Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: 11/08/2021
Solicitation No. AC0028
Requisition No. Amendment No. 001

Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: ☑ No ☐ Yes, to: ____________ CST

Pursuant to OAC 260:115-7-30(d), this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent. Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:
Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date in the subject line of the email.

ISSUED FROM:
Laura Bybee 405-628-4034 lbybee@odot.org
Contracting Officer Phone Number E-Mail Address

RETURN TO: lbybee@odot.org

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:
Answers to the questions submitted have been provided on the following pages.

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Supplier Company Name (PRINT) Date

Authorized Representative Name (PRINT) Title Authorized Representative Signature

OMES FORM CP 011 Rev. 04/2020
1. Section A.21, Have appropriations for this project been made/approved by the State Legislature? Strategic Plan cost budgeted by ODOT.
2. Does the state have an anticipated budget for these consulting services? If so, can you share it? Yes, but we are not able to provide at this time.
3. Does the state have an anticipated budget for the procurement of the statewide radio system? If so, can you share it? No, that is one of the purposes of the RFP.
4. Section C.1.1.1, Assessment of current systems – does current documentation exist for these systems, or should consultants plan on collecting data to complete this assessment? See Attachments A-C.
5. Section C.1.1.1, Assessment of current systems – should consultants plan on conducting site visits to gather data about existing systems/equipment? If so, how many sites should we include in our pricing assumptions? Previous discovery and documentation but will need to verify and update data to current status. This information is available in Attachments A-C.
6. Section C.1.2, Will consultants responding to this solicitation be eligible to respond to subsequent consulting opportunities (i.e., to help the State develop a solicitation for vendors/equipment upgrades?) Yes.
7. Section C.4, Milestones – is there a specific date by which the State needs the final Strategic Plan completed? June 1, 2022.
8. Section C.5.5 Please confirm that the consultant will meet three times a month with the LMRPSIC: once in person, and twice via Microsoft Teams. How many months of these meetings should we include in our pricing assumptions? Six (6) months.
9. Section C.5.9, Can the State quantify how many stakeholder meetings across the state we should include in our proposal and pricing? Two (2) to Three (3) at most.
10. Sections C.4 and C.5.13, How many months after submittal of the Task 2, Findings Report, does the state require submittal of the Draft Strategic Plan? About sixty (60) days.
11. Section F.1.1, requests respondents include information on their proposed methodology and understanding of the project in the Executive Summary. Is this where we should include our detailed Proposed Work Plan and Deliverables, or should the detailed Work Plan be included in a separate section of the proposal and, if so, which section? The work plan should be a separate section behind the Executive Summary.
12. Sections F.3.2 and F.3.3, both request information on staff roles, estimated commitment (hours), and availability. Please confirm that respondents only need to include this information once within the Project Team response. The information only needs to be provided once, but needs to include the requested information from both sections.
13. Section H.1.1, Does the State have a pricing form to be completed, or should respondents use their own internal format for submitting total cost to complete the project? Vendors may provide pricing in their format as long as there is a total cost to provide the report as listed in Section H.1.1.
14. B.8.1: No reimbursable travel is contemplated under the terms of this contract. Question 1: As a firm fixed price contract, will ODOT allow anticipated travel expenses to be included in the proposed firm fixed price or allow some other means of expense reimbursement? Yes, it should be included in the lump sum pricing.
15. B.11.1: The contractor shall not sublet, sell, transfer, assign, or otherwise dispose of the contract or contracts or any portion thereof, or of his right, title, or interest therein, without written consent of ODOT. Question 2: In certain instances, we utilize specific individuals for staff augmentation in specific technical disciplines. In such cases, all work product and deliverables produced by this individual undergo thorough review by our firm and are
submitted in a manner indistinguishable from all other work product and deliverables associated with the project. In such cases, we take full responsibility for work performed by the staff augmentation individual and we ensure that all such work product/deliverables are submitted to our client by our firm as our work product. Will this be acceptable with respect to RFP Section B.11.1? Yes.

16. C.1.1.1: An assessment of current systems in Oklahoma, many of which are owned by local and tribal jurisdictions. Question 3: We respectfully request a comprehensive list, to include a description (Manufacturer, Architecture type, No. of Channels, No. of Sites, No. of subscriber radios, etc.), of current systems in Oklahoma for which the winning consultant would be required to assess. Directly under the AC0028 RFP solicitation, there are three documents that are for reference only that contain the information requested. AC0028 attachments A-C. The link is below.

https://oklahoma.gov/odot/business-center/procurement.html

17. C.1.1.2: A comparison of similar systems in other states (size and technology) Question 4: Will it be the responsibility of the winning consultant to identify and compare all systems in all other states similar in size and technology? No, at least three states as a comparison will be fine.

18. C.1.2: It is understood by the proposers that, if awarded a contract through this RFP, the deliverable completed by the awarded contractor for these services will be utilized by the state and its political subdivisions as part of the scope of services for the resultant goods or services solicitation required to implement the project. Question 5: Will the winning consultant be permitted to compete for any subsequent consulting work associated with design/procurement/implementation on any resultant projects? Yes.

19. C.5.5: Meetings – consultants to meet with the LMRPSIC technology subcommittee in person once a month and biweekly through MS teams. Question 6: For purposes of estimating time and expense, will each in-person meeting be limited to a one-day duration? If not, is there an estimate for the duration in days of each monthly in-person meeting? Yes, just one (1) day.