Date of Issuance: 6/9/20  Solicitation No. 3450004927

Requisition No. 3450032321 / 20-FM-0099  Amendment No. 1

Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: ☐ No  ☑ Yes, to: ____________ __________ CST

Pursuant to OAC 260:115-7-30(d), this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent. Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,

If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date in the subject line of the email.

ISSUED FROM:
Cheryl Emerson 405-522-3209 cemerson@odot.org
Contracting Officer Phone Number E-Mail Address

RETURN TO: cemerson@odot.org

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:

Regarding questions that have been raised regarding the fence at the facility:

The Contractor will be responsible to remove and replace any existing fence that hinders/interferes with tank removal activities. Existing fence could be reused if care is taken during removal and subsequent reuse renders the site secured at project completion. Otherwise, fence replacement shall meet the attached specifications.

Cost to be included in other items of work.

Questions and Answers – Attached

ODOT Fence Detail Sheet - Attached

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Supplier Company Name (PRINT)  Date

Authorized Representative Name (PRINT)  Title  Authorized Representative Signature
Questions and Answers

Question No. 1  E.3.1 & Appendix B: The fence surrounding the UST area will need to be removed in order to allow equipment to access the USTs for removal. Will the fence need to be replaced by the Contractor following completion of the UST removal? If so, what specifications are needed for fence replacement?

Answer: Yes. The property will need to be secured after tank removal. Contractor may salvage and re-use existing fence. Otherwise spec is 6’ Chain link with barb wire climb barrier.

Question No. 2. Appendix B 2.1: In the event the UST extends beneath the adjacent sidewalk, will closure-in-place (and OCC Variance Request) need to be pursued or can the sidewalk be removed in order to facilitate UST removal? Will the sidewalk need to be replaced by the Contractor if it is removed? What specifications are needed for sidewalk replacement?

Answer: The sidewalk can be removed to facilitate removal activities. Sidewalk replacement is beyond the scope of work for this Solicitation.

Question No. 3 Appendix B 3.2.2: If the UST is strapped to concrete in the pit, can the concrete remain in the pit or will it require excavation, containerization, and disposal?

Answer: Follow regulatory guidance.

Question No. 4. Appendix B 3.2.2: Since the tank pit/vapor monitor wells are subject to OWRB regulation, should they be plugged in accordance with OWRB requirements (over-drilled, plugged, and abandoned by an OWRB-licensed driller)?

Answer: Refer to Solicitation Section 3.2.2 Site Specific requirements - “A description of how the wells will be removed will need to be included as part of the bid submittal package.”

Question No. 5. Appendix B 3.2.2 and Appendix B 4: Can monitor well plugging waste (filter pack, concrete completions, etc.) be spread on-site or placed in the backfilled UST pit or does it need to be containerized and disposed of? If disposal is necessary, should we assume the waste will be characterized as non-hazardous? Will the liquidated damages daily assessment rate be waived to allow for waste characterization, scheduling, removal, and disposal of drums from the site?

Answer: Refer to Solicitation Section 3.2.2 Site Specific requirements - “A description of how the wells will be removed will need to be included as part of the bid submittal package.”

Question No. 6. Appendix B 3.4: Should OCC suspicion/confirmed release case(s) be activated following UST removal at the facility, will the vendor awarded the UST removal project also be awarded the release case(s)?
Answer: Confirmed release case work is beyond the scope of work for this Solicitation.

Question No. 7. Will the contractor be responsible for removal of fencing and fence posts along the east and south perimeter of the tank pit?

Answer: Remove and Replace Fence (See Amendment No. 1)

Question No. 8. Will the contractor need to include replacement of fencing and new fence posts along the east and south perimeter of tank pit?

Answer: Remove and Replace Fence (See Amendment No. 1)

Question No. 9. When encountering old vent lines and product lines left from tank removal during 1994, we plan to remove those old abandoned vent lines and product lines to the old dispenser islands. There are visible signs old galvanized vent lines and galvanized dispenser piping still present in old concrete islands. Please confirm that these are included within the current bid specifications.

Answer: Yes

Question No. 10. During the removal of the old dispenser island under the front awning, next to the front sidewalk do you want concrete sidewalk and curbing replaced?

Answer: Sidewalk replacement is beyond the scope of work for this Solicitation.

Question No. 11. Even though all utilities should be terminated to the building, will we have access to lock out, tag out main electrical boxes?

Answer: Yes

Question No. 12. Will the contractor need to replace the air line and water line if compromised during excavation of product lines at the dispenser canopy?

Answer: No

Question No. 13. Will the contractor be responsible for the removal of fuel & water contents in the poly and steel barrels at south end of tank.

Answer: No

Question No. 14. During excavation of product lines, if the sidewalk along the southeast end of the building is compromised, do you want concrete replaced or is it acceptable to backfill with compactable material?

Answer: Compactable material backfill is acceptable.