IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY

STATE O	F OKLAHOMA JUN 1 1 2024
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel., GENTNER DRUMMOND, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA,	RICK WARREN COURT CLERK 125
Plaintiff,) vs.)	Case No. 64024-2024 - 3 7 8 5
JOHNSON & JOHNSON,	
Defendant.	

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, by and through Attorney General Gentner Drummond ("Plaintiff" or the "State"), and brings this action against Defendant Johnson & Johnson ("Defendant" or "J&J) pursuant to the Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act, 15 O.S. §§ 751 – 763 ("OCPA"). In support thereof, the State alleges as follows.

I. JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY AUTHORITY

- 1. This enforcement action is brought by Plaintiff in the public interest pursuant to the authority granted by 15 O.S. § 756.1, upon the ground that Defendant has engaged in unfair and deceptive trade practices as defined in 15 O.S. § 752, and declared unlawful by 15 O.S. § 753.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to 12 O.S. § 2004 because, at all times relevant to this Complaint, J&J was engaged in trade and commerce affecting consumers in the State of Oklahoma, as described herein.

II. VENUE

3. Venue is proper in Oklahoma County, Oklahoma pursuant to 12 O.S. § 133.

III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is the chief law officer of the State of Oklahoma and is charged with enforcing the OCPA pursuant to 15 O.S. § 756.1.
- 5. Defendant is a New Jersey company with its principal place of business and executive offices located at One Johnson & Johnson Plaza, New Brunswick, NJ, 08933. J&J transacts business in Oklahoma and nationwide by manufacturing, marketing, promoting, advertising, offering for sale, and selling, Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Since the 1890s, J&J and various subsidiaries have manufactured, marketed, and sold talc body powder products such as Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower (collectively, "Talc Powder Products"). J&J marketed these products as safe for daily use by consumers all over their bodies, including female genitals. The products were marketed and intended to be used to maintain a fresh, dry, and clean feeling; to eliminate friction on the skin; and to absorb excess moisture. J&J's talc powder products were advertised as "clinically proven gentle and mild."
- 7. In advertisements, J&J at times encouraged primarily women and teenage girls to use Talc Powder Products to mask and avoid odors. Bottles of Johnson's® Baby Powder specifically stated, "for use every day to help feel soft, fresh and comfortable." Shower to Shower's® advertisements stated "Your body perspires in more places than just under your arms. Use SHOWER to SHOWER to feel dry, fresh and comfortable throughout the day." In short, J&J knew and intended that women would use the Talc Powder Products on and in their genitals.
- 8. Since the 1980s, J&J knew of studies and other support information demonstrating that Talc Powder Products were sometimes tainted with carcinogenic asbestos and that women

who used talc-based powders in the genital area had an increased risk of ovarian cancer compared to those women who do not. At all pertinent times during these periods, feasible and safe alternatives to the Talc Products existed (e.g., cornstarch powders). Despite this knowledge, J&J continued marketing of Talc Powder Products as safe, pure, and gentle, and as suitable for use in and on female genitals.

- 9. J&J's knowledge of the potential presences of asbestos in its Talc Powder Products dates to at least the 1950s, when J&J discovered that the chief source mine for talc in the U.S. market contained tremolite. Tremolite is one of the six different minerals that take the form of crystalline fibers known as asbestos. Through the 1960s, J&J searched for "clean" talc deposits but kept finding tremolite fibers in the deposits. As early as 1969, J&J expressed internal concern in a memo that the tremolite fibers in its talc posed a safety risk, and that J&J would not be able to assure that its powders were safe to use if tremolite in more than "unavoidable trace amounts" were present.
- 10. In the 1970s, there was growing public awareness of the dangers of asbestos with the federal Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") recognition of asbestos as the primary cause of mesothelioma. During this time, J&J repeatedly met with the FDA and shared "evidence that their talc contains less than 1%, if any, asbestos."
- 11. Meanwhile, J&J's own scientists were conducting studies showing that J&J's talc contained trace amounts of asbestos fibers. J&J's research director warned that J&J should "protect our powder franchise" by eliminating as many tiny fibers that can be inhaled in airborne talc dust as possible, but that "no final product will ever be made which will be totally free from respirable particles."

- 12. Moreover, a 1973 J&J memo made clear that the company was "confident" that asbestiform minerals could be located even at a mine the company considered "very clean," and that talc used in J&J's baby powder at times contained identifiable amounts of tremolite and actinolite, two types of asbestos fibers.
- 13. J&J knew, from the results of funded studies, that asbestos was present in talc. However, citing costs and fear of public reaction, they failed to disclose this knowledge to the government, media or the public. Instead, the lobbying organization Cosmetic Toiletry and Fragrance Association (hereinafter "CTFA"), which J&J was a part of, stated, "there is no basis to Petitioner's request that cosmetic talc products should bear warning labels to the effect that talcum powder causes cancer in laboratory animals or the 'frequent talc application in the female genital area increases the risk of ovarian cancer'."
- 14. J&J also engaged in an effort to influence research on talc safety. J&J commissioned a 1974 mortality study of Italian talc miners, which found no mesothelioma among the subject population. The study was then repeatedly published along with other J&J-commissioned studies, including one testing baby powder on a doll to show that powdering provided low exposure, touting the safety of talc without disclosing J&J's connections. J&J reported on the success of its efforts to influence in a 1977 internal report on J&J's "Defense of Talc Safety" strategy, noting that independent authorities had been "enjoy[ing] confirming reassurance" that cosmetic talc products were "free of hazard," in part due to the effective dissemination of "favorable data from the various J&J sponsored studies" to the scientific and medical communities in the United States and Britain.

- 15. Meanwhile, a 1982 Harvard study found that the use of talc increased a women's risk of ovarian cancer by 92%. The authors of that study advised J&J to place a warning on its talc products. It did not.
- 16. Since 1982, multiple studies found an increased risk of ovarian cancer caused by the use of talc products for feminine hygiene.
- 17. J&J took part in efforts to neutralize the effects of the studies. For instance, the United States National Toxicology Program published a study in 1993 on the toxicity of non-asbestiform tale that found clear evidence of carcinogenic activity. In response, CTFA's Tale Interested Party Task Force TIPTF, a group of which J&J was a member, issued statements claiming these studies were insufficient to link between hygienic tale use and ovarian cancer.
- 18. Despite knowledge of the dangers associated with the use of its Talc Powder Products, J&J failed to warn consumers and continued to market Talc Powder Products for use in the manner most likely to increase the risk of ovarian cancer.
- 19. In the 1990s, J&J specifically targeted African American and Hispanic women in its marketing campaigns in order to reverse declines in sales of its baby powders. J&J's internal memo describing this marketing strategy acknowledged that baby powder had problems such as "negative publicity from the health community on talc (inhalation, dust, negative doctor endorsement, cancer linkage)."
- 20. By the 2000s, other manufacturers began placing warnings on their talc products about the risk of developing ovarian cancer as a result of genital talc use The safety documents provided to J&J by its current talc supplier included a statement that the International Agency for Research on Cancer "has concluded that perineal use of talc-based body powder is possibly carcinogenic to humans." Despite knowing for over 30 years of studies linking the use of Talc

Products in the genital area with increased risk of ovarian cancer, J&J continued to refuse to include any warning or information in its marketing of the Talc Products. Instead, J&J continued to market the products as safe for daily use on all areas of the body. For example, contemporaneous Shower to Shower advertisements suggested that "a sprinkle a day keeps odors away" that the product "can be used all over your body."

- 21. In 2012, J&J sold Shower to Shower to Valeant Pharmaceuticals North America, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Valeant International. In July 2018, Valeant International changed its name to Bausch Health Companies, Inc ("Bausch"). In 2018, Bausch reformulated Shower to Shower by replacing talc with corn starch.
- 22. In October 2019, J&J issued a recall of Johnson's Baby Powder after the United States Food and Drug Administration discovered asbestos in a bottle. J&J finally discontinued the manufacturing, sale, and distribution of talc-based Johnson's Baby Powder in May 2020 in the United States.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of the Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act, 15 O.S. §§ 751-763)

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates and adopts by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 22.
- 24. Defendant, in the course of marketing, promoting, selling, and distributing its talc products, has engaged in a course of trade or commerce which constitutes false, deceptive, or misleading acts or practices, and is therefore unlawful under 15 O.S. § 753 when it misrepresented the sponsorship, approval, characteristics, benefits or qualities of its talc powder products.
- 25. Defendant, in the course of marketing, promoting, selling, and distributing its talc products, has engaged in a course of trade or commerce which constitutes false, deceptive, or

misleading acts or practices, and is therefore unlawful under 15 O.S. § 753, including but not limited to misrepresenting the safety of talc products.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the State of Oklahoma respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order:

- a. Adjudging and decreeing that J&J has engaged in the acts or practices complained of herein, and that such constitute unfair and deceptive trade practices in violation of the Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act, 15 O.S. §§ 751 763.
- b. Issuing a permanent injunction prohibiting J&J, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and all other persons and entities, corporate or otherwise, in active concert or participation with any of them, from engaging in unfair or deceptive trade practices, as outlined in the Consent Judgment being filed simultaneously with this Complaint;
- c. Ordering J&J to pay civil penalties in the amount of \$10,000 per violation of the OCPA as set forth in 15 O.S. § 761.1;
- d. Ordering J&J to pay Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs of court pursuant to 15
 O.S. § 756.1; and
- e. Granting all other relief that the Court deems appropriate.

GENTNER DRUMMOND
OKLAHOMA ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bv

Ethan Shaner, OBA No. 30916 Deputy Attorney General

313 N.E. 21st Street

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105

Telephone: (405) 521-3921 ethan.shaner@oag.ok.gov