#### EERC. UNIVERSITYOF. NORTH DAKOTA.

# EERC. NORTH DAKOTA.

Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)

### NORTH DAKOTA GAS CAPTURE UPDATE

Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission 2019 Annual Conference

August 26, 2019

Chad Wocken Principal Engineer, Fuel Group Lead

© 2019 University of North Dakota Energy & Environmental Research Center.

### NORTH DAKOTA PRODUCTION

#### NORTH DAKOTA PRODUCTION DATA

61 drill rigs

15,700 producing wells

1.4 million barrels crude oil per day

2.9 billion cubic feet associated gas per day





https://ndpipelines.files.wordpress.com/2019/ 08/ndpa-monthly-update-aug-15-2019.pdf

# Solving the Flaring Challenge



#### Statewide

GREEN – % of gas captured and sold Blue – % flared from zero sales wells Orange – % flared from wells with at least one mcf sold

#### **Simple Terms**

Blue – Lack of pipelines Orange – Challenges on existing infrastructure

June 2019 Data – Non-Confidential Wells

### WHY DO WE FLARE GAS?

# FACTORS INFLUENCING FLARING IN NORTH DAKOTA TODAY

- No gas gathering pipeline and infrastructure
  - Currently 22% of flared gas in ND is due to stranded production
  - Pipeline is planned, but delayed (permitting, right-of-way approval, weather)
- Maintenance or process disruption
  - Short duration
  - Can be unplanned
  - High natural gas liquid (NGL) content, 8-12 gallons NGL/Mcf
- Gas gathering capacity constraints
  - Pipelines and gas plants take more time than wells
  - High initial production (IP) can exceed capacity during first months of production
  - Location and rate of production is constantly changing

EERC. | UND NORTH DAKOTA.

### **TECHNOLOGIES TO MITIGATE FLARING**



JJ Kringstad - North Dakota Pipeline Authority

# EERC REMOTE CAPTURE DATABASE

As of August 2019, the EERC's Flaring Solutions database contained 33 companies with technologies in the following categories:

- NGL recovery
- Power production
- CNG or LNG production

• Gas conversion to chemicals or fuels Approximately 50% of these companies have had units deployed at some point in time.



# **NGL RECOVERY**

- Multiple operating principles; 300–5000 Mcfd input capacity
  - Joule–Thomson cooling
  - Mechanical refrigeration
  - Membrane- or sorbent-based separation
- Recovery efficiency of 2–4 gallons NGL/Mcf gas observed in field operations
  - 30%–50% volume reduction, up to 60% reduction in energy content
  - NGLs recovered, stored as a pressurized liquid, and sold
- Residue gas available for power, or CNG/LNG delivery; excess residue gas flared

Considerations

- Commercially available, mobile, capable of remote operation
- Extract highest-value hydrocarbons, reducing residue gas Btu content
- Increases product storage and truck traffic at production site

EERC UND NORTH DAKOTA

# **POWER PRODUCTION**

- Well site power
  - Generators sized from 100 kW to 5 MW
  - 1 MW power requires approximately 300 Mcfd gas
- Power for drilling rigs and well completions
  - Stranded gas can fuel generators used to power drilling and completions equipment
  - Bi-fuel (diesel and natural gas) and dedicated gas generators
- Companies with mobile data centers seeking low cost electricity
  - Mobilize skid mounted electrical generators and computing equipment
  - Scale of 1-10 MW power demand possible at a well site

Considerations

- Provides fuel cost savings over diesel when grid power is unavailable
- Expansion of grid infrastructure is expected to displace on-site gas generation
- Low price for power makes economics of grid-interconnect challenging at the wellsite

#### TRANSPORTATION FUEL CNG/LNG

Possible impact with 500,000-mile/day fleet

- 50,000 gallons diesel/day vs. 5600 Mcf gas/day
- \$90,000/day fuel savings over diesel (at \$2/gal diesel, \$2/Mcf gas)
- <1% reduction in overall flared volume for each 500,000 mile/day fleet</li>

#### Considerations

- Fuel cost savings compared to diesel
- Transportation engines require high-purity methane, not easily achievable at small scale.
- Very little infrastructure (refueling stations, fleets) to support natural gas transportation fuel.
- Refueling infrastructure and fleet investment needed



# **GAS CONVERSION TO FUELS OR CHEMICALS**

Small-scale conversion platforms capable of producing synthetic crude, alcohols, or ammonia-based fertilizer

- Nominal gas use of 25,000 Mcfd for 2500-bbl/day production
- Represents approximately 4% reduction in overall flared volume

Considerations

- Improved value on energy basis
- Product selection based on regional markets, cost to transport
- Scale selected to match gas supply and product demand
- 2500-bbl/day production requires aggregation of gas from multiple production locations
- Plant footprint, operational staffing, and permitting need to be addressed for remote operation
- · Process needs to be tolerant of variable gas quality and quantity

# **OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT**

Additional gas gathering infrastructure

• 28,000 miles of pipe installed since 2008, more planned

Additional regional demand for gas and NGL

- Transportation fleet conversions
- Investment in petrochemical manufacture, gas conversion to higher value chemicals and fuels

Advancements in small scale gas use technologies

Increased efficiency

Gas injection into geologic formations

- Gas storage
- Enhanced oil recovery from conventional reservoirs
- Pressure maintenance within the Bakken

# EERC. UN NORTH DAKOTA.

Chad Wocken Principal Engineer, Fuels Group Lead cwocken@undeerc.org 701.777.5273 (phone) Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota 15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018 Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018

www.undeerc.org 701.777.5000 (phone) 701.777.5181 (fax)

