

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Charles E. 'Bill' Johnson Correctional Center

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 11/10/2022

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Patrick J. Zirpoli	Date of Signature: 11/10/2022

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
Auditor name:	Zirpoli, Patrick
Email:	pzirpoli@ptd.net
Start Date of On-Site Audit:	10/09/2022
End Date of On-Site Audit:	10/11/2022

FACILITY INFORMATION	
Facility name:	Charles E. 'Bill' Johnson Correctional Center
Facility physical address:	1856 East Flynn Street , Alva , Oklahoma - 73717
Facility mailing address:	

Primary Contact	
Name:	Becky Guffy
Email Address:	becky.guffy@doc.ok.gov
Telephone Number:	580-327-8000

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director	
Name:	Becky Guffy
Email Address:	becky.guffy@doc.ok.gov
Telephone Number:	580-327-8000

Facility PREA Compliance Manager	
Name:	Justin Lau
Email Address:	justin.lau@doc.state.ok.us
Telephone Number:	

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site	
Name:	Tamara Jones
Email Address:	tamara.jones@doc.ok.gov
Telephone Number:	580-327-8000

Facility Characteristics	
Designed facility capacity:	484
Current population of facility:	450
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	461
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	Males
Age range of population:	18-62
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Minimum
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	125
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	24
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	27

AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of agency:	Oklahoma Department of Corrections
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	3400 Martin Luther King Ave., Oklahoma, Oklahoma - 73111
Mailing Address:	
Telephone number:	

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	
Email Address:	
Telephone Number:	

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Carla Braggs	Email Address:	Carla.Braggs@doc.ok.gov

SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:	
10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 115.11 - Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator • 115.31 - Employee training • 115.32 - Volunteer and contractor training • 115.33 - Inmate education • 115.34 - Specialized training: Investigations • 115.35 - Specialized training: Medical and mental health care • 115.41 - Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness • 115.42 - Use of screening information • 115.51 - Inmate reporting • 115.88 - Data review for corrective action
Number of standards met:	
35	
Number of standards not met:	
0	

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2022-10-09
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2022-10-11

Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	The facility would utilize a SANE from Alliance Health Woodward and a victim advocate from Northwest Domestic Crisis Service. The facility has an MOU with both agencies. I contacted a supervisor at Alliance Health Woodward and Northwest Domestic Crisis Service it was confirmed that they provide the services outlined in the MOU and knew of no issues at the facility.

AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	484
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	461
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	4
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	449
38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2
39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2

40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2
44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	These inmates were identified during the auditing process. The facility does not track these characteristics.
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	125
50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	27
51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	24
52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No text provided.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	

Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews

53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: 22

54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)

- Age
- Race
- Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)
- Length of time in the facility
- Housing assignment
- Gender
- Other
- None

55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse? The above characteristics were utilized.

56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews? Yes No

57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): No text provided.

Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews

58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: 10

As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".

60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: 2

61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: 2

<p>62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Confirmed with staff and medical.</p>
<p>67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Confirmed with staff, no investigations during the prior 12 months.</p>

68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	1
69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The agency does not house inmates in segregation for risk of sexual victimization. This was further confirmed with staff.
70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews	
Random Staff Interviews	
71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	14
72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) <input type="checkbox"/> None
73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	No text provided.

Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews

Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.

75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):	18
76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

<p>80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Agency contract administrator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment <input type="checkbox"/> Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mental health staff <input type="checkbox"/> Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative (human resources) staff <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> First responders, both security and non-security staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intake staff <input type="checkbox"/> Other
<p>81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
<p>82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<p>a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:</p>	<p>3</p>

<p>b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education/programming</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Food service</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>
<p>83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

<p>84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
---	---

Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:

<p>85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

<p>86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
---	---

<p>87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

<p>88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

<p>89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
--	--------------------------

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?

- Yes
 No

91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).

All documentation was obtained at the source.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files:	No investigations during the prior 12 months.
99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files	
100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files	
103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review	
106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0

a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:	No investigations during the prior 12 months.
107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	No text provided.
SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION	
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff	

<p>115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

Non-certified Support Staff

<p>116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

<p>121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> The audited facility or its parent agency</p> <p><input type="radio"/> My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Other</p>
---	---

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard
(Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard
(substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard
(requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Memorandum of Appointment of PREA Coordinator</p> <p>Memorandum of Appointment of PREA Manager</p> <p>PREA Compliance Manager List</p> <p>Organizational Chart – Dept. of Corrections Organizational Chart – Facility</p> <p>The Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy outlines the agency’s mandated zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and further outlines the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such conduct. This policy furthermore defines all sexual abuse and sexual harassment.</p> <p>I reviewed the policy in its entirety, as well as questioned staff members on its content and applicable sections to their specific duties within the facility. The staff understood the policy and its practical application to the daily operation of the facility.</p> <p>The agency has designated an agency wide PREA Coordinator. During the interview she related that she has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards. I found the Agency PREA Coordinator to be well versed in the PREA Standards, and their daily application in the Oklahoma Department of Corrections. Prior to becoming the Agency PREA Coordinator, she was the PREA Manager. The PREA Coordinator works directly with the PREA Manager, the PREA Manager is a second agency level position. This position is also dedicated to the implementation of the PREA Standards throughout the OKDOC. During the audits of the OKDOC facilities, every Warden and PREA Compliance Manager stated that the PREA Coordinator and the PREA Manager are always available to answer questions and provide advice on the implementation of the PREA policies.</p> <p>The agency has also designated a PREA Compliance Manager at each of their facilities. During the interview with the PREA Compliance Manager they related that they have enough time to implement the PREA Standards at the facility. During the onsite audit I found that the PREA Compliance Manager is making routine tours of the facility to ensure overall operational safety, which includes sexual safety.</p> <p>Prior to the onsite audit all documentation was reviewed, during the onsite portion I observed the policies in daily practice, and this was further confirmed during my interviews with both staff and inmates.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency has substantially exceeded the requirements of this standard, and all provisions. This decision was based on the agency’s overall commitment to sexual safety in their facilities.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030401 Private Prison Monitoring Requirements</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030402 Community Corrections Residential Contracts</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-090109 Contract County Jail Program</p> <p>Executed contracts between ODOC and Private Prisons, or Jails with relevant PREA language</p> <p>The Oklahoma Department of Corrections contracts with agencies to house inmates. I reviewed these contracts in their entirety, the contracts specify that the contractor must adhere to specific Oklahoma Department of Corrections policies, one being OP-030601 the Oklahoma Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy.</p> <p>I further confirmed during interviews that the facilities are monitored for compliance through direct assignment of staff at each facility. All contracted facilities were audited during the previous auditing cycles and will be audited during this auditing cycle.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	<p data-bbox="242 145 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="242 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 300">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1163 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 383 373 412">Staffing Plan</p> <p data-bbox="242 439 442 468">Facility Post Orders</p> <p data-bbox="242 495 421 524">Camera locations</p> <p data-bbox="242 551 767 580">Unit Logs (showing unannounced rounds) – all shifts</p> <p data-bbox="242 607 647 636">Facility Information from ODOC Website</p> <p data-bbox="242 725 1465 925">The agency has developed a facility staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities have taken into consideration all areas enumerated under this standard. Compliance was determined by reviewing the policies. I further questioned staff on the policies and the ability to fully staff the facility at all times. I was informed that the facility will fill posts with overtime if needed to be at full compliment. The facility also has the ability to collapse posts and limit inmate activity and movement when needed.</p> <p data-bbox="242 952 1485 1048">The staffing plan was completed and reviewed by the Warden on April 4, 2022 and the Agency PREA Coordinator on April 6, 2022. This was confirmed through interviews and viewing their signatures on the staffing plan. I also reviewed staffing plans from previous years to ensure the yearly review is consistent.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1075 1453 1171">During the interviews with the administration at the facility I confirmed a daily review of the staffing at the facility. The administration meets on a regular basis, this includes the Warden, Deputy Warden, Chief of Security, and Unit Managers. During this meeting, the overall facility operations are discussed to include staffing.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1198 1441 1263">The staffing plan has not been deviated from within the last 12 months. I confirmed during staff interviews that any posts would be filled with overtime if needed, and they have the ability to collapse other posts if need be.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1290 1493 1420">The administration meets on a regular basis to review incidents that have occurred at the facility, as well as discussing normal facility operation. During these meetings, they discuss any adjustments that may need to be made to the staffing plan, deployment of video monitoring and other technologies, and any resources available. This was confirmed during the staff interviews.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1447 1490 1612">The agency has implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These unannounced rounds take place during all shifts at the facility. The agency has a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring. I further confirmed the rounds are occurring during the staff and inmate interviews as well as reviewing the logs generated by the housing unit officers.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1639 1453 1704">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1731 1465 1861">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.14	Youthful inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="229 192 1509 255">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="229 255 1509 318">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="229 318 1509 380">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="229 380 1509 443">MB-040102-16 Youthful Inmate Post Orders</p> <p data-bbox="229 443 1509 506">Facility Specific Criteria (DOC 060204A)</p> <p data-bbox="229 506 1509 640">The facility does not house youthful offenders. It was confirmed through interviews that all youthful offenders are housed at other Oklahoma DOC facilities.</p> <p data-bbox="229 640 1509 730">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="229 730 1509 882">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.15	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	<p data-bbox="242 145 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="242 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 300">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1163 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 383 1010 412">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy OP-040110 Search and Seizure</p> <p data-bbox="242 439 488 468">Pat Search Lesson Plan</p> <p data-bbox="242 495 676 524">PREA Training PowerPoint (sample pages)</p> <p data-bbox="242 551 458 580">Training Lesson Plan</p> <p data-bbox="242 607 445 636">Training Transcripts</p> <p data-bbox="242 725 1485 822">The facility does not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches unless in exigent circumstances or when performed by a medical practitioner. I confirmed this procedure during staff and inmate interviews, as well as review of policy. I also confirmed that the facility has not conducted a search under these circumstances.</p> <p data-bbox="242 851 564 880">The facility is an all-male facility.</p> <p data-bbox="242 907 1493 1070">The above policies outline procedures and practices that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. The policies further dictate that staff of the opposite gender announces their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. These practices were confirmed during the staff and inmate interviews as well as during the facility tour when I observed the announcements taking place.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1099 1449 1162">The housing units are constructed to provide privacy while toileting, showering or changing clothes. This is accomplished through the use of walls and curtains which provide privacy while performing bodily functions and showering.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1191 1481 1386">The facility does not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. If the inmate's genital status is unknown, it is determined during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. I further confirmed the practices during the staff and medical interviews. I further confirmed with staff that if an inmate were being processed into the facility they would be coming from another correctional facility. If the inmate had identified as transgender or intersex at that facility, this information would be known prior to arrival.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1415 1485 1512">The agency has trained security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches, and searches of transgender and intersex inmates, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. This was confirmed during staff interviews and reviewing the provided training materials.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1541 1453 1603">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1632 1465 1760">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.16	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy OP-060201 Initial Reception of Inmates</p> <p>PREA materials (Spanish translation):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Zero Tolerance” Notice (Spanish) • PREA Poster, “Step Out of the Shadows” (Spanish) List of Interpreters (employees) <p>Translated materials (regarding PREA)</p> <p>Facility Job Roster with Activity/Housing Summary indicating Disability</p> <p>The agency has taken appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities (including, for example, inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities), have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These steps include, when necessary to ensure effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary. In addition, the agency shall ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities, including inmates who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, or who are blind or have low vision. The facility outlines the response to inmates in these categories in the above directives as well as the Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan. This plan outlines procedures for inmates who are not only non-English speaking, but all who are enumerated in this standard. I confirmed the use of this plan during the staff and inmate interviews.</p> <p>The agency has taken steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient. The agency has a list of approved interpreters within the agency who are available when needed. This interpretation includes verbal as well as sign language.</p> <p>The agency does not rely on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistants. The interviewed agency investigator is aware of the approved interpreters and confirmed during their interview that they utilize these services.</p> <p>During the inmate interviews I interviewed inmates with Cognitive Disabilities, and hard of hearing. All these inmates related that the staff further explained the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and ensured that the inmates understood the reporting avenues.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
	<p data-bbox="242 145 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="242 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 300">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1134 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policies: OP-110105 Employee Personnel Records</p> <p data-bbox="242 383 632 412">OP-110210 Background Investigations</p> <p data-bbox="242 439 695 468">OP-110215 Individual Conduct of Employees</p> <p data-bbox="242 495 718 524">OP-110235 Hiring and Promotional Procedures</p> <p data-bbox="242 551 1002 580">OP-110237 Separation Process State of Oklahoma – Terms and Conditions</p> <p data-bbox="242 607 1121 636">Applicant Questionnaire Contractor/Employee Request for Record Contractor/Employee</p> <p data-bbox="242 663 952 692">Documentation of 5 year Criminal Background Record Checks for Staff</p> <p data-bbox="242 719 1386 748">Documentation of 5 year Criminal Background Record Checks for Contractors Personal Data Summary Sheet (4B)</p> <p data-bbox="242 775 767 804">Verification of the Rap Back System through OLETS</p> <p data-bbox="242 898 1490 1128">The agency has policies and procedures in place that identify anyone who had been convicted of sexual abuse in a confinement setting, engaged in or attempted to engage in sexual activity in the community or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated for the same. The agency has developed the Oklahoma Department of Corrections Applicant Questionnaire and Background Investigation Form. This form specifically asks the applicant about these activities. During the interviews with staff, and Human Resources I verified that the form is being utilized, I further verified the utilization by reviewing personnel files, I found that the questions were asked and answered in all of the reviewed files. During the staff interviews I verified they were asked these questions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1155 1437 1252">During the documentation review, and review of personnel files I found that this process is also being utilized in the promotion system throughout the agency. This was further confirmed through agency level interviews, and interviews of promoted personnel.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1279 1490 1547">The agency has also implemented a background investigation process for all new employees, contractors and volunteers. The background investigations are being conducted as per Department policy. During the review of personnel files, I ensured that the background checks were being completed. Part of the background investigation is a Criminal History Check through the National Crime Information Center (NCIC). The agency utilizes the Rap Back System through the Oklahoma Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. The Rap Back System notifies the agency if any changes occur in any Staff, Volunteer or Contractors Criminal History, this system runs constantly and negates the five-year Criminal History Check. The facility conducts a separate Criminal History Check through the National Crime Information Center (NCIC), the logs for these checks were provided to me during the onsite audit, the logs verified the checks are conducted every five years.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1574 1453 1637">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1664 1466 1794">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="242 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 297">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1286 353">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-150101 Physical Plant Development Camera Locations</p> <p data-bbox="242 443 1493 537">The agency has made no substantial expansion to this facility nor is any planned. During the interviews I confirmed that if any expansion or acquisition of facilities takes place, the overall security and safety is taken into consideration, including the sexual safety of the inmates.</p> <p data-bbox="242 566 1477 629">The facility has not installed cameras since the last PREA Audit. During the interviews I confirmed that if any camera installation takes place, the overall security and safety is taken into consideration, including the sexual safety of the inmates.</p> <p data-bbox="242 658 1477 752">The Agency utilizes Attachment A and B of OP-150101 for any proposed new construction or new renovation. Both forms direct that the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse must be taken into consideration. This new construction or renovations must be approved by the Regional Director and Chief of Operations.</p> <p data-bbox="242 781 1453 844">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 873 1466 1003">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policies: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act with Attachment C</p> <p>OP-040117 Investigations</p> <p>Medical Services Resource Manual</p> <p>MOU with Alliance Health Woodward (SANE Nurse)</p> <p>MOU with Northwest Domestic Crisis Service</p> <p>State of Oklahoma SANE Coordinator Website and Information on examination and victim Advocacy</p> <p>The agency is responsible for both the administrative and criminal investigations of all sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents. These investigations are initially responded to at the facility level utilizing a team approach, where the administration, medical, and mental health will initially be notified. The investigation is further conducted by the investigators in the Office of the Inspector General. These investigators are sworn law enforcement officers and are highly trained in evidence collection and identification. I reviewed the training materials utilized and interviewed investigators from this division. I found that they follow the evidence protocols outlined in the policy and are well versed in evidence identification and collection.</p> <p>The facility would utilize a SANE from Alliance Health Woodward and a victim advocate from Northwest Domestic Crisis Service. The facility has an MOU with both agencies. These services are offered free of charge. I contacted a supervisor at Alliance Health Woodward and Northwest Domestic Crisis Service it was confirmed that they provide the services outlined in the MOU.</p> <p>The protocols outlined in the policies are developmentally appropriate for youth and exceed nationally accepted standards.</p> <p>The aforementioned victim advocates are available to the victim during the forensic medical examination process, the investigatory interviews, and they provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals.</p> <p>The facility has not sent any inmates out for a SANE exam in the past 12 months.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.22	<p>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</p> <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy with Attachment A</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy : OP-040117 Investigations</p> <p>Documentation of completed investigations (other facilities) Section 3 - Policy and Procedures Website</p> <p>ODOC Website – PREA Resources</p> <p>Sexual Assault Report (OP-030601, Attachment C) Incident Notification Report (OP-050108, Attachment H) Facility Inquiry/Request for PREA Investigation</p> <p>Office of Inspector General Response Notification of Investigation Status (OP-030601, Attachment D)</p> <p>The agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This was confirmed through review of policies which outline the procedures for investigating sexual abuse and sexual harassment. I further verified all allegations are investigated during investigator interviews, staff interviews, and review of the agency investigative reports.</p> <p>The agency investigates all allegations. I verified that the investigative procedure is published on the agency's website.</p> <p>The agency has policies in place that govern the investigative process. This was confirmed during policy review, investigator interviews and review of the investigations conducted throughout the agency.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>
--------	---

115.31	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	<p data-bbox="229 192 1509 255">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="229 255 1509 318">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="229 318 1509 380">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="229 380 1509 443">PREA Training PowerPoint 2021 & 2022</p> <p data-bbox="229 443 1509 506">PREA Training Rosters 2021 & 2022</p> <p data-bbox="229 506 1509 568">Training Records and Acknowledgement Forms for Staff, Volunteers and Contractors</p> <p data-bbox="229 568 1509 766">The agency provides yearly training to all employees on the areas enumerated in this standard. I reviewed the training curriculum and materials, I found that they address all areas. I further confirmed the training during the staff interviews and the review of training records. The employees receive the initial training and annual updates. It was confirmed during staff interviews that they also receive updates during roll calls. The yearly training exceeds the requirements of the standard.</p> <p data-bbox="229 766 1509 828">All employees receive training on interacting with males, females, transgender, and youthful inmates. This was confirmed during review of training materials and during staff interviews.</p> <p data-bbox="229 828 1509 936">The employees are verifying the receipt of the training through a signature, this was verified during the review of the sample signature logs.</p> <p data-bbox="229 936 1509 1043">All staff at the facility is provided with a laminated card that outlines the required response to a PREA incident. Several of the interviewed staff showed me their cards during the interview process.</p> <p data-bbox="229 1043 1509 1151">The training provided by the agency is updated yearly by the PREA Coordinator. At the beginning of each year, they meet with all training officers and provide them with the yearly training and explain any changes to the training. The PREA Coordinator is constantly improving on the training materials.</p> <p data-bbox="229 1151 1509 1276">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency has substantially exceeded the requirements of this standard, and all provisions. This decision was based on the agency's overall commitment to sexual safety in their facilities.</p> <p data-bbox="229 1276 1509 1435">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-100101 Employee Development</p> <p>Course Roster for volunteers/contractors reflecting PREA</p> <p>Lesson Plan for Volunteer Training “Documentation of Volunteer Training” (Attachment C - OP-090211)</p> <p>“Volunteer Contractor Training Acknowledgement” (Attachment G – OP-030601) Completed Acknowledgement Forms</p> <p>The agency has trained all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures. The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates. At a minimum they are notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents. I also confirmed this practice with the facilities Chaplin, who is the volunteer coordinator. The volunteers are trained at the agency level and receive recertification training every two years. This training consists of a one-day training, this training includes the agencies zero tolerance policy and PREA.</p> <p>All contractors are trained at the facility level by the PREA Compliance Manager. This was confirmed with the contractors and volunteers who have been interviewed throughout the agency they all confirmed they received the training and signed an acknowledgement form.</p> <p>The agency maintains all documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received. This documentation is maintained at the facility level, this was confirmed during review of the volunteer and contractor acknowledgment forms.</p> <p>The agency is far exceeding the expectations of the standard. They are training the volunteers every two years and ensuring they are aware of the zero-tolerance policy and PREA.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency has substantially exceeded the requirements of this standard, and all provisions. This decision was based on the agency’s overall commitment to sexual safety in their facilities.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.33

Inmate education

Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documentation Reviewed:

Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy

In-Depth Orientation Roster w/ arrival date and move sheet

Zero Tolerance Acknowledgment Signed

Inmate Handbook, relevant pages, (English and Spanish)

Inmates Guide to Sexual Misconduct Pamphlet

Posters Posted on Units (regarding PREA and zero tolerance)

Activity Housing Summary (IHAP)

Intake Records for Inmates

Inmate Files and Case Manager Notes

During the intake process inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, this information is outlined in the inmate handbook and in the Inmates Guide to Sexual Misconduct Pamphlet. The pamphlet also provides the reporting avenues for inmates. This was confirmed during the inmate and staff interviews.

The inmates receive an in-depth orientation within 7 days of arrival, at this time the facility provides education on the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The education is provided by a staff member who shows a video on PREA, reviews the materials with the inmates, and answers any questions the inmates may have. This was confirmed during the staff and inmate interviews. I further confirmed this by reviewing fifty-five random inmate files and ensuring that the Zero Tolerance Acknowledgment for Offenders Forms were in the files and signed by the inmates.

The facility provides inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates, this includes inmates who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates who have limited reading skills. The facility provides materials to inmates in Spanish, they also have designated staff who can provide interpretation of other languages. The Case Managers confirmed they would provide education to these individuals if needed.

The facility has all key information on the zero-tolerance policy and reporting avenues provided through signage placed throughout the facility. I viewed this signage during the facility tour. I further confirmed that the signage has been in place during the inmate and staff interviews. The information is further posted in the window of every cell door for the inmates in the segregated housing units.

I conducted several interviews with inmates who have been in custody at several OKDOC facilities. They confirmed that they received training and education at every facility they were transferred to. I further confirmed this by reviewing the inmate files, several inmates had the Zero Tolerance Acknowledgment for Offenders Forms from other facilities in their file.

It should be noted that all signed documentation by inmates is retained at the facility. This information is only available to specific staff at the facility, during the onsite audit the auditor was allowed to review this documentation in the inmate's field files. These documents contain highly sensitive and personally identifiable information. This practice ensures that individuals have the proper clearance, authorization, and need to know before allowing them access to this sensitive PREA information.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the facility substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.

115.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations</p> <p>Specialized PREA Investigation Training PowerPoint – relevant pages</p> <p>Letter to PREA Auditors regarding specialized training</p> <p>Training Records for Investigators</p> <p>The agency is responsible for both the administrative and criminal investigations of all sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents. These investigations are conducted by the Office of Inspector General. These investigators are sworn law enforcement officers and are trained in conducting criminal investigations. The training they have received includes the use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. This was confirmed during the investigator interviews, investigation review, and policy review.</p> <p>The agency documents all training attended by the investigators. This was confirmed during the interviews with the investigators, as well as review of the training records.</p> <p>All agency investigators are certified through the Oklahoma Council on Law Enforcement Education and Training and have received extensive training in criminal investigation. The investigators have also attended specific training courses on sexual abuse investigation.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>PowerPoint "Medical/Dental/Mental Health PREA Training</p> <p>Training Acknowledgements</p> <p>All full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners have been trained on the following:</p> <p>How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;</p> <p>How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse;</p> <p>How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and</p> <p>How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.</p> <p>This was confirmed by reviewing the training materials utilized by the Oklahoma Department of Corrections, and during the review of the PREA Training for Medical & Mental Health Course Rosters at the facility. I also confirmed this training with the medical and mental health staff during interviews.</p> <p>The medical staff at the facility does not conduct sexual assault examinations.</p> <p>The medical and mental health care practitioners also receive the training mandated for employees under § 115.31 or for contractors and volunteers under § 115.32, depending upon the practitioner's status at the agency. This was confirmed during the review of training rosters at the facility. I also confirmed this training with the medical and mental health staff during interviews.</p> <p>The facility is providing this training on a yearly basis to all medical and mental health care practitioners. This practice far exceeds the requirement of the standard. They have also created a Medical PREA binder with all training materials, policy, and a flow chart to utilize during incidents. This is utilized by staff as a refresher and as a quick reference during an incident.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Inmate Handbook w/relevant language (English and Spanish)</p> <p>Inmates Guide to Sexual Misconduct Pamphlet</p> <p>Cell Assessment Form (Attachment A – OP-030102)</p> <p>Self-Report Form (Attachment B – OP-030102)</p> <p>Zero Tolerance Acknowledgements (shows ways to report) Signed Copies Viewed in Inmate Files</p> <p>Completed Self-Report Forms in Inmate Field Files</p> <p>All inmates are assessed during the intake process, which is completed upon arrival at the facility. This screening is conducted utilizing the Self Report Form and the Cell Assessment Form. These instruments identify all areas of victimization and abusiveness enumerated in this standard, except for the question related to being detained solely for civil immigration. The facility houses inmates who have been convicted in the State of Oklahoma and sentenced into the OKDOC custody. This was verified through interviews with staff and inmates, as well as review of fifty-five completed instruments. The screening is being conducted by a specific trained staff. I verified through staff interviews that if an inmate is transferred to another facility, they would receive a screening again.</p> <p>The initial screening for risk of being sexually abusive considers any known prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse. This was confirmed during review of the screening tool and interviews with both staff and inmates.</p> <p>The facility is reassessing all inmates within 30 days of arrival, this reassessment is being conducted by the case managers, and they are taking into considerations all information available to them at the time of reassessment. The case manager makes case notes on the reassessment in the Offender Management System (OMS). The Case Managers meet with every inmate in their caseload every 30 days and notate this meeting in the OMS. This was confirmed by reviewing the reassessment documentation and staff and inmate interviews. Several of the inmates confirmed they meet with their case manager more then once a month.</p> <p>The facility would reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that may change the inmate’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. This was confirmed during the staff interviews.</p> <p>Inmates are informed during the screening process that they will not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information. This was confirmed during review of the screening tools, and during the staff and inmate interviews.</p> <p>The agency implements control on the dissemination of screening information at the facility level. The information from the screening tools is only available to case managers, medical if needed, and administration. The screening information is stored in the inmate field files, which are kept in a locked filing cabinet inside the case managers office.</p> <p>The agency further screens all inmates through medical, where they again ask questions relative to sexual victimization, this was confirmed by reviewing the medical screening forms and interviews.</p> <p>The inmates are constantly being reassessed by their assigned Case Managers. The Case Managers are located on the housing units and are accessible to the inmates, or the case managers will tour the housing unit to interact with the inmates. This gives the Case Managers the opportunity to observe the inmates and ensure there is no change in their behavior or status. The Case Managers meet with each inmate on their caseload once a month and make case notes in the Offender Management System. This was confirmed through interviews and watching the interaction between inmates and the Case Managers. This practice far exceeds the requirement of the standard. The facility is reassessing every inmate once a month, not just twice as required by the standard or under any other special circumstances.</p> <p>It should be noted that all signed documentation by inmates is retained at the facility. This information is only available to specific staff at the facility, during the onsite audit the auditor was allowed to review this documentation in the inmate’s field</p>

files. These documents contain highly sensitive and personally identifiable information. This practice ensures that individuals have the proper clearance, authorization, and need to know before allowing them access to this sensitive PREA information.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.

115.42	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030103 inmate Job and Program Assignments</p> <p>Housing Unit Rosters</p> <p>Cell Assessment Form (Attachment A – OP-030102)</p> <p>Self-Report Form (Attachment B – OP-030102)</p> <p>The agency utilizes the information from the screening and reassessment to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. This was confirmed during review of the policy, and I confirmed these procedures during staff and inmate interviews.</p> <p>The agency makes all of these determinations on an individualized basis, this ensures the safety of each inmate. This was confirmed during policy review, and staff and inmate interviews.</p> <p>I confirmed during policy review and interviews that when deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, and in making other housing and programming assignments, the agency considers on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security problems. I also confirmed that the inmates own views would be taken into consideration during these decisions. Through policy and interviews I confirmed that a transgender inmate would be given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates.</p> <p>I confirmed during interviews with the Unit Managers and Case Managers that placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate would be reassessed at least twice each year. This is also addressed in policy.</p> <p>Neither the agency nor facility place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely based on such identification or status. This was confirmed during agency level interviews, as well as inmate interviews, several inmates interviewed at the facility identified as gay, and bisexual.</p> <p>It should be noted that all signed documentation by inmates is retained at the facility. This information is only available to specific staff at the facility, during the onsite audit the auditor was allowed to review this documentation in the inmate’s field files. These documents contain highly sensitive and personally identifiable information. This practice ensures that individuals have the proper clearance, authorization, and need to know before allowing them access to this sensitive PREA information.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="229 192 1508 255">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="229 255 1508 318">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="229 318 1508 380">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="229 380 1508 555">The agency has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made. This policy addresses all provisions in the standard, the language in the policy meets all aspects of the standard.</p> <p data-bbox="229 555 1508 618">This facility has had no incident where they have segregated an inmate due to being at high risk of sexual victimization.</p> <p data-bbox="229 618 1508 680">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="229 680 1508 860">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.51	Inmate reporting
	<p data-bbox="242 145 766 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard</p> <p data-bbox="242 208 454 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 510 300">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1165 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 383 858 412">Inmate Handbook w/relevant language (English and Spanish)</p> <p data-bbox="242 439 1348 504">Memorandum of Understanding between Oklahoma Department of Corrections and Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations (External Reporting Source)</p> <p data-bbox="242 530 1220 560">Zero Tolerance Acknowledgements (shows ways to report) Signed Copies Viewed in Inmate Files</p> <p data-bbox="242 645 1484 840">The facility provides the inmates the information on reporting in the inmate handbook provided at intake and through signage throughout the facility. The inmates can report directly to any staff, through the PREA reporting hotline at 073 on the phone, or to the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations, which is the agencies external reporting avenue. The instructions for the usage of these reporting avenues are extremely comprehensive and the step by step usage of the reporting avenues is provided in all written materials both posted and given to the inmates. During the interviews with both staff and inmates I confirmed that they were aware of the reporting avenues and that they can remain anonymous.</p> <p data-bbox="242 866 1460 896">The agencies website further instructs third parties on how to report. This was confirmed by viewing the agencies website.</p> <p data-bbox="242 922 1476 987">The staff interviewed related that they understood the agency policy that states that staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1014 1492 1113">The agency provides in policy a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. The policy allows the staff to report directly to the Inspector General's Office through a telephone number or email. All interviewed staff was aware of these reporting avenues.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1140 1484 1303">I found during the inmate interviews that the inmates who were interviewed felt that if something were happening, they would feel comfortable telling a staff member at the facility. This confidence in utilizing this reporting avenue shows the overall culture at the facility. Although this statement may not be true for all inmates, the agency has provided so many different reporting avenues that an inmate should feel comfortable with one of them. It should be noted that after reviewing hundreds of agency investigations I found that most of the incidents were initially reported to a staff member.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1330 1452 1395">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1422 1468 1554">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	<p data-bbox="240 143 740 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="240 208 453 239">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 1477 365">The agency does not utilize any grievance system to address sexual abuse or sexual harassment. During interviews I confirmed that if an inmate filed a grievance regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment the grievance would immediately be removed from the grievance process and referred for investigation.</p> <p data-bbox="240 394 1474 456">The audited facility did not have any grievances filed within the last 12 months relating to sexual abuse. This was confirmed through interviews and a memo from the facility.</p> <p data-bbox="240 486 1455 548">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="240 577 1466 703">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	<p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Mandatory Report Maps/Laws State of Oklahoma</p> <p>SANE Coordinator Website and Information on examination and victim Advocacy</p> <p>Memorandum of Understanding with Northwest Domestic Crisis Service</p> <p>Memorandum of Understanding Alliance Health Woodward</p> <p>Access to outside confidential support services is outlined in the agencies policies and procedures. The inmate would have the ability to utilize the services provided through the Northwest Domestic Crisis Service or Alliance Health Woodward. The services that the inmates would receive are the same as the level received in the community and are free of charge. This was confirmed with the supervisor at Northwest Domestic Crisis Service and Alliance Health Woodward.</p> <p>Through interviews I further established that follow up mental health care would be provided by the facility for any inmate victim or abuser who was involved in an incident.</p> <p>The PREA Compliance Manager would inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. The inmates are provided with an address for both Northwest Domestic Crisis Service and Alliance Health Woodward. The inmates have the ability to write letters to these organizations, the housing units have mail boxes and the facility has a mailroom where all mail is processed and sent through the USPS. This was confirmed during interviews.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Memorandum of Understanding between Oklahoma Department of Corrections and Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations (External Reporting Source)</p> <p>Zero Tolerance Acknowledgement Signed Copies</p> <p>Posted Reporting Instructions</p> <p>ODOC Website – PREA Resources</p> <p>The agency has established third party reporting methods in policy, these methods allow inmates to report for other inmates and outside individuals to report. The agencies website outlines the third-party reporting avenues, this was confirmed through review of the agency website. The website has the following posted:</p> <p>ODOC accepts and investigates reports regarding allegations or knowledge of sexual abuse of offenders from third parties (family, friends, clergy, vendors, contractors, or any other person having knowledge of an incident).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Send an email to preareport@doc.ok.gov 2. Call the PREA Reporting line at 1(855) 871-4139 3. Call the ODOC Fugitive Apprehension and Investigations at (405) 425-2571 4. Verbally report to a ODOC facility administrator or staff member ODOC Facility Information <p>The facility has third party reporting avenues posted in areas in the facility where they can be viewed by visitors.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	<p data-bbox="242 145 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="242 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 300">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1163 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 383 655 412">Mandatory Reporting Laws for Oklahoma</p> <p data-bbox="242 439 927 468">Agency PREA Report with mental health and third-party involvement</p> <p data-bbox="242 495 536 524">Agency Investigative Reports</p> <p data-bbox="242 551 572 580">Mandatory Reporting Law States</p> <p data-bbox="242 607 1015 636">Oklahoma State Statutes Regarding Mandatory Reporting O.S. § 43A-10-104</p> <p data-bbox="242 663 1457 732">Sexual Assault Report (OP-030601, Attachment C) PREA Response Checklist (OP-030601, Attachment H) Notification of Investigation Status (OP-030601, Attachment D)</p> <p data-bbox="242 815 1437 884">The agency policy states that any staff, volunteer, and contractors shall immediately report to their supervisors or higher authority:</p> <ol data-bbox="242 909 1430 1086" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="242 909 1430 978">1. Any knowledge, suspicion, or other information regarding an incident of sexual abuse, assault, or harassment that occurred in a facility/unit or other location, whether or not it is part of the agency; <li data-bbox="242 1003 975 1032">2. Retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such incidents; and <li data-bbox="242 1057 1294 1086">3. Any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. <p data-bbox="242 1115 1394 1184">The staff interviewed understood their responsibilities under this policy. During interviews with staff who reported an allegation I verified that they followed the policy.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1211 1485 1281">The policy further states that staff are prohibited from revealing any information related to sexual abuse to anyone other than the extent necessary. All staff interviewed understood this requirement.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1308 1366 1337">The agency would report to the Oklahoma Department of Human Services for any individual under the age of 18.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1364 1469 1433">During the interviews of medical and mental health staff I confirmed their duty to report, they understood their obligations to report an incident to security staff.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1460 1430 1529">As an agency all allegations are being reported to security and administration for immediate action. This was confirmed during staff interviews and review of the investigations from other facilities.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1556 1453 1626">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1653 1465 1767">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 208 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 509 300">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="240 327 1163 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="240 443 1490 539">The agencies policies dictate that when staff learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, they shall take immediate action to protect the inmate. The staff interviewed understood their responsibility and all responded that they would immediately take appropriate steps to protect the inmate.</p> <p data-bbox="240 566 1453 629">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="240 656 1468 786">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="229 192 1509 255">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="229 255 1509 318">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="229 318 1509 380">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="229 380 1509 658">Through policy the agency has established procedures and practices that meet all the requirements of the standard and provision. These include notification by the facility head to the head of the facility where the allegation allegedly took place within 72 hours, as well as documentation of the information received and notification. The policy further states that if an allegation is received in such a manner the facility needs to notify the Office of the Inspector General for investigation. I confirmed these policies and practices through documentation review of forwarded investigations at other ODOC facilities, as well as through staff interviews.</p> <p data-bbox="229 658 1509 739">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="229 739 1509 900">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations</p> <p>Incident Notification Checklist (Attachment H – OP-050108) PREA Response Checklist (OP-030601, Attachment H) Sexual Assault Report (OP-030601, Attachment C) Segregation Housing Order / Transportation Orders</p> <p>Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment</p> <p>The agency policies outline the initial response by staff. These policies include all the provisions of the standard. The staff interviewed understood their responsibilities if they were the first responder to an allegation.</p> <p>I verified compliance during the interview process, and policy and agency investigation review. All first responders acted properly and followed the policy. During the staff interviews the majority of the staff showed me the response card they carry with them, they stated they would refer to this card if needed.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.65	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="242 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 297">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 329 1161 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 387 954 414">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations</p> <p data-bbox="242 445 1485 472">Incident Notification Checklist (Attachment H – OP-050108) Request for Investigation Comprehensive Report (Attachment A)</p> <p data-bbox="242 504 879 530">Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment</p> <p data-bbox="242 562 807 589">PREA Institutional Plan Bill Johnson Correctional Center</p> <p data-bbox="242 674 1449 801">The facility has adopted the PREA Institutional Plan Bill Johnson Correctional Center as the overall institutional plan to respond to sexual abuse incidents. This plan dictates the actions and coordination between first responders, supervisors, administration, medical, mental health, and the Office of the inspector General. I confirmed the institutional plan through review of the plan, as well as during staff interviews.</p> <p data-bbox="242 833 1445 893">After a careful review of all documentation and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 925 1465 1050">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="231 197 1508 264">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="231 264 1508 353">Oklahoma is a right to work state and does not enter into any collective bargaining agreement. This is established under state statute in the Oklahoma Personnel Act.</p> <p data-bbox="231 353 1508 443">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="231 443 1508 598">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="240 208 451 235">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="240 271 509 297">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="240 327 1161 353">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="240 383 880 409">Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment</p> <p data-bbox="240 439 400 465">Monitoring form</p> <p data-bbox="240 557 1469 618">The agency has established a policy that meets the provisions of this standard. The agency has identified the facility PREA Compliance Manager to monitor the inmate or staff member for alleged retaliation.</p> <p data-bbox="240 647 1477 741">The agency has established through past incidents that they utilize housing transfers, as well as facility transfers of perpetrators to protect the victim in an incident. This was confirmed during review of agency investigations and through staff interviews.</p> <p data-bbox="240 770 1466 831">As previously mentioned, the Case Managers are located on the housing units, they would be assigned to help monitor the inmate daily to ensure that no issues were occurring.</p> <p data-bbox="240 860 880 887">All staff interviewed understood their obligation under the policy.</p> <p data-bbox="240 916 1453 976">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="240 1005 1466 1137">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="229 192 1509 255">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="229 255 1509 318">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="229 318 1509 380">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="229 380 1509 443">Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment</p> <p data-bbox="229 443 1509 595">The agency has established a policy that states any inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse is subject to the requirements of standard 115.43. This was confirmed through review of the policy. The audited facility did not have any inmates who suffered sexual abuse who were placed into segregated housing.</p> <p data-bbox="229 595 1509 703">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="229 703 1509 860">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations</p> <p>Incident Notification Checklist (Attachment H – OP-050108) Request for Investigation Comprehensive Report (Attachment A)</p> <p>Agency and Facility Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment</p> <p>Specialized Training Power Point</p> <p>Specialized Training Rosters</p> <p>List of PREA-Trained Investigators</p> <p>Documentation of Evidence Gathered</p> <p>The agency conducts administrative and criminal investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. During the agency investigation review I found they are conducting them promptly, thoroughly, and objectively. The investigators have received special training in sexual abuse investigations pursuant to § 115.34. These investigators would gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator.</p> <p>If the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, compelled interviews would be conducted by the investigators.</p> <p>During interviews I confirmed that the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness is assessed on an individual basis and not determined by the person’s status as inmate or staff. The agency does not require an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation.</p> <p>The administrative investigations are conducted utilizing the following guidelines:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) includes an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse; and (2) documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. <p>Criminal investigations are documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. I further confirmed that substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal are referred for prosecution.</p> <p>The agency retains all reports referenced in paragraphs (f) and (g) of this section for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.</p> <p>I confirmed that the departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation.</p> <p>I reviewed agency investigations at other ODOC facilities and found that they were conducted immediately and by the proper authorities.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment</p> <p>Notification of Investigation Status</p> <p>The agency has policies that states there shall not be any standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. During the agency investigation review and investigator interviews I verified that they are applying preponderance of evidence to make a determination.</p> <p>These determinations were consistent from investigation to investigation.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	<p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations</p> <p>Notification of Investigation Status (Attachment D – OP-030601)</p> <p>Agency investigations</p> <p>Following an investigation of sexual abuse conducted by the agency investigators the inmate is informed in writing whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. As per policy this is accomplished by utilizing the Notification of Investigation Status Form. This was confirmed through staff interviews and agency investigation review at other facilities.</p> <p>Following an investigation of sexual abuse committed by a staff member the agency informs the inmate whenever:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; (2) The staff member is no longer employed at the facility; (3) The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or (4) The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. <p>As per policy this is accomplished by utilizing the Notification of Investigation Status Form. This was confirmed through staff interviews and agency investigation review.</p> <p>Following an investigation of sexual abuse committed by another inmate the agency informs the alleged victim whenever:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or (2) The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. <p>As per policy this is accomplished by utilizing the Notification of Investigation Status Form. This was confirmed through staff interviews and investigation review.</p> <p>All notifications are made by utilizing the Notification of Investigation Status Form, these are then kept in the investigative file.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.76	<p data-bbox="231 71 1508 127" style="background-color: #cccccc;">Disciplinary sanctions for staff</p> <p data-bbox="231 127 1508 190">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="231 190 1508 253">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="231 253 1508 315">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="231 315 1508 378">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="231 378 1508 441">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-110215 Individual Conduct of Employees</p> <p data-bbox="231 441 1508 504">Agency Investigation reports</p> <p data-bbox="231 504 1508 651">The agency has policy in place that address staff discipline for a violation of any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs staff conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through review of the agency investigations, and staff interviews.</p> <p data-bbox="231 651 1508 837">Through policy the staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination, which is the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. During the review of investigations throughout the agency I have determined the disciplinary sanctions for violations of policy commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.</p> <p data-bbox="231 837 1508 965">Through investigation review and interviews I confirmed that all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, were investigated criminally and referred for a prosecutorial determination and if applicable were reported to licensing bodies.</p> <p data-bbox="231 965 1508 1028">The audited facility has not disciplined a staff member within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.</p> <p data-bbox="231 1028 1508 1108">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="231 1108 1508 1288">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>
--------	---

115.77	<p data-bbox="231 71 1508 1223">Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</p> <p data-bbox="231 129 1508 190">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="231 197 1508 257">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="231 264 1508 302">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="231 318 1508 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="231 371 1508 409">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-090211 Volunteer Services</p> <p data-bbox="231 425 1508 463">Volunteer Alert form (Attachment F – OP-090211)</p> <p data-bbox="231 479 1508 517">Volunteer Code of Conduct (Attachment K – OP-090211), relevant pages</p> <p data-bbox="231 607 1508 707">The agency has policy in place that addresses corrective action for volunteers and contractors who violate of any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through review of the agency investigations and staff interviews.</p> <p data-bbox="231 723 1508 864">Through investigation review and interviews I confirmed that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse is prohibited from contact with inmates and reported for a criminal investigation as well as a prosecutorial decision. If the contractor or volunteer is licensed in anyway, the licensing body will be notified. I confirmed with the Warden that any contractor or volunteer who violated the policies would have their security clearance immediately revoked.</p> <p data-bbox="231 880 1508 958">The audited facility has not disciplined any volunteers and contractors within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.</p> <p data-bbox="231 974 1508 1052">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="231 1068 1508 1205">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>
--------	---

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-060125</p> <p>Disciplinary Procedures Acts Constituting Rule Violations (Attachment A – OP-060125)</p> <p>Acts Constituting Rule Violations (OP-060125, Attachment A)</p> <p>Mental Health Recommendations (DOC-060125-R)</p> <p>Monthly Medical Activity Report (DOC-140107-A)</p> <p>Zero Tolerance Acknowledgment</p> <p>The agency policies state that Inmates are subject to disciplinary procedures. All inmates are issued a copy of the handbook which outlines the inmate disciplinary procedure. This discipline will occur following a substantiated finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. These sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories. I confirmed with staff that the process considers whether an inmate’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to their behavior when determining what type of discipline. If available, the inmate would be offered therapy through the mental health providers.</p> <p>I confirmed through policy review and interviews that if an inmate had sexual contact with a staff member who did not consent to the contact the inmate would be criminally charged.</p> <p>I confirmed through policy review and interviews that no disciplinary action would take place for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred and that this does not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying.</p> <p>The agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and disciplines the inmates for engaging in consensual sexual activity, the agency does not consider this activity sexual abuse.</p> <p>I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through review of the agency investigations and staff interviews.</p> <p>The audited facility has not disciplined any inmates within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Intra-Facility Health Screening Form (DOC 140113B)</p> <p>Medical/Mental Health Screening Intake Form Consent Form Inmate Records</p> <p>Self-Report Form (OP-030102, Attachment B)</p> <p>Cell Assessment Form (OP-030102, Attachment A)</p> <p>Authorization for Release of Protected Health Information (DOC 140108A)</p> <p>The agency has policies in place that address the provisions of this standard. As previously stated under standard 115.41 the medical department does a second screening of the inmates and asks questions relative to sexual victimization as well as sexual abusiveness. If it is found that any inmate has experienced either they will be scheduled for an evaluation with a mental health practitioner within 14 days. I confirmed these evaluations with the medical and mental health personnel as well as during the inmate interviews.</p> <p>All medical records are kept secure and are only available to medical and mental health personnel.</p> <p>The medical staff stated that they would obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting.</p> <p>I confirmed compliance with the standard through the review of inmate medical files, staff interviews and inmate interviews.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-140118 Emergency Care</p> <p>Offender's Guide to Sexual Misconduct (Attachment B – OP-030601)</p> <p>Inmate's Guide to Sexual Misconduct (Attachment B – OP-030601)</p> <p>Progress Note</p> <p>Request for Investigation</p> <p>Incident Reports</p> <p>The medical personnel ensure that victims of sexual assault receive prompt and appropriate medical intervention. The nature and scope are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment.</p> <p>The facility does not provide 24 hr. medical coverage. Any inmate involved in an incident would immediately be brought to medical when they are at the facility or the on call medical personnel would be contacted.</p> <p>The policy further states that prophylactic treatment and testing is offered to the patient, as well as follow up care for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases. An evaluation by a mental health provider is completed for crisis intervention counseling and long term follow up plans.</p> <p>The above services are offered at no financial cost to the inmate regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. These policies and procedures were confirmed with the medical and mental health staff during interviews.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.83	<p>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</p> <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-140118 Emergency Care</p> <p>Offender's Guide to Sexual Misconduct (Attachment B – OP-030601)</p> <p>PREA Response Checklist (OP-030601, Attachment H)</p> <p>Medical Progress Notes</p> <p>Mental Health Progress Notes</p> <p>During interviews and policy review I confirmed that the facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse. The evaluation and treatment include follow-up services, treatment plans and, referrals for continued care following their transfer or release from custody. These services are consistent with community level of care. All victims of sexual abuse are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections. All treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.</p> <p>The audited facility is an all-male facility.</p> <p>The mental health staff confirmed that they attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days and offer treatment.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>
--------	---

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="242 206 451 235">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 297">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1163 353">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 443 1485 667">The policy states that all instances of sexual abuse will be reviewed by a review team. The review team evaluates each incident of sexual abuse to identify any policy, training, or other issues related to the incident that indicate a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, and/or respond to incidents of sexual abuse. The review team also considers whether incidents were motivated by racial or other group dynamics at the facility. Reviews occur within 30 days after an investigation is completed. The sexual abuse incident review takes place at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation unless the allegation was determined to be unfounded. The review team will submit the report to the Warden for review.</p> <p data-bbox="242 701 1458 792">The purpose of the incident review is to look retrospectively at the incident to ensure that the facility response was PREA compliant. Recommendations for improvement made by the review team will either be implemented or the reasons for not doing so will be documented.</p> <p data-bbox="242 826 1426 853">The facility did not have any investigations during the auditing period, the understood their obligations under the policy.</p> <p data-bbox="242 887 1453 943">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 976 1465 1099">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.87	<p>Data collection</p> <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p>DOC Website – PREA Resources</p> <p>Data reports from 2012 through 2021</p> <p>The agency collects accurate, uniform data for every reported allegation of sexual abuse using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. The agency utilizes the Sexual Assault Report, which is a data collection instrument utilized to collect all sexual abuse data. The instrument includes the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey on Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice. The agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. All documentation is kept in the PREA file. The agency also collects all data from the contracted facilities. The Department of Justice has not requested data.</p> <p>During interviews and review of the collected data it was confirmed that the policy is being followed.</p> <p>After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p>Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>
--------	---

115.88	Data review for corrective action
	<p data-bbox="242 145 766 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard</p> <p data-bbox="242 208 454 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 510 300">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1165 356">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 383 582 412">DOC Website – PREA Resources</p> <p data-bbox="242 439 614 468">Data reports from 2012 through 2021</p> <p data-bbox="242 555 997 584">The agency has polices in place that address all provisions of the standard.</p> <p data-bbox="242 611 1460 678">The PREA Coordinator reviews all data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by:</p> <ul data-bbox="284 725 1276 824" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="284 725 582 754">• Identifying problem areas; <li data-bbox="284 759 821 788">• Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and <li data-bbox="284 792 1276 822">• Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, and the agency <p data-bbox="242 848 1468 916">The report includes a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provides an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse.</p> <p data-bbox="242 943 1476 1010">The agency’s report is approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through the agency website at doc.ok.gov.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1037 1420 1104">The agency has redacted any material from the reports that would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of its facilities.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1131 1468 1256">The PREA Coordinator continuously evaluates the data collected from every facility to better prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment within the facilities and contracted facilities of the OKDOC. During my tenure as a PREA Auditor I found the OKDOC PREA Coordinator one of the most dedicated to the prevention of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The data collected is used in identifying problem areas and in the development of the following years PREA training.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1283 1452 1350">During staff interviews I confirmed that if a trend were identified while reviewing the data a corrective action plan would be developed for that facility and immediately be put into place.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1377 1452 1444">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 1471 1468 1597">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="242 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="242 271 509 297">Documentation Reviewed:</p> <p data-bbox="242 327 1163 353">Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p> <p data-bbox="242 383 584 409">DOC Website – PREA Resources</p> <p data-bbox="242 439 612 465">Data reports from 2012 through 2021</p> <p data-bbox="242 557 1445 618">The agency has a policy in place that addresses the provisions of this standard. I found that the agency digitally securely retains all data collected, this data is available to the public through the website.</p> <p data-bbox="242 647 1465 707">The annual reports from 2012 through 2021 are published on the website. All personal identifiers have been removed from the reports.</p> <p data-bbox="242 736 1433 797">The agency has a policy in place that maintains all sexual abuse data for at least 10 years from the date received. Staff interviews and review of the annual reports further confirmed this procedure.</p> <p data-bbox="242 826 1453 887">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="242 916 1468 1048">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	<p data-bbox="244 147 738 174">Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="244 210 451 237">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="244 273 1469 362">All agency facilities and contracted facilities were audited once during the two previous auditing cycles. I am contracted to complete all the Oklahoma Department of Corrections PREA Audits. The PREA Coordinator has created a schedule where one third of each type of facility will be audited per auditing year.</p> <p data-bbox="244 398 1477 456">During the audit process I was able to receive copies of all relevant documentation, conduct private interviews with staff and inmates, tour the complete facility, and receive confidential correspondence from both inmates and staff.</p> <p data-bbox="244 488 1453 546">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="244 577 1465 703">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	<p data-bbox="229 192 1509 255">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="229 255 1509 353">The agency has published all final audit reports on their website, this was confirmed by navigating to the page on the website and reviewing all the audit reports.</p> <p data-bbox="229 353 1509 430">After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.</p> <p data-bbox="229 430 1509 598">Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases, I conducted a systematic evidence review of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of the standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews.</p>

Appendix: Provision Findings		
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	yes

115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes

115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes
115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	na

115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes

115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes

115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes

115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes

115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	na
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes

115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na
115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
115.31 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes

115.31 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes
	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes

115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	yes
115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes

115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	no
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes

115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	yes
115.42 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes

115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes

115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	na
115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d)	Protective Custody	
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e)	Protective Custody	
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes

115.51 (b)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na

115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na

115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes

115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes

115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes

115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes

115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na

115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	na
115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes

115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes

115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	na
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	yes
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes

115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes
115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes

115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	yes
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na

115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes

115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes