AGENDA

I. Call to Order, Roll Call, and confirmation of a Quorum

II. Review, discussion and approval of Minutes for:
   a) September 14, 2018 Regular Meeting
   b) October 2, 2018 Tri-Board Meeting

III. Consideration, possible action and vote on proposed 2019 Board of Health Regular Meeting Schedule. Location is OSDH, 1000 NE 10th Street, Oklahoma City, OK and all meetings will begin at 1:00pm:
   a) 2019
      Tuesday, February 12, 2019
      Tuesday, April 9, 2019
      Tuesday, August 13, 2019
      Tuesday, October 1, 2019

IV. Consideration, possible action and vote on changes to the OSDH Organizational Chart – Interim Commissioner Tom Bates, J.D.
   a. Transfer and addition of new service areas and positions to a revised organizational chart

V. Consideration, possible action and vote on policy statement proposed by the Tri-Boards of Health at the October Tri-Board meeting - Buffy Heater, Chief Data, Public Policy & Promotion Officer

VI. Consideration, possible action and vote to open emergency rulemaking and promulgate proposed new emergency rules 310:681-5-8.1, containing food safety standards, proposed by the Oklahoma Medical Marijuana Authority’s Food Safety Standards Board – Buffy Heater, Chief Data, Public Policy & Promotion Officer

VII. Consideration, possible action, and vote to open emergency rulemaking record for amendments to the definitions’ section in 310:681-1-4 of the current emergency rules as proposed by the Oklahoma Medical Marijuana Authority’s Food Safety Standards Board – Buffy Heater, Chief Data, Public Policy & Promotion Officer

VIII. Canadian County Health Department presentation – Jan Fox, Regional Director, Canadian, Logan, Kingfisher, and Lincoln Counties
   a. Update on recent activities, county health outcomes and local health department services

IX. Program presentation – Laurence Burnsed, Interim State Epidemiologist and Deputy Commissioner for Prevention and Preparedness Services
   a. 2018-2019 Influenza season update
b. Oklahoma emergency response exercise highlighted in September 2018 AJPH article

X. Program presentation – Mike Cook, Director, Long Term Care Service
   a. Update on mandates and staffing levels
   b. Discuss program challenges in 2018 and share perspective for 2019

XI. Legislative update – Ashley Scott, Legislative Liaison
   a. Discuss recent election results, agency policy development process, rule promulgation and upcoming legislative session

XII. OSDH Financial Presentation – Gloria Hudson, Chief Financial Officer
   a. Update on Gap Analysis (PeopleSoft transition expectations)
   b. Financial Reports for the Four Month Period Ending October 31, 2018

XIII. President’s Report – Board President Tim Starkey, MBA
   a. Update on executive committee discussion and board activity since last regular Board of Health meeting

XIV. Interim Commissioner Report – Interim Commissioner Tom Bates, J.D.
   a. Update on agency progress and program activity since last regular Board of Health meeting

XV. New Business

XVI. Adjournment
CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AND CONFIRMATION OF A QUORUM

Timothy Starkey, President of the Oklahoma State Board of Health, called the regular meeting of the Oklahoma State Board of Health to order on Friday, September 14, 2018, at 8:33 a.m. The final agenda was posted at 1:04 p.m. on the OSDH website on September 12, 2018, and at 3:53 p.m. at the building entrance on September 12, 2018.

Members in Attendance: Jenny Alexopulos, D.O.; Terry R. Gerard II, D.O.; Charles W. Grim, D.D.S.; Edward A. Legako, M.D.; Ronald D. Osterhout; Becky Payton; Chuck Skillings; Timothy E. Starkey, M.B.A.

Absent: R. Murali Krishna, M.D.

Central Staff Present: Tom Bates, Interim Commissioner; Brian Downs, Chief of Staff; Gloria Hudson, Chief Financial Officer; Jennifer Reeves, Business Officer, Financial Services; Kristy Bradley, State Epidemiologist and Deputy Commissioner, Prevention & Preparedness Services; Laurence Burnsed, Acute Disease Service; Keith Reed, Deputy Commissioner, Community Health Services; Gunnar McFadden, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Community Health Services; Buffy Heater, Chief Data, Public Policy & Promotion Officer; Rocky McElvany, Deputy Commissioner, Protective Health Services; James Joslin, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Protective Health Services; Margot Barnes, Director, Human Resources; Tony Sellars, Director, Office of Communications; Laurence Burnsed, Acute Disease Service; Tony Sellars, Director, Office of Communications; Kim Bailey, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Legal Counsel; Jan Fox, Director, HIV/STD Service; Audie Hamman, Internal Audit Unit; Adrienne Rollins, Internal Director, Center for Health Innovation & Effectiveness; Spencer Kusi, Policy Development Coordinator; Joy Fugett, Health Planning Manager; Don Smalling, Interim Director, Office of Accountability Systems; Jeffrey Kelly, Office of General Counsel; and Diane Hanley, Executive Assistant, Commissioner’s Office.

Visitors in attendance: Gary Cox, Executive Director, Oklahoma City-County Health Department; Bruce Dart, Executive Director, Tulsa City-County Health Department; Kelli Rader, OSDH Regional Director; Brenda Potts, OSDH Regional Director; Mendy Spohn, OSDH Regional Director; D’Elbie Walker, OSDH Regional Director; Brandie Combs, OSDH Regional Director; Cara Gluck, OSDH Regional Director; Terri Salisbury, OSDH Regional Director; Larry Bergner, OSDH Regional Director; Jill Larcade, OSDH Regional Director; Linda Bryan of Guthrie; and Tyler Talley, eCapitol.

REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Starkey directed attention toward approval of the Minutes for the August 1, 2018, special meeting.

Mr. Skillings moved Board approval of the August 1, 2018, meeting minutes as presented. Second Osterhout. Motion Carried.

AYE: Alexopulos, Grim, Legako, Osterhout, Payton, Skillings, Starkey
ABSTAIN: Gerard
ABSENT: Krishna

CONSIDERATION, POSSIBLE ACTION AND VOTE ON CHANGE TO THE 2018 BOARD OF HEALTH MEETING SCHEDULE

Mr. Starkey proposed changing the location of the October 2, 2018, Tri-Board meeting to the Oklahoma City-County Health Department, 2600 NE 63rd Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73111.

Dr. Grim moved Board approval of the change as presented. Second Alexopulos. Motion Carried.
AYE: Alexopulos, Gerard, Grim, Legako, Osterhout, Payton, Skillings, Starkey
ABSENT: Krishna

CONSIDERATION, POSSIBLE ACTION AND VOTE ON UPDATING THE APPOINTMENTS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES ATTESTATION OFFICER(S) AND LIAISON OFFICER(S).
Kim Bailey, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Legal Counsel, explained that each agency is required to have an attestation officer and liaison officer for administrative rules. The attestation officer assures that agency rules are in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act. The liaison officer files rules with the Secretary of State. Due to recent changes in personnel, the appointments for administrative rules attestation officers and liaison officers need to be updated with the Secretary of State. Board members were provided a letter in their packets with the proposed appointments.
See Attachment A

Dr. Grim moved Board approval to accept changes to the Administrative Rule Attestation Officer(s) and Liaison Officer(s) as presented. Second Osterhout. Motion Carried.
AYE: Alexopulos, Gerard, Grim, Legako, Osterhout, Payton, Skillings, Starkey
ABSENT: Krishna

CONSIDERATION, POSSIBLE ACTION AND VOTE ON A RESOLUTION OF THE STATE BOARD OF HEALTH TO FACILITATE THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS AS AUTHORIZED BY HB 2389 (2017) FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A BOARD OF HEALTH PUBLIC HEALTH LAB.
Kim Bailey, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Legal Counsel, shared that House Bill 2389 authorized the issuing of bonds for the construction of a new public health lab in the amount of $58,555,000.00. The next step in facilitating the issuance of the bonds is for the State Board of Health to approve a bond resolution that will authorize the Commissioner, or his designee, to issue the bonds and finalize all necessary documentation. The Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority (OCIA) and the Council of Bond Oversight will approve and issue the bonds. The revenue for the bonds will come from a lease agreement held by OCIA.
See Attachment B

Mr. Osterhout moved Board to approve the resolution as presented. Second Grim. Motion Carried.
AYE: Alexopulos, Gerard, Grim, Legako, Osterhout, Payton, Skillings, Starkey
ABSENT: Krishna

CONSIDERATION, POSSIBLE ACTION AND VOTE ON THE OSDH ORGANIZATIONAL CHART.
Tom Bates, Interim Commissioner highlighted some of the major changes to the proposed OSDH organizational chart. Keith Reed will serve as the new Deputy Commissioner for Community Health Services. This change will relieve the heavy workload for Tina Johnson, who has served as Deputy Commissioner for both Community & Family Health Services, and will enable more support and direct oversight for the county health departments. As recommended in the Corrective Action Plan, a Chief Medical Officer position has been added. That position is vacant but will be filled moving forward. Buffy Heater is the Chief Data, Public Policy, and Promotion Officer. The Chief Financial Officer (CFO) is now a direct report to the Commissioner. At this time, the Office of Accountability Systems will remain a direct report to the State Board of Health until that is addressed legislatively. Positions marked in blue and with a green apple, on the organizational chart, indicate agency leadership.
See Attachment C

Dr. Legako moved Board approval to adopt the new proposed OSDH Organizational Chart as presented. Second Osterhout. Motion Carried.
AYE: Alexopulos, Gerard, Grim, Legako, Osterhout, Payton, Skillings, Starkey
ABSENT: Krishna
INTERIM COMMISSIONER REPORT

Tom Bates, Interim Commissioner of Health, wants to keep the Board apprised of the daily work that occurs at the health department. He asked three OSDH staff to provide a brief update on their service areas.

Buffy Heater, Chief Data, Public Policy & Promotion Officer, was pleased to report the successful launch of the Oklahoma Medical Marijuana Authority (OMMA) Program website on August 25th, 2018. The OSDH is receiving and processing many applications daily. Prior to the website launch, a call center was established to assist with the large volume of incoming calls. Staffing of this OMMA program is progressing; however, the hiring of a Director is on hold at this time. State Question 788 required the establishment of a Food Safety Standards Board. This board convened in August and drafted a set of rules for medical marijuana food products. The draft rules are posted online (http://omma.ok.gov). Currently, the financial projection to the legislature for the OMMA Program is $4-8 million. The first legislatively required financial report for the OMMA has been submitted.

Jan Fox, Director, HIV/STD Prevention Service, stated that the CDC has recently issued a new report showing that Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs) are at an all-time high and have been increasing over the past four years. Oklahoma is following a similar trend as well. In an effort to stop the spread of STDs in Oklahoma, the OSDH implemented the Expedited Partner Treatment (EPT) packs intervention. The EPT packs allow partners to be treated without coming into the clinic. It has proven effective in stopping reinfections and improving outcomes. Discussion ensued on how the reduction in force (RIF) has impacted county health departments in seeing and treating STD clients. Due to the RIF, Mrs. Fox said that many county health departments had to implement appointments which limit the number of STD clients that can be seen. They also lost some well-trained nurses. Mr. Bates explained the OSDH has some recruiting challenges at this time but is working diligently and strategically to increase staff in the counties.

Laurence Burnsed, Epidemiologist and Program Manager for Acute Disease Service, shared about a recent investigation into an increase in Cyclospora identified in the Northeast region of Oklahoma. Cyclospora is a parasitic foodborne disease. Working with several partners and conducting numerous interviews, staff was able to identify several Mexican style establishments/grocery stores with common food items and ultimately was able to determine that cilantro was the source of the outbreak. Results from this investigation were shared with the CDC and FDA. There was a national outbreak over the summertime throughout the United States. While this investigation reflects a success of the program, Mr. Burnsed also shared a challenge in this process. The OSDH public health lab is a key partner in foodborne pathogen outbreaks and provides testing, primarily molecular testing, that no other organization in Oklahoma can provide. Current testing methods are becoming outdated and there is a recent trend to transition to a newer method of molecular testing that will require new equipment, other supplies, training, and will be more expensive to conduct. Federal partners have recently notified all states that this transition needs to be done by January 2019. The public health lab has the capability to conduct whole genome sequencing (WGS), but this new methodology will require support of state funds in order to test all *Salmonella*, shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli*, and *Listeria* isolates. WGS is an essential service that complements epidemiologic surveillance and detection of local as well as multistate foodborne disease outbreaks.

A discussion followed on the importance of vaccinations. Immunizations are an approved method to preventing disease. The OSDH supports immunizations and encourages board members to advocate for and promote the benefits of immunizations.

In closing, Mr. Bates mentioned that OSDH staff from Emergency Preparedness and Response Service was deployed to the Carolinas to provide support during the recent hurricane. Staff from Protective Health Services and the legal division have been assisting some hospitals on key issues. OSDH has received 223 open records requests since January 1. Most of those requests have been processed and staff is working to fulfill each one.

FINANCIAL UPDATE

Gloria Hudson, Chief Financial Officer, provided a financial status update for the state fiscal year 2019. The overall budget and state appropriation amounts were broken down into the following areas: Community and Family Health Services, Protective Health Services, Office of the State Epidemiologist, Public Health...
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Infrastructure, Health Improvement Services, and the Athletic Commission. She reported that staffing levels have declined in the past year and the agency is working diligently to fill the gaps. Ms. Hudson addressed the $30 million supplemental appropriation that OSDH received in November 2017. The supplemental money was used for current year expenses and to close out prior accounting periods; however, there was a substantial amount of SFY 2018 appropriation leftover to allow OSDH to make available the $30 million to the legislature, if needed. Ms. Hudson went over some SFY 2017 single audit findings of individual programs and reported various issues such as accounting system errors, payments made with incorrect funds, and failure to meet sub-recipient federal reporting requirements. Corrective measures have been taken and will continue to be taken on the audit findings. Several steps have been taken to improve financial processes including the utilization of account codes within the Peoplesoft system (Statewide Accounting System), engaging with financial consultants to provide gap analysis and recommendations for a new financial system, and establishing internal controls for financial reporting. Ms. Hudson indicated that future financial reports will include a Statement of Revenues and Expenditures, Cash Flow Statement and Comparison of Budget to Actual. 

See Attachment D

DISCUSSION OF BOARD OF HEALTH ADVISORY STATUS, FUTURE MEETING SCHEDULES AND CONTINUED ROLE IN PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES

Kim Bailey, Chief Legal Counsel, discussed the Board becoming an advisory board on January 14, 2019. At that time all board duties and responsibilities will switch to the Commissioner. This new role could be an opportunity for board members to focus on important public health issues, to be advocates and to become a very valuable resource to the Commissioner and health department. In the future, board members discussed changing to a quarterly meeting schedule and beginning the meetings at 1:00 p.m. Staff will develop a proposed 2019 meeting schedule for consideration. Board members were encouraged to share their ideas on committee processes and recommendations on board processes going forward and to submit those to Diane. As an advisory board, members will still have the authority to make a resolution directly to the legislature.

COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT PRESENTATION

Keith Reed, Deputy Commissioner, Community Health Services and Regional Director, provided an overview of the daily operations, types of services, budgeting/funding, and staffing of county health departments across the state. He explained that the state is divided into fourteen regions/districts. Each district has a regional team consisting of a Regional Director, Administrative Programs Officer, District Nurse Manager, Local Emergency Response Coordinator, and specialized staff. There are seven unorganized counties in the state which do not have a county health department but OSDH still provides limited services to those counties. Staffing across the state is very fluid with many that work in various counties. Sources of funding for county health departments include federal, state, fees/revolving, millage, and sales tax. All facility maintenance and operations are covered through local funding. Payroll costs may include any mix of federal, state, fees/revolving, millage, and sales tax, depending on the availability of county funding, and the types of programs available in that county. County health departments are part of county government. Regional Directors face many challenges as they are accountable to County Commissioners in daily operations, Local Boards of Health to approve budgets, the Oklahoma State Board of Health as well as the Commissioner. County health departments carryover monies each year above and beyond their annual tax base. This ensures they maintain operations without interruptions as new funding is not front-loaded on July 1. Mr. Reed highlighted the important work that goes on daily at the county health departments and shared about many successful programs that promote community partnerships and public health. Going forward, staff is developing a strategic plan to identify core services and the cost to sustain those services. While some county health departments can fully support local operations and payroll through millage, all county health departments benefit from the state health department for indirect costs (HR, IT, Legal, Acute Disease, etc.)

See Attachment E

REGIONAL DIRECTOR PRESENTATIONS

Keith Reed, Regional Director (Cleveland, Garvin, Grady, McClain, Murray Counties)

Successes:
- PHAB Accredited (Cleveland)
- Open Streets Program, encourages physical activity in the communities
Veteran’s Navigators, helps to link veterans with needed services in the county
Healthy Living Block, creates a healthy living environment
Fluoride treatment in clinics
Mental Health First Aid, trainings
Uber Pilot Program

Maria Alexander, Regional Director (Adair, Cherokee, Craig, Delaware, Mayes, Ottawa Counties)
(Presented by Larry Bergner)

Challenges:
Access to Care
Low Health Rankings
Large Number of Uninsured
Reduction in Staff

Larry Bergner, Regional Director (Creek, Osage, Rogers, Wagoner, Washington Counties)

Successes:
Refurbished Pawhuska Frisbee Golf Course
Sponsored a Crosswalk so students could walk safely to school
Bluegrass & Chili Festival
PHAB Accredited (Washington)

Challenges:
Access to Care
Poverty
Recruitment of Staff

Brandie Combs, Regional Director (Blaine, Caddo, Comanche, Cotton, Custer, Kiowa Counties)

Successes:
Mental Health First Aid, partnered with tribes, schools, mental health to address suicide
Seeking PHAB Reaccreditation (Comanche)
Farmer’s Market
Family Practice Residency Program, family interns spend 2 weeks at health department clinic learning about public health
Fit Kids, focuses on decreasing childhood obesity and creating an active community
Caring Van (Blue Cross Blue Shield), deliver immunizations to children and adolescents at no cost

Challenges:
Suicide
Obesity
Poverty
Opioid Issues

Kelli Rader, Regional Director (Garfield, Grant, Kay, Noble, Pawnee, Payne Counties)

Successes:
Mental Health First Aid
Oklahoma State University, great partnerships
TSET Partner
Natural Resources Conservation Program
State Veterinary Office and Department of Agriculture, provide care for animals and meet agricultural needs during a crisis

Challenges:
Suicide
Children Lead Levels
Terri Salisbury, Regional Director (Beaver, Harper, Major, Texas, Woods, Woodward Counties)

Successes:
- World Cow Chip Throwing Champion Contest
- TSET HLP Grant
- Community Partnerships (FQHC)

Challenges:
- Diverse Ethnic Populations (over 23 languages spoken)

Cara Gluck, Regional Director (Beckham, Greer, Harmon, Jackson, Tillman Counties)

Successes:
- Teen Pregnancy Coordinator
- TSET Grant

Challenges:
- Recruitment and Retention of Staff

Jill Larcade, Regional Director (Haskell, Latimer, LeFlore, Muskogee, Sequoyah Counties)

Successes:
- Teen Pregnancy and Suicide Prevention
- Choctaw Nation Flu Vaccine Project
- TSET Grant/Certified Healthy
- Opioid Overdose Program
- Community Gardens

Gunnar McFadden, Interim Regional Director, (Canadian, Kingfisher, Logan)

Successes:
- TSET Grant
- Great Bed Race, encourages physical activity
- Touch the Trucks, child abuse awareness
- Tai Chi

Challenges:
- Recruitment and Retention of Staff

Juli Montgomery, Regional Director (Bryan, Choctaw, McCurtain, Pushmataha Counties)

(Presented by Gunnar McFadden)

Successes:
- TSET Grant
- Mission of Mercy, provides free dental services
- Free Fruit Friday, for children

Challenges:
- Reduction in Staff
- Communications between public health and local emergency management

Brenda Potts, Regional Director (Hughes, Lincoln, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pottawatomie, Seminole Counties)

Successes:
- TSET Healthy Living Grant
- Avedis Foundation, valuable resource for promoting healthy lifestyles
- Choctaw Nation Flu Vaccines
- Citizens Potawatomi Nation Drive-Through Flu Clinics
- Tai Chi
- Blue Zones Project

Challenges:
- Understaffed
- Reduced services
- Loss of institutional knowledge
D’Elbie Walker, Regional Director (Atoka, Coal, McIntosh, Pittsburg Counties)

Successes:
- TSET Grant
- Vital Records

Mendy Spohn, Regional Director (Carter, Jefferson, Johnston, Love, Marshall, Pontotoc, Stephens Counties)

Successes:
- Chickasaw Nation Partnerships
- TSET Grant
- Our Community Cares, addresses suicide
- Chickasaw Nation Flu Project
- Corporate Fitness Challenge
- Ardmore Behavioral Health Collaborative
- Stand 4 Kind, addresses bullying

Challenges:
- Recruitment of Staff
- Mental Health
- Opioid Crisis
- Suicide

See Attachment F

NEW BUSINESS
No new business.

ADJOURNMENT
Mr. Osterhout moved Board approval to Adjourn. Second Payton. Motion Carried.

AYE: Alexopulos, Gerard, Grim, Legako, Osterhout, Payton, Skillings, Starkey

ABSENT: Krishna

The meeting adjourned at 3:32 p.m.

Approved

______________________________
Timothy E. Starkey, M.B.A.
President, Oklahoma State Board of Health
December 11, 2018
September 14, 2018

Ms. Peggy Coe, Editor  
The Oklahoma Register/Administrative Code  
Oklahoma Secretary of State  
Office of Administrative Rules  
421 NW 13th Street, Suite 220  
Oklahoma City, OK 73103

Re: Revisions for “Office of Administrative Rules, State Agency Liaison/Attestation Officers”

Dear Ms. Coe,

Please be advised that the following persons, at the address below, are designated and appointed by the Oklahoma State Board of Health to serve in the capacities indicated for all rulemaking purposes:

**Attestation Officer:**  
Tom Bates  
Interim Commissioner of Health

**Alternate Attestation Officer:**  
Kim Bailey  
Chief Operating Officer and General Counsel

**Liaison Officer:**  
Spencer Kusi  
Agency Policy Coordinator

**Alternate Liaison Officers:**  
James Joslin  
Assistant Deputy Commissioner, Protective Health Services

Buffy Heater  
Chief of Data, Policy, and Promotion

These appointments amend the information filed with the Office of Administrative Rules on April 10, 2018. If you have any questions, please contact Kim Bailey at (405)271-4200.

Sincerely,

Timothy Starkey, M.B.A.  
President  
Oklahoma State Board of Health
RESOLUTION
OF THE
STATE BOARD OF HEALTH

WHEREAS, the Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority ("OCIA") is authorized by enrolled House Bill 2389 (2017) to issue obligations "to provide for the financing of acquisition of real property, together with improvements located thereon, and personal property, to construct buildings and other improvements to real property and to acquire property for office space and to provide funding for the construction of a new State Health Laboratory for the State Department of Health" (the "HB 2389 Bonds") in one or more series in an amount necessary to generate net proceeds of Fifty-eight Million Five Hundred and Fifty-five Thousand Dollars ($58,555,000.00); and

WHEREAS, the State Board of Health desires to facilitate and enable the issuance of the HB 2389 Bonds.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the State Board of Health that the Interim Commissioner of Health, or his designee, is hereby authorized and directed to negotiate, finalize and execute such documents, for and on behalf of the State Board of Health, as are necessary for the issuance of the HB 2389 Bonds by the Oklahoma Capitol Improvement Authority.

ADOPTED the 14 day of Sept., 2018.

STATE BOARD OF HEALTH

Tim Starkey, Board Chairman
Oklahoma State Department of Health
State Fiscal Year 2019 Update

Chief Financial Officer
September 14, 2018
SFY 2019 State Appropriation by Department

- [CATEGORY NAME] $4,078,735
- [CATEGORY NAME] $11,649,071
- Office of the State Epidemiologist $8,099,431
- [CATEGORY NAME] $100,000
- [CATEGORY NAME] $4,019,807

Public Health Infrastructure • Prevention & Preparedness • Athletic Commission
Protective Health Services • Community & Family Health • Health Improvement

Functional Division by Service Area

Office of the State Epidemiologist
- Emergency Preparedness and Response
- Immunizations
- Public Health Laboratory
- HIV/STD
- Acute Disease

Protective Health Services
- Consumer Health
- Long Term Care
- Medical Facilities
- Injury Prevention
- Health Resources Development

Health Improvement
- Center for Chronic Disease Prevention & Health Promotion

Community and Family Health
- County Health Departments
- Dental Health
- Nursing Service
- Screening and Special Services
- Records Evaluation and Support
- Maternal and Child Health
- Family Guidance and Support
- WIC
- SoonerStart

Public Health Infrastructure
- Commissioner’s Office
- Vital Records
- Finance
- Communications
- Building Management
- Legal
- Governmental & Regulatory Affairs
- Human Resources
**Staffing Levels**

**SFY 18 Staffing Changes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Staff Number</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/30/2017</td>
<td>Beg Baseline</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/27/2017</td>
<td>Furlough Announced</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/8/2017</td>
<td>RIF Posted</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/2018</td>
<td>Last Day for RIF</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>-146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/28/2018</td>
<td>Ending Baseline</td>
<td>1652</td>
<td>-220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET CHANGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>-451</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SFY 18 Staff Funding by Source**

- Federal: 47%
- Restricted: 24%
- Revolving (Milles): 29%

---

**Use of Supplemental Appropriation**

- The $30 million supplemental appropriation was deposited into the OSH 19702 account on November 20, 2017. The agency was instructed to use the supplemental funds to pay for current year expenses and any costs needed to be paid back to close out prior accounting periods.

- Below are current balances for OSDH fund accounts that make up the supplemental appropriation, SFY'18 state appropriation, unrestricted revolving account, and the unrestricted federal funds. All the balances, with exception of the amount of unrestricted federal funds can be verified with OMES.

- These fund balances allow OSDH to make available the $30 million to the legislature.

**Fund Breakdown**

- **OSDH Fund 197 (Supplemental)**: $10,528,209
- **OSDH Fund 198 (SFY’18 State Appropriation)**: $14,666,039
- **OSDH Fund 210 (Unrestricted Revolving)**: $4,704,549
- **OSDH Fund 400 (Unrestricted Federal)**: $12,847,555

**TOTAL Cash Balance (as of July 31, 2018)**: $42,746,352

*Note: 198/210/400 funds are budgeted in SFY’19 and are NOT static balances.*
SFY 2017 Single Audit

• Finding No. 2017-058
The FY 2017 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA – GAAP Package Z) submitted by the Department to the Office of Management and Enterprise Services (OMES) incorrectly reports the cash basis for federal cash balance at the beginning of the year by $6,617,824 (should be $0), total federal revenue by $2,069,592 (should be $1), total federal expenditures by $1,001,843 (should be $1), federal cash balance at end of year by $3,456,390 (should be $0) for Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) #93.917.

• Finding No. 2017-59
The FY 2017 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA – GAAP Package Z) submitted by the Oklahoma State Department of Health to the Office of Management and Enterprise Services (OMES):
- overstated the cash basis federal expenditure for CFDA #93.505 by $1,190,425 and understated the cash basis federal expenditure for CFDA #93.870 by $1,108,611;
- overstated the cash basis federal revenue for CFDA #93.505 by $815,846 and understated the cash basis federal revenue for CFDA #93.870 by $831,675; and,
- omitted the Federal grantor for 13 of 41 (31.71%) programs reported.

SFY 17 Audit Findings Continued

• Finding No. 2017-062
The Department did not maintain separate accounting/fund for the HIV Care Grant (Ryan White program) rebates in the Statewide Accounting System. The rebate funds were comingled with other federal funds in Class Fund 400; therefore, OSDH is unable to support that Ryan White program rebate funds were used in accordance with Federal regulations and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.

• Finding No. 2017-063
SA & I were unable to determine whether OSDH was meeting the Level of Effort - Supplement Not Supplant requirement. OSDH stated that they contribute significant amounts of state funding towards home visiting programs and continue to provide significant funding. However, OSDH was unable to provide any supporting documentation to substantiate they were maintaining non-Federal funding as required. OSDH was also unable to provide any support documenting that they were tracking the total amount of administrative costs being charged to the program to ensure compliance with the earmarking requirement.
SFY 17 Audit Findings Continued

- Finding No. 2017-065
  For a sample of one out of nine (11.1%) subrecipients, the Department did not maintain adequate documentation to support that every subaward is clearly identified to the subrecipient as a subaward and includes required information. For a sample of one of nine (11.1%) subrecipients, the Department did not maintain adequate documentation to support that it determined subrecipient eligibility by obtaining the subrecipient’s DUNS number before award. The Department did not adequately consider whether the results of the subrecipient’s audits, on-site reviews, or other monitoring indicate conditions that necessitate adjustments to the pass-through entity’s own records. Specifically, For a sample of two out of thirteen (15.4%) subrecipients, an audit in accordance with Subpart F was not obtained; For a sample of four out of nine (44.4%) subrecipients, a site visit was not documented. The Department did not have adequate controls in place to identify subrecipients subject to reporting on the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards (SEFA). We noted one subrecipient that was improperly excluded from the Department’s SEFA resulting in an understatement of subrecipient expenditures related to CFDA # 93,505 in the amount of $639,408 and an understatement of subrecipient expenditures related to CFDA # 93,870 in the amount of $626,629.

SFY 17 Audit Findings Continued

- Finding No. 2017-60
- The Department did not submit the required report in accordance with Federal regulations and did not identify the correct Federal award on the submitted report

- Full Audit Report
- Sai.ok.gov/audit_reports/single_audits
Steps Taken To Progress Finance

- Payroll being run from proper funding source
- Grants separately identified in Statewide Accounting System
- Segregated accounts established for restricted Ryan White Rebate Funds
- Engaged with financial consultant to provide gap analysis and recommendations for new financial system
- Additional internal controls and review processes over financial reporting
- Future financial reports to include Statement of Revenues and Expenditures, Cash Flow Statement and Comparison of Budget to Actual
# Oklahoma State Department of Health

## Statement of Cash Flow

**As of July 31, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Name</th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Transfers</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>202 Kidney Health Revolving Fund</td>
<td>$ 43,919.15</td>
<td>$ 1,900.00</td>
<td>(1,123.46)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 44,695.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203 Genetic Counseling Licen. Rev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204 Tobacco Premnt &amp; Cessatn Fnd</td>
<td>1,211,903.56</td>
<td>130,126.05</td>
<td>(49,294.54)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,292,735.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207 Alternatives to Abortion Servi</td>
<td>22,951.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22,951.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210 Public Health Special Fund</td>
<td>6,146,962.87</td>
<td>4,917,751.91</td>
<td>(6,360,165.76)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,704,549.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211 Nursing Facil Adm Penalty</td>
<td>80,141.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80,141.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212 Home Health Care Revolving Fd</td>
<td>719,417.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>(10,856.57)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>708,560.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213 Hospice Palliative Care Lic Pl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214 Alzheimers Res Lic Ptl Revl Fd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215 OK Natl Background Check Revol</td>
<td>1,414,417.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>(12,689.31)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,401,727.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216 Fire Extinguisher Ind Rev Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217 Civil Monetary Penalty Revl Fd</td>
<td>6,835,805.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>(190,310.69)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,645,495.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 Oklahoma Organ Donor Education</td>
<td>114,724.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>114,724.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225 Breast Cancer Act Revolving Fd</td>
<td>131,697.79</td>
<td>1,140.00</td>
<td>(4,183.24)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128,654.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226 Ok Sports Eye Safety Prog Revl</td>
<td>5,040.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,040.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227 Prevent Blindness OK Lic Plate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 OK Leukemia and Lymphoma</td>
<td>63,442.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63,442.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229 MS Society Revolving Fund</td>
<td>163.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230 Lic Marital &amp; Fam Therpst Fnd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231 OK Prev Birth Def, Pre Birth &amp;</td>
<td>2,264.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,264.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235 Oklahoma Lupus Revolving Fund</td>
<td>12,590.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,590.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236 Trauma Care Assistance Revolv</td>
<td>4,389,992.95</td>
<td>2,431,849.46</td>
<td>(143,842.42)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,677,999.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239 Juvenile Diabetes Res Lic Plt</td>
<td>12,837.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12,877.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242 Pancreatic Can Res Lic Ptl Rev</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248 Oklahoma Medical Marijuana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 Regional Guidance Centers</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255 Lic. Prof. Counselors Rev. Fd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257 Lic Behavrl Practnr Revl Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265 Ok Sports Eye Safety Prog Revl</td>
<td>5,040.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,040.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266 Child Abuse Prevention Fund</td>
<td>146,430.00</td>
<td>2,195.00</td>
<td>(3,458.43)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>145,166.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267 EMP Death Benefit Revolv Fund</td>
<td>147,756.50</td>
<td>260.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>148,016.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268 Okla Emerg Resp Syst Stab &amp; Im</td>
<td>3,082,797.36</td>
<td>118,989.88</td>
<td>(178,600.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,023,187.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270 Okla Barber Licensing Rev Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 Alarm Industry Revolving Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284 Dental Loan Repayment Revolv</td>
<td>379,277.38</td>
<td>37,690.50</td>
<td>(45,109.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>397,658.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285 OK Ins Disaster &amp; Emer Med Rev</td>
<td>1,656.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,656.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290 Ok Safe Kids Asso Rev Fund</td>
<td>860.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>860.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295 OK State Ath Comm Revolving Fd</td>
<td>312,241.93</td>
<td>10,322.54</td>
<td>(21,821.77)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300,742.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Federal Funds</td>
<td>14,799,747.50</td>
<td>5,685,985.85</td>
<td>(7,638,178.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,847,555.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 Ryan White Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37,115.00</td>
<td>(31,812.67)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,302.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311 Ryan White Drug Rebate Fund</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340 CMIA Programs Disbursing Fund</td>
<td>395,899.67</td>
<td>2,673,083.19</td>
<td>(4,377,068.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td>273,639.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341 State Appropriation 197</td>
<td>10,509,464.29</td>
<td>18,744.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,528,209.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342 State Appropriation 198</td>
<td>17,110,507.13</td>
<td>(2,444,467.97)</td>
<td>14,666,039.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriation 199</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,447,635.82)</td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
<td>3,125,256.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund 994 Payroll corrections</td>
<td>38,412.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38,412.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund 79901- Clearing Account</td>
<td>3,450,172.44</td>
<td>437,937.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,888,109.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Total Other Funds</td>
<td>$ 40,631,415.52</td>
<td>$ 17,630,173.95</td>
<td>(19,024,061.74)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 39,237,527.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401 State Appropriation 197</td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402 State Appropriation 198</td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403 State Appropriation 199</td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404 Fund 994 Payroll corrections</td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 Fund 79901- Clearing Account</td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,572,892.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406 Ending Balance</td>
<td>$ 71,739,971.85</td>
<td>$ 18,068,111.11</td>
<td>(22,897,420.66)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 71,483,554.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407 Balance OMES</td>
<td>$ 71,743,547.78</td>
<td>$ 18,068,111.11</td>
<td>(22,897,420.66)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 72,620,349.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408 Difference</td>
<td>(3,575.93)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,136,795.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**July**

- Prior Year: -3575.93
- Timing in Posting: -1133219.72
- $1136795.65
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County Health Department Services

All County Health Departments:
• Maternal Child Health/Family Planning Limited Service
• Women, Infant, Children Nutrition
• Immunizations
• Communicable Disease Control
• Early Intervention - SoonerStart
• Consumer Protection: Inspections
• Local Emergency Preparedness & Response
• Assistance with Medicaid Enrollment
• Newborn Screening
• Community Engagement

Additional Services at Some Sites:
• Adult Health Screenings
• Fluoride Varnish Application
• Family Planning – Full Service – ARNP Services
• Home Visitation – Children First
• Child Guidance Programs – Psychological Clinician; Speech Language Pathologists; Child Development Specialists
• Health Education
• Local TSET Grant Activities
• Dental Clinics/Dental Education

Unorganized Counties

• Consumer Protection: Inspections by Public Health Specialists
  o Such as food establishments and food services at special events; hotels and motels; public swimming pools and recreational facilities

• Emergency Preparedness and Response

• Communicable Disease Outbreak Response
  o Investigation and education
Unorganized Counties

County Health Department Funding

Federal – WIC, Maternal & Child Health, Early Intervention, etc.

State – Children First, Guidance, Miscellaneous Positions

Fees/Revolving – Public Health Specialists

Millage – Up to 2.5 Mills – Operations and Select Positions

Sales Tax – Replaces or Supplements Millage
Regional Teams

- Regional Director
- Administrative Programs Officer
- District Nurse Manager
- Business Manager
- Local Emergency Response Coordinator
- Specialized Staff (Health Ed, Public Health Specialist, etc.)
Murray County

- Population of 13,733 (2011-2015 estimate)
- Ranked 23rd in the state for population growth
- Median household income of $47,077
- Ranked 54th in the state for health outcomes – 2018
- Ranked 34th in the state for health factors – 2018

Murray County Health Department

- Located in Sulphur
- 4 local FTEs (fully staffed)*
- 1 state FTE
- Facility was built in 1996; county owned.

* Does not include support from regional team
Murray County Health Department

Funding – 2.59 Mills
- Approx. new year's tax – 330,000.00

Staffing
- Nurses - 1
- Admin Support - 2
- Health Inspectors - 1
- OSDH Staff - 1
- TOTAL - 5

Overall Budget
- Total - $419,000.00*
  - Federal - $35,000.00
  - State - $0.00
  - Fees - $74,000.00
  - Millage - $300,000.00

Personnel Budget
- Total - $334,000.00
  - Federal - $35,000.00
  - State - $0.00
  - Fees - $74,000.00
  - Millage - $225,000.00**

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Garvin County

- Population of 27,455 (2011-2015 estimate)
- Ranked 50th in the state for population growth
- Median household income $40,524
- Ranked 58th in the state for health outcomes – 2018
- Ranked 55th in the state for health factors – 2018
Garvin County Health Department

- Located in Pauls Valley
- 11 FTEs (includes 3 vacancies)
- 1 state FTE
- Facility was built in 1991; county owned

* Does not include support from regional team

---

Garvin County Health Department

**Funding**
- 2.59 Mills
  - Approx. new year's tax = $742,500.00

**Staffing**
- Nurses - 3
- Admin Support - 4
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Health Ed - 1
- Nutritionist - 1
- Regional Staff - 1
- OSDH Staff - 1
- **TOTAL - 12**

---

**Overall Budget**
- Total: $990,000.00
  - Federal: $94,000.00
  - State: $0.00
  - Fees: $84,000.00
  - Millage: $711,000.00

**Personnel Budget**
- Total: $753,000.00
  - Federal: $74,000.00
  - State: $0.00
  - Fees: $84,000.00
  - Millage: $595,000.00

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll*
Grady County

- Ranked 9th in the state for population growth
- Median household income of $52,279
- Ranked 21st in the state for health outcomes – 2018
- Ranked 18th in the state for health factors – 2018

Grady County Health Department

- Located in Chickasha
- 9.5 local FTE, 1 vacancy
- Facility was last renovated in 1997, and is owned by the hospital (county). There is no charge for the CIID to use the facility.

* Does not include support from regional team
Grady County Health Department

Funding – 1.55 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax – $890,000.00

Staffing
- Nurses – 3
- Nutrition Asst. – .5
- Admin Support – 4
- Health Inspectors – 1
- Early Intervention – 1
- TOTAL – 9.5

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,182,900.00*
  - Federal - $250,000.00
  - State - $0.00
  - Fees - $72,000.00
  - Millage - $869,900.00

Personnel Budget
- Total - $897,000.00
  - Federal - $250,000.00
  - State - $0.00
  - Fees - $72,000.00
  - Millage - $575,000.00**

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

McClain County

- Population of 36,512 (2011-2015 estimate)
- Ranked 2nd in the state for population growth
- Median household income of $56,088
- Ranked 17th in the state for health outcomes – 2018
- Ranked 7th in the state for health factors – 2018
McClain County Health Department

- Facilities located in Blanchard and Purcell
- 6.5 local FTEs, no vacancies
- Purcell facility is leased space in the school’s administrative building.
- Blanchard facility results from a complete renovation of an existing building, and includes a partnership with the county/emergency management. Completed and paid with county millage in 2016.

* Does not include support from regional team

---

McClain County Health Department

Funding – 2.57 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax –
  - $843,000.00

Staffing
- Nurses - 3
- Nutrition Asst. - .5
- Admin Support – 3
- TOTAL – 6.5

**Overall Budget**
- Total - $969,000.00*
  - Federal - $112,000.00
  - State - $0.00
  - Fees - $0.00
  - Millage - $794,000.00

**Personnel Budget**
- Total - $602,000.00
  - Federal - $112,000.00
  - State - $0.00
  - Fees - $0.00
  - Millage - $490,000.00**

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
Cleveland County

- Population of 268,614 (2011-2015 estimate)
- Ranked 5th in the state for population growth
- Median household income $56,452
- Ranked 7th in the state for health outcomes – 2018
- Ranked 4th in the state for health factors – 2018

Cleveland County Health Department

- PHAB accredited in 2016
- Facilities located in Norman and Moore
- 68 FTEs in Norman and 21 in Moore, 15 vacancies
- Norman facility built in 2003; county owned
- Moore facility opened in 2010; county owned

* Includes regional team which also supports other counties
Cleveland County Health Department

Funding – 2.57 Mills
  • Approx. new year’s tax –
    • $5,650,000.00

Staffing
  • Nurses – 16.5
  • Adv Practice Nurse - 2
  • Admin Support – 20.5
  • Nutrition Asst. – 3
  • Health Educators – 3
  • Social Worker - 1
  • Health Inspectors - 7
  • Guidance - 6
  • Early Intervention - 14
  • Nutritionists - 2
  • Emergency Response - 1
  • Children 1st – 7
  • Accreditation Coord. – 1
  • Maintenance - 2
  • Regional – 3
  • TOTAL - 89

* Does not include OSDH indirect costs  ** Reflects amount available for payroll

Local Funding Creating Innovative Solutions

• Open Streets Norman and Moore
• Healthy Living Block
• Community Forums
• Veterans Navigator
• Fluoride treatment in clinics
• NPS dental screenings for students
• Farmer’s market promotion
Local Funding Creating Innovative Solutions

- Caring 4 Tomorrow Campaign
- Mobile Smiles clinic in Pauls Valley
- Mental Health First Aid trainings
- Community Health Education
- Living Longer Living Stronger
- Employee Wellness
- Mothers Support Group

Leveraging Partnerships to Better Serve the Community

- Administrative and facilitation support for community workgroups (CHIP)
- Uber Pilot Program
- Car seat check events
- Open Streets Pauls Valley
- Growing Like Pauls Valley
Leveraging Partnerships to Better Serve the Community

- Open Streets Chickasha
- Early Foundations
- Community basketball court in Lion’s Park, Blanchard
- Expansion of Lion’s Park equipment and walking trails
- Safe Routes to School
- Chickasaw Nation flu clinic
- Summer feeding programs
- Naloxone programs

Summary

- There is considerable variation between county resources. These examples do not reflect the same for all counties.

- With collaborative and supportive leadership, local health departments are a key component to a healthy citizenry.
Oklahoma’s County Health Departments
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REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP
Adair County Health Department
Stilwell

Population – 22,236

Funding – 2.5 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $217,883.03

Facility Ownership – County

Staﬃng
• Nurses – 3
  • Clinic - 1
  • Children First - 2
• Admin Support - 2
• State Housed Employees - 2
  • TOTAL - 7

Overall Budget
¢ Total - $415,239
  • Federal - $58,446
  • State - $148,793
  • Fees/Revolving - $0
  • Millage - $208,000

Personnel Budget
¢ Total - $415,239
  • Federal - $58,446
  • State - $148,793
  • Fees/Revolving - $0
  • Millage - $208,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Cherokee County Health Department
Tahlequah

Population – 48,097

Funding – 1.55 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $292,933.52

Facility Ownership – County

Staﬃng
• Nurses – 4
  • Clinic -2 (1 Vacant)
  • Children First - 2
• Admin Support – 2
• Nutrition Assistant - 1
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Early Intervention – 4
  • TOTAL - 12

Overall Budget
¢ Total - $1,065,035
  • Federal - $384,590
  • State - $98,266
  • Fees/Revolving - $0
  • Millage - $582,200

Personnel Budget
¢ Total - $957,856
  • Federal - $384,590
  • State - $98,266
  • Fees/Revolving - $0
  • Millage - $475,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

*OKLAHOMA STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH - CREATING A STATE OF HEALTH - WWW.HEALTH.OK.GOV*
Craig County Health Department
Vinita

Population – 14,744
Funding – 2.50 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax – $220,058.75
Facility Ownership – County
Staffing
• Nurses – 3
  • Clinic – 2
  • Children First – 1
• Admin Support – 2
• Early Intervention – 1
• Senior Companion Director – 1
  • TOTAL - 7

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Overall Budget
- Total - $431,410
  - Federal - $45,134
  - State - $140,675
  - Fees/Revolving - $0
  - Millage - $245,601

Personnel Budget
- Total - $360,809
  - Federal - $45,134
  - State - $140,675
  - Fees/Revolving - $0
  - Millage - $175,000

Delaware County Health Department
Jay

Population – 41,409
Funding – 2.09 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax – $785,303.04
Facility Ownership – County
Staffing
• Nurses – 4
  • Clinic – 3
  • Children First – 1
• Admin Support – 3
• Health Inspectors – 1
• Early Intervention – 3
• Health Educator – 1
• Business Manager – 1
• Accreditation Coordinator – 1
• State Housed Employees – 2
  • TOTAL - 16

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Overall Budget
- Total - $876,872
  - Federal - $233,956
  - State - $0
  - Fees/Revolving - $58,682
  - Millage - $584,234

Personnel Budget
- Total - $792,638
  - Federal - $233,956
  - State - $0
  - Fees/Revolving - $58,682
  - Millage - $500,000
Mayes County Health Department

Pryor

Population – 41,007

Funding – 1.55 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $978,707.23

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 3 (Vacant – 1)
• Adv Practice Nurse - 1
• Admin Support – 3 (Vacant – 1)
• Health Educator - 1
• Health Inspector - 1
• Nutritionist – 1
• Nutrition Assistant – 1
• Breastfeeding Peer Counselor - 1
• Quad Sanitarian - 1
• Regional Director – 1
• Administrative Programs Officer - 1
• Immunization Field Consultant – 1
  • TOTAL - 16

Overall Budget

- Total - $1,308,134
- Federal - $205,483
- State - $0
- Fees/Revolving - $180,581
- Millage - $922,070

Personnel Budget

- Total - $1,096,064
- Federal - $205,483
- State - $0
- Fees/Revolving - $180,581
- Millage - $710,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Ottawa County Health Department

Miami

Population – 32,085

Funding – 1.54 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $234,218.54

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 4
  • Clinic – 2
  • Children First – 1
  • Early Intervention - 1
• Admin Support - 3
• Health Inspector – 1 (Vacant)
• Nutritionist Assistant – 1
• Breastfeeding Peer Counselor - 1
• Emergency Response - 1
• Lead Prevention Program – 1
• Immunization Field Consultant - 1
  • TOTAL - 13

Overall Budget

- Total - $870,464
- Federal - $322,829
- State - $112,528
- Fees/Revolving - $68,050
- Millage - $367,057

Personnel Budget

- Total - $723,406
- Federal - $322,829
- State - $112,528
- Fees/Revolving - $68,050
- Millage - $220,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
### Health Rankings (1-77 best to worst)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Health Outcomes Rank</th>
<th>Quality of Life Rank</th>
<th>Health Factors Rank</th>
<th>Health Behaviors Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADAIR</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEROKEE</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAIG</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELAWARE</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAYES</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTTAWA</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: 2018 County Health Rankings, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation*

### Access to Care Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% Below Poverty</th>
<th>% Uninsured</th>
<th>*Distance (miles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADAIR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEROKEE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAIG</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELAWARE</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAYES</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTTAWA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Distance in miles from the farthest community seeking services at local CHD

#### Reductions in Services

- **63%** reduction in nursing staff¹
- **27%** reduction in staff¹
- **32%** reduction in services²

¹ November 2017 – June 2018
² June 2017 vs June 2018 comparison
REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP
Osage County Health Department
Location - Pawhuska

Population – 48,054
Funding – .25% Sales tax
• Approx. new year’s tax - $797,696
Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses - 2
• Adv. Practice Nurse - 1 (regional)
• Admin Support - 2
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance - 0
• Early Intervention - 0
• Nutritionists – 1 (regional)
• Emergency Response – 1 (regional)
• Local Grant Staff – 0
• Regional – 5
  • TOTAL – 13
  • (Current 5 FTE’s)

Overall Budget
• Total - $917,473
  • Federal - $0
  • State - $21,123
  • Fees - $82,150
  • Millage - $814,200

Personnel Budget
• Total - $703,273
  • Federal - $0
  • State - $21,123
  • Fees - $82,150
  • Millage - $600,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll

Rogers County Health Department
Location - Claremore

Population – 89,190
Funding – 1.54 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $1,383,876
Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses - 3
• Adv. Practice Nurse – 1 regional
• Admin Support - 3
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance - 3
• Early Intervention - 2
• Nutritionists – 1 regional
• Emergency Response – 1 regional
• Local Grant Staff – 2
• Regional – 6
  • TOTAL – 28
  • (Current 22 FTE’s)

Overall Budget
• Total - $2,210,574
  • Federal - $621,209
  • State - $88,189
  • Fees - $82,976
  • Millage - $1,418,200

Personnel Budget
• Total - $1,792,374
  • Federal - $621,209
  • State - $88,189
  • Fees - $82,976
  • Millage - $1,000,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll
Creek County Health Department
2 Locations – Sapulpa and Bristow

Population – 70,761

Funding – 2.57 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $1,530,175

Facility Ownership – State

Staffing
- Nurses - 5
- Adv. Practice Nurse – 1 Regional
- Admin Support - 5
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention - 4
- Nutritionists – 1 Regional
- Emergency Response - 1
- Local Grant Staff – 0
- Regional – 7
  - TOTAL – 26
  - (Current 19 FTE’s)

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,907,227
  - Federal - $661,367
  - State - $97,980
  - Fees - $122,180
  - Millage - $1,025,700

Personnel Budget
- Total - $1,421,527
  - Federal - $661,367
  - State - $97,980
  - Fees - $122,180
  - Millage - $540,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

---

Washington County Health Department
Location – Bartlesville

Population – 51,760

Funding – 2.61 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $875,223

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 5
- Adv. Practice Nurse – 1 Regional
- Admin Support - 7
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention - 3
- Nutritionists – 1 Regional
- Emergency Response - 1 Regional
- Local Grant Staff – 0
- Regional – 5
  - TOTAL – 17
  - (Current 10 FTE’s)

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,229,912
  - Federal - $426,038
  - State - $22,846
  - Fees - $74,328
  - Millage - $706,700

Personnel Budget
- Total - $923,212
  - Federal - $426,038
  - State - $22,846
  - Fees - $74,328
  - Millage - $400,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
Wagoner County Health Department

2 Locations – Coweta and Wagoner

Population – 75,391

Funding – 2.58 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $1,364,111
- Local TSET Grant - $307,300
  - Total - $1,671,411

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 3
- Adv. Practice Nurse – 1 Regional
- Admin Support -3
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention - 1
- Nutritionists – 1 Regional
- Emergency Response - 1
- Local Grant Staff – 0
- Regional – 7
  - TOTAL – 15
  - (Current 11 FTE’s)

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,742,903
  - Federal - $115,457
  - State - $53,123
  - Fees - $63,023
  - Millage - $1,511,300

Personnel Budget
- Total - $1,331,603
  - Federal - $115,457
  - State - $53,123
  - Fees - $63,023
  - Millage - $1,100,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Osage County – Pawhuska
Disk Golf Course

Wagoner County – Wagoner
Sponsored crosswalk
for walking trail
Wagoner County
Bluegrass & Chili Festival – new for 2018

Washington County
Health Department
PHAB Accredited May 2018

Rogers County Health Department – Claremore
Partnered in development of Will Rogers Park

REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP
Caddo County Health Department
Anadarko

Population – 29,173

Funding – 1.02 Mills
Sales Tax
Approx. new year’s tax - $179,327

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses - 2
• Admin Support - 2
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Early Intervention - 2
• Health Educator - 1
  • TOTAL - 8

Overall Budget
$633,662
  • Federal - $232,055
  • State - $101,396
  • Fees - $60,211
  • Millage - $240,000

Personnel Budget
$543,662
  • Federal - $232,055
  • State - $101,396
  • Fees - $60,211
  • Millage - $150,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Comanche County Health Department
Lawton

Population – 121,526

Funding – 2.56 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $1,690,000
  • Local TSET Grant - $175,000
  • Total - $1,865,000

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses - 9
• Adv Practice Nurse - .5
• Admin Support - 12
• Health Inspectors - 3
• Guidance - 1
• Early Intervention - 1
• Nutritionists – 2
• Nutrition Asst - 2
• BFPC – 3
• Health Educator - 2
• Local Grant Staff – 3
• Maintenance - 2
• Regional - 6
  • TOTAL – 46.5

Overall Budget
$3,384,289
  • Federal - $733,680
  • State - $55,795
  • Fees - $221,927
  • Millage - $2,300,000

Personnel Budget
$2,984,232
  • Federal - $733,680
  • State - $55,795
  • Fees - $221,927
  • Millage - $1,800,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

* Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
**Cotton County Health Department**  
**Walters**

**Population** – 5,823  
**Funding** – 2.6 Mills  
- Approx. new year’s tax - $91,579

**Facility Ownership** – Leased space from DHS

**Staffing**
- Nurses – 1
- Admin Support - 1
  - **TOTAL - 2**

---

**Overall Budget**
- **Total** - $150,839
  - **Federal** - $20,839
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $0
  - **Millage** - $130,000

**Personnel Budget**
- **Total** - $105,839
  - **Federal** - $20,839
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $0
  - **Millage** - $85,000

---

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs**  
**Reflects amount available for payroll**

---

**Custer County Health Department**  
**Clinton & Weatherford**

**Population** – 28,000  
**Funding** – 2.06 Mills  
- Approx. new year’s tax - $481,300

**Facility Ownership** – County

**Staffing**
- Nurses – 4.5
- Patient Care Asst. - 1
- Admin Support – 4
- BFPC - 1
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 1
- Early Intervention - 1
  - **TOTAL – 13.5**

---

**Overall Budget**
- **Total** - $946,692
  - **Federal** - $242,379
  - State - $150,712
  - Fees - $60,601
  - **Millage** - $493,000

**Personnel Budget**
- **Total** - $765,842
  - **Federal** - $242,379
  - State - $150,712
  - Fees - $60,601
  - **Millage** - $313,000

---

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs**  
**Reflects amount available for payroll**
### Kiowa County Health Department

**Hobart**

**Population** – 8,893

**Funding** – 2.61 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $219,028

**Facility Ownership** – County

**Staffing**
- Nurses - 1
- Admin Support - 1
- Health Inspector - 1
- **TOTAL - 3**

#### Overall Budget
- **Total** - $435,023
  - Federal - $47,487
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $72,536
  - Millage - $315,000

#### Personnel Budget
- **Total** - $335,023
  - Federal - $47,487
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $72,536
  - Millage - $215,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll*

---

**Attachment F**
Partnerships
Quality Improvement
Community Assessments
Planning
Workforce
Service
Prevention
Social Determinants

REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP

Oklahoma State Department of Health
Regional Director - Garfield District (Vacant)

Legend:
- County
- Unincorporated County
- County Health Department Site

Data Source: Community and Family Health Services, Oklahoma State Department of Health

Date Created: 05.27.2018
Projection/Coordinate System: UGRSS Albers Equal Area Conic
Blaine County Health Department
Watonga

Population – 9,498
Funding – 2.12 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $365,844
Facility Ownership – County
Staffing
• Nurses - 1
  • Admin Support - 1
  • Early Intervention - .5
  • BFPC - 1
  • Local Grant Staff – 1
  • TOTAL – 4.5

Garfield County Health Department
Enid, OK

Population – 62,192
Funding – 2.64 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $1,378,000
Facility Ownership – County
Staffing
• Nurses - 9
  • Adv Practice Nurse - 1
  • Admin Support – 7.5
  • Health Inspectors - 2
  • Guidance - 1
  • Early Intervention - 3
  • Nutritionists and Nutrition Assistants - 2
  • Emergency Response - 1
  • Regional – 5
  • OSDH/Dept of Ed/OMES – 4
  • TOTAL – 35.5
### Grant County Health Department  
**Medford, OK**

- **Population** – 4,517
- **Funding** – 1.5 Mills  
  - Approx. new year’s tax - $238,000
- **Facility Ownership** – County
- **Staffing**  
  - Nurses - 1  
  - Admin Support - 1  
  - **TOTAL** - 2

#### Overall Budget

- **Total**  - $260,000
  - Federal - $30,000
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $230,000

#### Personnel Budget

- **Total**  - $223,000
  - Federal - $30,000
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $193,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll

---

### Major County Health Department  
**Fairview, OK**

- **Population** – 7,527
- **Funding** – 1.58 Mills  
  - Approx. new year’s tax - $167,000
- **Facility Ownership** – County
- **Staffing**  
  - Nurses - 1  
  - Admin Support – 1  
  - Early Intervention - 1  
  - **TOTAL** - 3

#### Overall Budget

- **Total**  - $349,832
  - Federal - $129,832
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $220,000

#### Personnel Budget

- **Total**  - $319,832
  - Federal - $129,832
  - State - $0
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $190,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll

---
Woods County Health Department
Alva, OK

Population – 8,878

Funding – 1.37 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $268,000

Facility Ownership – Leased

Staffing
• Nurses - 1
• Admin Support – 2
• Early Intervention – 1
• Protective Health - 3
• TOTAL - 7

Overall Budget
• Total - $293,000
  • Federal - $30,000
  • State - $0
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $263,000

Personnel Budget
• Total - $230,000
  • Federal - $30,000
  • State - $0
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $200,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP

Oklahoma State Department of Health
Regional Director - Cara Gluck

Legend:
- County Health Department Site

Data Source: Community and Family Health Services, Oklahoma State Department of Health

Date Created: 07.18.2018
Projection/Coordinate System: USGS Albers Equal Area Conic
**Beckham County Health Department**

**Elk City & Sayre**

**Population** – 21,793

**Funding** – 2.59 Mills

- Approx. new year’s tax - $897,087

**Facilities** – County Owned

**Staffing**
- Nurses – 3 (1 vacancy)
- Adv Practice Nurse – 1
- Admin Support – 4 (1 vacancy)
- Health Educator – 1 vacant
- Health Inspectors – 2 (1 Quad)
- Regional – 4 (EPRIS/IFC/Teen Pregnancy)
  - TOTAL – 15

**Overall Budget**
- Federal - $182,104
- State - $61,831
- Fees - $187,686
- Millage - $766,436

**Personnel Budget**
- Federal - $182,104
- State - $61,831
- Fees - $187,686
- Millage - $495,000

---

**Greer County Health Department**

**Mangum**

**Population** – 5,843

**Funding** – 2.66 Mills

- Approx. new year’s tax - $68,820
- State Funded Building Reimbursement - $91,966
  - Total - $160,786

**Facility Ownership** – County

- OSDH Building payment

**Staffing**
- Nurses – 0 (covered out of Jackson & Beckham)
- Admin Support – 1
- Regional – 1
  - TOTAL – 2

**Overall Budget**
- Federal - $7,063
- State - $123,392
  (includes building payment)
- Fees - $9,261
- Millage - $184,371

**Personnel Budget**
- Federal - $7,063
- State - $31,436
- Fees - $9,261
- Millage - $43,600

---

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll
### Harmon County Health Department

**Hollis**

**Population** – 2,689

**Funding** – 2.62 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax: $52,489

**Facility Ownership** – County

**Staffing**
- Nurses: 0 covered out of Jackson
- Admin Support: 1
- Early Intervention: 1
- Regional: 2
  - **TOTAL**: 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Budget</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Millage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$87,609</td>
<td>$166,210</td>
<td>$8,973</td>
<td>$75,650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personnel Budget</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Millage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$87,609</td>
<td>$166,210</td>
<td>$8,973</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

---

### Jackson County Health Department

**Location(s)**

**Population** – 25,125

**Funding** – 2.54 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax: $325,813
- Local TSET Grant: $193,000
  - **Total**: $518,813

**Facility Ownership** – County

**Staffing**
- Nurses: 3
- Admin Support: 5
- Health Inspectors: 1
- Nutritionists: 2
- Emergency Response: 1
- Local Grant Staff: 2
- Regional: 1
  - **TOTAL**: 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Budget</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Millage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$473,880</td>
<td>$323,137</td>
<td>$41,878</td>
<td>$787,935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personnel Budget</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Millage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$473,880</td>
<td>$323,137</td>
<td>$41,878</td>
<td>$529,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

---

**OKLAHOMA STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH - CREATING A STATE OF HEALTH - WWW.HEALTH.OK.GOV**

**Attachment F**
Tillman County Health Department
Frederick

Population – 7,433

Funding – 1.57 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $66,037

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 1
- Admin Support - 1
- Regional - 3
  - TOTAL - 5

Overall Budget
- Total - $354,131
  - Federal - $65,823
  - State - $156,992
  - Fees - $22,616
  - Millage - $108,700

Personnel Budget
- Total - $260,431
  - Federal - $65,823
  - State - $156,992
  - Fees - $22,616
  - Millage - $15,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll

Facilities
**Haskell County Health Department**

**Population** – 12,763

**Funding** – 2.05 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $112,093

**Facility Ownership** – Choctaw Nation

**Staffing**
- Nurses – 1.5
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Admin Support - 2
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention – 0
- Nutritionists - 0
- Emergency Response - 0
- Local Grant Staff – 0
- Regional – 0
- **TOTAL** - 4

**Overall Budget**
- **Total** - $429,010
  - Federal - $55,000
  - State - $143,600
  - Fees - $50,410
  - Millage - $180,000

**Personnel Budget**
- **Total** - $334,010
  - Federal - $55,000
  - State - $143,600
  - Fees - $50,410
  - Millage - $85,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll*
Latimer County Health Department

Population – 10,411

Funding – 2.55 Mills
• Approx. new year's tax - $144,217

Facility Ownership – Stipe

Investments

Staffing
• Nurses – 1.5
• Adv Practice Nurse - 0
• Admin Support - 2
• Health Inspectors - 0
• Guidance - 0
• Early Intervention – 0
• Nutritionists - 0
• Emergency Response - 0
• Local Grant Staff – 0
• Regional – 0
  • TOTAL - 3.5

Overall Budget
• Total - $270,000
  ➢ Federal - $66,000
  ➢ State - $60,000
  ➢ Fees - $2,950
  ➢ Millage - $144,217

Personnel Budget
• Total - $244,000
  ➢ Federal - $55,000
  ➢ State - $60,000
  ➢ Fees - $0
  ➢ Millage - $80,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

LeFlore County Health Department

Population – 49,731

Funding – 2.57 Mills
• Approx. new year's tax - $574,504

Facility Ownership – LeFlore County

Staffing
• Nurses – 2.5
• Adv Practice Nurse - 0
• Admin Support - 3
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance - 1
• Early Intervention – 1
• Nutritionists - 1
• Emergency Response - 0
• Local Grant Staff – 1
• Regional – 1
• Maintenance - 1
  • TOTAL 12.00

Overall Budget
• Total - $1,183,405
  ➢ Federal - $287,000
  ➢ State - $214,000
  ➢ Fees - $3,251
  ➢ Millage - $679,154

Personnel Budget
• Total - $704,251
  ➢ Federal - $287,000
  ➢ State - $214,000
  ➢ Fees - $3,251
  ➢ Millage - $200,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
**Muskogee County Health Department**

Population – 69,086  
Funding – 2.53 Mills  
- Approx. new year’s tax - $1,173,730  
- Local TSET Grant - $330,997  
  - Total - $1,504,727

Facility Ownership – Muskogee County

Staffing
- Nurses – 6
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Admin Support - 6
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 1
- Early Intervention – 0
- Nutritionists - 1
- Emergency Response - 0
- Local Grant Staff – 3 (TSET)
- Regional – 0
  - TOTAL 18.00

**Sequoyah County Health Department**

Population – 41,252  
Funding – 2.59 Mills  
- Approx. new year’s tax - $437,691

Facility Ownership – Sequoyah County

Staffing
- Nurses – 2.5
- Adv Practice Nurse - 1
- Admin Support - 3
- Health Inspectors - 2
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention – 0
- Nutritionists – 1
- Nutritionist Asst. - 1
- Emergency Response - 0
- Local Grant Staff – 1
- Regional – 2
  - TOTAL 13.50

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
Summary

Partnerships
• Community Coalitions
• Kibois (LeFlore, Haskell, Latimer and Sequoyah Counties)
• Choctaw Nation
• Cherokee Nation
• Health and Wellness
• Private Industries

Unique Programs and Interesting Facts
• TB control effort
• Opioid Overdose Program in Muskogee
• TSET/Certified Healthy
• Veggie Bucks, Community Gardens, Walking Trails
• Historical impact

REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP
Canadian County Health Department
El Reno and Yukon

Population – 129,582

Funding – 1.56 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $1,961,323
- Local TSET Grant - $295,089
  • Total - $2,256,412

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 9
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Admin Support - 8
- Health Inspectors - 2
- Guidance - 3
- Early Intervention - 5
- Nutritionists - 1.6
- Emergency Response - 1
- Local Grant Staff - 5
- Regional – 2
  • TOTAL – 36.6

Overall Budget
- Total - $3,469,933
  • Federal - $800,175
  • State - $298,000
  • Fees - $67,912
  • Millage - $2,303,846

Personnel Budget
- Total - $2,912,549
  • Federal - $800,175
  • State - $298,000
  • Fees - $67,912
  • Millage - $1,746,462

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Kingfisher County Health Department
Kingfisher

Population – 15,532

Funding – 2.05 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $765,138
- Local TSET Grant - $265,750
  • Total - $1,030,888

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 2
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Admin Support – 2.4
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention - 0
- Nutritionists - 0
- Emergency Response - 0
- Local Grant Staff – 2
- Regional – 0
  • TOTAL – 7.4

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,046,787
  • Federal - $76,299
  • State - $212,682
  • Fees - $69,523
  • Millage - $688,283

Personnel Budget
- Total - $880,387
  • Federal - $76,299
  • State - $212,682
  • Fees - $69,523
  • Millage - $521,883

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
Lincoln County Health Department
Chandler

Population – 34,351

Funding – 2.5 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $970,007
• Local TSET Grant - $217,506
• Total - $1,187,513

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 3.5
• Admin Support – 4
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance - 0
• Early Intervention - 1
• Nutritionists - .5
• Emergency Response - 0
• Local Grant Staff – 3
• Regional – 0
• TOTAL – 13

Overall Budget
• Total - $1,541,441
  • Federal - $340,221
  • State - $271,404
  • Fees - $83,554
  • Millage - $846,262

Personnel Budget
• Total - $1,445,179
  • Federal - $340,221
  • State - $271,404
  • Fees - $83,554
  • Millage - $750,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll

Logan County Health Department
Guthrie

Population – 44,422

Funding – 2.5 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $987,853
• Local TSET Grant - $278,770
• Total - $1,266,623

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 3.5
• Adv Practice Nurse - 1
• Admin Support – 3.6
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance - 0
• Early Intervention - 2
• Nutritionists - .5
• Emergency Response - 0
• Local Grant Staff – 3
• Regional – 2
• TOTAL – 16.6

Overall Budget
• Total - $1,875,768
  • Federal - $543,551
  • State - $171,840
  • Fees - $79,294
  • Millage - $1,081,083

Personnel Budget
• Total - $1,608,685
  • Federal - $543,551
  • State - $171,840
  • Fees - $79,294
  • Millage - $814,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll
District Fun Facts

- Named after Assistant Secretary of the Interior, George Chandler
- In 1949, Oklahoma Legislature declared Chandler as “Pecan Capital of the World”
- Town took its name after near by Fort Reno; which was named after Civil War General Jesse Lee Reno
- Celebrates an annual Fried Onion Burger Festival
- Successful partnership with Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes
- The 1st Capital of Oklahoma
- Logan County hosts Oklahoma’s only Historically Black College & University (HBCU) – Langston University
- Actively involved in community coalitions
- Named after an early resident King Fisher
- Birthplace of Sam Walton
- Home of the Chisholm Trail Museum
- Named after the Yukon River in Alaska
- Hosts the Oklahoma Czech Festival (1st Saturday in October)

District Activities

Fit, Fun, and Focused
- Tai Chi
- Child Abuse Awareness

Promoting Public Health policies with Schools
- Breastfeeding Awareness
- Great Bed Race
Bryan County Health Department
Durant, OK

Population – 46,319

Funding – 2.50 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $890,505
- Local TSET Grant - $274,350
  - Total - $1,164,855

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses – 3.0
- Adv Practice Nurse – 0.5
- Admin Support – 2.0 (+3 Vacant)
- Health Inspectors - Vacant
- Guidance – 2.0 (+1 Vacant)
- Early Intervention – 2.0
- Nutritionists – 0.5
- Emergency Response – 1.0
- Local Grant Staff – 2 Vacant
- Regional – 2.0
  - TOTAL – 14

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,862,208
  - Federal - $228,010
  - State - $438,876
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $1,195,322

Personnel Budget
- Total - $1,285,003
  - Federal - $228,010
  - State - $438,876
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $618,117

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll
Choctaw County Health Department
Hugo, OK

Population – 14,863

Funding – 2.50 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $174,471

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 3.0 (Traveling)
• Adv Practice Nurse – 0.1
• Admin Support – 2.0 (Traveling)
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance – 0
• Early Intervention – 0
• Nutritionists – 0.2
• Emergency Response – 0
• Local Grant Staff – 1
• Regional – 0
  • TOTAL – 7.3

Overall Budget
• Total - $482,723
  ➢ Federal - $126,915
  ➢ State - $108,902
  ➢ Fees - $55,588
  ➢ Millage - $191,318

**Overall Budget**

Personnel Budget
• Total - $386,705
  ➢ Federal - $126,915
  ➢ State - $108,902
  ➢ Fees - $55,588
  ➢ Millage - $95,300

**Personnel Budget**

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Oklahoma State Department of Health - Creating a State of Health - www.health.ok.gov

McCurtain County Health Department
Idabel, OK

Population – 32,808

Funding – 2.50 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $562,326
  • Local TSET Grant - $206,400
  • Total - $768,726

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 4.0
• Adv Practice Nurse – 0.4
• Admin Support – 3.0
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance – 0
• Early Intervention – 1
• Nutritionists – 0.2 (+1 Vacant)
• Emergency Response – 0
• Local Grant Staff – 1 (+1 Vacant)
• Regional – 1
  • TOTAL – 11.6

Overall Budget
• Total - $1,109,775
  ➢ Federal - $51,931
  ➢ State - $196,845
  ➢ Fees - $72,861
  ➢ Millage - $788,138

**Overall Budget**

Personnel Budget
• Total - $815,805
  ➢ Federal - $51,931
  ➢ State - $196,845
  ➢ Fees - $72,861
  ➢ Millage - $494,168

**Personnel Budget**

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Oklahoma State Department of Health - Creating a State of Health - www.health.ok.gov
Pushmataha County Health Department
Antlers, OK

Population – 11,173

Funding – 2.50 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $130,472
- Local TSET Grant - $304,500
  - Total - $434,972

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses – 1.0
- Adv. Practice Nurse – 0.1
- Admin Support – 2.0
- Health Inspectors - 0
- Guidance – 0
- Early Intervention – 0
- Nutritionists – 0
- Emergency Response – 0
- Local Grant Staff – 2
- Regional – 2
  - TOTAL – 7.1

Overall Budget
- Total - $603,001
  - Federal - $4,298
  - State - $221,695
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $377,008

Personnel Budget
- Total - $461,443
  - Federal - $4,298
  - State - $221,695
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $235,450

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Community Activities

- EM Communication Improvements
- 7 POD Exercises
- Flu Drives
- Recovery Disaster Planning
- Mobile Smiles
- Social Determinants of Health
Community Activities

• Free Fruit Friday
• Champion of Health Recipient
• The Smoothie Bike!
• Last City in District Passes No Smoking Policy
• Safe Routes to School
• Choctaw Nation Health Literacy
• Chuckwa Trail System
Hughes County Health Department
Holdenville

Population – 13,302
Funding – 2.55 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $370,432
Facility Ownership – County
Staffing
- Nurses - 3
- Admin Support - 2
- Services Provided by other counties within the district or by another district.
  Health Inspector
  Early Intervention
  Nutritionist
  LERC
  TOTAL - 5

Overall Budget
- Total - $482,238
  - Federal - $110,368
  - State - $45,708
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $326,162

Personnel Budget
- Total - $409,076
  - Federal - $110,368
  - State - $45,708
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $253,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Okfuskee County Health Department
Okemah

Population – 12,140
Funding – 2.64 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $193,321
Facility Ownership – County
Staffing
- Nurses - 1
- Admin Support - 2
- Health Inspector - 1
- Health Educator - 1
- Services provided by other counties within the district or by another district.
  Early Intervention
  Children’s First
  Nutritionist
  TOTAL - 5

Overall Budget
- Total - $330,050
  - Federal - $22,940
  - State - $32,917
  - Fees - $77,325
  - Millage - $196,868

Personnel Budget
- Total - $262,182
  - Federal - $22,940
  - State - $32,917
  - Fees - $77,325
  - Millage - $129,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
Okmulgee County Health Department

Population – 38,930

Funding – 2.04 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax – $389,891
- Local TSET Grant – $272,170
  - Total – $662,061

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 2
- Admin Support - 3
- Health Inspector - 1
- Nutrition Assistant – 1
- Local Grant Staff – 3
- Services provided by other counties within the district or by another district.
  Early Intervention
  Nutritionist
  Emergency Response
  Regional 1
  - TOTAL – 24.5

Okmulgee

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,011,285
  - Federal - $135,330
  - State - $12,394
  - Fees - $60,515
  - Millage - $803,046

Personnel Budget
- Total - $883,239
  - Federal - $135,330
  - State - $12,394
  - Fees - $60,515
  - Millage - $675,000

Pottawatomie County Health Department

Population – 72,226

Funding – 2.54 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax – $992,428

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses – 6
- Adv Practice Nurse – 1
- Patient Care Assistant - 1
- Admin Support – 7.5
- Health Inspector - 1
- Guidance - 2
- Early Intervention - 2
- Nutritionist - 1
- Emergency Response - 1
- Regional – 2
  - TOTAL – 24.5

Pottawatomie

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,830,036
  - Federal - $504,179
  - State - $185,821
  - Fees - $72,491
  - Millage - $1,067,545

Personnel Budget
- Total - $1,687,491
  - Federal - $504,179
  - State - $185,821
  - Fees - $72,491
  - Millage - $925,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll
Seminole County Health Department  
Seminole and Wewoka

Population – 24,878  
Funding – 2.61 Mills  
- Approx. new year’s tax - $487,839

Facility Ownership – Seminole – County; Wewoka - City

Staffing
- Nurses - 5
- Admin Support - 3
- Health Inspector - 1
- Services provided by other counties within the district or by another district.
  Early Intervention
  Children’s First
- Nutritionist - 1
- Regional – 1
  TOTAL - 11

Overall Budget
- Total - $824,385
  - Federal - $172,182
  - State - $34,111
  - Fees - $78,339
  - Millage - $539,753

Personnel Budget
- Total - $692,631
  - Federal - $172,181
  - State - $34,111
  - Fees - $78,339
  - Millage - $408,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Challenges

- Understaffed

- Greatly reduced services in other areas due to limited/no staff

- Trickle-down effects on other programs due to lost staff (ex: loss of staff/visits in WIC has also reduced clients/visits in C1...)

- Loss of institutional knowledge during RIF process and with other staff leaving

- Reduction of approximately 20% of clients seen in March 2017 compared to March 2018.
Strengths of County Health Departments

- Competent, Dedicated Staff
- Strong Partnerships
- Evidence Based Programming
- TSET Healthy Living Programs/Grantees
- EPRS

REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP
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Payne County Health Department
Stillwater & Cushing, OK

Population – 79,423
Funding – 2.05 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $1,759,630

Facility Ownership – (County)
Staffing
• Nurses – 6
• Admin Support – 8.5
• Health Inspectors – 2
• Health Education – 1
• Guidance – 1
• Early Intervention – 7
• Nutritionists – 1
• Emergency Response – 1
• Maint/Custodial – 1.5
• Regional – 5
• OSDH/Dept. of Ed – 7
  • TOTAL - 41

Overall Budget
kses
  Total - $2,529,000
  • Federal - $713,000
  • State - $257,000
  • Fees - $131,000
  • Millage - $1,428,000

Personnel Budget
kses
  Total - $2,064,000
  • Federal - $713,000
  • State - $257,000
  • Fees - $131,000
  • Millage - $963,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll

Kay County Health Department
Ponca City & Blackwell, OK

Population – 45,587
Funding – 1.79 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $904,000

Facility Ownership – (County)
Staffing
• Nurses – 4
• Social Services – 1
• Admin Support – 6
• Health Inspectors – 1
• Guidance – 2
• Regional – 1
• Custodial – 0.5
• OSDH – 2
  • TOTAL - XX

Overall Budget
kses
  Total - $1,190,000
  • Federal - $226,000
  • State - $284,000
  • Fees - $59,000
  • Millage - $621,000

Personnel Budget
kses
  Total - $1,021,000
  • Federal - $226,000
  • State - $284,000
  • Fees - $59,000
  • Millage - $452,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs **Reflects amount available for payroll
Noble County Health Department
Perry, OK

Population – 11,506
Funding – 1.02 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $207,000

Facility Ownership – (County)

Staffing
- Nurses - 1
- Admin Support – 0.2
- Regional – 2
- Custodial – 0.5
  - TOTAL – 3.7

Overall Budget
- Total - $360,000
  - Federal - $100,000
  - State - $25,000
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $235,000

Personnel Budget
- Total - $311,000
  - Federal - $100,000
  - State - $25,000
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $186,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Pawnee County Health Department
Pawnee & Cleveland, OK

Population – 16,499
Funding – 2.11 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $200,000

Facility Ownership – (County)

Staffing
- Nurses - 1
- Patient Care Assistant – 1
- Admin Support – 1
- Custodial – 0.5
- OSDH - 1
  - TOTAL – 4.5

Overall Budget
- Total - $388,000
  - Federal - $131,000
  - State - $32,000
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $225,000

Personnel Budget
- Total - $283,000
  - Federal - $131,000
  - State - $32,000
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $120,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
Assets and Opportunities

• Cultural and population diversity
  – Iowa, Kaw, Otoe Missouria, Pawnee, Ponca, Sac and Fox, and Tonkawa tribes
  – Micronesian and Marshallese
  – Major university and small college
• Historic environmental health efforts
• Partnerships
• Public health practices evolving with communities
• Pistol Pete
• Coach Gundy’s Mullet
Texas County Health Department
Guymon, OK

Population – 22,081

Funding – 1.60 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $425,735
• Local TSET Grant - $204,000
  • Total - $629,735

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 4
• Clinic Support – 1.5
• Adv Practice Nurse - .20
• Admin Support - 5
• Health Inspector - 1
• Early Intervention – 1.5
• Local Grant Staff – 2
  • TOTAL – 15.2

Overall Budget
• Total - $1,089,758
  • Federal - $168,922
  • State - $41,224
  • Fees - $124,929
  • Millage – 733,393

Personnel Budget
• Total - $955,075
  • Federal - $168,922
  • State - $41,224
  • Fees - $124,929
  • Millage - $620,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll

Texas County Health Department
Guymon, OK

Population – 22,081

Funding – 1.60 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $425,735
• Local TSET Grant - $204,000
  • Total - $629,735

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 4
• Clinic Support – 1.5
• Adv Practice Nurse - .20
• Admin Support - 5
• Health Inspector - 1
• Early Intervention – 1.5
• Local Grant Staff – 2
  • TOTAL – 15.2

Overall Budget
• Total - $1,089,758
  • Federal - $168,922
  • State - $41,224
  • Fees - $124,929
  • Millage – 733,393

Personnel Budget
• Total - $955,075
  • Federal - $168,922
  • State - $41,224
  • Fees - $124,929
  • Millage - $620,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll

Beaver County Health Department
Beaver

Population – 5,636

Funding – 7.5% Sales Tax
Approx. new year’s tax - $80,000

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 1
• Admin Support - 1
• Emergency Response - 1
• Regional – 1
  • TOTAL - 4

Overall Budget
• Total - $155,347
  • Federal - $0
  • State - $1,155
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $154,192

Personnel Budget
• Total - $130,855
  • Federal - $0
  • State - $1,155
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $129,700

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll

Beaver County Health Department
Beaver

Population – 5,636

Funding – 7.5% Sales Tax
Approx. new year’s tax - $80,000

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 1
• Admin Support - 1
• Emergency Response - 1
• Regional – 1
  • TOTAL - 4

Overall Budget
• Total - $155,347
  • Federal - $0
  • State - $1,155
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $154,192

Personnel Budget
• Total - $130,855
  • Federal - $0
  • State - $1,155
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $129,700

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll
Harper County Health Department
Laverne

Population – 3,685

Funding – .14% Sales Tax
- Approx. new year’s tax - $33,500

Facility Ownership – Leased

Staffing
- Nurses - 1
- Admin Support - 1
- Regional – 1
  - TOTAL - 3

Overall Budget
- Total - $150,193
  - Federal - $21,398
  - State - $86,580
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $42,215

Personnel Budget
- Total - $121,703
  - Federal - $21,398
  - State - $86,580
  - Fees - $0
  - Millage - $13,700

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll

Woodward County Health Department
Woodward

Population – 21,559

Funding – 1.05 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $307,850
  - Local TSET Grant - $110,000
    - Total - $417,850

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses – 1
- Adv Practice Nurse - .065
- Admin Support - 3
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Nutritionists - 1
- Local Grant Staff – 1
- Regional – 2
  - TOTAL – 9.065

Overall Budget
- Total - $507,474
  - Federal - $50,786
  - State - $41,678
  - Fees - $65,292
  - Millage - $349,718

Personnel Budget
- Total - $457,756
  - Federal - $50,786
  - State - $41,678
  - Fees - $65,292
  - Millage - $300,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs
**Reflects amount available for payroll
Assets and Opportunities

• Ethnic and population diversity
  – Over 23 languages
  – Colleges and large vocational schools
  – Agriculture and energy

• TSET HLP Grants

• Community Partnerships
  – FQHC
  – Walking Trails

REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP
Carter County Health Department
2 Location(s)

Population – 47,557

Funding – 2.06 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $875,582
• Local TSET Grant - $265,000
  • Total - $1,140,582
Facility Ownership – County
Under lease

Staffing
• Nurses - 6
• Admin Support - 6
• Health Inspectors - 1
• Guidance - 3
• Early Intervention - 4
• Social Serv. Specialist – 3
• Children First - 1
• Patient Care Assistant – 1.5
• Custodial – 1.5
• Health Education – 2
• Emergency Response – 1
• Local Grant Staff – 3
• Regional – 3
  • TOTAL 36

Overall Budget
• Total - $2,911,777
  • Federal - $1,015,821
  • State - $676,099
  • Fees - $25,229
  • Millage - $1,194,628

Personnel Budget
• Total - $2,570,434
  • Federal - $1,015,821
  • State - $676,099
  • Fees - $25,229
  • Millage - $853,285

Jefferson County Health Department
1 Location

Population – 6,472

Funding – 2.63 Mills
• Approx. new year’s tax - $111,000
Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
• Nurses – 0
• Early Intervention – 1
• Admin Support - 1
  • TOTAL – 2

Overall Budget
• Total - $196,632
  • Federal - $23,000
  • State - $89,632
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $84,000

Personnel Budget
• Total - $142,632
  • Federal - $23,000
  • State - $89,632
  • Fees - $0
  • Millage - $30,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
Johnston County Health Department
1 Location

Population – 10,957

Funding – 2.57 Mills
  • Approx. new year’s tax - $240,000
  • Local TSET Grant - $191,100
    • Total - $431,100

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
  • Nurses - 1
  • Admin Support – 1.5
  • Health Inspectors – 0
  • Health Education – 1
  • Local Grant Staff – 2
    • TOTAL – 5.5

Overall Budget
   Total - $506,561
     Federal - $141,420
     State - $21,141
     Fees - $0
     Millage - $344,000

Personnel Budget
   Total - $412,561
     Federal - $141,420
     State - $21,141
     Fees - $0
     Millage - $250,000

Attachment F

Love County Health Department
1 Location

Population – 9,423

Funding – 2.59 Mills
  • Approx. new year’s tax - $250,000

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
  • Nurses - 1
  • Admin Support – 2
  • Nutrition Assistant - 1
  • Regional - 1
    • TOTAL – 5

Overall Budget
   Total - $368,314
     Federal - $41,198
     State - $8,617
     Fees - $23,449
     Millage - $295,000

Personnel Budget
   Total - $323,264
     Federal - $41,198
     State - $8,617
     Fees - $23,449
     Millage - $250,000

Attachment F
Marshall County Health Department

1 Location

Population – 15,840

Funding – 2.58 Mills
- New year’s tax - $319,998.64

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 0
- Adv Practice Nurse - 1
- Admin Support – 2
- Children First – 2
- Nutrition Assistant – 1
- Regional – 1
  * TOTAL – 7

Overall Budget
- Total - $604,333
  - Federal - $145,874
  - State - $168,935
  - Fees - $24,524
  - Millage - $265,000

Personnel Budget
- Total - $549,333
  - Federal - $145,874
  - State - $168,935
  - Fees - $24,524
  - Millage - $210,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Pontotoc County Health Department

1 Location

Population – 37,492

Funding – 2.56 Mills
- New year’s tax - $679,626
- Local TSET Grant - $275,000
  * Total - $954,626

Facility Ownership – County

Under lease

Staffing
- Nurses - 4
- Admin Support - 4
- Early Intervention – 3
- Custodial – 1
- Health Education – 1
- Local Grant Staff – 3
  * TOTAL – 16

Overall Budget
- Total - $1,169,029
  - Federal - $266,292
  - State - $110,384
  - Fees - $26,653
  - Millage - $756,700

Personnel Budget
- Total - $803,329
  - Federal - $266,292
  - State - $110,384
  - Fees - $26,653
  - Millage - $400,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
## Stephens County Health Department

### 1 Location

### Overall Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$1,179,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$137,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$57,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees</td>
<td>$83,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millage</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Personnel Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$979,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$137,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$57,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees</td>
<td>$83,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millage</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- *Does not include OSDH indirect costs*

- **Reflects amount available for payroll**

---

**Population** – 45,048

**Funding** – 2.56 Mills
- New year’s tax - $854,143.50

**Facility Ownership** – County

**Staffing**
- Nurses - 4
- Admin Support - 4
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Children First - 1
- Nutrition Assistant – 1.5
- Health Education – 1
  - **TOTAL** – 12.5
• Ardmore Behavioral Health Collaborative
• Three Back to School Bashes
• Two Teen Conferences
• Chickasaw Flu Project
• Stand 4 Kind
• Fatherhood
• Girls on the Run
• Six Active Coalitions
• Full Scale Exercises
• Opioid Response Planning
• Brain Talks
• FEAST – Noble Foundation
• Corporate Fitness Challenge
• Let’s Talk about Sex
• Stop the Bleed
• BEVO Bash
• Future Parents
• Trauma Sensitive Team
• Habitudes

REGIONAL DISTRICT MAP
### Atoka County Health Department

**Location(s)**

**Population** – 13,887

**Funding** – 2.06 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $182,710
- Local TSET Grant - $230,000
  - Total - $412,710

**Facility Ownership** – County

**Staffing**
- Nurses – 0
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Patient Care Asst. - 1
- Admin Support – 2
- Health Inspectors - 0
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention - 0
- Nutritionists - 0
- Emergency Response - 1
- Local Grant Staff – 3
- Regional –
  - TOTAL – 7

**Overall Budget**
- Total - $633,480
  - Federal - $0
  - State - $127,546
  - Fees - $24,711
  - Millage - $481,223

**Personnel Budget**
- Total - $478,794
  - Federal - $0
  - State - $127,546
  - Fees - $24,711
  - Millage - $327,000

---

### Coal County Health Department

**Location(s)**

**Population** – 5642

**Funding** – 2.58 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $264,201

**Facility Ownership** – County

**Staffing**
- Nurses - 2
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Admin Support – 1
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention - 0
- Nutritionists - 0
- Emergency Response - 0
- Local Grant Staff – 0
- Regional –
  - TOTAL – 4

**Overall Budget**
- Total - $402,835
  - Federal - $46,694
  - State - $28,111
  - Fees - $25,461
  - Millage - $302,569

**Personnel Budget**
- Total - $280,266
  - Federal - $46,694
  - State - $28,111
  - Fees - $25,461
  - Millage - $180,000

---

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll*
McIntosh County Health Department

Location(s)

Population – 19,742

Funding – 1.55 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $323,455

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 5
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Admin Support - 4
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 0
- Early Intervention - 1
- Nutritionists - 1
- WIC BF Peer Consultant - 1
- Emergency Response - 0
- Local Grant Staff – 0
- Regional - 1
  - TOTAL - 13

Overall Budget
- Total - $987,095
  - Federal - $248,374
  - State - $368,417
  - Fees - $83,453
  - Millage - $286,851

Personnel Budget
- Total - $860,244
  - Federal - $248,374
  - State - $368,417
  - Fees - $83,453
  - Millage - $160,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll

Pittsburg County Health Department

Location(s)

Population – 44,184

Funding – 2.58 Mills
- Approx. new year’s tax - $988,784
  - Local TSET Grant - $289,000
    - Total - $1,277,784

Facility Ownership – County

Staffing
- Nurses - 5
- Adv Practice Nurse - 0
- Admin Support - 6
- Health Inspectors - 1
- Guidance - 2
- Early Intervention - 1
- Nutritionists - 1
- Emergency Response – 0
- Maintenance - 1
- Local Grant Staff – 5
- Vital Records - 5
- Regional - 4
  - TOTAL - 31

Overall Budget
- Total - $2,409,419
  - Federal - $383,189
  - State - $600,924
  - Fees - $78,478
  - Millage - $1,346,828

Personnel Budget
- Total - $1,712,591
  - Federal - $383,189
  - State - $600,924
  - Fees - $78,478
  - Millage - $650,000

*Does not include OSDH indirect costs  **Reflects amount available for payroll
### Tri-Board of Health Meeting Minutes

**October 2, 2018 at 1:00 pm**

**Oklahoma City-County Health Department - Auditorium**

**2600 NE 63rd Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73111**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Tulsa City-County Board of Health (TCCBH)</th>
<th>Oklahoma City-County Board of Health (OCCBH)</th>
<th>Oklahoma State Board of Health (OSBH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Health Members Present</td>
<td>Mike Stout, PhD</td>
<td>Dr. Gary Raskob, Chair Dr. Stephen Cagle Dr. Timothy Hill Mary Mélon Scott Mitchell Dr. Courtney Gray Dr. J. Don Harris</td>
<td>Tim Starkey, MBA, Chair Charles Grim, DDS R. Murali Krishna, MD Ronald Osterhout Becky Payton Chuck Skillings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>TCCBH OCCBH OSBH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Health Members Absent</td>
<td>Kian Kamas, Chair Chris Bell, JD, RN Heath Evans, DDS Mike Jones, DVM Emily Odom Ann Paul, MPH Sarah-Anne Schumann, MD</td>
<td>Erika Lucas Dr. Lois Salmeron</td>
<td>Jenny Alexopulos, DO Terry Gerard II, DO Edward Legako, MD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The final agenda was posted on the TCCBH and OCCHD’s website at 2:10 pm on Thursday, September 27, 2018 and building entrance at 12:05 pm on Friday, September 28, 2018. The final agenda was also posted on the OSDH’s website at 9:20 am and the building entrance at 11:03 am on Monday, October 1, 2018.

CALL TO ORDER, OPENING REMARKS, INTRODUCTIONS
Tim Starkey, Chair of the Oklahoma State Board of Health, called the meeting to order at 1:11 p.m. He welcomed everyone and introduced board members.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT UPDATES
From Bruce Dart, PhD (THD), Gary Cox, JD (OCCHD), Tom Bates, JD (OSDH)

Bruce Dart, Ph.D., Executive Director (TCCBH), said it was good when the three Oklahoma boards of health could come together. Oklahoma had challenges but there were improvements too. Tulsa moved up to #15 in the state health rankings. At Tulsa Health Department major cultural changes were being made with the goal of Tulsa becoming the healthiest county in the nation within the next ten years. Dart appreciated the partnership with OSBH and OCCBH and looked forward to working together.

Gary Cox, J.D, Executive Director (OCCHD), welcomed everyone in attendance and noted it had been a challenging year, but we are making progress as well. On behalf of Mayor Cornett and now Mayor Holt, we have applied to Bloomberg Philanthropies on a concept centered around a public health approach to criminal justice reform. The Project focuses on two areas: a) diversion of low-risk, high-need individuals with the use of community health workers; and b) creation of a data dashboard by linking the criminal justice system, public health, hospital and other relevant data systems to provide an overall picture of needs and reduce duplication of services and efforts. There will be (1) $5M winner and (4) $1M winners announced end of this month.

At the request of the Inasmuch Foundation, a luncheon was held for local funders and we have received a commitment of matching dollars. These funds will be used to fund a multi-generational approach to reform and piloting efforts at our Southern Oaks campus. It’s important to note that 1 in 4 kids in the Oklahoma City Public School System have or have had a parent incarcerated. Therefore, our focus will be on addressing trauma in high-risk kids and working with the entire family to break the cycle of incarceration. OCCHD is taking the successful pilots using community health workers and working to expand into other sectors to address social determinants of health. We will be expanding after school programming efforts at our Southern Oaks Campus, which is scheduled to open very soon, and working alongside partners to offer training and wraparound services.

Tom Bates, Interim Commissioner of Health (OSDH), reported that the OSDH is making progress in filling vacant positions in the county health departments due to the reduction in force. The agency has hired a new Chief Financial Officer, Gloria Hudson. Finance staff has been busy establishing new financial processes and addressing audit reports. Mr. Bates has spoken with legislative leaders concerning the $30 million supplemental appropriation and the commitment to ensure that money is available at the next legislative session. With the passage of State Question 788, Mr. Bates highlighted the outstanding work of our public health professionals who were able to implement a new program under scrutiny and pressure. He is proud of all the work accomplished in launching the Oklahoma Medical Marijuana Authority (OMMA) Program.

GENERAL POLICY DISCUSSION
a) Joint Commission Report Update
Mr. Bates discussed the importance of the Joint Commission Report and how its recommendations are being utilized to guide decision-making across the agency. Some themes of the core recommendations include accountability, resource allocation, decision-making autonomy, creating local community partnerships, and collaborating across IT Infrastructure. In an effort to improve support for the OSDH county health departments and regional directors, Community and Family Health Services was separated into two smaller, more manageable divisions, Family Health Services and Community
Health Services. Tina Johnson is the Deputy Commissioner for Family Health Services and Keith Reed is the new Deputy Commissioner for Community Health Services. This change will allow Mr. Reed to focus exclusively on county health departments and help them create more community partnerships and pursue other opportunities such as local grants. Another recommendation of the Joint Commission Report was to form a Joint Council made up of the Commissioner of Health, Executive Directors of OCCHD and TCCHD, and an individual from the rural/county health department. This council has been formed and is meeting regularly. Discussions have been productive. There are areas that need improvement and this council will continue to discuss processes to improve efficiencies and interoperability of IT systems. We are committed to working together to find solutions that are fair and reasonable to meet the needs and concerns of everyone involved.

b) Big Picture Issues and Strategies (Policy Priorities)/Legislative Advocacy
Looking ahead at policy priorities, Mr. Bates asked Buffy Heater, Chief of Data, Public Policy & Promotion Officer with OSDH, to provide an update on the Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan (OHIP) ongoing efforts. Mrs. Heater shared that in addition to the current flagship priorities of tobacco, obesity, children’s health, and behavioral health this group has identified some additional priority areas of focus. Those include access to care, mental health, opioid addiction and prevention, childhood trauma, and increasing the tobacco excise tax. The OHIP group discussed the importance of having a unified voice for public health when communicating with the public, colleagues, legislators, and elected officials. Staff is currently working to develop an infographic on how the information will be disseminated across the state. OHIP is no longer covered under statute but committee members do plan to develop another 5-year plan for 2020. If board members want OHIP to be statutorily required, they would need to take appropriate actions to do so.

c) Two to Three Focus Items that will Impact Health
Discussion followed in regard to the importance of legislative advocacy, prioritizing focus items, and creating a strategic policy approach to be most effective for public health. In rural areas, community engagement, support for coalitions and local partnerships are key to progress and success. Local communities need to be encouraged to seek initiatives and resources that fit the needs of the communities they serve. Continuity and flexibility are necessary to meet the different needs across the state.

Potential Policy Priorities Discussed:
- Tobacco/Vaping
- Access to Care & Provider Shortages
- Health Insurance Coverage
- Poverty
- Mental Health
- Childhood Trauma
- Opioid Crisis
- Obesity
- Education and Programs in Schools Statewide (to reach high-risk populations, children and families)
- Eat less/Move More/Stop Smoking

In closing, Mr. Bates asked OSDH staff to work on a joint statement of policy goals that will be distributed among the board members for review and potential action.

NO NEW BUSINESS
ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Starkey adjourned the meeting at 2:25 pm.

Approved

Timothy E. Starkey, M.B.A
President, Oklahoma State Board of Health
December 11, 2018
Public health in Oklahoma continues to be of critical importance. On October 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 2018, the boards of health for the Oklahoma State Department of Health, the Oklahoma City-County Health Department, and the Tulsa Health Department, convened their annual tri-board meeting to, among other things, identify key policy priorities for the upcoming legislative session. In an effort to include information from key stakeholders, the Oklahoma Health Improvement Plan full team policy priority ideas were shared and helped drive the policy priority discussion.

The tri-board has identified three key policy topics to improve public health: Tobacco Control, Access to Healthcare and Reducing Poverty Rates.

The first is tobacco. Tobacco use continues to be the leading cause of preventable death.\footnote{Center for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (2018). Fast fact: smoking and tobacco use. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/fast_facts/index.htm on October 12, 2018.} Oklahoma ranks 39\textsuperscript{th} nationally with 20.1%, or one in five, adults who smoke.\footnote{Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017.} Additionally, 12.5% of Oklahoma high school students smoke cigarettes. In order to reduce and prevent tobacco use the tri-board is united in the pursuit of several policies. An additional increase in the tobacco tax by $1.50 within ten years would prevent 28,200 youth from becoming adult smokers as well as to encourage 30,400 adults to quit smoking in addition to saving the state $1.22 billion in future healthcare costs.\footnote{Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids (2018). Factsheet: New revenues, public health benefits and cost savings from a $1.50 cigarette tax increase in Oklahoma.} Other important policy initiatives include advancing the availability of and consumer connection to tobacco cessation aids focusing on low income populations as well as those under the age of 35; and to support comprehensive clean indoor air policies by closing the loopholes, modifying definitions to encompass other methods of inhalation, and addressing inhaled forms of marijuana.

Secondly, the tri-board agrees to address policies that improve access to healthcare. Oklahoma ranks 45\textsuperscript{th} in the nation for the number of Active Primary Care Physicians.\footnote{https://www.aamc.org/download/484580/data/oklahomaprofile.pdf} Additionally, in 2017 only one state (Texas) had a higher percentage of uninsured than Oklahoma - 14.2% of Oklahomans of all ages are without health insurance.\footnote{https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2018/demo/p60-264.pdf} Efforts to address this issue should include improving access to preventative medical and mental health care, as well as improving the availability of affordable health insurance coverage. Policies to improve availability of affordable health insurance include support for subsidized private insurance options via an Insure Oklahoma buy-in and/or Medicaid Expansion programs. The tri-board believes pursuit of these efforts will provide increased value in Oklahomans health, longevity, and quality of life as shown by the success in other states.\footnote{Sommers B, Long S, Baicker K. May 6 2014. Changes in Mortality After Massachusetts Health Care Reform: A Quasi-experimental Study. Annals of Internal Medicine.}

Finally, the tri-board will pursue and support poverty reduction strategies through education and advocacy. These efforts are important to help break the cycle of generational poverty which greatly influence the social determinants of health (SDOH).\footnote{https://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/} The tri-board aims to assist the incorporation of upstream social supports such as housing and transportation as a way to improve health outcomes. The tri-board also promotes the placement of professionals in public schools such as community health workers, school health nurses, social workers, counselors, and family support specialists. Because education and health are both negatively impacted by contributing factors such as adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), childhood trauma, opioid addiction, overall poor mental health, obesity, and the
availability of school-based programs, addressing these concerns are paramount to the long-term improvement of public health in Oklahoma.

It is also incumbent upon the tri-board to ensure rural areas are being served to the best degree possible. The tri-board recognizes the strides made by the State Department of Health, City-County health departments and local county health departments to pursue local initiatives and grant opportunities that fit the needs of the communities they serve. The tri-board supports continued efforts to promote the autonomy of local county health departments and communities, while simultaneously collaborating with them to identify new resources.

Moving forward, the tri-board agrees to advance a coordinated policy approach supported by a common message that defines public health and why it is critically important to all people of Oklahoma. The tri-board will utilize materials developed by the joint commission on public health to share this information with decision makers. Tri-board members recommend legislative action to address these three policy topics during Oklahoma’s 2019 legislative session. The tri-board stands united in support of this agenda and pledges to communicate these policy priorities to legislators, public health stakeholders, OHIP representatives, and the public at large.

Presented to membership of each Board of Health for consideration and possible adoption.

Kian Kamas  
Chair, Tulsa Health Department

Gary Raskob, PhD  
Chair, Oklahoma City-County Health Department

Tim Starkey, MBA  
President, Oklahoma State Board of Health
310:681-5-8.1. Food Safety Standards for Processors

(a) **Purpose.** This Section sets forth the food safety standards that processors must comply with in the preparation, production, manufacturing, processing, handling, packaging, and labeling of edible marijuana products.

(b) **Existing law.** This Section does not relieve licensed processors of any obligations under existing laws, rules, and regulations, including 63 O.S. § 1-1101 et seq., OAC 310:257, and OAC 310:260, to the extent they are applicable and do not conflict with 63 O.S. § 420A et seq.

   (1) The sale, offer to sell, dispense or release into commerce of any food or confection under a name, label, or brand when the name, label, or brand either precisely or by slang term or popular usage, is the name, label, or brand of marijuana is not prohibited.

   (2) Marijuana used in food shall be considered an additive, a component, and/or an edible substance.

   (3) Marijuana shall not be considered a deleterious, poisonous, or nonnutritive substance, and the use of marijuana, alone, in food shall not make such food adulterated or misbranded.

(c) **Updated law.** In the event the Oklahoma Board of Health or the Commissioner of Health amends OAC 310:257 or OAC 310:260, adopts new food safety rules, or incorporates into Oklahoma law updated federal food safety standards, including Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, licensed processors shall comply with such rules to the extent they are applicable and do not conflict with 63 O.S. § 420A et seq. or these rules.

(d) **Board Meetings.** The Medical Marijuana Industry Expert Board/Food Safety Standards Board shall meet as regularly as its members deem necessary to review Oklahoma food safety laws and these rules and to take action, including amending and/or adding recommended standards to the Oklahoma Board of Health or the Commissioner of Health.

(e) **Labeling and Packaging.** Labels and packages for food containing marijuana shall comply with all applicable requirements in existing Oklahoma law, rules, and regulations, and any laws incorporated therein by reference, to the extent they do not conflict with 63 O.S. § 420A.

   (1) Title 21, part 101 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), as of August 22, 2018, is hereby incorporated by reference into this Section to the extent it is applicable and does not conflict with 63 O.S. § 420A et seq.

   (2) Existing requirements for principal display panels or information panels include:

      (A) Name and address of the business;

      (B) Name of the food;

      (C) Net quantity or weight of contents;

      (D) Ingredients list;
(E) Food allergen information;
(F) Nutrition labeling, if required under 21 CFR § 101.9;

(2) In addition, principal display panels or information panels must contain:
(A) List of cannabis ingredients;
(B) The batch of marijuana;
(C) The strain of marijuana (optional);
(E) THC dosage in milligrams per unit; and
(F) The lot code.

(3) Nutrient content, health, qualified health and structure/function claims must comply with the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) Food Labeling Guide.

(4) Packaging must contain the statement, “For accidental ingestion call 1-800-222-1222.”

(5) All packages and individually-packaged product units, including but not limited to those from bulk packaging, must contain the Oklahoma uniform symbol in clear and plain sight. The Oklahoma uniform symbol must be printed at least one-half inch by one-half inch in size in color.

(6) In order to comply with OAC 310:681-7-1(4) and this Section, a label must contain a warning that states, “Women should not use marijuana or medical marijuana products during pregnancy because of the risk of birth defects or while breastfeeding.”

(f) Recommended HACCP. A Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Plan (“HACCP”), as set forth under Title 21, Part 120 of the Code of Federal Regulations, shall be recognized as a standardized best practice to ensure that food is suitable for human consumption and that food-packaging materials are safe and suitable. Processors are encouraged to adopt a HACCP to help ensure compliance with existing Oklahoma food safety laws, particularly OAC 310:260-3-6.

(g) Required Testing Procedures. In light of the medical nature of marijuana authorized under 63 O.S. § 420A et seq. and to ensure the suitability and safety for human consumption of food products containing medical marijuana, processors are required to test food products containing medical marijuana for microbes, solvent and chemical residue, metals, pesticide residue, potency, and contaminants and filth in accordance with the following standards and thresholds.

(1) Frequency. Processors shall on a quarterly basis test one lot of each type of edible medical marijuana product.

(2) Allowable Thresholds. Products that fail to meet the thresholds as set forth below must be rejected and/or recalled immediately. In the event of recall, processors shall immediately notify the Department and all commercial establishments to which the recalled product was or may have been sold or transferred of the recall. Upon notification of the recall, the Department should work with dispensaries to notify patients who received the recalled product.

(3) Retention of Test Results and Records. Processors shall retain all test results and related records for three (3) years.

(4) Microbiological testing.
(A) All products shall be tested for aerobic plate count.
(B) Product test results shall validate that less than one
colony forming unit (CFU) per gram of tested material is present for E. coli or Salmonella species or the product shall be rejected and/or recalled.

(C) Products shall be tested for the presence of yeast and molds. Product test results shall validate less than 10^4 CFU or the product shall be rejected and/or recalled.

(D) Test reports shall include method reference.

(5) **Solvent and Chemical Residue.**

(A) Food products containing medical marijuana shall be tested for the following solvents to the maximum extent practical:

(i) Acetone < 1,000 ppm
(ii) Benzene < 2 ppm
(iii) Butanes/ Heptanes < 1,000 ppm
(iv) Hexane < 60 ppm
(v) Isopropyl Alcohol < 1,000 ppm
(vi) Pentane < 1,000 ppm
(vii) Propane < 1,000 ppm
(viii) Toluene < 180 ppm
(ix) Total Xylenes (m, p, o-xylenes) < 430 ppm

(B) Test reports shall provide specific data for all listed and detected solvents.

(C) The test report shall list any solvents listed above that could not be tested for.

(D) If the test equipment’s Limit of Detection (lowest possible detection limit) is above the specified limit for a solvent, the equipment’s Limit of Detection amount will be considered sufficient to exceed safe contamination limits.

(E) If the cannabis concentrate used to make an infused product was tested for solvents and chemical residue and test results indicate the lot was within established limits, then the infused product does not require additional testing for solvents and chemical residue.

(6) **Metals.**

(A) Testing for heavy metals shall include but is not limited to lead, arsenic, cadmium, and mercury.

(B) Test results shall meet the following thresholds:

(i) Lead – max limit < 1 ppm
(ii) Arsenic – max limit < 0.4 ppm
(iii) Cadmium – max limit < 0.44 ppm
(iv) Mercury – max limit < 0.2 ppm

(C) If the cannabis concentrate used to make an infused product was tested for metals and test results indicate the lot was within established limits, then the infused product does not require additional testing for metals.

(7) **Pesticide Residue.**

(A) Processors shall test all product batches for pesticides; 0.1 ppm or a positive result at the Limit of Detection (equipment’s lowest possible detection amount) will be considered to exceed safe residue limits.

(B) Pesticide residue testing shall analyze samples for the presence of chlorinated hydrocarbons, organophosphates.
carbamates, pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, acaracides, fungicides, and bactericides to the maximum extent practical.

(C) If the cannabis concentrate used to make an infused product was tested for pesticides and test results indicate the lot was within established limits, then the infused product does not require additional testing for pesticides.

(8) **Potency.** Processors shall test products for and provide results for levels of total THC.

(9) **Contaminants and Filth.** Processors shall inspect all products for contaminants and filth.

(A) Contaminants include any biological or chemical agent, foreign matter, or other substances not intentionally added to products that may compromise food safety or suitability.

(B) Processors shall document allowable thresholds for physical contaminants as part of the product test plan. Inspection requirements should be included in the operation’s product test plan for third party testing, if applicable.

(C) Inspection records shall indicate a continual process of physical inspection has taken place for all batches.

(h) **Private Homes; Living or sleeping quarters.**

(1) A private home, a room used as living or sleeping quarters, or an area directly opening into a room used as living or sleeping quarters may not be used for conducting processing operations.

(2) Living or sleeping quarters located on the premises of a processor such as those provided for lodging registration clerks or resident managers shall be separated from rooms and areas used for food establishment operations by complete partitioning and solid self-closing doors.

Definitions to add 310:681-1-4

“**Food**” has the same meaning as set forth in 63 O.S. § 1-1101 and OAC 310:257-1-3 (“‘food’ means (1) articles used for food or drink for man, (2) chewing gum, and (3) articles used for components of any such article”) and as set forth in OAC 310:250-1-6 (“‘food’ means any raw, cooked, or processed edible substance, ice, beverage or ingredient used or intended for use or for sale in whole or in part for human consumption”).

“**Information Panel**” has the same definition as set forth in 21 CFR § 101.2 and means “that part of the label immediately contiguous and to the right of the principal display panel as observed by an individual facing the principal display panel.”

“**Label**” carries the same definition as set forth in 63 O.S. § 1-1101 and means a display of written, printed, or graphic matter upon the immediate container of any article; and a requirement made by or under authority of this article that any word, statement, or other information appearing on the label shall not be considered to be complied with unless such word, statement, or other information also appears on the outside container or wrapper, if there be any, of the retail package of such article, or is easily legible through the outside container or wrapper.

“**Lot**” means the food produced during a period of time indicated by a specific code.
“Oklahoma Uniform Symbol” means the image, established by the Department and made available to commercial licensees, indicating the package contains marijuana and must be printed at least one-half inch in size by one-half inch in size in color.

“Package” or “Packaging” means any container or wrapper that a grower or processor may use for enclosing or containing medical marijuana or medical marijuana products.

“Principal Display Panel” has the same definition as set forth in 21 CFR § 101.1 and “means the part of a label that is most likely to be displayed, presented, shown, or examined under customary conditions of display for retail sale.”
Okahoma State Department of Health
Board of Health
Presentation
Canadian County Health Department
December 11, 2018

Canadian County
County Health Outcomes Rank

- According to the “Building a Culture of Health, County by County: County Health Rankings & Roadmaps report” published by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation in 2018, Canadian County ranks 3rd highest in health outcomes compared to all other Oklahoma Counties.

Measures that Contribute to Canadian County’s High Ranking

**Less:** adults reporting fair or poor health; adults who are current smokers; chlamydia cases per 100,000 population; teen births; persons without health insurance; preventable hospital stays for Medicare enrollees; persons unemployed; children living in poverty; children living in single-parent household; deaths due to injury; households with at least one of the following: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing facilities

**More:** persons with leisure-time physical activity; factors that contribute to a healthy food environment; access to locations for physical activity; primary care physicians, dentists, and mental health providers; Medicare enrollees receiving mammography; high school graduates;
Health Department Services Offered

- Consumer Protection
  - Retail and Wholesale Food Establishment Inspections
  - Training for Food Service Workers

- HIV/STD
  - HIV Counseling and Testing
  - Sexually Transmitted Disease Testing
  - Health Awareness Information

- Health Promotion
  - Injury Prevention
  - Tobacco Use Prevention/Education
  - Public Health Statistics
  - Communications/Media Relations

- Acute Disease
  - Communicable Disease Investigations
  - Identification/Treatment of Tuberculosis (TB)

- Maternal and Child Health
  - Immunizations
  - Child Guidance Services
  - Family Planning
  - Children's First
  - Early Intervention

- WIC (Women, Infant, Children)
  - Nutrition Education

- Emergency Preparedness and Response

Child Guidance Services

- Trauma Focused Therapy
- Parent Child Interaction Therapy
- Hearing Screenings
- Circle of Parents Groups in the school system
- Social Skills groups for children on the Autism Spectrum
- Full evaluations for referrals to the Public School systems
- Autism screenings
- Direct therapies to address behavior, speech issues, parenting, DHS referred cases and much more
Early Foundations

Canadian County

Early Foundations is a research program collaboration between the State Department of Health, The University of Oklahoma, El Reno Public Schools, Yukon Public Schools, and Mustang Public Schools. The purpose is to investigate the best service delivery time for toddlers and preschoolers on the Autism Spectrum.

Recent Health Department Community Activities

- Rock the Block - El Reno community “Open Streets”
- 2nd Annual Fit, Fun, Focused
- Wild Horse Garden and Market Inc. (Community Garden) in Mustang
- Great American Smoke Out at Lucky Star Casino
- Halloween Events:
  - El Reno Spooktacular
  - Youth and Family Trunk or Treat
Questions?

• For more information, please contact:

  – Jan Fox at janf@health.ok.gov or 405-262-0042
Influenza Surveillance in Oklahoma
2018-2019 Season

Influenza Surveillance Objectives

• Describe the spread and intensity of influenza and other viral respiratory pathogens
• Monitor the incidence of severe influenza disease
• Determine circulating influenza strains
• Contribute to the national epidemiology of influenza
  • Sentinel data submitted to federal partners
  • Public Health Lab data and specimens submitted for national virologic surveillance and antigenic characterization
Influenza Surveillance Components

- Sentinel influenza providers and laboratories
  - 24 sentinel providers, 11 laboratories
  - Outpatient visits and lab testing for respiratory pathogens
- Influenza-associated hospitalizations and deaths
  - Notifiable conditions (OAC 310:515)
- Public Health Laboratory viral respiratory results
- Investigate outbreaks and work with partners to implement control measures

Influenza Surveillance Communication

- Health alert network advisory distributed weekly
  - Healthcare providers, public health personnel, tribal partners
- OK FluView updated every Thursday by 10:00 a.m.
- Acute Disease epidemiologist consultations and distribution of resources to providers, institutions, public, etc.
Weekly Percent of Influenza-like Illness Based on Total Patient Visits to Sentinel Clinicians, Oklahoma Viral Respiratory Illness Surveillance System, 2016-2019

Week ending December 1, 2018 (Week 48)

Weekly Percent of Sentinel Laboratory and Physician Positive Influenza Tests, Oklahoma Viral Respiratory Illness Sentinel Surveillance System, 2016-2019

Week Ending December 1, 2018 (Week 48)
Number and Type of Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Positive Influenza Tests by Date of Collection at Oklahoma State Department of Health Public Health Laboratory, Oklahoma Viral Respiratory Illness Sentinel Surveillance System, 2018-2019

Influenza-Associated Hospitalizations and Deaths by Region, Oklahoma, September 1, 2018 - December 1, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Influenza Hospitalizations (Rate per 100,000)</th>
<th>Influenza Deaths (Rate per 100,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>4 (1.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>23 (4.7)</td>
<td>4 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>4 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central</td>
<td>25 (6.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>16 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>19 (3.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulsa Co.</td>
<td>33 (5.2)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Co.</td>
<td>10 (1.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>134 (3.4)</td>
<td>6 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Source: Acute Disease Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health.
Influenza-Associated Hospitalizations and Deaths by Age Group
Oklahoma, September 1, 2018 - December 1, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group, Years</th>
<th>Influenza Hospitalizations (Rate per 100,000)</th>
<th>Influenza Deaths (Rate per 100,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-04</td>
<td>17 (6.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-17</td>
<td>5 (0.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-49</td>
<td>27 (1.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64</td>
<td>23 (3.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>62 (10.8)</td>
<td>5 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>134 (3.4)</td>
<td>6 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Range (Median)</td>
<td>0 - 99 yrs (63 yrs)</td>
<td>(81 yrs.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Source: Acute Disease Service, Oklahoma State Department of Health.

Influenza Activity Level Map

Week Ending Nov 24, 2018 - Week 47

*This map indicates geographic spread and does not measure the severity of influenza activity.*
Medical Countermeasure Response Exercise Recognition

- American Journal of Public Health, September 2018 supplement
  - Highlighted medical countermeasures management for preparedness and response

- Case studies of highlighted states innovative approach to point of dispensing (POD) sites
  - Oklahoma's September 2016 mass influenza vaccination campaign as part of a full-scale exercise highlighted

Medical Countermeasure Response Recognition

- Key partners: OSDH Emergency Preparedness, Immunization Service, and local county health departments; Oklahoma highway patrol

- Enable distribution of 11,960 doses within 24 hours
  - Illustrated the value of internal and external partnerships for successful rapid response

Use of Medical Countermeasures in Small-Scale Emergency Responses

Ijeoma A. Perry, MS, MPH, Rebecca S. Noe, MN, MPH, FNP-BC, and Amy Stewart, MPH

To respond effectively to a large-scale, rare, but high-consequence emergency such as an aerosolized anthrax attack, US communities will rely on the use of points of dispensing (PODs) as sites where the affected public can receive potentially lifesaving medical countermeasures (MCMs) deployed from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC’s) Strategic National Stockpile. For 15 years, CDC, through the Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) cooperative agreements and Cities Readiness Initiative funding stream, has provided significant support to state and local public health departments to develop, test, and maintain MCM dispensing and administration plans and infrastructure. A significant focus on funding bioterrorism preparedness followed the 2001 anthrax attacks. As a consequence, efforts to develop the nation’s capability to dispense, manage, and distribute MCMs, as part of the PHEP cooperative agreements, have experienced fewer budget cuts than other preparedness activities.

While jurisdictions report increased levels of MCM distribution and dispensing capability, studies have consistently found challenges in demonstration of MCM operational readiness despite the existence of developed plans. With the rarity of large-scale bioterrorism emergencies and the rising frequency of natural disasters and international public health emergencies, jurisdictions have progressively used exercises and rare, large-scale responses to infectious disease emergencies (e.g., H1N1 influenza pandemic response, Table 1 and Table A, available as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org) to validate their MCM plans and, thereby, cultivate awareness of gaps and potential solutions.

It is noteworthy that limited evidence in the literature suggests that some jurisdictions are capitalizing on the use of the MCM capabilities to respond to more frequent smaller-scale responses. Specifically, using MCM capabilities in real-world responses operationalizes the MCM plan, which can (1) improve the response, (2) reveal gaps in the plan that are not apparent in exercises, and (3) promote evidence-based practices. To illustrate these points, and demonstrate the range of innovative responses, we identified examples through personal communications to CDC and a targeted search for evaluations of real-world responses using PODs within the published literature, which we present in table and narrative form. The use of MCM capabilities in a routine event—an annual vaccination campaign—and an emergent response are presented as case studies to demonstrate two disparate types of events that are addressed with MCM capabilities.

Mass Dispensing in Small-Scale Responses

Our case studies and the tabulated examples highlight jurisdictions’ use of the MCM capabilities and POD infrastructure to support dispensing or administration of MCMs in a variety of responses. Often situated in community centers or centralized large buildings, PODs may be accessible to the public (open PODs) or designed to exclusively serve pre-identified groups within locations such as schools, businesses, or hospitals (closed PODs). Both open and closed PODs may be medical or nonmedical—the former staffed by clinicians capable of performing individual medical assessments in tandem with vaccine administration or dispensing MCMs, the latter staffed by lay personnel who are limited to dispensing MCMs.
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TABLE 1—The Impact and Lessons Learned Following the Use of Medical Countermeasures in Small-Scale Emergency Responses: United States, 2009–2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response and State (Year)</th>
<th>Activity/Impact</th>
<th>Lessons Learned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disaster response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowstone River oil spill—Montana (2015)*</td>
<td>During January 2015, a pipeline breach spilled 50 000 gallons of oil into the Yellowstone River. This river is the drinking water source for approximately 6000 residents of Dawson County, Montana. The water system was promptly shut down, and the LHD activated its MCM plan and a POD site. Within 1 day, a community center POD received pallets of water and dispensed drinking water to the residents. Public health employees and volunteers from the oil pipeline company provided the majority of the manpower and unloaded the first shipment of more than 15 pallets of water at the POD. A daily gallon of water per person and pet were distributed from the POD over 5 days. Most residents reported receiving their allocated amounts of water within 5–10 min of arriving at the POD. The rapid throughput was a result of changes made to initial POD traffic flow, the use of a donated forklift, and parking enforcement by Department of Transportation officers. Volunteers provided daily home delivery of bottled water to people with functional and access needs. A total of 80 000 gallons of water were distributed throughout the response and 40 000 gallons were stored at the end of the operation. Access to multiple POD locations in the local MCM plan proved critical because the third option (community center with semi docks) had to be used. The MCM plan incorrectly identified that volunteer management support would be available from national volunteer disaster response organization(s). Media management was problematic as the event was national news, and some news media organizations did not follow media protocols. Reporters entered unsafe areas where forklifts were in operation and increased the potential for injuries. The new engagement with the Department of Transportation filled an unexpected need for traffic management expertise (e.g., changed traffic flow) and enforcement (e.g., ability to ticket) to protect the safety of the pedestrians walking into the facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandemic influenza outbreak response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| H1N1 response—Los Angeles, CA (2009–2010)* | A study of 101 POD vaccination events from 60 sites examined the effectiveness of POD operations. The average number of doses administered each hour at the 60 sites was 239 (range = 40–427) and an average of 247 persons (range = 7–1614) waited in line to be vaccinated. The 109 POD locations were located across Los Angeles County to facilitate access by diverse high-risk populations. Marked POD underutilization among the African American community persisted despite targeted community outreach (e.g., culturally appropriate health education materials, public service announcements, and use of faith-based organizations). A total of 446 outreach events were implemented at a variety of locations including WIC offices, senior centers, and faith-based organizations. Other racial and ethnic groups were successfully vaccinated in the PODs.

The response emphasized that the evident social and economic barriers should be addressed and from this experience LACDPH developed the Los Angeles County Community Disaster Resilience coalition (http://www.laresilience.org/about.php).

This vaccination campaign was one of the largest POD-based efforts during the 2009–2010 H1N1 response. | The inclusion of race/ethnicity in scheduled reports of vaccine utilization enabled identification of racial disparities among groups. Countermessaging opposition to 2009 monovalent H1N1 vaccine within the African American community led to an ongoing need for extensive and varied approaches in communication and engagement activities. The response emphasized a need to strengthen relationships with other health department programs that partner with minority communities. Coverage and representation of racial and ethnic minorities was accomplished by establishing POD sites within a high concentration of the target population. POD throughput efficiency could have been improved by increasing the ratio of nonmedical staff to medical staff. |

The primary benefit of utilizing PODs is the high throughput at which mass prophylaxis and vaccination of large populations can be accomplished in contrast to an alternative method such as the use of health care sites, which have limited access and capacity.4,8,18,19 Although the use of PODs can facilitate provision of MCMs to a large number of people, the decision to use PODs in an emergency response depends on several factors, including, but not limited to...
## Table 1—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response and State (Year)</th>
<th>Activity/Impact</th>
<th>Lessons Learned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-influenza infectious disease outbreak response</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest botulism outbreak in 40 years in United States—Ohio (2013)</td>
<td>In 2015, CDC’s DSNS deployed 50 doses of heptavalent botulinum antitoxin to Ohio in support of the largest botulism outbreak in 40 y in the United States. The antitoxin was delivered to the state within less than 10 h of the federal decision to deploy. The ODH received the shipment into its centralized vaccine storage location and divided the doses on the basis of requests from 7 different health care facilities in the Columbus area. By midnight, the OSHP transported the initial botulinum antitoxin delivery from the state storage location to the health care facility that first alerted authorities about diagnosed patients. Of 29 people hospitalized at various facilities, 25 (86%) received botulinum antitoxin and 11 (38%) were intubated. After a week, 18 (62%) were discharged. The DSNS demonstrated its ability to rapidly deploy a large amount of botulinum antitoxin and transport this lifesaving MCM directly to a state receiving location. The state MCM distribution plans facilitated the pre-positioning of OSHP units and the opening of a vaccine warehouse that offered access to cold-storage repackaging and shipping supplies. These capabilities ensured the rapid (&lt;2 h) botulinum antitoxin processing and shipment to the medical center with critical patients. An LHD managed the transport of the other 6 requests by using nonemergency vehicles that were effective during this ongoing event.</td>
<td>Increased awareness and compliance of state botulinum antitoxin protocol among health care providers and health department programs to ensure a coordinated and prompt request to CDC was needed. The manufacturer’s quick-thaw instructions were not written in plain language, which led ODH to develop a supplemental “1-pager” that guided uptake of the correct procedure at the individual facilities. The opportunity to use OSHP in the future for transport of small quantities of time-sensitive life-saving medications from state warehouses to health care facilities was recognized. It was determined that better communication with health care facilities is needed regarding storage and handling of the product on site. For instance, some facilities refroze botulinum antitoxin, which damaged some of it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Opioid epidemic response

| Statewide distribution of naloxone—North Carolina (2017) | In 2017, North Carolina’s PHP&R supported the DMH’s efforts to rapidly and effectively distribute nearly 40 000 units of naloxone (worth $3 million) over a 2-week period in October. Access to naloxone is a focus area of the North Carolina Opioid Action Plan. The product arrived at a state warehouse and the state’s PHP&R staff quickly used CDC’s Inventory Management and Tracking System software to generate chain-of-custody forms and packing slips. Accurate and timely release of product was coordinated with more than 70 partner agencies and organizations that came from across the state to pick up their allotment for their communities. | The state’s PHP&R successfully used a component of its MCM plan by using their inventory software to provide necessary paperwork for the ad hoc distribution. The state’s MCM receiving and dispensing capability was not fully leveraged for this event because of 3 key factors: (1) a lack of awareness of the capability of PHP&R across the state health department, (2) time constraints placed on the DMH to distribute the product, and (3) competing priorities. It is possible that North Carolina will purchase more naloxone in the future and lessons learned from this distribution will allow for better coordination and communication and the ability to incorporate a future distribution into a statewide exercise to help strengthen this capability. |

Note. CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; DMH = Division of Mental Health; DSNS = Division of Strategic National Stockpile; LACDPH = Los Angeles County Department of Public Health; LHD = local health department; MCM = medical countermeasures; ODH = Ohio Department of Health; OSHP = Ohio State Highway Patrol; PHP&R = Public Health Preparedness and Response; POD = point of dispensing; WIC = Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children. Table 1 is an abridged version of Table A, which presents a wider range of response activities and corresponding lessons learned and is available as a supplement to the online version of this article at www.ajph.org.

Activities/impacts and lessons learned from the Yellowstone River oil spill were provided by J. Fladager (e-mail communication, December 12, 2017). For more information, see the CDC Public Health Preparedness 2016 Snapshot.

Activities/impacts and lessons learned from the largest botulism outbreak in 40 years—Ohio were provided by T. McBride (e-mail communication, December 5, 2017). For more information, see McCarty et al. 10

Activities/impacts and lessons learned from the statewide distribution of naloxone—North Carolina were provided by A. Williford (e-mail communication, December 12, 2017).
to, the availability of MCMs, scope of the response, capacity of the existing health care system, the ability of law enforcement to provide security, and an adequate number of trained volunteers to staff the PODs. The examples presented in Table 1 and Table A (available as a supplement to the online version of this article at www.ajph.org) highlight multiple responses in which using MCM plans and PODs increased timeliness and effectiveness of the response and garnered critical lessons learned that likely would not have been realized following exercises (e.g., race/ethnicity reporting to identify disparities, pre-testing that likely would not have garnered critical lessons learned).

By chance, concurrent with the intended vaccination campaign, the OSDH Strategic National Stockpile team planned to conduct a full-scale MCM exercise. The planned exercise was a means to test the team’s ability to move materials to predetermined locations throughout the state while maintaining cold-chain integrity (maintaining appropriate cold temperature standards), a noted deficiency in a previous exercise. Because of collaboration between the state immunization program and the OSDH Strategic National Stockpile team, within two days of the planned cold-chain exercise, vaccine distribution to support the annual vaccination campaign was incorporated into the team’s planned exercise.

In addition to promoting interdependency between these two state agencies, the incorporation of influenza vaccine distribution into the MCM exercise required maintenance of cold-chain integrity during transport of the vaccines. This collaboration enabled the distribution of 11,960 influenza vaccine doses to eight LHDs within 24 hours (which allowed them to be used in the planned vaccination campaign). In addition, the Oklahoma State Highway Patrol, which was interested in testing a new geographic information system or global positioning system, used the exercise to track the vehicles delivering the vaccine in real time and offered to provide this service in the event of future OSDH Strategic National Stockpile team distribution activities.

CASE STUDIES

In the following two case studies, innovative thinking enabled jurisdictions to solve response challenges considering advantages typically produced by the use of PODs.

Oklahoma Influenza Vaccination Campaign

In September 2016, the immunization program at the Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH) urgently needed assistance to distribute seasonal influenza vaccine throughout the state for its annual mass vaccination campaign. The Oklahoma state epidemiologist encouraged a collaboration between the state immunization program and OSDH Strategic National Stockpile team. The opportunity to solve a real-world public health problem, while testing their MCM plans, was evident. By chance, concurrent with the intended vaccination campaign, the OSDH Strategic National Stockpile team planned to conduct a full-scale MCM exercise. The planned exercise was a means to test the team’s ability to move materials to predetermined locations throughout the state while maintaining cold-chain integrity (maintaining appropriate cold temperature standards), a noted deficiency in a previous exercise. Because of collaboration between the state immunization program and the OSDH Strategic National Stockpile team, within two days of the planned cold-chain exercise, vaccine distribution to support the annual vaccination campaign was incorporated into the team’s planned exercise.

In addition to promoting interdependency between these two state agencies, the incorporation of influenza vaccine distribution into the MCM exercise required maintenance of cold-chain integrity during transport of the vaccines. This collaboration enabled the distribution of 11,960 influenza vaccine doses to eight LHDs within 24 hours (which allowed them to be used in the planned vaccination campaign). In addition, the Oklahoma State Highway Patrol, which was interested in testing a new geographic information system or global positioning system, used the exercise to track the vehicles delivering the vaccine in real time and offered to provide this service in the event of future OSDH Strategic National Stockpile team distribution activities.

Lessons learned. The OSDH Strategic National Stockpile coordinator attributed his team’s success to the team’s quarterly, PHEP-funded drills. Oklahoma demonstrated the remarkable flexibility of the MCM capability in that, within short notice, by using complex logistics, they identified and quickly engaged key partners with whom they effectively distributed vaccines while maintaining the cold chain as evidenced by temperature readings that were collected and analyzed. An important lesson learned was that the OSDH Strategic National Stockpile data loggers used to monitor vaccine temperatures required quick tutorials in the field to interpret the temperature instrument’s gauge correctly. As a consequence, screen shots of the gauge are now included in the OSDH Strategic National Stockpile distribution training to support staff’s ability to correctly read and record the temperature gauge (Mark Schultz, written communications, November 14, 2017).

Impact. This collaboration was crucial to a statewide vaccination campaign to protect the Oklahoma community from seasonal influenza. Furthermore, the enhanced collaboration among different components within the state and LHDs, and crosstalk with the Oklahoma State Highway Patrol, strengthened planning for future mass vaccination campaigns. Also, the combination of resources to perform the annual campaign and cold-chain exercise in tandem enabled economies of scale regarding staff time and led to a cost avoidance of $500 from not using the courier distribution service. In future years, additional cost avoidances will be realized because the OSDH Strategic National Stockpile agreed to continue distribution support for future annual immunization campaigns (Mark Schultz, e-mail communication, November 20, 2017). This case emphasized the value of partnership between the state’s immunization and Strategic National Stockpile programs as they leveraged the MCM capabilities to support a local public health function and strengthen MCM capability.

2015 Rhode Island Meningitis Response

On February 5, 2015, the Rhode Island Department of Health (RIDOH) declared an outbreak of meningitis at Providence College after two cases were identified among the student population. The cause was identified as a rare serogroup B meningococcal bacterial strain. In response, RIDOH facilitated Providence College’s acquisition of postexposure antibiotic prophylaxis for 71 students and mass vaccination with a newly licensed serogroup B meningococcal vaccine, on the basis of consultation with CDC and available guidelines.

To expedite vaccination of 3745 eligible students, RIDOH leveraged and activated its incident command system and elements of their state’s Medical Emergency Distribution System and warehouse plans, including MCC (antibiotic and vaccine) procurement, distribution, and vaccine administration using a closed POD (Brittan Bates-Mann, e-mail communication, December 8, 2017). Three entities (Providence College, RIDOH, and the Rhode Island Medical Reserve Corps) coordinated closely on all elements related to POD planning and execution. On February 8, only three days after the outbreak was
declared, these three entities cooperatively operated a mass vaccination POD in nine hours within the college’s 45,000-square-foot recreation center to immunize 3061 students with the first dose of serogroup B meningococcal vaccine (Brittan Bates-Manni, e-mail communication, December 8, 2017). The Rhode Island Medical Reserve Corps provided the vaccinators. This was the largest number of people vaccinated in one day in a single location in Rhode Island. The college held a follow-up vaccination clinic on February 11 for the remaining unvaccinated students and supported a meningococcal carriage evaluation with CDC to measure the new vaccine’s impact on carriage of the bacteria.16,17

Lessons learned. Communication was a central theme among lessons learned from the meningitis outbreak response (Brittan Bates-Manni, e-mail communication, December 8, 2017). First, engaging the college’s emergency manager was integral to the establishment and operation of the POD on the campus and promoted similar relationships throughout the state’s higher-learning institutions. Second, coordination of POD messaging, set-up, and throughput among the college, RIDOH, and Rhode Island Medical Reserve Corps proved challenging because of the lack of joint conference calls or collocated planners during the rapidly evolving response, which emphasized the need to establish a more effective tactical planning approach. Third, RIDOH provided 800-megahertz Rhode Island Statewide Communication Network radios for tactical communications, which did not work in all areas of the recreation center and were not programmed to overlap with channels used by RIDOH and Rhode Island Medical Reserve Corps staff. Thus, the need to test the available communications systems before POD activation was highlighted.

Furthermore, the new vaccine was shipped in prefilled syringes without needles despite verbal confirmations by the pharmaceutical representative that the needles would be included (which underscored a need for the RIDOH and other health departments to ensure visual inspection of the expected supplies before shipment). Also, development of an incident-specific medical history form and an algorithm for form reviewers helped to increase POD throughput. In addition, POD planners should have identified the entity responsible for submitting completed Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System forms to CDC and the Food and Drug Administration in a timely manner. Finally, suboptimal planning for demobilization of unused vaccine resulted in the acquisition of refrigerators that were not designed for vaccine storage for the follow-up clinic. Appropriate refrigerators were secured, but this expense could have been avoided with full partner participation in the demobilization planning.

Impact. The provision of antibiotic prophylaxis to those exposed and rapid vaccination of 94% (3525 of 3745) of the eligible students with the first dose of serogroup B meningococcal vaccine helped to control the Providence College meningitis outbreak.16 In addition, the POD supported an important rapid mass vaccination effort that permitted the RIDOH and CDC to evaluate the impact of serogroup B meningococcal vaccine on meningococcal carriage within this college student population.16,17

CONCLUSIONS

These examples illustrate that smaller-scale responses have been effectively used to validate state and local public health emergency MCM operational capabilities. The empirical evidence gained by using the MCM distribution and dispensing capabilities in real responses drive continuous improvement and are a major experiential supplement to discoveries made under simulated conditions during exercises.6,18 The following observations are drawn from the examples presented in the case studies, Table 1, and Table A.

First, experience gained either from previous exercises or from real responses instilled confidence in the jurisdiction’s ability to operationalize the MCM capabilities in a real event. Access to critical resources, strategic relationships, and response plans enabled rapid decisions. Utilizing MCM capabilities during real events provided additional experience and magnified opportunities for improvement without the aforementioned limitations posed by scenario-based exercises.7

Second, responding to a real event compelled participants to further characterize, prioritize, and solve outstanding gaps. For example, the meningitis outbreak case emphasized the value of partnership between the RIDOH and college emergency managers. As a consequence, the response increased RIDOH’s connectivity with Rhode Island colleges to ensure a framework for stronger coordination during future public health responses on college campuses.

Third, communication and ongoing partnership development enabled successful responses. In the two cases, participants benefited from real opportunities to utilize MCM capabilities and forge partnerships with epidemiologists, emergency managers, logisticians, surveillance staff, and others with whom they seldom interact as a result of compartmentalized working spheres. The impact of these critical partnerships is a philosophical shift from dependence on a single contingency model of dispensing to a dynamic and integrative system that is more readily adapted to meet the needs of the community served.

Fourth, the formal rigors of evaluation are necessary after a response. This point is emphasized by the tabulated examples, which illustrate significant gaps in evaluation strategies with respect to response efficiency and effectiveness.4,9,12,13,15,23 An evaluation of 26 North Carolina LHDs found that “most LHDs had no clear or common process to assess POD success or impact following a 2009 H1N1 pandemic influenza school-based vaccination campaign.”15 One approach to addressing this challenge would be to engage partners across the health departments or academic institutions who can augment the capacity for operational research.4,8,9,13–15 Postresponse evaluation is critical to distinguishing what types of small-scale emergencies lend themselves to the use of PODs and identifying specific improvements required.26 Furthermore, evaluation results can demonstrate that a jurisdiction has met the criteria for advanced MCM readiness status on the basis of the CDC Operational Readiness Review that is required of PHEP recipients. Ultimately, evaluation is vital to identifying how the lessons learned from the use of MCM capabilities in small-scale responses can be scaled to apply to rare, catastrophic emergencies.6,7
NEXT STEPS

The examples presented should encourage state and local entities to consider leveraging their MCM capabilities during responses to disasters, outbreaks, and vaccination campaigns to strengthen their capability to operationalize in large-scale events. Accordingly, health departments may consider the following to strengthen their MCM capabilities: (1) understand ways that MCM plans, especially those addressing PODs, have been and could be used to support non-anthrax events (especially events requiring vaccines given the additional logistical considerations); (2) document and publish an evidence base to foster continuous improvement; and (3) review evaluation strategies to identify opportunities for improvement. Accomplishing these three goals may be challenging because the documented observations resulting from exercises and incidents are typically decentralized in after-action reports and are of wide-ranging quality and accessibility to outside partners, which limits their usefulness to advance preparedness science.

Nevertheless, there are repositories that facilitate broad sharing of best practices among PHEP recipients. For example, a peer-exchange platform such as the Online-Technical Resource and Assistance Center (https://www.cdc.gov/phpr/rediness-on-trac.htm) provides a forum in which to share practices and is managed by the CDC Division of State and Local Readiness Capacity Building Branch. Part of the mission of the Capacity Building Branch is to develop, curate, and disseminate resources beneficial throughout the nation. The tools and approaches described in this article are intended to encourage state and local entities to consider utilizing their MCM capabilities for a wide variety of responses to strengthen their capability to operationalize across an array of threats.
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# Long Term Care Update

**OSDH Board of Health**

**December 11, 2018**

Michael Cook  
**Director, Long Term Care Service**  
Oklahoma State Department of Health

## Long Term Care Facility Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2014 vs 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Homes (M/M)</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Homes (PP)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Homes (VA)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Care Facilities</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Day Care Centers</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Living Centers</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>683</strong></td>
<td><strong>682</strong></td>
<td><strong>672</strong></td>
<td><strong>676</strong></td>
<td><strong>675</strong></td>
<td><strong>-8</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Long Term Care Inspections
(by Facility Type)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2014 vs 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Homes (M/M)</td>
<td>2812</td>
<td>2573</td>
<td>2551</td>
<td>2189</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Homes (PP)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Homes (VA)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Care Facilities</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Care Facilities</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Day Care Centers</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Living Centers</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4057</td>
<td>3899</td>
<td>3776</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>3299</td>
<td>-58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Long Term Care Inspections
(by Survey Type)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Type</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2014 vs 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ReCertification</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>-316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisits</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>-399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4057</td>
<td>3899</td>
<td>3776</td>
<td>3337</td>
<td>3299</td>
<td>-758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long Term Care
Inspection Frequency Mandates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSPECTION FREQUENCY MANDATES</th>
<th>SFY14</th>
<th>SFY15</th>
<th>SFY16</th>
<th>SFY17</th>
<th>SFY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of inspection mandates</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections not meeting mandates</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections meeting mandates</td>
<td>3025</td>
<td>2785</td>
<td>3146</td>
<td>2357</td>
<td>2080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections required</td>
<td>3126</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>3149</td>
<td>2359</td>
<td>2094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of inspections met</td>
<td>96.8%</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long Term Care Challenges
SFY 2018

- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
  State Operations Manual Appendix PP – deficiency F tags
  Long Term Care Survey Process

- Staffing (Hiring and Retention)
  May Hiring Event
  October Hiring Event
  RNs and LPNs
  10% Turnover Rate [Hospital 18.2%, Cost $49,500, Source NSI]

- Quality Improvement Projects
  Complaint Report Writing
  Quality Measures
  Long Term Care Survey Process Writing
Long Term Care
SFY 2019

THANK YOU!

Michael Cook
Director, Long Term Care Service
Oklahoma State Department of Health
mikec@health.ok.gov
Oklahoma State Department of Health
State Fiscal Year 2019 Financial Update

Chief Financial Officer
December 11, 2018
GAP Analysis

Ernst and Young provided three options to OSDH

- **Option 1**
  - Use current State of Oklahoma PeopleSoft Phase 2 implementation
  - **Pros**
    - No changes to current state support
    - Decommission FISCAL
    - Decommission Access and .Net SQL databases
    - Streamline reporting
    - Reduce reconciliation between OSDH systems and applications
  - **Cons**
    - OMES version of PS does not have current PS code base and functionality
    - OSDH cannot control software maintenance schedule
    - Phase 2 not used by all agencies – 4 agencies use it
    - Will require outside assistance
    - Not as complex as OSDH
    - Gaps in OMES knowledge regarding unused modules - AR, Budgeting, Travel & Expense

- **Option 2**
  - Create independent instance of PeopleSoft for OSDH
  - **Pros**
    - OSDH PeopleSoft instance would contain current PS codebase and functionality
    - OSDH PS system will contain values and processes that align to specialized OSDH requirements
    - OSDH has ability to control the maintenance activity schedules
    - Decommission FISCAL
    - Decommission Access and .Net SQL databases
    - Streamlining reporting
    - Reduce reconciliation between OSDH systems and applications
    - Add unused PeopleSoft modules to address functional gaps
  - **Cons**
    - OSDH & OMES PS instances will synchronize data daily; master data and transactional data
    - Reporting will have to be performed in 2 instances – complex reconciliation
    - Gaps in OMES knowledge regarding unused modules – AR, Budgeting, Travel & Expense
    - May need to utilize contractor for technical and functional support
• Option 3

• Implement integrated ERP instance for OSDH

• Pros
  Integrate LEP system with OSDH ERP instance
  OSDH controls the new Financial Management system
  OSDH system will contain values and processes that align to specialized OSDH requirements
  Decommission FISCAL
  Decommission Access and .Net SQL databases
  OSDH can control software maintenance schedule
  May have capability to meet all of OSDH needs including AIR, integration & Reporting tool
  Standardization of county accounting systems

• Cons
  OSDH & OMES PS instances will synchronize data daily; master data and transactional data
  OSDH will continue to pay charge back fees to OMES for PeopleSoft
  Extensive integration points for OMES PS
  Duplication of Commitment Control processes and data with OMES PeopleSoft
  Dual reporting processes - some in new ERP and others in OMES PeopleSoft to support statewide reporting
  Complicates reporting due to separate ERP solutions for OSDH and OMES
# Staffing Levels

**SFY 18/19 Staffing Changes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Staff Number</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/30/2017</td>
<td>Beg. Baseline</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/27/2017</td>
<td>Furlough Announced</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/8/2017</td>
<td>RIF Posted</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2/2018</td>
<td>Last Day for RIF Employees</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>-146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/18</td>
<td>Ending Baseline</td>
<td>1702</td>
<td>-170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NET CHANGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>-401</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Oklahoma State Department of Health
## Statement of Revenues and Expenditures
### SFY 19, For the Four Month Period Ended October 31, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Annual Budget</th>
<th>YTD Budget</th>
<th>YTD Actuals</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriations</td>
<td>54,874,700</td>
<td>18,291,567</td>
<td>18,291,568</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses, Certificates and Fees</td>
<td>29,336,586</td>
<td>9,778,862</td>
<td>11,499,835</td>
<td>(1,720,973)</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Tax</td>
<td>15,599,550</td>
<td>5,199,850</td>
<td>4,435,594</td>
<td>764,256</td>
<td>-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC Rebate Program</td>
<td>55,000,000</td>
<td>18,333,333</td>
<td>16,328,434</td>
<td>2,003,899</td>
<td>-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>143,873,822</td>
<td>47,957,941</td>
<td>45,532,105</td>
<td>2,425,836</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 18 Cash Utilization</td>
<td>26,865,783</td>
<td>8,955,261</td>
<td>8,955,261</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement for Personnel Services</td>
<td>29,453,583</td>
<td>9,817,861</td>
<td>7,564,591</td>
<td>2,253,270</td>
<td>-23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19,647,890</td>
<td>6,549,297</td>
<td>1,383,790</td>
<td>5,165,506</td>
<td>-79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$374,651,914</td>
<td>$124,883,971</td>
<td>$113,992,179</td>
<td>$10,891,792</td>
<td>-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>145,509,909</td>
<td>48,503,303</td>
<td>34,930,458</td>
<td>14,172,845</td>
<td>-29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>2,498,123</td>
<td>832,708</td>
<td>342,605</td>
<td>490,103</td>
<td>-59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Professional Services</td>
<td>55,945,448</td>
<td>18,648,483</td>
<td>4,307,409</td>
<td>14,341,074</td>
<td>-77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications/Printing Services</td>
<td>10,544,018</td>
<td>3,514,673</td>
<td>88,266</td>
<td>3,426,407</td>
<td>-97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>3,149,601</td>
<td>1,049,867</td>
<td>767,204</td>
<td>282,663</td>
<td>-27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance and Repair</td>
<td>4,091,029</td>
<td>1,363,676</td>
<td>541,911</td>
<td>821,766</td>
<td>-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory &amp; Medical Supplies and Materials</td>
<td>8,692,450</td>
<td>2,897,483</td>
<td>2,803,463</td>
<td>94,020</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office/Safety Supplies</td>
<td>7,030,593</td>
<td>2,343,531</td>
<td>689,970</td>
<td>1,653,561</td>
<td>-71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC Program</td>
<td>57,795,899</td>
<td>19,285,300</td>
<td>13,443,276</td>
<td>5,822,023</td>
<td>-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Reimbursements- EPRS</td>
<td>13,297,476</td>
<td>4,432,492</td>
<td>1,151,459</td>
<td>3,281,033</td>
<td>-74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments- Health &amp; Social Services</td>
<td>38,545,899</td>
<td>12,848,633</td>
<td>4,206,243</td>
<td>8,642,390</td>
<td>-67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>27,551,469</td>
<td>9,183,823</td>
<td>2,184,120</td>
<td>6,999,703</td>
<td>-76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues Over/(Under) Expense</strong></td>
<td>374,651,914</td>
<td>124,883,971</td>
<td>64,856,383</td>
<td>49,135,796</td>
<td>(49,135,796)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Oklahoma State Department of Health
## Forecasted SFY 19 Collections by Fund
### Based upon the Four Month Period Ended October 31, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Description</th>
<th>SFY19 Original BWP</th>
<th>Collections</th>
<th>Forcasted Collections</th>
<th>Surplus/(Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19901 GRF Duties</td>
<td>54,874,700</td>
<td>18,291,568</td>
<td>36,583,136</td>
<td>4,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20300 Genetic Counseling Licen. Rev</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>7,900.00</td>
<td>15,800.00</td>
<td>8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20400 Tobacco Prevent &amp; Cessatn Fnd</td>
<td>1,330,594.87</td>
<td>358,579.23</td>
<td>717,158.46</td>
<td>(254,857.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21000 Public Health Special Fund</td>
<td>62,465,528.13</td>
<td>23,611,301.12</td>
<td>47,222,602.24</td>
<td>8,368,375.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21100 Nursing Facility Adm Penalties</td>
<td>23,550.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(23,550.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21200 Home Health Care Revolving Fd</td>
<td>151,000.00</td>
<td>141,505.00</td>
<td>283,010.00</td>
<td>273,515.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21600 0k Nati Background Check Revol</td>
<td>1,250,000.00</td>
<td>482,980.00</td>
<td>965,960.00</td>
<td>198,940.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22000 Civil Monetary Penalty Revi Fd</td>
<td>1,575,000.00</td>
<td>773,145.56</td>
<td>1,546,291.12</td>
<td>744,436.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22200 Oklahoma Organ Donor Education</td>
<td>145,000.00</td>
<td>20,958.00</td>
<td>41,916.00</td>
<td>(82,126.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22500 Breast Cancer Act Revolving Fd</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>5,120.00</td>
<td>10,240.00</td>
<td>360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22600 Ok Sports Eye Safety Prog Revi</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(150.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23300 0K Pre Birth Def, Pre Birth &amp;</td>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>(40.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23500 Oklahoma Lupus Revolving Fund</td>
<td>165.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>(159.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23600 Trauma Care Assistance Revolv</td>
<td>24,323,612.66</td>
<td>7,829,804.45</td>
<td>15,659,608.90</td>
<td>(834,199.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24200 Pancreatic Can Res Lic Pit Rev</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>(900.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26500 Child Abuse Prevention Fund</td>
<td>47,145.00</td>
<td>16,705.00</td>
<td>33,410.00</td>
<td>2,970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26700 EMP Death Benefit Revol Fund</td>
<td>2,800.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>1,600.00</td>
<td>(400.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26800 Okla Emerg Resp Syst Stab &amp; Im</td>
<td>1,787,765.00</td>
<td>493,071.41</td>
<td>986,142.82</td>
<td>(308,550.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28400 Dental Loan Repayment Revolvin</td>
<td>463,670.00</td>
<td>114,968.84</td>
<td>229,937.68</td>
<td>(118,763.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29500 Ok State Ath Comm Revolving Fd</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>60,216.89</td>
<td>120,433.78</td>
<td>(69,349.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34000 OMIA Programs Disbursing Fund</td>
<td>55,000,000.00</td>
<td>16,329,434.47</td>
<td>32,658,868.94</td>
<td>(6,011,696.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40000 Federal Funds</td>
<td>118,719,548.00</td>
<td>31,147,138.18</td>
<td>62,294,276.36</td>
<td>(25,278,133.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41000 Federal Funds - Ryan White</td>
<td>8,438,135.00</td>
<td>1,351,268.18</td>
<td>2,702,536.36</td>
<td>(4,384,330.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41100 Federal Funds - Ryan White</td>
<td>16,906,107.00</td>
<td>4,000,211.49</td>
<td>8,000,422.98</td>
<td>(4,905,422.53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total OSDH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surplus/(Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 347,786,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 105,036,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 210,073,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ (32,675,378)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forecasted Collections over (under) Budget

(32,675,378)
Oklahoma State Department of Health
Forecasted SFY.19 Expenditures by Fund
Based upon the Four Month Period Ended October 31, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Description</th>
<th>SFY19 Original BWP</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Encumbrances</th>
<th>Forecasted Expenditures</th>
<th>Surplus/(Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>198 2018 State Appropriations</td>
<td>$4,702,107</td>
<td>$94,737</td>
<td>$1,670,100</td>
<td>$189,473</td>
<td>$2,747,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199 2019 State Appropriations</td>
<td>54,874,700</td>
<td>9,481,096</td>
<td>14,437,469</td>
<td>18,962,193</td>
<td>11,993,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263  Genetic Counseling Licen. Rev</td>
<td>2,318</td>
<td>3,074</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>6,147</td>
<td>(8,772)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264  Tobacco Prevnt &amp; Cessatn Fnd</td>
<td>2,466,056</td>
<td>44,482</td>
<td>1,177,872</td>
<td>88,963</td>
<td>1,154,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267  Alternatives Services Revolving Fund</td>
<td>17,951</td>
<td>12,426,471</td>
<td>16,906,188</td>
<td>24,852,942</td>
<td>12,405,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210  Public Health Special Fnc</td>
<td>66,591,474</td>
<td>24,782</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49,564</td>
<td>103,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216  National Background Check</td>
<td>2,350,188</td>
<td>448,733</td>
<td>1,488,063</td>
<td>897,466</td>
<td>(484,074)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220  Civil Monetary Penalty</td>
<td>6,586,173</td>
<td>541,307</td>
<td>3,638,908</td>
<td>1,082,613</td>
<td>1,323,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222  Organ Donor Awareness Fund</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225  Breast Cancer Act Revolving Fund</td>
<td>130,094</td>
<td>14,280</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,559</td>
<td>87,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228  Ok Leukemia and Lymphoma Rvl</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236  Trauma Care Assistance Revolving Fund</td>
<td>22,972,415</td>
<td>410,921</td>
<td>430,060</td>
<td>821,841</td>
<td>21,309,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265  Child Abuse Prevention Revolving Fund</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>2,520</td>
<td>29,305</td>
<td>5,039</td>
<td>83,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267  EMT Death Benefit Revolving Fund</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268  Rural EMS Revolving Fund</td>
<td>1,787,765</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,737,513</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284  Dental Loan Repayment Revolving Fund</td>
<td>463,670</td>
<td>112,490</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>224,980</td>
<td>126,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295  Oklahoma Athletic Commission</td>
<td>285,057</td>
<td>52,399</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>104,798</td>
<td>122,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340  CMIA - WIC FOOD</td>
<td>55,395,899</td>
<td>13,443,276</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26,886,553</td>
<td>15,066,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400  Federal Fund</td>
<td>130,184,406</td>
<td>23,453,069</td>
<td>49,275,052</td>
<td>46,906,138</td>
<td>10,550,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410  Ryan White Grant</td>
<td>8,438,047</td>
<td>1,231,008</td>
<td>1,187,043</td>
<td>2,462,015</td>
<td>3,557,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411  Ryan White Rebate</td>
<td>16,906,107</td>
<td>3,069,131</td>
<td>12,244,895</td>
<td>6,138,263</td>
<td>(4,546,182)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Forecasted Expenditures Under/(Over) Budget | $374,651,914 | $64,853,774 | $104,229,488 | $129,707,548 | $75,861,103 | 75,861,103 |