SUMMARY OF FINDINGS SYSTEM EVALUATION

Comprehensive analysis revealed adequacies, deficiencies, and overlaps for the current airport system. System performance measures guided the system evaluation process. Each performance measure has a set of quantifiable benchmarks which determine current performance. Results are used to establish targets for future system performance.

OKLAHOMA SYSTEM PERFORMANCE MEASURES	A SYSTEM THAT IS SAFE
	A SYSTEM THAT IS EFFICIENT
	A SYSTEM THAT IS ACCESSIBLE
	A SYSTEM THAT SUPPORTS THE ECONOMY
	A SYSTEM THAT MEETS USER NEEDS

AIRPORT ROLES AND FACILITY SERVICE OBJECTIVES

Each airport in Oklahoma plays a different role in their community based on the aircraft and customers it serves. Detailed investigation scored and ranked each airport to establish its system role as either a National Business, Regional Business, General, or Community airport. Each role category has facility and service objectives considered desirable for meeting user needs. Each airport's report card shows projects needed to meet system plan objectives. Report cards also reflect additional investment to address airport identified projects.

AIRPORTS IN OKLAHOMA ARE ASSIGNED TO ONE OF FOUR STATE ROLES:








OKLAHOMA AIRPORT SYSTEM PLAN

CONCLUSIONS ESTIMATED COSTS

The Oklahoma airport system currently functions at a relatively high level, but if airports are able to meet their individual facility and service objectives, that performance could improve.

The final step in the system plan was to develop planning level cost estimates associated with improving system airports to meet their facility and service objectives. In addition, information was collected from study airports to identify other projects they plan to implement. Combining system plan identified projects with airport identified projects provides a more holistic understanding of the system's financial needs in the next 20 years.

Costs were summarized by airport role and allocated to show the costs needed to implement system plan identified projects and airport identified projects.



POTENTIAL FUNDING GAP

Considering all investment needs, an average of **\$125.1 million** would be needed in each of the next 20 years to fully address the identified costs. Review of historic and anticipated FAA, state, and local funding sources shows an average of **\$85.8 million** in funding could be available if current funding levels continue. This leaves a potential annual funding gap of **\$39.2 million**; considering this gap, it is important that available funding be strategically invested. It is also important to note that while the airports have an annual investment need of **\$125.1 million**, the airports return an estimated **\$10.6 billion** to the state's economy each year.

The system plan provides important information to OAC, helping to direct available funding to airport projects most essential to meeting the state's transportation needs and economic objectives.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT Oklahoma Aeronautics Commission 110 N Robinson Ave. Suite 200 | Oklahoma City, OK 73102 405.604.6900 | oac.ok.gov








In late 2020, the Oklahoma Aeronautics Commission (OAC) undertook a comprehensive update to its State Airport System Plan. The plan was completed in 2022. This report summarizes major statewide findings, but it focuses primarily on the findings and recommendations from the plan for Clinton-Sherman Airport.



OKLAHOMA AIRPORT SYSTEM PLAN

The update to the Oklahoma Airport System Plan followed Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) guidelines. Airports in Oklahoma provide businesses, residents, and visitors with a high level of accessibility to a wide variety of airports and aviation services. Implementing strategic improvements and focused investment recommendations from the plan can elevate the airport system's current performance.

OKLAHOMA'S STATE AIRPORT SYSTEM



SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS

108 total system airports

4 airports with commercial airline service

104 general aviation airports

90% of airports included in FAA's National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS)

Airports included in the NPIAS are eligible for FAA funding. FAA, OAC, and airport sponsor partnerships are important for maintaining and improving the airport system.

INVENTORY

The plan started with a comprehensive inventory effort; information was collected on airport activity, facilities, and services. Special inventory efforts focused on:




Data collected as part of the system plan is stored in a Geographic Information System database; the database is accessible at **oac.ok.gov.**



OVERVIEW

The system plan identifies strategies for improving Oklahoma's 108 commercial and general aviation **airports**. Each airport's improvements identified in the system plan are focused on helping the airport meet its designated role in the state system. By implementing individual airport recommendations, a higher level of system-wide performance will be achieved. System plan findings and recommendations for CLINTON-**SHERMAN AIRPORT** are discussed in this report.



CLINTON-SHERMAN AIRPORT (CSM)

BURNS FLAT, OKLAHOMA

KEY AIRPORT CHARACTERISTICS

FAA/NPIAS ROLE: UNCLASSIFIED **STATE ROLE:** REGIONAL BUSINESS **OWNER: OSIDA/STATE OF OKLAHOMA** PRIMARY RUNWAY: 17R / 35L **APPROACH TYPE: PRECISION BASED AIRCRAFT: 3** SERVICES: FBO, AVGAS / JET A FUEL



AIRPORT ROLE

The system plan included detailed analysis to establish a role for each airport. The analysis assigned the Clinton-Sherman Airport to the Regional Business role category. To determine the airport's role assignment, the following factors were considered:

- Total based aircraft, annual operations, and business jet activity
- Runway length, approach type, and air traffic control tower
- Airport reference code (ARC) and fuel type
- Community size and support, along with federal airport role
- Historic and projected rate of population and employment growth
- Business ready characteristics and annual economic impact

CLINTON-SHERMAN AIRPORT

REGIONAL BUSINESS AIRPORT CHARACTERISTICS

MINIMUM RUNWAY LENGTH OBJECTIVE: 5,000 FEET

MARKETS SERVED: PREDOMINANTLY SERVE MID-SIZED COMMUNITIES IN OKLAHOMA

AIRCRAFT SUPPORTED: MEDIUM BUSINESS JETS

SUITED FOR: TRAVEL TO MID-SIZE DOMESTIC DESTINATIONS

PRIMARY RUNWAY: FULL PARALLEL TAXIWAY AND PRECISION-LIKE APPROACH

FACILITY/SERVICE OBJECTIVES: A PUBLIC TERMINAL, JET A FUEL, AND FBO

AIRPORT REPORT CARD FOR CLINTON-SHERMAN AIRPORT

AIRPORT ROLE:	REGIONAL BUSINESS	AIRPORT NAME: CLIN	NTON-SHERMAN	CITY: BURNS FLAT	LOCID: CSM		
FACILITIES	OBJECTIVE	ACTUAL	MEETS OBJECTIVE	IMPROVEMENT NEEDED	ESTIMATED COST		
AIRSIDE FACILITIES							
Airport Reference Code	B-II	C-IV	Yes	-			
Primary Runway Length	5,000 ft	13,503 ft	Yes	-			
Primary Runway Width	75 ft	200 ft	Yes	-			
Taxiway Type	Full Parallel	Partial Parallel	No	Extend Partial Parallel to Full Parallel	\$6,600,000		
Runway Lighting	MIRL	HIRL	Yes	-			
Taxiway Lighting	MITL	Non-Standard Lighting	No	Install MITL	\$1,250,000		
Approach Type	LPV	ILS	Yes	-			
Approach Lighting System	One RWY End	None	No	Install Approach Lighting on One RWY End	\$550,000		
Rotating Beacon	Yes	Yes	Yes	-			
Segmented Circle	Yes	No	No	Add Segmented Circle	\$25,000		
Wind Cone	Yes	Yes	Yes	-			
Visual Guidance Slope Indicator	Both RWY Ends 4 Box	Both Ends 4 Box PAPI	Yes	-			
Runway End Identifier Lights	On RWY end with Approach	Both Ends REILs	Yes	-			
Weather Reporting	AWOS or ASOS	ASOS	Yes	-			
Primary RWY PCI	70	73	Yes	-			
Weight Capacity	20,000 SW or 50,000 DW	50,000 SW / 200,000 DW	Yes	-			
Covered Storage	100% of Forecasted Based AC	0%	No	3 spaces	\$705,000		
Ramp Area	16,000 SY (10 spaces - large aircraft)	100,000+ SY	Yes	-			
		GENERAL AVIATION F	ACILITIES				
Terminal Building	2,500 ft	No Terminal	No	Build Terminal of at least 2,500 sqft	\$1,625,000		
Restroom (24/7 or key code)	Yes	No	No	Add Restroom or Add 24/7 access/Key Code	\$90,000		
Conference Area	Yes	No	No	Add Conference Room	\$210,000		
Pilot's Lounge	Yes	No	No	Add Pilot's Lounge	\$80,000		
Office Space for Airport Manager	Yes	No	No	Add Office Space for Airport Manager	\$150,000		
Public Waiting Area	Yes	No	No	Add Public Waiting Area	\$280,000		
SERVICES							
Fuel	AvGas and Jet A	AvGas / Jet A	Yes	-			
Jet Fuel (24/7 trucking)	Not an Objective	Yes	Not an Objective	-			
Fixed-Base Operator	Yes	Yes	Yes	-			
Aircraft Maintenance	Yes	No Maintenance	No	Establish Maintenance Operation	*		
Ground Transportation	Yes	Yes	Yes	-			
Overnight Aircraft Storage	1 jet	100 spaces	Yes	-			
GPU	Not an Objective	Yes	Not an Objective	-			
LAV Service Cart	Not an Objective	Yes	Not an Objective	-			
		COMPLIANCE WITH FAA					
RPZ Control	Airport Controls all RPZs	Partial Control	No	Secure Full Control of RWY End 17R / 35L	*		
RSA Standards	Compliance with RSA Standards	500' x 1,000' beyond RW end	Yes	-			
Runway/Taxiway Separation	400 ft	1,050 ft	Yes	-			
Height Zoning	Jurisdiction with Height Zoning Ordiance	Burns Flat - Yes	Yes	-			
20:1 Surface Obstructions	20:1 Surface Clear of Obstructions	No Obstruction	Yes	-			
*Costs are provided only if available from airport identified project list ** Airport identified project and cost substituted for OASP project System Plan Project Cost Subtotal: \$11,565,000							



CLINTON-SHERMAN AIRPORT (CSM) STATE HOUSE DISTRICT 55 | STATE SENATE DISTRICT 38

FACILITY AND SERVICE **OBJECTIVES**

Airports in Oklahoma should ideally be equipped with facilities and services to fulfill their designated role in the state airport system. As part of the system plan a report card was developed for each airport. The report card compares current facilities and services to those for each airport's recommended role and any deficiencies are noted. Costs to address most noted deficiencies are also identified in the plan.

INVESTMENT TO SUPPORT AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT

Over the next 20 years, a total cost of \$13.6 million was identified to improve the **Clinton-Sherman Airport**. These costs include those needed to address both system plan and airport identified projects.

On an average annual basis, it is estimated that at least \$680,000 will be needed to improve and maintain the airport. According to an OAC study, the airport has \$5.2 million in annual economic impact. This benefit should be considered to provide context for the airport's estimated annual financial need.

FINDINGS FOR CLINTON-SHERMAN AIRPORT

Ideally, all airports should be improved to meet their system plan identified projects. Prior to implementation, some projects will require demand justification, master planning, environmental analysis, and engineering/permitting. Some airports may have constraints that preclude them from developing all system plan identified projects.

A snapshot of some of the more notable projects identified for Clinton-Sherman Airport follows. Appendix C of the System Plan's Technical Report contains a complete listing of airport and system plan identified projects for the airport.



OKLAHOMA AIRPORT SYSTEM PLAN