## Oklahoma Department of Correction Peer Review Criteria for Dentist

Dat	e of Review:	Provider Reviewed:		
Reason for Review:				
	Biennial Professional concern Other	<ul> <li>Appropriateness of Care</li> <li>Critical Incident</li> <li>Adverse drug reaction</li> <li>Utilization issues</li> </ul>		
Criteria:				
	1. Sick calls with complain	nts of acute pain/swelling were evaluated in a timely manner?		
	🛛 Yes 🖾 No Con	nment:		
	2. Clinical observations w	ere documented during the encounter of sick call?		
	🛛 Yes 🖵 No Con	nment:		
	3. Diagnosis is justified by history, x-rays, and current assessment?			
	🛛 Yes 🖬 No Com	nment:		
	4. Treatment is consistent with x-rays and clinical observation?			
	Yes No Comment:			
	5. Consent and/or waivers were signed if necessary for surgical procedures?			
		nment:		
		nd follow up appointments provided when necessary?		
		nment:		
	-	tal provider reviewed relate back to the initial request?		
	□ Yes □ No Comment:			
	<ul> <li>8. Progress notes for dental provider were completed in a timely manner?</li> <li> Yes No Comment:</li></ul>			
	9. Progress notes for treatment provided reflects priority of dental care?			
Yes No Comment:				
		s consistent with diagnosis and severity of symptoms? ment:		
		consults are consistent with diagnosis?		
		ment:		
		eatments are timely with the immediacy of the problem?		
		ment:		
13. Medications are justified by diagnosis and severity of symptoms?				
		ment:		
		re consistent with peers, i.e., provider stays within the medical services formulary when		
	🗆 Yes 🗖 No Com	ment:		
	15. Applicable current national guidelines are followed?			

□ Yes □ No Comment: \_\_\_\_\_

PEER REVIEW FOR DENTIST

Additional Comments:

Signature of Reviewer:	Date:
Signature of Provider Reviewed	Date:
	(12/24)