| 2014 | 110,616 | 15,962 | 16,014 | 13,443 | 26,761 | 15,779 | 11,867 | 6,626 | 4,165 |
| 2015 | 114,296 | 17,382 | 16,047 | 13,427 | 27,009 | 16,768 | 12,140 | 7,069 | 4,454 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 16.9 | -9.6 | -4.9 | 9.0 | 31.6 | 28.4 | 33.4 | 76.1 | 66.5 |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1,156,820 | 44,274 | 76,747 | 87,302 | 258,419 | 257,787 | 253,708 | 135,680 | 42,902 |
| 2007 | 1,175,934 | 45,470 | 75,745 | 87,435 | 265,098 | 255,952 | 257,563 | 142,675 | 45,996 |
| 2008 | 1,208,990 | 46,841 | 74,903 | 87,572 | 275,781 | 257,710 | 265,164 | 150,900 | 50,117 |
| 2009 | 1,191,359 | 43,024 | 71,220 | 83,883 | 275,129 | 248,237 | 261,998 | 155,486 | 52,382 |
| 2010 | 1,123,288 | 33,218 | 65,266 | 76,896 | 260,437 | 232,314 | 249,369 | 154,251 | 51,539 |
| 2011 | 1,148,984 | 30,990 | 67,440 | 77,366 | 269,498 | 236,753 | 250,463 | 163,027 | 53,448 |
| 2012 | 1,183,822 | 31,617 | 68,468 | 80,039 | 278,083 | 244,194 | 251,705 | 171,104 | 58,613 |
| 2013 | 1,205,712 | 32,168 | 69,082 | 82,126 | 283,880 | 249,180 | 249,001 | 177,698 | 62,578 |
| 2014 | 1,228,168 | 33,328 | 68,167 | 84,873 | 288,659 | 253,817 | 248,845 | 184,142 | 66,337 |
| 2015 | 1,259,184 | 36,178 | 68,522 | 86,721 | 296,285 | 261,949 | 247,572 | 191,727 | 70,231 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 8.8 | -18.3 | -10.7 | -0.7 | 14.7 | 1.6 | -2.4 | 41.3 | 63.7 |

Note $^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
In Table 1, the employment for seven restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10-year period and decreased for two age groups, while employment increased for the five groups the all industries aggregate and decreased for four groups. The percent change in employment for the restaurant industry was either larger than the all industries aggregate or in the two groups where restaurant employment decreased, it decreased less than all industries. The change in restaurant employment was largest in the three oldest age groups of Ages 45 to 54, Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99. For all ages ( 14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 16.9 percent while all industries increased only 8.8 percent, with all industries also experiencing their largest employment increases in the two oldest age groups.

On the next page, Chart 1 displays the restaurant industry 10-year quarterly change (1st quarter 2006 to 4th quarter 2015) for the four youngest age groups, ages 14 through 34, while below it Chart 2 displays the change for these four age groups for all industries, with all age groups indexed to 100 .

Chart 1: Oklahoma, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


Chart 2: Oklahoma, All Industries Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 =100


The youngest age group of Ages 14 to 18 in both the restaurant industry and all industries grew at a lower rate over the 10 -years than other age groups, displaying volatile up and down changes between many
quarters. However the restaurant industry employment in this youngest age group returns almost to index 100 by 2015, and the employment in the oldest age group grew at a higher rate than all industries. Charts 3 and 4 display the four older age groups, ages 35 to 99 , in comparison.

Chart 3: Oklahoma, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


Chart 4: Oklahoma, All Industries Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Groups - Index: $\mathrm{Q} 1 / 2006=100$


In both the restaurant industry and all industries the employment in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 grew the fastest, with the former age group growing fastest in the restaurant industry and the latter age group growing fastest in all industries: especially so after the recession. However, in both industries, these two older age groups grew faster in the restaurant industry than in all industries. Apparently after the recession employment in both industries advanced in older age groups, while the employment in the two youngest age groups of Ages 14 to 18 and Ages 19 to 21 decreased or remained relatively the same.

Table 2 (below) displays the change in 'employee average monthly earnings', by each of the nine age groups.

| Table 2, Okla | ahoma: All Ind by Employee | ustries and Age Group | $\text { AICS } 7225 \mathrm{Re}$ | taurants and | Other Eating | Places Aver | age Monthly | $\text { Earnings }{ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | yee Age Gro | ps |  |  |  |
|  | All ages ${ }^{(14}$ $-99)^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years |  |  |  | Resta | urant NAICS | 225 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 903 | 438 | 651 | 813 | 1,055 | 1,307 | 1,375 | 1,269 | 969 |
| 2007 | 909 | 431 | 657 | 810 | 1,046 | 1,285 | 1,387 | 1,269 | 1,052 |
| 2008 | 965 | 460 | 695 | 865 | 1,114 | 1,345 | 1,432 | 1,355 | 1,121 |
| 2009 | 981 | 475 | 702 | 866 | 1,108 | 1,338 | 1,430 | 1,354 | 1,165 |
| 2010 | 1,009 | 496 | 709 | 882 | 1,105 | 1,352 | 1,422 | 1,424 | 1,132 |
| 2011 | 1,031 | 492 | 732 | 900 | 1,135 | 1,372 | 1,450 | 1,409 | 1,157 |
| 2012 | 1,099 | 518 | 791 | 973 | 1,211 | 1,435 | 1,513 | 1,509 | 1,266 |
| 2013 | 1,096 | 509 | 782 | 981 | 1,207 | 1,418 | 1,509 | 1,526 | 1,295 |
| 2014 | 1,120 | 515 | 796 | 1,016 | 1,226 | 1,438 | 1,522 | 1,590 | 1,269 |
| 2015 | 1,160 | 528 | 833 | 1,060 | 1,280 | 1,483 | 1,566 | 1,611 | 1,358 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 28.5 | 20.5 | 28.0 | 30.4 | 21.3 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 27.0 | 40.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2,756 | 500 | 922 | 1,405 | 2,267 | 3,099 | 3,862 | 3,765 | 2,275 |
| 2007 | 2,829 | 503 | 982 | 1,486 | 2,374 | 3,199 | 3,895 | 3,778 | 2,387 |
| 2008 | 2,948 | 530 | 1,024 | 1,553 | 2,473 | 3,325 | 4,003 | 3,969 | 2,573 |
| 2009 | 2,870 | 538 | 1,013 | 1,562 | 2,450 | 3,236 | 3,764 | 3,833 | 2,567 |
| 2010 | 2,901 | 545 | 969 | 1,467 | 2,426 | 3,257 | 3,811 | 3,862 | 2,542 |
| 2011 | 3,113 | 547 | 985 | 1,550 | 2,550 | 3,461 | 4,121 | 4,229 | 2,740 |
| 2012 | 3,419 | 580 | 1,080 | 1,699 | 2,809 | 3,805 | 4,469 | 4,711 | 3,099 |
| 2013 | 3,494 | 574 | 1,109 | 1,768 | 2,889 | 3,911 | 4,522 | 4,816 | 3,187 |
| 2014 | 3,624 | 579 | 1,134 | 1,756 | 2,993 | 4,061 | 4,689 | 5,016 | 3,377 |
| 2015 | 3,693 | 587 | 1,142 | 1,811 | 3,085 | 4,151 | 4,715 | 5,130 | 3,467 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 34.0 | 17.4 | 23.9 | 28.9 | 36.1 | 33.9 | 22.1 | 36.3 | 52.4 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Over 10-years, restaurant employee earnings had a greater percent increase than all industries in only three age groups, Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21 and Ages 22 to 24 . The largest percent of earnings increase for both industries was Ages 65 to 99 . It should be noted that the restaurant industry is known for paying lower salaries than most industries. However, over the 10 -years restaurant employee earnings displayed increases averaging more than 2 percent annually for All Ages (14 to 99). Turnover rates are next considered.

Table 3, Oklahoma: All Industries and NAICS NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turn-over ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group


Notet: First Quarete Emplove T Troverer Ssable).
Note: Rounding may sighty yffect toals.
Note: Perent change over the ten years.
Table 3 is not indexed, and shows the original annual turnover rates for each age group. A more complete description for "First Quarter Employee Turnover" is: The rate at which stable jobs begin and end. It is calculated by summing the number of stable hires in the reference quarter and stable separations in the next quarter, and dividing by the average full-quarter employment ${ }^{1}$. It should be noted that age group Ages 14 to 18 consist of many seasonal employees, who may leave when the school term begins, thus may show differing turnover trends than other groups, because of this reason.

It is well known that restaurants have comparatively high staff turnover rates. However, the table shows that over the 10 -year period in all 9 age groups examined, restaurant turnover rates improved or decreased more rapidly than they did for all industries for the same age group. The highest rate of restaurant staff turnover improvement (decrease) was in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99. This is noteworthy, because this is also the two restaurant age groups that experienced the largest employment percent increases, with the 65 to 99 age group also experiencing the highest percent of earnings increases.

## Statewide Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Turnovers by the Employee Characteristic of Sex: 2006-2015

| Table 4, Oklahoma: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br>  <br> Female <br> Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 43,139 | 54,644 | 97,782 | 611,783 | 545,037 | 1,156,820 |
| 2007 | 43,747 | 55,598 | 99,345 | 619,711 | 556,223 | 1,175,934 |
| 2008 | 45,959 | 58,422 | 104,381 | 638,888 | 570,102 | 1,208,990 |
| 2009 | 47,013 | 58,793 | 105,806 | 626,526 | 564,833 | 1,191,359 |
| 2010 | 45,299 | 55,079 | 100,378 | 582,597 | 540,690 | 1,123,288 |
| 2011 | 45,155 | 55,290 | 100,445 | 605,079 | 543,905 | 1,148,984 |
| 2012 | 46,797 | 57,608 | 104,405 | 629,582 | 554,240 | 1,183,822 |
| 2013 | 48,956 | 58,952 | 107,909 | 645,504 | 560,209 | 1,205,712 |
| 2014 | 50,272 | 60,344 | 110,616 | 658,066 | 570,102 | 1,228,168 |
| 2015 | 52,298 | 61,998 | 114,296 | 674,599 | 584,585 | 1,259,184 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 21.2 | 13.5 | 16.9 | 10.3 | 7.3 | 8.8 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Restaurant employment by both sexes grew at a larger percent over the 10-year period than all industries, with restaurant male employment growing faster than female ( 21.2 percent to 13.5 percent).

| Table 5, Oklahoma: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 1,035 | 800 | 903 | 3,484 | 1,939 | 2,756 |
| 2007 | 1,037 | 807 | 909 | 3,557 | 2,018 | 2,829 |
| 2008 | 1,089 | 868 | 965 | 3,710 | 2,094 | 2,948 |
| 2009 | 1,097 | 889 | 981 | 3,566 | 2,097 | 2,870 |
| 2010 | 1,122 | 917 | 1,009 | 3,618 | 2,128 | 2,901 |
| 2011 | 1,147 | 937 | 1,031 | 3,894 | 2,241 | 3,113 |
| 2012 | 1,210 | 1,008 | 1,099 | 4,286 | 2,435 | 3,419 |
| 2013 | 1,198 | 1,012 | 1,096 | 4,347 | 2,511 | 3,494 |
| 2014 | 1,223 | 1,035 | 1,120 | 4,523 | 2,586 | 3,624 |
| 2015 | 1,259 | 1,077 | 1,160 | 4,578 | 2,668 | 3,693 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 21.6 | 34.6 | 28.5 | 31.4 | 37.6 | 34.0 |

Note $^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

In all three groupings of male, female and 'both male and female' all industries earnings grew at a larger rate than the restaurant industry over the 10 -year period. In the restaurant industry, female earnings grew at a higher rate than male ( 34.6 percent to 21.6 percent), but did not increase enough to match the monthly average of male by 2015. Below Table 6 gives the employee turnover for these same two industries.

| Table 6, Oklahoma: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turn-over ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female <br> Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 0.217 | 0.203 | 0.209 | 0.112 | 0.120 | 0.116 |
| 2007 | 0.215 | 0.205 | 0.210 | 0.112 | 0.121 | 0.116 |
| 2008 | 0.214 | 0.206 | 0.210 | 0.112 | 0.120 | 0.116 |
| 2009 | 0.196 | 0.186 | 0.191 | 0.105 | 0.110 | 0.108 |
| 2010 | 0.170 | 0.163 | 0.166 | 0.096 | 0.103 | 0.099 |
| 2011 | 0.183 | 0.178 | 0.180 | 0.102 | 0.106 | 0.104 |
| 2012 | 0.182 | 0.179 | 0.180 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.103 |
| 2013 | 0.193 | 0.183 | 0.188 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.103 |
| 2014 | 0.186 | 0.178 | 0.182 | 0.102 | 0.109 | 0.105 |
| 2015 | 0.192 | 0.180 | 0.186 | 0.107 | 0.113 | 0.110 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -11.5 | -11.3 | -11.0 | -4.5 | -5.8 | -5.2 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 6 shows the three sex groups in the restaurant industry over the 10-years decreased their turnover rates at a higher percent than did all industries. However, in the restaurant industry both sexes had virtually identical decreases in percent, with male decreasing 11.5 percent and the female 11.3 percent.

## Summary of Statewide Restaurant and All Industry 10-Year Trends

The employment of the 'all ages' group of the restaurant industry grew at a larger percent than all industries over the 10 -year period, with the oldest two groups, Ages 55 to 64 and 65 to 99 , growing the largest percentage in both industries and having the largest percent increases after the recession. The restaurant industry had the age group with the largest percent growth of 76.1 percent in Ages 55 to 64 .

Only three younger restaurant industry age groups had higher 10-year earnings increase percent than all industries, Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21 and Ages 22 to 24 . The largest earnings percent increase in both industries was in Ages 65 to 99.

Turnovers, always a problem in the restaurant industry, showed a remarkable percent decrease over the 10 -years, with only Ages 22 to 24 having less than all industries turnovers decrease.

When comparing the restaurant industry to all industries by sex, male restaurant employment increased at a larger percent than female of either industry and larger than male of all industries. Female restaurant earnings increased a larger percent than male of either industry, but not more than female of all industries and not enough to match male weekly earnings in their industry; and turnovers in restaurant of female, male and 'both male and female' decreased more than their counterpart in all industries.

## Section II: Oklahoma City Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) Restaurant and All Industry Employment, Earnings and Turnovers Comparisons

In this section the analysis also uses data from the Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) program, as was used in in the Oklahoma statewide section. Data for employee characteristics for NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places and for the all industries aggregate were extracted and analyzed for the 10 -year period from 1st quarter 2006 to 4th quarter 2015 for Oklahoma City Metropolitan Statistically Area (MSA), whenever the data for the quarter were available. The employee characteristics of age and sex are examined for both industries in a comparative look at their employment, earnings and turnovers. The remaining three sections after this one for Tulsa MSA, Lawton MSA and Enid MSA also use this same data in an analysis to see if the statewide data, also holds true for all four state MSAs.

## Oklahoma City MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Trends by the Employee Characteristic of Age: 2006-2015

Table 7 below shows the restaurant and all industries aggregate employment annual changes from 2006 to 2015, for the nine age groups of: All Ages (14-99), Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21, Ages 22 to 24, Ages 25 to 34, Ages 35 to 44, Ages 45 to 54, Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 . The overall percent change for each age group during the 10 -year period is also provided in the table.

| Table 7, 0 | klahoma: All In by Employee | dustries and Age Group | NAICS 7225 | staurants a | and Other Eati | g Places Em | loyment ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Empl | oyee Age Grour | ups |  |  |  |
|  | All ages $-99)^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | Ages 45 to 54 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years |  |  |  | Resta | urant NAICS | 7225 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 39,814 | 6,900 | 7,122 | 5,467 | 8,699 | 5,246 | 3,651 | 1,531 | 1,197 |
| 2007 | 39,494 | 7,154 | 6,509 | 5,244 | 8,650 | 5,284 | 3,784 | 1,634 | 1,234 |
| 2008 | 42,001 | 7,537 | 6,578 | 5,331 | 9,544 | 5,646 | 4,176 | 1,813 | 1,377 |
| 2009 | 43,438 | 7,345 | 6,647 | 5,482 | 10,015 | 5,799 | 4,580 | 2,066 | 1,505 |
| 2010 | 41,927 | 5,726 | 6,681 | 5,352 | 10,239 | 5,668 | 4,531 | 2,151 | 1,580 |
| 2011 | 42,078 | 5,641 | 6,739 | 5,228 | 10,352 | 5,654 | 4,521 | 2,334 | 1,610 |
| 2012 | 43,672 | 5,849 | 6,783 | 5,348 | 10,639 | 6,103 | 4,726 | 2,475 | 1,750 |
| 2013 | 45,248 | 6,315 | 6,852 | 5,528 | 10,954 | 6,321 | 4,796 | 2,673 | 1,809 |
| 2014 | 47,125 | 6,525 | 6,598 | 5,811 | 11,521 | 6,710 | 5,096 | 2,936 | 1,928 |
| 2015 | 48,361 | 6,930 | 6,615 | 5,851 | 11,603 | 7,048 | 5,164 | 3,109 | 2,041 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 21.5 | 0.4 | -7.1 | 7.0 | 33.4 | 34.3 | 41.4 | 103.1 | 70.5 |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 441,590 | 15,741 | 30,219 | 35,718 | 102,496 | 97,459 | 94,423 | 49,600 | 15,934 |
| 2007 | 441,829 | 16,240 | 29,060 | 35,177 | 103,762 | 94,997 | 94,624 | 51,196 | 16,773 |
| 2008 | 456,204 | 16,602 | 28,530 | 35,003 | 108,320 | 96,423 | 97,878 | 55,072 | 18,376 |
| 2009 | 454,838 | 15,876 | 27,288 | 33,926 | 109,658 | 93,963 | 97,648 | 57,169 | 19,310 |
| 2010 | 433,781 | 12,286 | 25,496 | 31,382 | 105,761 | 89,592 | 93,515 | 56,841 | 18,908 |
| 2011 | 444,374 | 11,638 | 26,346 | 31,198 | 110,188 | 91,567 | 93,632 | 60,072 | 19,732 |
| 2012 | 459,953 | 12,046 | 26,743 | 32,513 | 113,665 | 95,453 | 94,235 | 63,481 | 21,817 |
| 2013 | 470,718 | 12,531 | 27,091 | 33,378 | 116,715 | 97,617 | 93,622 | 66,329 | 23,434 |
| 2014 | 479,301 | 12,943 | 26,747 | 34,180 | 118,182 | 99,545 | 93,936 | 68,698 | 25,071 |
| 2015 | 496,244 | 13,921 | 26,711 | 34,760 | 121,223 | 104,023 | 95,240 | 73,332 | 27,034 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 12.4 | -11.6 | -11.6 | -2.7 | 18.3 | 6.7 | 0.9 | 47.8 | 69.7 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note $^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

In Table 7, the employment for eight restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10-year period and decreased for one age group, while employment increased in six groups of the all industries aggregate and decreased for three groups. The percent change in employment for the restaurant industry was either larger than the all industries aggregate or in the one group where restaurant employment decreased, it decreased less than all industries. The change in restaurant employment was largest in the three oldest age groups of Ages 45 to 54, Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 . For all ages ( 14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 21.9 percent compared to all industries 12.4 percent, with all industries also experiencing their largest employment increases in the two oldest age groups. Thus the employment changes for the two industries in the Oklahoma City MSA resembled their relative changes in the Statewide Oklahoma, only both experienced larger employment percent growth in the Oklahoma City MSA.

Below, Chart 5 displays the restaurant industry 10-year quarterly change (1st quarter 2006 to 4 th quarter 2015) for the four youngest age groups, ages 14 through 34 and All ages (14-99), while on the next page Chart 6 displays the change for these same age groups for all industries, with all age groups indexed to 100.

Chart 5: Oklahoma City MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Group - Index: $\mathrm{Q} 1 / 2006=100$


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.

Chart 5 shows that the largest restaurant employment increase in these four younger age groups was in the Age 25 to 34 group, with an over $30 \%$ increase in employment.

On the next page, Chart 6 displays the all industries employment 10 -year changes for the same four age groups, along with the All ages (14 to 99 ) group.

Chart 6: Oklahoma City MSA, All Industries Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.
The growth rate in the next to the youngest age group of Ages 19 to 21 in both the restaurant industry and all industries remained below the 100 index during most quarters, especially after the recession, decreasing across the 10 -years. During most quarters of the period the age group Ages 25 to 34 in both industries grew the most. Chart 7 below, displays the four older restaurant age groups, Ages 35 to 99 .

Chart 7: Oklahoma City MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


Chart 8 below shows the same four age groups for a comparison with the restaurant industry in Chart 7, on the previous page.

Chart 8: Oklahoma City MSA, All Industries Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.
In the restaurant industry and all industries the employment in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 grew the fastest, with the former age growing fastest in the restaurant industry and the latter age group growing fastest in all industries: especially so after the recession. However, in both industries, these two older age groups grew faster in the restaurant industry than in all industries. Apparently after the recession employment in both industries advanced in older age groups, while the employment in the two youngest age groups of Ages 14 to 18 and Ages 19 to 21 decreased or remained relatively the same.

Table 8 on the next page, displays the change in 'employee average monthly earnings', by each of the nine age groups.

| Table 8, Oklahoma City: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages } \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\| 14$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 953 | 468 | 678 | 848 | 1,099 | 1,384 | 1,429 | 1,294 | 995 |
| 2007 | 963 | 452 | 690 | 850 | 1,099 | 1,359 | 1,451 | 1,381 | 1,138 |
| 2008 | 1,008 | 476 | 721 | 910 | 1,160 | 1,379 | 1,468 | 1,372 | 1,188 |
| 2009 | 1,029 | 493 | 733 | 907 | 1,162 | 1,388 | 1,473 | 1,391 | 1,292 |
| 2010 | 1,033 | 504 | 725 | 903 | 1,130 | 1,375 | 1,435 | 1,421 | 1,175 |
| 2011 | 1,067 | 493 | 762 | 927 | 1,179 | 1,414 | 1,471 | 1,421 | 1,245 |
| 2012 | 1,139 | 522 | 814 | 995 | 1,268 | 1,476 | 1,530 | 1,508 | 1,361 |
| 2013 | 1,140 | 516 | 806 | 1,017 | 1,264 | 1,461 | 1,566 | 1,541 | 1,392 |
| 2014 | 1,182 | 530 | 832 | 1,075 | 1,303 | 1,508 | 1,569 | 1,642 | 1,347 |
| 2015 | 1,220 | 540 | 872 | 1,104 | 1,354 | 1,551 | 1,607 | 1,680 | 1,430 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 28.0 | 15.4 | 28.6 | 30.2 | 23.2 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 29.8 | 43.7 |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2,745 | 527 | 916 | 1,421 | 2,303 | 3,101 | 3,846 | 3,758 | 2,338 |
| 2007 | 2,844 | 519 | 981 | 1,502 | 2,428 | 3,201 | 3,949 | 3,807 | 2,524 |
| 2008 | 3,034 | 539 | 989 | 1,542 | 2,537 | 3,393 | 4,207 | 4,157 | 2,774 |
| 2009 | 2,948 | 553 | 1,030 | 1,589 | 2,548 | 3,314 | 3,929 | 3,933 | 2,669 |
| 2010 | 3,027 | 556 | 1,009 | 1,480 | 2,553 | 3,384 | 4,051 | 4,069 | 2,723 |
| 2011 | 3,218 | 552 | 983 | 1,608 | 2,656 | 3,571 | 4,330 | 4,436 | 2,898 |
| 2012 | 3,516 | 581 | 1,050 | 1,725 | 2,943 | 3,916 | 4,648 | 4,902 | 3,192 |
| 2013 | 3,558 | 565 | 1,083 | 1,820 | 3,012 | 3,984 | 4,602 | 4,973 | 3,325 |
| 2014 | 3,668 | 584 | 1,101 | 1,716 | 3,112 | 4,148 | 4,729 | 5,103 | 3,521 |
| 2015 | 3,805 | 593 | 1,105 | 1,777 | 3,246 | 4,348 | 4,762 | 5,351 | 3,611 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 38.6 | 12.5 | 20.6 | 25.1 | 40.9 | 40.2 | 23.8 | 42.4 | 54.4 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Table 8 displays that over the 10 -years the average monthly earnings of only the three restaurant age groups of Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21 and Ages 22 to 24 increased more than that of all industries. The greatest earnings increase for both industries was the oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99. The average monthly earnings increase for restaurant all ages ( 14 to 99 years) over the 10 -years was 28.0 percent, while the average monthly earnings increase for all industries for this period was 38.6 percent. The largest percent of earnings increase for both industries was Ages 65 to 99. It should be noted that the restaurant industry is known for paying lower salaries than most industries, with their employees' earnings much less than in all industries. In comparing the amounts of each in the first quarter of 2015, six of the nine age groups the restaurant average monthly earnings were less than half the amounts of all industries

Turnover rates are considered on the next page in Table 9.

| Table 9, Oklahoma City: All Industries and NAICS NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turnover ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages }(14 \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | Ages 45 to 54 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.205 | 0.306 | 0.246 | 0.211 | 0.188 | 0.157 | 0.129 | 0.128 | 0.154 |
| 2007 | 0.208 | 0.298 | 0.249 | 0.219 | 0.196 | 0.161 | 0.132 | 0.125 | 0.156 |
| 2008 | 0.200 | 0.299 | 0.244 | 0.210 | 0.190 | 0.146 | 0.126 | 0.116 | 0.151 |
| 2009 | 0.184 | 0.265 | 0.225 | 0.201 | 0.182 | 0.145 | 0.114 | 0.112 | 0.114 |
| 2010 | 0.168 | 0.241 | 0.211 | 0.184 | 0.168 | 0.133 | 0.110 | 0.088 | 0.115 |
| 2011 | 0.179 | 0.275 | 0.222 | 0.195 | 0.172 | 0.144 | 0.118 | 0.101 | 0.129 |
| 2012 | 0.176 | 0.275 | 0.235 | 0.191 | 0.166 | 0.141 | 0.115 | 0.102 | 0.111 |
| 2013 | 0.188 | 0.292 | 0.241 | 0.207 | 0.182 | 0.150 | 0.117 | 0.109 | 0.124 |
| 2014 | 0.178 | 0.279 | 0.237 | 0.198 | 0.173 | 0.142 | 0.113 | 0.093 | 0.121 |
| 2015 | 0.182 | 0.290 | 0.222 | 0.202 | 0.178 | 0.146 | 0.122 | 0.100 | 0.123 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -11.2 | -5.2 | -9.8 | -4.3 | -5.3 | -7.0 | -5.4 | -21.9 | -20.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.117 | 0.296 | 0.236 | 0.188 | 0.133 | 0.098 | 0.077 | 0.070 | 0.086 |
| 2007 | 0.117 | 0.293 | 0.236 | 0.186 | 0.134 | 0.098 | 0.078 | 0.067 | 0.087 |
| 2008 | 0.116 | 0.292 | 0.239 | 0.184 | 0.135 | 0.099 | 0.075 | 0.065 | 0.086 |
| 2009 | 0.108 | 0.260 | 0.215 | 0.175 | 0.123 | 0.093 | 0.073 | 0.066 | 0.079 |
| 2010 | 0.102 | 0.239 | 0.211 | 0.169 | 0.117 | 0.087 | 0.069 | 0.060 | 0.075 |
| 2011 | 0.106 | 0.270 | 0.229 | 0.178 | 0.123 | 0.091 | 0.069 | 0.059 | 0.078 |
| 2012 | 0.104 | 0.271 | 0.231 | 0.180 | 0.122 | 0.090 | 0.070 | 0.058 | 0.071 |
| 2013 | 0.104 | 0.280 | 0.226 | 0.179 | 0.120 | 0.089 | 0.070 | 0.059 | 0.073 |
| 2014 | 0.108 | 0.276 | 0.233 | 0.186 | 0.125 | 0.094 | 0.074 | 0.061 | 0.075 |
| 2015 | 0.110 | 0.286 | 0.232 | 0.188 | 0.126 | 0.097 | 0.077 | 0.062 | 0.079 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -6.0 | -3.4 | -1.7 | 0.0 | -5.3 | -1.0 | 0.0 | -11.4 | -8.1 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Table 9 is not indexed, and shows the original annual turnover rates for each age group. A more complete description for "First Quarter Employee Turnover" is: the rate at which stable jobs begin and end. It is calculated by summing the number of stable hires in the reference quarter and stable separations in the next quarter, and dividing by the average full-quarter employment ${ }^{2}$. It should be noted that age group Ages 14 to 18 consist of many seasonal employees, who may leave when the school term begins, thus may show differing turnover trends than other groups, because of this reason.

It is well known that restaurants have comparatively high staff turnover rates. However, the table shows that over the 10 -year period in 8 of the 9 age groups examined, restaurant turnover rates improved or decreased more rapidly than they did for all industries for the same age group, the exception being the Ages 25 to 34 group, which decreased the same as all industries, at 5.3 percent. The highest rate of restaurant staff turnover improvement (decrease) was in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 . This is noteworthy, because this is also the two restaurant age groups that experienced the largest employment percent increases, with the 65 to 99 age group also experiencing the highest percent of earnings increases.

Oklahoma City MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Turnovers by the Employee Characteristic of Sex: 2006-2015


Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Restaurant employment by both sexes grew at a larger percent over the 10-year period than all industries, with restaurant male employment growing faster than female restaurant employment ( $23.7 \%$ to $19.5 \%$ ).

Table 11, Oklahoma City: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex

| Years | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\text { NAICS } 7225$ <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 1,085 | 839 | 953 | 3,408 | 2,015 | 2,745 |
| 2007 | 1,098 | 848 | 963 | 3,536 | 2,090 | 2,844 |
| 2008 | 1,133 | 899 | 1,008 | 3,788 | 2,212 | 3,034 |
| 2009 | 1,155 | 920 | 1,029 | 3,642 | 2,203 | 2,948 |
| 2010 | 1,149 | 930 | 1,033 | 3,758 | 2,253 | 3,027 |
| 2011 | 1,184 | 964 | 1,067 | 4,011 | 2,354 | 3,218 |
| 2012 | 1,259 | 1,035 | 1,139 | 4,391 | 2,552 | 3,516 |
| 2013 | 1,245 | 1,047 | 1,140 | 4,410 | 2,609 | 3,558 |
| 2014 | 1,287 | 1,088 | 1,182 | 4,551 | 2,683 | 3,668 |
| 2015 | 1,321 | 1,131 | 1,220 | 4,714 | 2,782 | 3,805 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 21.8 | 34.8 | 28.0 | 38.3 | 38.1 | 38.6 |

Note $^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

In all three groupings of male, female and 'both male and female' all industries earnings grew at a larger rate than the restaurant industry over the 10 -year period. In the restaurant industry, female earnings grew at a higher rate than male ( 34.8 percent to 21.8 percent), but did not increase enough to match the monthly average of male by 2015. Below Table 12 gives the employee turnover for these same two industries.

| Table 12, Okla | oma City: All urnover ${ }^{1}$ by | dustries and <br> ployee Sex | AICS 7225 Restau | taurants and O | her Eating Plac |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Employ | ee Sex |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female <br> Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 0.210 | 0.202 | 0.205 | 0.114 | 0.121 | 0.117 |
| 2007 | 0.207 | 0.208 | 0.208 | 0.114 | 0.121 | 0.117 |
| 2008 | 0.199 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.113 | 0.119 | 0.116 |
| 2009 | 0.187 | 0.181 | 0.184 | 0.106 | 0.110 | 0.108 |
| 2010 | 0.168 | 0.167 | 0.168 | 0.099 | 0.104 | 0.102 |
| 2011 | 0.178 | 0.179 | 0.179 | 0.104 | 0.107 | 0.106 |
| 2012 | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.102 | 0.107 | 0.104 |
| 2013 | 0.193 | 0.184 | 0.188 | 0.101 | 0.107 | 0.104 |
| 2014 | 0.182 | 0.175 | 0.178 | 0.105 | 0.111 | 0.108 |
| 2015 | 0.187 | 0.177 | 0.182 | 0.108 | 0.112 | 0.110 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -11.0 | -12.4 | -11.2 | -5.3 | -7.4 | -6.0 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 12 shows that both sexes in the restaurant industry over the 10 -years decreased their turnover rates at a higher percent than did all industries. However, in the restaurant industry female decreased 1.4 percent more in turnover than male, with male decreasing 11.0 percent and the female 12.4 percent.

## Summary of Oklahoma City MSA Restaurant and All Industry 10-year Trends

Employment for eight of the nine restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10 -year period and decreased for one age group. In all industries, employment increased in six groups and decreased for three groups. The percent change in employment for the restaurant industry in each age group was either larger than the same all industries age group or decreased less than all industries in the one restaurant age group that experienced a decreased. The change in restaurant employment was largest in the Ages 55 to 64 followed by the second largest in Ages 65 to 99 . Restaurant employment tended to increase for most age groups after the recession. All industries increased the most in these same two older age groups, but with the older of the two age groups increasing the fastest. For all ages ( 14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 21.9 percent to all industries 12.4 percent.

Only three younger restaurant industry age groups had higher 10-year earnings increase percent than all industries, Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21 and Ages 22 to 24. The largest earnings percent increase in both industries was in Ages 65 to 99.

Turnover, always a problem in the restaurant industry, showed a remarkable percent decrease across the 10-years when compared to all industries, with only Ages 25 to 34 not exceeding the other's decreases in turnover. Each industry had the identical decrease of 5.3 percent in that same age group.

When comparing the restaurant industry to all industries by sex, male restaurant employment increased at a larger percent than female of either industry and larger than male of all industries. Restaurant both sexes employment increased at 21.5 percent compared to 12.4 percent for all industry both sexes.

All industry female, male and both sexes earnings each increased at larger percentages than their counterparts in the restaurant industry.

All three of the restaurant sex groups had greater turnover decreases than their all industries counterpart, with the restaurant female turnover decreasing the most, 12.4 percent to restaurant male 11.0 percent.

## Section III: Tulsa Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) Restaurant and All Industry Employment, Earnings and Turnover Comparisons

Tulsa MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Trends by the Employee Characteristic of Age: 2006-2015

Table 13 below shows the restaurant and all industries aggregate employment annual changes from 2006 to 2015, for the nine age groups of: All Ages (14-99), Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21, Ages 22 to 24, Ages 25 to 34, Ages 35 to 44, Ages 45 to 54, Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 , with overall percent changes.

| Table 13, Tulsa MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages }(14 \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 27,159 | 5,521 | 4,459 | 3,110 | 5,772 | 3,666 | 2,599 | 1,201 | 832 |
| 2007 | 27,651 | 5,367 | 4,339 | 3,147 | 5,985 | 3,865 | 2,681 | 1,301 | 968 |
| 2008 | 29,268 | 5,895 | 4,269 | 3,297 | 6,492 | 4,138 | 2,845 | 1,391 | 941 |
| 2009 | 29,465 | 5,156 | 4,477 | 3,439 | 6,689 | 4,055 | 3,087 | 1,520 | 1,041 |
| 2010 | 27,650 | 3,995 | 4,378 | 3,328 | 6,540 | 3,818 | 2,977 | 1,540 | 1,074 |
| 2011 | 27,487 | 3,811 | 4,386 | 3,274 | 6,544 | 3,848 | 2,961 | 1,551 | 1,113 |
| 2012 | 28,475 | 3,987 | 4,504 | 3,371 | 6,964 | 3,956 | 3,029 | 1,589 | 1,074 |
| 2013 | 29,568 | 4,025 | 4,592 | 3,475 | 7,294 | 4,176 | 3,155 | 1,667 | 1,184 |
| 2014 | 30,446 | 4,233 | 4,426 | 3,678 | 7,444 | 4,381 | 3,210 | 1,840 | 1,234 |
| 2015 | 31,471 | 4,750 | 4,323 | 3,626 | 7,532 | 4,637 | 3,310 | 1,972 | 1,321 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 15.9 | -14.0 | -3.1 | 16.6 | 30.5 | 26.5 | 27.4 | 64.2 | 58.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 355,854 | 12,846 | 20,784 | 23,776 | 78,239 | 81,587 | 81,133 | 44,292 | 13,196 |
| 2007 | 364,623 | 13,222 | 21,191 | 24,342 | 80,937 | 81,558 | 82,097 | 46,928 | 14,349 |
| 2008 | 375,561 | 13,754 | 20,916 | 24,799 | 83,801 | 82,585 | 84,846 | 49,423 | 15,438 |
| 2009 | 369,285 | 12,306 | 19,999 | 23,998 | 83,058 | 79,441 | 83,483 | 50,961 | 16,039 |
| 2010 | 347,809 | 9,548 | 18,211 | 21,892 | 78,243 | 73,745 | 79,564 | 50,710 | 15,897 |
| 2011 | 352,402 | 8,597 | 18,616 | 21,900 | 79,777 | 74,648 | 79,559 | 52,911 | 16,395 |
| 2012 | 363,148 | 8,737 | 18,764 | 22,448 | 82,438 | 76,670 | 80,273 | 55,687 | 18,132 |
| 2013 | 367,461 | 8,801 | 18,772 | 22,963 | 84,093 | 77,672 | 78,559 | 57,233 | 19,368 |
| 2014 | 375,207 | 9,215 | 18,721 | 24,127 | 86,018 | 78,825 | 78,455 | 59,390 | 20,456 |
| 2015 | 380,357 | 10,302 | 19,137 | 24,842 | 88,469 | 79,856 | 76,458 | 59,750 | 21,543 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 6.9 | -19.8 | -7.9 | 4.5 | 13.1 | -2.1 | -5.8 | 34.9 | 63.3 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note $^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

In Table 13, the employment for seven restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10-year period and decreased for two age groups, while employment increased in five groups of the all industries aggregate and decreased for four groups. The percent change in employment for the restaurant industry was either larger than the all industries aggregate or in the one group where restaurant employment decreased, it decreased less than all industries. The change in restaurant employment was largest in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 . For all ages ( 14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 15.9 percent to all industries 16.9 percent, with all industries also experiencing their largest employment increases in the two oldest age groups, only with the older age group Ages 65 to 99 increasing more than second older age group Ages 55 to 64 . Thus the employment changes for the two industries in the Tulsa MSA resembled their relative changes in the Statewide Oklahoma and Oklahoma City MSA, only with the Oklahoma City MSA having larger growth than the Oklahoma Statewide and the Tulsa MSA, 21.5 percent to 16.9 and 15.9 percent respectively.

On the next page, Chart 9 displays the restaurant industry 10-year quarterly change (1st quarter 2006 to 4th quarter 2015) for the four youngest age groups, ages 14 through 34 and All ages (14-99), while on the next page Chart 6 displays the change for these same age groups for all industries, with all age groups indexed to 100 .

Chart 9: Tulsa MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.

Chart 9 shows that the largest restaurant employment increase in these four younger age groups was in the Age 25 to 34 group, with an nearly 40 percent increase in employment, by the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter of 2015.

On the next page, Chart 10 displays the all industries employment 10-year changes for the same four age groups, along with the All ages (14 to 99) group.

Chart 10: Tulsa MSA, All Industries Employment
by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = $\mathbf{1 0 0}$


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.
The growth rate in the youngest age group of Ages 14 to 18 in both the restaurant industry and all industries remained below the 100 index during most quarters, decreasing especially after the recession. During most quarters of the period the age group Ages 25 to 34 in both industries grew the most. Chart 11 below, displays the 4 older restaurant age groups, Ages 35 to 99 .

Chart 11: Tulsa MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


Chart 12, below shows the same four age groups for a comparison with the restaurant industry shown in Chart 11, on the previous page.

Chart 12: Tulsa MSA, All Industries Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.
In both the restaurant industry and all industries the employment in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 grew the fastest, with the former age group growing fastest in the restaurant industry and the latter age group growing fastest in all industries, especially so after the recession. Employment in all ages (Ages 14 to 99 ) grew faster in the restaurant than in all industries. The younger two age groups of Ages 34 to 44 and Ages 45 to 55 grew in employment in the restaurant industry above the initial indexed year's in all quarters, but remained below the indexed year in all industries employment during most quarters, especially so after the recession.

Table 14 on the next page, displays the change in 'employee average monthly earnings', by each of the nine age groups.

| Table 14, Tulsa MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages (14 } \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | Ages 14 to 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 966 | 442 | 691 | 865 | 1,133 | 1,372 | 1,541 | 1,374 | 995 |
| 2007 | 959 | 435 | 697 | 855 | 1,111 | 1,332 | 1,512 | 1,256 | 1,047 |
| 2008 | 1,040 | 471 | 738 | 905 | 1,195 | 1,454 | 1,611 | 1,522 | 1,131 |
| 2009 | 1,026 | 473 | 722 | 889 | 1,160 | 1,414 | 1,517 | 1,441 | 1,117 |
| 2010 | 1,080 | 505 | 737 | 942 | 1,167 | 1,470 | 1,561 | 1,551 | 1,142 |
| 2011 | 1,081 | 493 | 741 | 937 | 1,179 | 1,478 | 1,540 | 1,524 | 1,095 |
| 2012 | 1,159 | 519 | 813 | 1,028 | 1,260 | 1,541 | 1,667 | 1,631 | 1,216 |
| 2013 | 1,151 | 505 | 809 | 1,021 | 1,252 | 1,530 | 1,601 | 1,642 | 1,240 |
| 2014 | 1,161 | 500 | 807 | 1,036 | 1,251 | 1,514 | 1,640 | 1,703 | 1,246 |
| 2015 | 1,211 | 521 | 845 | 1,113 | 1,317 | 1,566 | 1,710 | 1,689 | 1,366 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 25.4 | 17.9 | 22.3 | 28.7 | 16.2 | 14.1 | 11.0 | 22.9 | 37.3 |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 3,199 | 509 | 953 | 1,465 | 2,457 | 3,519 | 4,504 | 4,467 | 2,632 |
| 2007 | 3,213 | 514 | 1,018 | 1,552 | 2,562 | 3,653 | 4,363 | 4,345 | 2,632 |
| 2008 | 3,345 | 539 | 1,075 | 1,636 | 2,666 | 3,781 | 4,533 | 4,487 | 2,858 |
| 2009 | 3,220 | 543 | 1,032 | 1,625 | 2,597 | 3,637 | 4,220 | 4,306 | 2,906 |
| 2010 | 3,254 | 555 | 961 | 1,544 | 2,564 | 3,665 | 4,289 | 4,298 | 2,810 |
| 2011 | 3,431 | 545 | 976 | 1,547 | 2,645 | 3,836 | 4,538 | 4,620 | 3,018 |
| 2012 | 3,778 | 579 | 1,081 | 1,693 | 2,861 | 4,206 | 4,977 | 5,188 | 3,501 |
| 2013 | 3,891 | 575 | 1,123 | 1,751 | 2,932 | 4,366 | 5,107 | 5,398 | 3,555 |
| 2014 | 4,066 | 567 | 1,140 | 1,799 | 3,031 | 4,513 | 5,422 | 5,704 | 3,742 |
| 2015 | 4,069 | 576 | 1,157 | 1,855 | 3,090 | 4,499 | 5,413 | 5,789 | 3,804 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 27.2 | 13.2 | 21.4 | 26.6 | 25.8 | 27.8 | 20.2 | 29.6 | 44.5 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 14 displays that over the 10 -years the average monthly earnings of only the three restaurant age groups of Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21 and Ages 22 to 24 increased more than that of all industries. The greatest earnings increase for both industries was the oldest age group of Ages 65 to 99. The average monthly earnings increase for restaurant all ages ( 14 to 99 years) over the 10 -years was 25.4 percent, while the average monthly earnings increase for all industries for this period was 27.2 percent. It should be noted that the restaurant industry is known for paying lower salaries than most industries, with their employees' earnings much less than in all industries. Comparing the amounts of each in the first quarter of 2015, in six of the nine age groups the restaurant average monthly earnings were less than half the amounts of all industries.

Turnover rates are considered on the next page in Table 15.

| Table 15, Tulsa MSA: All Industries and NAICS NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turnover ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages (14 } \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | Ages 45 to 54 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.204 | 0.295 | 0.246 | 0.219 | 0.191 | 0.151 | 0.128 | 0.110 | 0.146 |
| 2007 | 0.209 | 0.301 | 0.244 | 0.233 | 0.199 | 0.155 | 0.138 | 0.129 | 0.159 |
| 2008 | 0.209 | 0.304 | 0.246 | 0.225 | 0.198 | 0.165 | 0.144 | 0.102 | 0.136 |
| 2009 | 0.186 | 0.252 | 0.229 | 0.205 | 0.182 | 0.152 | 0.133 | 0.102 | 0.128 |
| 2010 | 0.155 | 0.210 | 0.196 | 0.172 | 0.160 | 0.120 | 0.109 | 0.087 | 0.107 |
| 2011 | 0.173 | 0.257 | 0.211 | 0.198 | 0.172 | 0.139 | 0.112 | 0.088 | 0.119 |
| 2012 | 0.180 | 0.271 | 0.229 | 0.205 | 0.174 | 0.133 | 0.120 | 0.106 | 0.130 |
| 2013 | 0.181 | 0.268 | 0.230 | 0.198 | 0.172 | 0.153 | 0.122 | 0.108 | 0.123 |
| 2014 | 0.182 | 0.274 | 0.221 | 0.210 | 0.182 | 0.143 | 0.119 | 0.106 | 0.112 |
| 2015 | 0.184 | 0.280 | 0.228 | 0.199 | 0.182 | 0.151 | 0.123 | 0.114 | 0.120 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -9.8 | -5.1 | -7.3 | -9.1 | -4.7 | 0.0 | -3.9 | 3.6 | -17.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.112 | 0.292 | 0.241 | 0.191 | 0.132 | 0.095 | 0.073 | 0.063 | 0.083 |
| 2007 | 0.116 | 0.291 | 0.239 | 0.200 | 0.138 | 0.099 | 0.076 | 0.068 | 0.088 |
| 2008 | 0.111 | 0.289 | 0.240 | 0.192 | 0.133 | 0.096 | 0.073 | 0.061 | 0.082 |
| 2009 | 0.101 | 0.249 | 0.218 | 0.169 | 0.121 | 0.088 | 0.069 | 0.060 | 0.080 |
| 2010 | 0.091 | 0.214 | 0.199 | 0.157 | 0.111 | 0.080 | 0.061 | 0.054 | 0.070 |
| 2011 | 0.097 | 0.245 | 0.219 | 0.177 | 0.118 | 0.085 | 0.066 | 0.054 | 0.067 |
| 2012 | 0.097 | 0.256 | 0.220 | 0.175 | 0.119 | 0.086 | 0.065 | 0.053 | 0.067 |
| 2013 | 0.097 | 0.256 | 0.222 | 0.174 | 0.118 | 0.086 | 0.065 | 0.055 | 0.070 |
| 2014 | 0.099 | 0.268 | 0.222 | 0.177 | 0.122 | 0.088 | 0.067 | 0.054 | 0.069 |
| 2015 | 0.106 | 0.283 | 0.235 | 0.184 | 0.127 | 0.093 | 0.074 | 0.060 | 0.075 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -5.4 | -3.1 | -2.5 | -3.7 | -3.8 | -2.1 | 1.4 | -4.8 | -9.6 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note $^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 15 is not indexed, and shows the original annual turnover rates for each age group. A more complete description for "First Quarter Employee Turnover" is: the rate at which stable jobs begin and end. It is calculated by summing the number of stable hires in the reference quarter and stable separations in the next quarter, and dividing by the average full-quarter employment ${ }^{3}$. It should be noted that age group Ages 14 to 18 consist of many seasonal employees, who may leave when the school term begins, thus may show differing turnover trends than other groups, because of this reason.

It is well known that restaurants have comparatively high staff turnover rates. However, the table shows that over the 10 -year period in 6 of the 8 age groups examined, restaurant turnover rates improved or decreased more rapidly than they did for all industries for the same age group, the two exception being the restaurant Ages 35 to 44 group and the Ages 45 to 54 group. The highest rate of restaurant staff turnover improvement (decrease) was in the oldest age group of Ages 65 to 99 , followed by the second greatest decrease in the Ages 22 to 24 group.

## Tulsa MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Turnovers by the Employee Characteristic of Sex: 2006-2015

On the next page, Table 16 shows the restaurant and all industries employment increase by the three sex groups of male, female and both sexes.

| Table 16, Tulsa MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female <br> Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 12,322 | 14,837 | 27,159 | 191,776 | 164,078 | 355,854 |
| 2007 | 12,450 | 15,201 | 27,651 | 195,940 | 168,683 | 364,623 |
| 2008 | 13,174 | 16,094 | 29,268 | 203,310 | 172,252 | 375,561 |
| 2009 | 13,270 | 16,196 | 29,465 | 198,811 | 170,474 | 369,285 |
| 2010 | 12,574 | 15,076 | 27,650 | 184,330 | 163,479 | 347,809 |
| 2011 | 12,433 | 15,053 | 27,487 | 188,546 | 163,857 | 352,402 |
| 2012 | 12,960 | 15,515 | 28,475 | 196,661 | 166,487 | 363,148 |
| 2013 | 13,636 | 15,932 | 29,568 | 199,914 | 167,547 | 367,461 |
| 2014 | 14,021 | 16,424 | 30,446 | 203,949 | 171,258 | 375,207 |
| 2015 | 14,569 | 16,902 | 31,471 | 204,942 | 175,416 | 380,357 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 18.2 | 13.9 | 15.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 |

Note $^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note $^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Over the 10-year period, restaurant employment by both sexes grew at over twice the percent of all industries, with restaurant male employment growing faster than female ( 18.2 percent to 13.9 percent).

| Table 17, Tulsa MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female <br> Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 1,115 | 844 | 966 | 4,054 | 2,197 | 3,199 |
| 2007 | 1,106 | 839 | 959 | 4,019 | 2,274 | 3,213 |
| 2008 | 1,183 | 923 | 1,040 | 4,171 | 2,369 | 3,345 |
| 2009 | 1,152 | 923 | 1,026 | 3,967 | 2,348 | 3,220 |
| 2010 | 1,209 | 972 | 1,080 | 4,027 | 2,377 | 3,254 |
| 2011 | 1,219 | 966 | 1,081 | 4,259 | 2,473 | 3,431 |
| 2012 | 1,286 | 1,052 | 1,159 | 4,683 | 2,708 | 3,778 |
| 2013 | 1,267 | 1,052 | 1,151 | 4,798 | 2,806 | 3,891 |
| 2014 | 1,280 | 1,059 | 1,161 | 5,048 | 2,893 | 4,066 |
| 2015 | 1,329 | 1,110 | 1,211 | 5,002 | 2,972 | 4,069 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 19.2 | 31.5 | 25.4 | 23.4 | 35.3 | 27.2 |

[^0]In all three groupings of male, female and 'both male and female' all industries earnings grew at a larger rate than the restaurant industry over the 10 -year period. In the restaurant industry, female earnings grew at a higher rate than male ( 31.5 percent to 19.2 percent), but did not increase enough to match the monthly average of male' by 2015. Below Table 18 gives the employee turnover for these same two industries.

| Table 18, Tulsa MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turnover ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female <br> Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 0.213 | 0.197 | 0.204 | 0.110 | 0.114 | 0.112 |
| 2007 | 0.214 | 0.205 | 0.209 | 0.111 | 0.121 | 0.116 |
| 2008 | 0.215 | 0.204 | 0.209 | 0.107 | 0.116 | 0.111 |
| 2009 | 0.192 | 0.181 | 0.186 | 0.098 | 0.104 | 0.101 |
| 2010 | 0.160 | 0.151 | 0.155 | 0.087 | 0.095 | 0.091 |
| 2011 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.095 | 0.099 | 0.097 |
| 2012 | 0.182 | 0.179 | 0.180 | 0.094 | 0.100 | 0.097 |
| 2013 | 0.183 | 0.179 | 0.181 | 0.093 | 0.101 | 0.097 |
| 2014 | 0.183 | 0.181 | 0.182 | 0.095 | 0.104 | 0.099 |
| 2015 | 0.190 | 0.180 | 0.184 | 0.102 | 0.110 | 0.106 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -10.8 | -8.6 | -9.8 | -7.3 | -3.5 | -5.4 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 18 shows that over ten years the three sex groups in the restaurant industry decreased their turnover rates at a higher percent than did all industries. However, in the restaurant industry male decreased their turnover the most, with male decreasing 10.8 percent and the female 8.6 percent.

## Summary of Tulsa MSA Restaurant and All Industry 10-Year Trends

Employment for seven of the nine restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10-year period and decreased for two age groups. In all industries, employment increased in five groups and decreased for four groups. The percent change in employment for the restaurant industry in each age group was either larger than the same all industries age group or decreased less than all industries in the two restaurant age groups that experienced a decreased. The change in restaurant employment was largest in the Ages 55 to 64 followed by the second largest in Ages 65 to 99 . Restaurant employment tended to increase for most age groups after the recession. All industries increased the most in these same two older age groups, but with the older of the two age groups increasing the most. For all ages (14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 15.9 percent to all industries 6.9 percent.

Only three younger restaurant industry age groups had higher 10-year earnings increase percent than all industries, Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21 and Ages 22 to 24. The largest earnings percent increase in both industries was in Ages 65 to 99.

Always a problem in the restaurant industry, turnovers showed a remarkable percent decrease in turn overs across the 10 -years when compared to all industries, with only the two age groups of Ages 35 to 44
and Ages 65 to 64 not exceeding the other's decreases in turnover. The restaurant industry turnover rate remained the same as that of ten years earlier, in the Ages 35 to 44 age group.

When comparing the restaurant industry to all industries by sex, male restaurant employment increased at a larger percent than female of either industry and larger than male of all industries. Restaurant industry Female, male and both sexes earnings each increased at less percent than their counter parts in all industries. Female in the restaurant industry increase a larger percent than male, but their earnings did not increase enough to match that of male by the 1 st quarter 2015. All three restaurant sex groups had greater turnover decreases than their all industries counterpart, with the restaurant male turn over decreasing the most, 10.8 percent to restaurant female 8.6 percent.

## Section IV: Lawton Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) Restaurant and All Industry Employment, Earnings and Turnover Comparisons

## Lawton MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Trends by the Employee Characteristic of Age: 2006-2015

Table 19 below shows the restaurant and all industries aggregate employment annual changes from 2006 to 2015, for the nine age groups of: All Ages (14-99), Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21, Ages 22 to 24, Ages 25 to 34, Ages 35 to 44, Ages 45 to 54, Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99, with overall percent changes.

| Table 19, | awton MSA: <br> by Employee | Ill Industries Age Group | nd NAICS 72 | 25 Restauran | and Other | Eating Places | Employment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Empl | yee Age Gr | ups |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages }(14 \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years |  |  |  | Resta | urant NAICS | 7225 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2,989 | 595 | 517 | 362 | 693 | 402 | 271 | 109 | 39 |
| 2007 | 3,264 | 652 | 583 | 406 | 708 | 443 | 293 | 130 | 49 |
| 2008 | 3,430 | 705 | 543 | 390 | 808 | 455 | 336 | 134 | 60 |
| 2009 | 3,521 | 597 | 545 | 420 | 863 | 508 | 373 | 145 | 70 |
| 2010 | 3,362 | 523 | 559 | 441 | 839 | 439 | 360 | 143 | 57 |
| 2011 | 3,422 | 501 | 589 | 445 | 853 | 440 | 365 | 154 | 75 |
| 2012 | 3,533 | 493 | 620 | 460 | 870 | 454 | 380 | 171 | 85 |
| 2013 | 3,408 | 470 | 578 | 425 | 834 | 488 | 357 | 165 | 91 |
| 2014 | 3,129 | 433 | 512 | 395 | 762 | 464 | 309 | 163 | 89 |
| 2015 | 3,731 | 607 | 617 | 461 | 861 | 536 | 350 | 191 | 107 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 24.8 | 2.0 | 19.3 | 27.3 | 24.2 | 33.3 | 29.2 | 75.2 | 174.4 |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 26,634 | 1,071 | 1,970 | 2,189 | 5,814 | 6,170 | 5,819 | 2,782 | 817 |
| 2007 | 27,619 | 1,129 | 2,042 | 2,161 | 6,117 | 6,192 | 6,078 | 2,977 | 922 |
| 2008 | 28,178 | 1,295 | 2,085 | 2,193 | 6,371 | 5,926 | 6,265 | 3,025 | 1,017 |
| 2009 | 26,951 | 1,085 | 1,959 | 2,131 | 6,354 | 5,378 | 5,738 | 3,145 | 1,161 |
| 2010 | 26,296 | 966 | 1,941 | 2,120 | 6,203 | 4,980 | 5,726 | 3,204 | 1,157 |
| 2011 | 25,508 | 893 | 1,913 | 2,010 | 6,066 | 4,863 | 5,379 | 3,214 | 1,170 |
| 2012 | 25,666 | 842 | 1,928 | 2,028 | 6,002 | 4,928 | 5,304 | 3,392 | 1,241 |
| 2013 | 27,680 | 777 | 1,798 | 2,047 | 6,247 | 5,426 | 6,213 | 3,920 | 1,252 |
| 2014 | 26,720 | 774 | 1,691 | 1,950 | 6,047 | 5,237 | 5,849 | 3,919 | 1,252 |
| 2015 | 28,323 | 973 | 1,850 | 2,159 | 6,510 | 5,526 | 5,805 | 4,193 | 1,307 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 6.3 | -9.2 | -6.1 | -1.4 | 12.0 | -10.4 | -0.2 | 50.7 | 60.0 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note $^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

In Table 19, the employment for all nine of the restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10year period, while employment increased in five groups of the all industries aggregate and decreased for four groups. The percent of increase in employment for the restaurant industry nine age groups was larger than the all industries aggregate. The percent change in restaurant employment was largest in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 . For all ages ( 14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 24.8 percent to all industries 6.3 percent. All industries also experienced their largest employment increases in the two oldest age groups and had the older age group Ages 65 to 99 as also larger. The general pattern for Lawton MSA employment increases in the restaurant industry was similar, but greater that of statewide, Oklahoma City MSA and Tulsa MSA.

Below, Chart 13 displays the restaurant industry 10-year quarterly change (1st quarter 2006 to 4th quarter 2015) for the four youngest age groups, ages 14 through 34 and All ages (14-99) On the next page Chart 6 displays the change for these same age groups for all industries, with all age groups indexed to 100 .

Chart 13: Lawton MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment
by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 =100


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.

Chart 13 shows the 10 -year restaurant employment trend in these four younger age groups, and also showed that Age 22 to 24 and Age 25 to 34 age groups ended with virtually identical amounts, with both showing slightly over a 25 percent increase in employment, by the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter of 2015.

On the next page, Chart 14 displays the all industries employment 10-year changes for the same four age groups, along with the All ages (14 to 99 ) group

Chart 14: Lawton MSA, All Industries Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = $\mathbf{1 0 0}$


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.
The growth rate in the youngest age group of Ages 14 to 18 in both the restaurant industry and all industries remained below the 100 index during many quarters, especially so after the recession. During most quarters of the period the age group Ages 25 to 34 in both industries grew the most. Chart 15 below, displays the 4 older restaurant age groups, Ages 35 to 99 .

Chart 15: Lawton MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


Chart 16, below shows the same four age groups for a comparison with the restaurant industry shown in Chart 15, on the previous page.

Chart 16: Lawton MSA, All Industries Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


In both the restaurant industry and all industries the employment in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 grew the fastest, with the latter older age group growing fastest in both industries; especially so after the recession. Restaurant industry employment in the younger two age groups of Ages 34 to 44 and Ages 45 to 55 grew above the initial indexed year in all quarters. Employment in all ages (Ages 14 to 99 ) grew faster in the restaurant than in all industries.

All industries younger Ages 34 to 44 employment was below the indexed year during most quarters, especially so after the recession.

Table 20, on the next page, displays the change in 'employee average monthly earnings', by each of the nine age groups.

| Table 20, Lawton MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages (14 } \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | Ages 14 to 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 806 | 423 | 659 | 809 | 899 | 1,086 | 1,147 | 1,028 | 889 |
| 2007 | 789 | 406 | 601 | 759 | 883 | 1,092 | 1,225 | 1,060 | 805 |
| 2008 | 863 | 458 | 665 | 851 | 980 | 1,171 | 1,215 | 1,130 | 928 |
| 2009 | 918 | 490 | 718 | 850 | 999 | 1,140 | 1,343 | 1,250 | 948 |
| 2010 | 953 | 518 | 742 | 833 | 1,043 | 1,187 | 1,370 | 1,386 | 990 |
| 2011 | 929 | 498 | 717 | 851 | 1,006 | 1,129 | 1,349 | 1,330 | 1,005 |
| 2012 | 998 | 535 | 790 | 915 | 1,060 | 1,186 | 1,409 | 1,488 | 1,049 |
| 2013 | 959 | 505 | 746 | 865 | 1,055 | 1,163 | 1,292 | 1,408 | 962 |
| 2014 | 956 | 523 | 740 | 894 | 1,029 | 1,181 | 1,240 | 1,361 | 999 |
| 2015 | 998 | 544 | 824 | 962 | 1,102 | 1,256 | 1,249 | 1,389 | 1,062 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 23.8 | 28.6 | 25.0 | 18.9 | 22.6 | 15.7 | 8.9 | 35.1 | 19.5 |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2,174 | 439 | 825 | 1,197 | 1,880 | 2,595 | 2,850 | 2,848 | 1,950 |
| 2007 | 2,218 | 434 | 823 | 1,190 | 1,848 | 2,626 | 3,003 | 2,889 | 2,063 |
| 2008 | 2,305 | 472 | 905 | 1,304 | 1,937 | 2,775 | 3,092 | 2,994 | 2,085 |
| 2009 | 2,164 | 494 | 917 | 1,326 | 1,854 | 2,458 | 2,773 | 3,025 | 2,345 |
| 2010 | 2,157 | 512 | 905 | 1,256 | 1,841 | 2,471 | 2,770 | 2,974 | 2,288 |
| 2011 | 2,161 | 487 | 875 | 1,220 | 1,844 | 2,486 | 2,796 | 2,952 | 2,326 |
| 2012 | 2,321 | 538 | 958 | 1,339 | 1,971 | 2,611 | 3,034 | 3,146 | 2,398 |
| 2013 | 2,619 | 528 | 995 | 1,437 | 2,196 | 2,918 | 3,372 | 3,468 | 2,528 |
| 2014 | 2,656 | 545 | 991 | 1,425 | 2,234 | 2,905 | 3,441 | 3,576 | 2,565 |
| 2015 | 2,636 | 543 | 1,031 | 1,517 | 2,231 | 2,908 | 3,431 | 3,546 | 2,694 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 21.3 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 26.7 | 18.7 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 24.5 | 38.2 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 20 displays that over the 10 -years the average monthly earnings of five restaurant age groups increased more than that of all industries. In the 'Ages 19 to 21 ' age group the two industries tied with both showing a 25.0 percent increase. The greatest earnings increase ( 35.1 percent) for the restaurant industries was the 'Ages 55 to 64 'age group; while the greatest increase ( 38.2 percent) for all industries was the oldest age group of Ages 65 to 99 . The average monthly earnings increase for restaurant all ages ( 14 to 99 years) over the 10 -years was 23.8 percent, while the average monthly earnings increase for all industries for this period was 21.3 percent. It should be noted that the restaurant industry is known for paying lower salaries than most industries, with their employees' earnings much less than in all industries. Comparing the amounts of each in the first quarter of 2015, in six of the nine age groups the restaurant average monthly earnings were less than half the amounts of all industries.

Turnover rates are considered on the next page in Table 21.

| Table 21, Lawton MSA: All Industries and NAICS NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turnover ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages }(14 \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | Ages 45 to 54 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.224 | 0.312 | 0.261 | 0.243 | 0.215 | 0.162 | 0.131 | 0.124 | 0.197 |
| 2007 | 0.209 | 0.281 | 0.273 | 0.223 | 0.191 | 0.165 | 0.136 | 0.087 | 0.154 |
| 2008 | 0.229 | 0.342 | 0.251 | 0.220 | 0.226 | 0.168 | 0.149 | 0.122 | 0.152 |
| 2009 | 0.192 | 0.263 | 0.212 | 0.222 | 0.189 | 0.153 | 0.151 | 0.088 | 0.129 |
| 2010 | 0.192 | 0.269 | 0.212 | 0.215 | 0.192 | 0.174 | 0.116 | 0.098 | 0.167 |
| 2011 | 0.216 | 0.327 | 0.249 | 0.211 | 0.212 | 0.193 | 0.127 | 0.135 | 0.148 |
| 2012 | 0.188 | 0.264 | 0.244 | 0.188 | 0.210 | 0.146 | 0.103 | 0.083 | 0.090 |
| 2013 | 0.203 | 0.279 | 0.249 | 0.219 | 0.206 | 0.184 | 0.122 | 0.115 | 0.127 |
| 2014 | 0.205 | 0.260 | 0.271 | 0.243 | 0.201 | 0.177 | 0.135 | 0.104 | 0.125 |
| 2015 | 0.210 | 0.296 | 0.282 | 0.212 | 0.211 | 0.153 | 0.120 | 0.101 | 0.147 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -6.3 | -5.1 | 8.0 | -12.8 | -1.9 | -5.6 | -8.4 | -18.5 | -25.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.122 | 0.311 | 0.252 | 0.196 | 0.147 | 0.098 | 0.072 | 0.067 | 0.078 |
| 2007 | 0.114 | 0.286 | 0.243 | 0.189 | 0.140 | 0.099 | 0.064 | 0.059 | 0.064 |
| 2008 | 0.128 | 0.329 | 0.252 | 0.207 | 0.155 | 0.105 | 0.074 | 0.070 | 0.074 |
| 2009 | 0.127 | 0.267 | 0.220 | 0.184 | 0.147 | 0.113 | 0.091 | 0.079 | 0.093 |
| 2010 | 0.126 | 0.271 | 0.219 | 0.181 | 0.152 | 0.113 | 0.085 | 0.074 | 0.076 |
| 2011 | 0.131 | 0.321 | 0.252 | 0.184 | 0.155 | 0.121 | 0.086 | 0.074 | 0.073 |
| 2012 | 0.120 | 0.283 | 0.240 | 0.181 | 0.148 | 0.112 | 0.072 | 0.066 | 0.073 |
| 2013 | 0.115 | 0.287 | 0.231 | 0.190 | 0.142 | 0.098 | 0.073 | 0.073 | 0.079 |
| 2014 | 0.112 | 0.275 | 0.252 | 0.203 | 0.136 | 0.102 | 0.069 | 0.059 | 0.074 |
| 2015 | 0.128 | 0.299 | 0.273 | 0.207 | 0.158 | 0.112 | 0.082 | 0.067 | 0.076 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 4.9 | -3.9 | 8.3 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 14.3 | 13.9 | 0.0 | -2.6 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 21 is not indexed, and shows the original annual turnover rates for each age group. A more complete description for "First Quarter Employee Turnover" is: The rate at which stable jobs begin and end. It is calculated by summing the number of stable hires in the reference quarter and stable separations in the next quarter, and dividing by the average full-quarter employment ${ }^{4}$. It should be noted that age group Ages 14 to 18 consist of many seasonal employees, who may leave when the school term begins, thus for this reason may show greater turnovers than the other groups.

The table shows that over the 10 year period, restaurant industry turnover rates for eight of the nine age groups improved or decreased more than all industries for the same age group. The single exception being the restaurant Ages 19 to 21 group, where restaurant turnover increased, but it did not increase as much as all industries. The highest rate of restaurant staff turnover decrease (improvement) was in the oldest age group of Ages 65 to 99 , then followed by the second greatest decrease in the Ages 22 to 24 group.

## Lawton MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Turnovers by the Employee Characteristic of Sex: 2006-2015

On the next page, Table 22 shows the restaurant and all industries employment increase by the three sex groups of male, female and both sexes.

Table 22, Lawton MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex

|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 1,301 | 1,689 | 2,989 | 13,369 | 13,265 | 26,634 |
| 2007 | 1,467 | 1,798 | 3,264 | 13,757 | 13,862 | 27,619 |
| 2008 | 1,534 | 1,897 | 3,430 | 14,103 | 14,075 | 28,178 |
| 2009 | 1,580 | 1,941 | 3,521 | 12,788 | 14,163 | 26,951 |
| 2010 | 1,557 | 1,805 | 3,362 | 12,809 | 13,486 | 26,296 |
| 2011 | 1,569 | 1,854 | 3,422 | 12,550 | 12,958 | 25,508 |
| 2012 | 1,577 | 1,956 | 3,533 | 12,657 | 13,009 | 25,666 |
| 2013 | 1,590 | 1,817 | 3,408 | 14,743 | 12,938 | 27,680 |
| 2014 | 1,416 | 1,712 | 3,129 | 13,840 | 12,880 | 26,720 |
| 2015 | 1,749 | 1,982 | 3,731 | 14,623 | 13,700 | 28,323 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 34.4 | 17.3 | 24.8 | 9.4 | 3.3 | 6.3 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note $^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Over the 10-year period, restaurant employment by both sexes grew at over thrice the percent of all industries, with restaurant male employment growing faster than female ( 34.4 percent to 17.3 percent).

| Table 23, Lawton MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | $\text { NAICS } 7225$ <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 876 | 752 | 806 | 2,728 | 1,609 | 2,174 |
| 2007 | 832 | 754 | 789 | 2,782 | 1,647 | 2,218 |
| 2008 | 923 | 815 | 863 | 2,933 | 1,666 | 2,305 |
| 2009 | 979 | 869 | 918 | 2,676 | 1,704 | 2,164 |
| 2010 | 1,017 | 898 | 953 | 2,628 | 1,712 | 2,157 |
| 2011 | 996 | 873 | 929 | 2,646 | 1,693 | 2,161 |
| 2012 | 1,064 | 943 | 998 | 2,863 | 1,797 | 2,321 |
| 2013 | 1,006 | 919 | 959 | 3,204 | 1,947 | 2,619 |
| 2014 | 1,037 | 889 | 956 | 3,308 | 1,946 | 2,656 |
| 2015 | 1,069 | 936 | 998 | 3,260 | 1,963 | 2,636 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 22.0 | 24.5 | 23.8 | 19.5 | 22.0 | 21.3 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

In all three groupings of male, female and 'both male and female' restaurant earnings grew at a larger rate than the all industries over the 10 -year period. In the restaurant industry, female earnings grew at a higher rate than male ( 24.5 percent to 22.0 percent), but did not increase enough to match the monthly average of male by 2015. Below Table 24 gives the employee turnover for these same two industries.

| Table 24, Lawton MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turnover ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female <br> Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 0.227 | 0.222 | 0.224 | 0.111 | 0.133 | 0.122 |
| 2007 | 0.219 | 0.201 | 0.209 | 0.104 | 0.124 | 0.114 |
| 2008 | 0.234 | 0.225 | 0.229 | 0.115 | 0.141 | 0.128 |
| 2009 | 0.197 | 0.188 | 0.192 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 |
| 2010 | 0.200 | 0.186 | 0.192 | 0.125 | 0.126 | 0.126 |
| 2011 | 0.224 | 0.210 | 0.216 | 0.129 | 0.134 | 0.131 |
| 2012 | 0.192 | 0.184 | 0.188 | 0.114 | 0.127 | 0.120 |
| 2013 | 0.214 | 0.194 | 0.203 | 0.112 | 0.119 | 0.115 |
| 2014 | 0.213 | 0.198 | 0.205 | 0.103 | 0.123 | 0.112 |
| 2015 | 0.213 | 0.206 | 0.210 | 0.113 | 0.144 | 0.128 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -6.2 | -7.2 | -6.3 | 1.8 | 8.3 | 4.9 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 24 shows that over ten years in the three sex groups the restaurant industry decreased or improved their turnover rates, while all industries increased their rates. However, in the restaurant industry female decreased their turnover the most, with female decreasing 7.2 percent and the male 6.2 percent.

## Summary of Lawton MSA Restaurant and All Industry 10-Year Trends

Employment for all nine restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10 -year period. In all industries, employment increased in four groups and decreased for five age groups. The percent change in the four all industries age groups that increased were less than that of restaurant employment in that age same age group. The change in both industries was largest in the oldest Ages 65 to 99 group, followed by a second largest increase in the Ages 65 to 99 age groups. For all ages (14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 24.8 percent to all industries 6.3 percent.

Only three younger restaurant industry age groups had higher 10-year earnings increase percent than all industries, Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21 and Ages 22 to 24. The largest earnings percent increase in both industries was in Ages 65 to 99.

The five of restaurant industry age groups of Ages 14 to 99 , Ages 14 to 18, Ages 25 to 34, Ages 35 to 44 and Ages 55 to 64 had higher 10-year earnings percent increase than all industries, with both industries having matching percent increases in Ages 19 to 21 of a 25.0 percent increase .

Always a problem in the restaurant industry, turnover showed a remarkable percent decrease in turn overs across the 10 -years when compared to all industries, with the exception of one age group of Ages 19 to
21. The restaurant industry turnover rate increased 8.0 percent increase in that age group - still less than the 8.3 percent increase for all industries in that age group.

When comparing the restaurant industry to all industries by sex, male restaurant employment increased at a larger percent than female of either industry and larger than male of all industries. Restaurant industry female, male and both sexes earnings each increased at less percent than their counterparts in all industries. Female in the restaurant industry increased a larger percent than male, but not enough to match the earnings of male by the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter 2015. All three restaurant sex groups had greater turnover decreases than their all industries counterpart, with the restaurant female turnover decreasing the most, 7.2 percent to restaurant male 6.2 percent.

## Section V: Enid Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) Restaurant and All Industry Employment, Earnings and Turnover Comparisons

## Enid MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Trends by the Employee Characteristic of Age: 2006-2015

Table 25 below shows the restaurant and all industries aggregate employment annual changes from 2006 to 2015, for the nine age groups of: All Ages (14-99), Ages 14 to 18, Ages 19 to 21, Ages 22 to 24, Ages 25 to 34, Ages 35 to 44, Ages 45 to 54, Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 , with overall percent changes.

| Table 25, Enid MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { All ages (14 } \\ -99)^{2} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1,314 | 306 | 218 | 144 | 247 | 161 | 138 | 58 | 42 |
| 2007 | 1,364 | 318 | 191 | 138 | 285 | 182 | 150 | 65 | 35 |
| 2008 | 1,418 | 315 | 203 | 137 | 315 | 186 | 158 | 68 | 36 |
| 2009 | 1,476 | 315 | 201 | 135 | 326 | 206 | 166 | 81 | 46 |
| 2010 | 1,464 | 212 | 241 | 160 | 341 | 188 | 179 | 90 | 53 |
| 2011 | 1,550 | 225 | 242 | 174 | 387 | 202 | 177 | 93 | 49 |
| 2012 | 1,583 | 242 | 222 | 189 | 393 | 216 | 178 | 89 | 53 |
| 2013 | 1,578 | 285 | 223 | 172 | 362 | 214 | 176 | 95 | 50 |
| 2014 | 1,688 | 331 | 191 | 191 | 382 | 233 | 197 | 109 | 54 |
| 2015 | 1,731 | 340 | 204 | 186 | 407 | 250 | 172 | 121 | 52 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 31.7 | 11.1 | -6.4 | 29.2 | 64.8 | 55.3 | 24.6 | 108.6 | 23.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 19,627 | 847 | 1,321 | 1,403 | 4,209 | 4,089 | 4,396 | 2,561 | 801 |
| 2007 | 19,730 | 861 | 1,183 | 1,403 | 4,376 | 3,941 | 4,424 | 2,679 | 862 |
| 2008 | 20,660 | 888 | 1,209 | 1,441 | 4,606 | 4,099 | 4,649 | 2,825 | 943 |
| 2009 | 20,837 | 838 | 1,226 | 1,367 | 4,662 | 4,080 | 4,676 | 2,961 | 1,026 |
| 2010 | 19,931 | 598 | 1,170 | 1,273 | 4,543 | 3,830 | 4,465 | 3,009 | 1,043 |
| 2011 | 20,083 | 560 | 1,153 | 1,276 | 4,655 | 3,821 | 4,417 | 3,156 | 1,045 |
| 2012 | 20,367 | 620 | 1,124 | 1,279 | 4,669 | 3,943 | 4,364 | 3,236 | 1,133 |
| 2013 | 19,917 | 684 | 1,104 | 1,287 | 4,588 | 3,848 | 4,058 | 3,192 | 1,156 |
| 2014 | 21,631 | 730 | 1,042 | 1,452 | 5,019 | 4,272 | 4,472 | 3,413 | 1,230 |
| 2015 | 22,596 | 789 | 1,066 | 1,422 | 5,306 | 4,558 | 4,427 | 3,713 | 1,313 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 15.1 | -6.8 | -19.3 | 1.4 | 26.1 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 45.0 | 63.9 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

In Table 25, the percent of employment for eight restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10year period, and decreased for one; while the percent of employment increased in seven groups of the all industries aggregate and decreased for two age groups. The employment percent increase for six of restaurant's age groups was greater than their all industry counter parts, with the decreasing restaurant age group (Ages 19 to 21), displaying a smaller decrease than that of all industries. Restaurant age group Ages 55 to 64 had their industry's largest percent increase of 108.6 percent. Age group Ages 65 to 99 had the largest percent increase for all industries of 63.9 percent, larger than restaurant's employment increase percent for that same group of 23.8 percent.

Below, Chart 17 displays the restaurant industry 10-year quarterly change (1st quarter 2006 to 4th quarter 2015) for the four youngest age groups, ages 14 through 34 and All ages (14-99), while on the next page Chart 6 displays the change for these same age groups for all industries. All age groups are indexed to 100.

Chart 17: Enid MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.

Chart 17 shows that the restaurant employment percent increase in the oldest age group of Ages 25 to 34 greatly exceeded that of the other three ages groups, reaching a high of almost 80 percent increase over the starting year by the third quarter of 2015. The other groups increase never exceeded 40 percent. The employment levels for the youngest restaurant age group of Ages 14 to 18 fell after the recession below the indexed year and remained below until the second quarter of 2013.

On the next page, Chart 18 displays the all industries employment 10-year changes for the same four age groups, along with the All ages (14 to 99 ) group

Chart 18: Enid MSA, All Industries Employment by Employee Younger (14-34) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


The growth rate in the youngest age group of Ages 14 to 18 in all industries also fell below the indexed year after the recession; only unlike that of restaurant it remained below the 100 index to the end of the third quarter 2015. Chart 19 below, displays the four older restaurant age groups, Ages 35 to 99 .

## Chart 19: Enid MSA, NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Group - Index: Q1/2006 = 100



Chart 20, below shows the same four age groups for a comparison with the restaurant industry shown in Chart 19, on the previous page.

Chart 20: Enid MSA, All Industries Employment by Employee Older (35-99) Age Groups - Index: Q1/2006 = 100


NOTE: Shaded area represents National Bureau of Economic Research defined recession period.

In both the restaurant industry and all industries the employment in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 grew the most, with the former older age group growing the most in the restaurant industry and the latter growing most in all industries. Employment especially grew in both industries in these two age groups after the recession. Employment in all ages (Ages 14 to 99) grew more in the restaurant than in all industries, a growth of 36.0 percent in the former and 10.5 percent in the latter by the third quarter 2015. The younger two age groups of Ages 34 to 44 and Ages 45 to 55 grew in employment in the restaurant industry above the initial indexed year in most quarters. However, the younger Ages 34 to 44 briefly dipped below the indexed year prior to the recession. After the recession, all industries employment in the youngest age group of Ages 34 to 44 was above the index year during most quarters, and ended slightly below the index in the third quarter of 2015.

Table 26 on the next page, displays the change in 'employee average monthly earnings', by each of the nine age groups.

| Table 26, Enid MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All ages } \\ -99)^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Ages } 45 \text { to }$ $54$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 65 \text { to } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Years | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 781 | 406 | 577 | 742 | 1,002 | 1,136 | 1,057 | 1,132 | 747 |
| 2007 | 808 | 415 | 590 | 742 | 1,026 | 1,058 | 1,168 | 1,111 | 727 |
| 2008 | 861 | 422 | 669 | 828 | 1,032 | 1,225 | 1,140 | 1,068 | 971 |
| 2009 | 885 | 476 | 655 | 880 | 1,007 | 1,244 | 1,126 | 1,216 | 845 |
| 2010 | 915 | 409 | 669 | 799 | 1,041 | 1,364 | 1,140 | 1,142 | 900 |
| 2011 | 910 | 438 | 690 | 893 | 993 | 1,281 | 1,148 | 1,050 | 926 |
| 2012 | 988 | 466 | 772 | 999 | 1,072 | 1,359 | 1,230 | 1,190 | 978 |
| 2013 | 1,012 | 506 | 823 | 939 | 1,192 | 1,300 | 1,308 | 1,257 | 981 |
| 2014 | 1,046 | 503 | 840 | 977 | 1,257 | 1,404 | 1,244 | 1,336 | 1,063 |
| 2015 | 1,097 | 519 | 827 | 1,126 | 1,290 | 1,425 | 1,394 | 1,454 | 982 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 40.5 | 27.8 | 43.3 | 51.8 | 28.7 | 25.4 | 31.9 | 28.4 | 31.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2,449 | 469 | 855 | 1,342 | 2,010 | 2,827 | 3,309 | 3,293 | 2,149 |
| 2007 | 2,533 | 471 | 923 | 1,348 | 2,051 | 2,732 | 3,468 | 3,491 | 2,476 |
| 2008 | 2,662 | 509 | 995 | 1,481 | 2,165 | 2,865 | 3,471 | 3,850 | 2,598 |
| 2009 | 2,637 | 511 | 947 | 1,438 | 2,140 | 3,014 | 3,314 | 3,657 | 2,717 |
| 2010 | 2,621 | 518 | 934 | 1,416 | 2,105 | 2,824 | 3,419 | 3,542 | 2,561 |
| 2011 | 2,749 | 510 | 970 | 1,417 | 2,209 | 2,896 | 3,489 | 3,811 | 3,044 |
| 2012 | 3,290 | 542 | 1,092 | 1,654 | 2,551 | 3,268 | 3,871 | 5,485 | 3,394 |
| 2013 | 3,595 | 599 | 1,201 | 1,833 | 3,092 | 3,821 | 4,273 | 5,264 | 3,849 |
| 2014 | 3,859 | 589 | 1,263 | 2,047 | 3,360 | 4,016 | 4,465 | 5,703 | 4,324 |
| 2015 | 4,189 | 593 | 1,306 | 2,134 | 3,732 | 4,511 | 5,073 | 5,558 | 4,799 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 71.0 | 26.4 | 52.7 | 59.0 | 85.7 | 59.6 | 53.3 | 68.8 | 123.3 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Table 26 shows that over the 10 -years the average monthly earnings of only restaurant age group Ages 14 to 18 exceeded that of all industries for the same group. Restaurant all Ages (14 to 99) age group grew by 40.5 percent, while all industries grew by 71.0 percent. Ages 22 to 24 increased the largest amount in restaurant earnings, growing 51.8 percent, while the age group Ages 65 to 99 increase the most in all industry earnings, growing by 123.3 percent. It should be noted that the restaurant industry is known for paying lower salaries than most industries, with their employees' earnings much less than in all industries. Comparing the amounts of earnings of the two industries 'age groups' in the first quarter of 2015 shows that in six of the nine age groups the restaurant average monthly earnings were less than half the amounts of all industries.

Turnover rates are considered on the next page in Table 27.

| Table 27, Enid MSA: All Industries and NAICS NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turnover ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All ages }(14 \\ -99)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 14 \text { to } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 19 \text { to } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 22 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Ages } 45 \text { to }$ $54$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 55 \text { to } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | Ages 65 to 99 |
| Years | Restaurant NAICS 7225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.201 | 0.290 | 0.247 | 0.194 | 0.186 | 0.141 | 0.138 | 0.147 | " ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2007 | 0.187 | 0.301 | 0.192 | 0.182 | 0.184 | 0.158 | 0.069 | 0.111 | 0.172 |
| 2008 | 0.173 | 0.229 | 0.223 | 0.188 | 0.185 | 0.123 | 0.109 | 0.078 | 0.109 |
| 2009 | 0.189 | 0.279 | 0.246 | 0.179 | 0.188 | 0.137 | 0.101 | 0.109 | 0.154 |
| 2010 | 0.157 | 0.198 | 0.194 | 0.217 | 0.147 | 0.125 | 0.123 | 0.089 | 0.116 |
| 2011 | 0.175 | 0.241 | 0.195 | 0.222 | 0.173 | 0.145 | 0.139 | 0.088 | " |
| 2012 | 0.182 | 0.278 | 0.171 | 0.188 | 0.195 | 0.155 | 0.137 | 0.109 | 0.094 |
| 2013 | 0.177 | 0.276 | 0.240 | 0.218 | 0.162 | 0.133 | 0.101 | 0.072 | 0.081 |
| 2014 | 0.171 | 0.238 | 0.246 | 0.188 | 0.170 | 0.140 | 0.118 | 0.066 | 0.105 |
| 2015 | 0.177 | 0.228 | 0.214 | 0.179 | 0.192 | 0.153 | 0.102 | 0.098 | 0.190 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -11.9 | -21.4 | -13.4 | -7.7 | 3.2 | 8.5 | -26.1 | -33.3 | 10.5*for 9 years |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years |  |  |  |  | All Industries |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0.103 | 0.285 | 0.248 | 0.178 | 0.123 | 0.089 | 0.062 | 0.045 | 0.059 |
| 2007 | 0.104 | 0.290 | 0.238 | 0.191 | 0.128 | 0.084 | 0.062 | 0.052 | 0.066 |
| 2008 | 0.106 | 0.261 | 0.234 | 0.188 | 0.133 | 0.095 | 0.065 | 0.048 | 0.059 |
| 2009 | 0.104 | 0.265 | 0.241 | 0.176 | 0.127 | 0.092 | 0.069 | 0.052 | 0.068 |
| 2010 | 0.094 | 0.229 | 0.212 | 0.188 | 0.115 | 0.083 | 0.060 | 0.048 | 0.064 |
| 2011 | 0.100 | 0.241 | 0.231 | 0.188 | 0.126 | 0.085 | 0.066 | 0.051 | 0.066 |
| 2012 | 0.104 | 0.235 | 0.214 | 0.187 | 0.132 | 0.098 | 0.072 | 0.054 | 0.062 |
| 2013 | 0.119 | 0.286 | 0.250 | 0.209 | 0.148 | 0.111 | 0.084 | 0.056 | 0.081 |
| 2014 | 0.108 | 0.255 | 0.236 | 0.182 | 0.134 | 0.100 | 0.079 | 0.055 | 0.066 |
| 2015 | 0.120 | 0.243 | 0.230 | 0.189 | 0.137 | 0.115 | 0.095 | 0.078 | 0.081 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 16.5 | -14.7 | -7.3 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 29.2 | 53.2 | 73.3 | 37.3 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.
Table 27 is not indexed, and shows the original annual turnover rates for each age group. A more complete description for "First Quarter Employee Turnover" is: The rate at which stable jobs begin and end. It is calculated by summing the number of stable hires in the reference quarter and stable separations in the next quarter, and dividing by the average full-quarter employment ${ }^{5}$. It should be noted that age group Ages 14 to 18 consist of many seasonal employees, who may leave when the school term begins, thus for this reason show greater turnovers than the other groups.

The table shows that of the restaurant industry's nine age groups the turnover rates of six improved or decreased more than they did in all industries for the same age group. In two restaurant age groups Ages to 25 to 34 and Ages 35 to 45 turnover increased, but did not increase as much as all industries turnover did for the groups. Data for restaurant turnover is only available for eight years for the remaining older age group. The highest rate of restaurant employee turnover improvement (decrease) was in the the oldest age group of Ages 55 to 64, then followed by the second greateist decrease in the Ages 45 to 54 group.

## Enid MSA Trends for Employment, Employee Earnings and Employee Turnovers by the Employee Characteristic of Sex: 2006-2015

On the next page, Table 28 shows the restaurant and all industries employment increase by the three sex groups of male, female ans both sexes.

| Table 28, Enid MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Employment ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 529 | 785 | 1,314 | 10,338 | 9,289 | 19,627 |
| 2007 | 582 | 782 | 1,364 | 10,312 | 9,418 | 19,730 |
| 2008 | 580 | 838 | 1,418 | 10,786 | 9,874 | 20,660 |
| 2009 | 611 | 864 | 1,476 | 10,919 | 9,918 | 20,837 |
| 2010 | 632 | 833 | 1,464 | 10,310 | 9,621 | 19,931 |
| 2011 | 658 | 892 | 1,550 | 10,706 | 9,376 | 20,083 |
| 2012 | 652 | 930 | 1,583 | 10,863 | 9,504 | 20,367 |
| 2013 | 649 | 929 | 1,578 | 10,870 | 9,047 | 19,917 |
| 2014 | 745 | 943 | 1,688 | 11,959 | 9,673 | 21,631 |
| 2015 | 775 | 956 | 1,731 | 12,475 | 10,120 | 22,596 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 46.5 | 21.8 | 31.7 | 20.7 | 8.9 | 15.1 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning of First Quarter Employment Counts
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Over the 10-year period, restaurant employment in all three sex groups grew at a greater percent than any all industries sex group, with restaurant male employment growing faster than restaurant female (46.5 percent to 21.3 percent).

Table 29, Enid MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Average Monthly Earnings ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex

| Years | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries <br> Male <br> Employees | All Industries <br> Female <br> Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 859 | 728 | 781 | 3,180 | 1,645 | 2,449 |
| 2007 | 853 | 774 | 808 | 3,284 | 1,707 | 2,533 |
| 2008 | 935 | 810 | 861 | 3,476 | 1,772 | 2,662 |
| 2009 | 940 | 846 | 885 | 3,400 | 1,795 | 2,637 |
| 2010 | 979 | 866 | 915 | 3,357 | 1,826 | 2,621 |
| 2011 | 968 | 867 | 910 | 3,489 | 1,899 | 2,749 |
| 2012 | 1,050 | 944 | 988 | 4,322 | 2,105 | 3,290 |
| 2013 | 1,086 | 960 | 1,012 | 4,676 | 2,294 | 3,595 |
| 2014 | 1,090 | 1,011 | 1,046 | 5,003 | 2,446 | 3,859 |
| 2015 | 1,131 | 1,070 | 1,097 | 5,501 | 2,574 | 4,189 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | 31.7 | 47.0 | 40.5 | 73.0 | 56.5 | 71.0 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Over the 10 -year period, restaurant earnings in all three sex groups of male, female and 'both male and female', grew at lower percent than all industries earnings for the same group. In the restaurant industry, female earnings grew at a higher percent than male earnings ( 47.0 percent to 31.7 percent), but did not increase enough to match the monthly average of male by 2015. Below Table 30 gives the employee turnover for these same two industries.

| Table 30, Enid MSA: All Industries and NAICS 7225 Restaurants and Other Eating Places Turnover ${ }^{1}$ by Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | NAICS 7225 <br> Male <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 <br> Female <br> Employees | NAICS 7225 both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ | All Industries Male Employees | All Industries Female Employees | All Industries both Male \& Female Employees ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2006 | 0.205 | 0.200 | 0.201 | 0.096 | 0.112 | 0.103 |
| 2007 | 0.200 | 0.178 | 0.187 | 0.098 | 0.111 | 0.104 |
| 2008 | 0.179 | 0.168 | 0.173 | 0.100 | 0.112 | 0.106 |
| 2009 | 0.193 | 0.187 | 0.189 | 0.103 | 0.106 | 0.104 |
| 2010 | 0.165 | 0.151 | 0.157 | 0.089 | 0.100 | 0.094 |
| 2011 | 0.191 | 0.165 | 0.175 | 0.097 | 0.104 | 0.100 |
| 2012 | 0.200 | 0.170 | 0.182 | 0.099 | 0.110 | 0.104 |
| 2013 | 0.180 | 0.176 | 0.177 | 0.115 | 0.125 | 0.119 |
| 2014 | 0.187 | 0.159 | 0.171 | 0.108 | 0.109 | 0.108 |
| 2015 | 0.193 | 0.164 | 0.177 | 0.125 | 0.115 | 0.120 |
| Change ${ }^{3}$ | -5.9 | -18.0 | -11.9 | 30.2 | 2.7 | 16.5 |

Note ${ }^{1}$ : First Quarter Employee Turnover (Stable).
Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

Table 30 shows that over ten years the three sex groups in the restaurant industry decreased or improved their turnover rates, while all industries increased their rates. However, in the restaurant industry female decreased their turnover the most, with female decreasing 18.5 percent and the male 5.9 percent.

## Summary of Enid MSA Restaurant and All Industry 10-Year Trends

Employment for eight restaurant industry ages groups increased during the 10-year period, while the ninth Ages 19 to 24 group decreased by 6.4 percent. Employment in seven all industries also increased, with the Ages 14 to 18 and Ages 19 to 21 two groups decreased by 6.8 and 19.3 percent, respectively. The percent change in both industries was largest in the two oldest age groups of Ages 55 to 64 and Ages 65 to 99 groups. The percent change in restaurant employment was largest in the former and the percent change in all industries employment was greatest in the latter age group. For all ages (14 to 99 years) restaurant employment increased 31.7 percent to all industries 15.1 percent.

Only one restaurant industry age groups had a higher 10-year earnings increase percent than its counterpart in all industries. This was the youngest restaurant age group of Ages 14 to 18. The largest earnings percent increase in both industries was in Ages 65 to 99 . The all industry five older age groups ended the 10 -year period in 2015 , with earnings of more than twice that of restaurant average monthly earnings for the same group.

Only eight of the nine restaurant age groups had complete 10 year turnover data for comparison, with the older Ages 65 to 99 group data missing for two years. However, six of these eight had improvement or a
percent decrease in turnovers and two restaurant industries age groups showed percent increases. All industries had two age groups with decreases in turnovers and seven age groups with percent increases. In the eight age groups containing restaurant comparison data, the restaurant age group either showed the greater turnover decrease (improvement) or showed the lessor percent of turnover increase. The restaurant industry All ages ( 14 to 99 ) age group percent turnover decrease was 11.9 percent, and the all industry turnover percent increase for the same age group was 16.5 percent.

When comparing the restaurant industry to all industries by sex, male restaurant employment increased at a larger percent than female of either industry and larger than male of all industries. Restaurant industry female, male and both sexes' earnings increased at lower percentages than their counterparts in all industries. Female increased a larger percent than male in the restaurant industry, but not enough to match the earnings of male by the 1st quarter 2015. All three restaurant sex groups had greater turnover decreases than their all industries counterpart, with the restaurant female turnover decreasing the most, 18.0 percent to restaurant male 5.9 percent.


[^0]:    Note ${ }^{1}$ : Beginning-of-1st-Quarter Employment: Average Monthly Earnings.
    Note ${ }^{2}$ : Rounding may slightly affect totals.
    Note ${ }^{3}$ : Percent change over the ten years.

