## **APPENDIX N**

Public Hearing Sign-in and Transcript



Public Hearing for Regional Haze State Implementation Plan Planning Period 2

> Friday, July 1, 2022 9:00 AM DEQ 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Training Room

NAME	AFFILIATION
Jeremy Jewell	Trinity Consultants/ Envic Fed. of OK
MELANTE FOSTER	ARD-DER
Malcolm Zachariah	ARD-DER
Kendal Stegmann	DEQ
Bud Ground	EFO
Travis Couch	DE Q
Justin Soderfor	WFEC
Laura Enter	WFFC
Beverly Botchlet-Smith	DER
BRIAN ME COOWS	065
Zyan Biggerstoff	DEQ
Madism Miles	DEQ
Jared Milant	AQD
Mike Hiran	OGHE.
1	

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	PUBLIC HEARING RE: REGIONAL HAZE STATE		
9	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR THE SECOND PLANNING PERIOD		
10	AT OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
11	BEGINNING AT 9:00 AM ON JULY 1, 2022		
12			
13	APPEARANCES		
14			
15	Melanie Foster		
16	Rules & Planning Section Manager		
17	Air Quality Division		
18	OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
19			
20	Travis Couch		
21	Supervising Attorney		
22	Air Quality Division		
23	OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
24			
25	REPORTED BY: Jenny Longley, CSR		



**Public Hearing Re: Regional Haze** 7/1/2022 Page 2 **PROCEEDINGS** 1 responses will be included in our SIP document. All 2 <sup>2</sup> media inquiries will be referred to the DEO MR. COUCH: Good morning. My name is <sup>3</sup> Travis Couch, I'm Supervising Attorney for the Air 3 Communication Director at the conclusion of the <sup>4</sup> Quality Division. As such, I will serve as protocol 4 hearing. officer for today's hearing. 5 The hearing is being convened by the Department of Environmental Quality in compliance 8 with Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, <sup>9</sup> Part 51, as well as the authority of Title 27A of <sup>10</sup> the Oklahoma Statutes, Section 2-5-101 through <sup>11</sup> 2-5-130. 12 Notice for this hearing was sent to 13 the Air Quality Division's Public Hearing email <sup>14</sup> distribution list via GovDelivery on June 1, 2022, 15 website. as well as posted on DEQ's website. This hearing is <sup>16</sup> being conducted for the purpose of receiving 16 17 comments pertaining to the proposed Regional Haze State Implementation Plan for the second planning period to comply with the requirements contained in the federal Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51, <sup>21</sup> Subpart P, Protection of Visibility. The proposed <sup>22</sup> Regional Haze Plan Revision has been available for <sup>23</sup> public review since June 1, 2022. 24 The hearing notice stated that <sup>25</sup> interested parties could view a non-participatory Page 3 1 stream of the hearing online. The link to view this 2 hearing on Zoom was posted to DEQ's website on 3 June 29, 2022. Although virtual observers will not 4 be able to make oral comments on the record during 5 the hearing today, they are still able to submit 6 written comments by emailing 6 Lawton/Fort Sill. 7 AQDSIPComments@deq.ok.gov through 4:30 pm today, 8 July 1st.

At this time, we will proceed with 6 our hearing. Melanie Foster, Rules & Planning Section Manager, will give a brief presentation. MS. FOSTER: Good morning. My name is <sup>9</sup> Melanie Foster and I am the Rules & Planning Section 10 Manager in the Air Quality Division of DEQ. I am 11 going to give a brief presentation about DEQ's 12 Regional Haze State Implementation Plan for the 13 Second Planning Period before we begin taking public 14 comments. This presentation is also posted on our The Regional Haze Program was 17 established by Congress as part of the Clean Air 18 Act. Its goal is to restore visibility to natural 19 conditions at Class I areas by 2064. Oklahoma is 20 required to periodically submit state implementation 21 plans, or SIPS, to address visibility at Class I 22 areas within and outside the state that may be <sup>23</sup> affected by emissions from sources within Oklahoma. <sup>24</sup> In each 10-year planning period until 2064, DEQ is 25 charged with developing a long-term strategy and a Page 5 1 reasonable progress goal to make incremental visibility improvement. Oklahoma's only Class I area is the Comanche County in Southwest Oklahoma near

For the in-person participants, if 10 you wish to make a statement during the hearing 11 today, it is very important that you complete a form 12 at the registration table. You will be called upon 13 at the appropriate time to come to the podium and make your comment. Please also state, and spell if necessary, your name and affiliation for the record before beginning your comment. Each commenter will 17 have five minutes to provide oral testimony. Your comments will be made part of the hearing record and considered in developing the agency submission to EPA. Commenters are also encouraged to supply a written version of their oral comments to DEQ staff 22 in hard copy or via email today, if possible. Please note that DEQ staff will not 24 be providing responses to comments today during the

25 hearing. However, all comments and any agency

<sup>4</sup> Wichita Mountains Wilderness Area located in Visibility conditions are measured by 8 air sample analysis from an Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments, also known as 10 IMPROVE, monitor located at the Wichita Mountains 11 Wildlife Refuge. These filter samples are taken 12 every three days. Analysis of the resulting data is 13 used to determine the 20 percent clearest days and 14 the 20 percent most impaired days. Further analysis 15 of the pollutants found on the 20 percent most 16 impaired days reveals a majority contribution from 17 particulates of sulfate and nitrate. Anthropogenic, 18 or man-made, emissions that correlate to sulfate and nitrate particulates are sulfur dioxide and nitrogen <sup>20</sup> oxides, respectively. 21 As mentioned previously, Oklahoma's 22 also required to ensure emissions from sources 23 within the state are not impacting visibility in 24 nearby states' Class I areas. There are a total of <sup>25</sup> five additional Class I areas in three neighboring

1 states- Arkansas, Missouri, and Texas- that are

2 discussed in Oklahoma's Regional Haze SIP as

3 possibly being impacted by emissions from Oklahoma

Page 6

Page 8

4 sources. 5 The Regional Haze Rule and its 6 requirements for states can be found in 40 CFR, Part 7 51, Subpart P. The first planning period covered 8 the years 2010 to 2018 and DEQ submitted its first 9 Regional Haze SIP on February 18, 2010. Significant visibility improvement was made from the reductions achieved directly from the requirements of Planning 12 Period 1, namely Best Available Retrofit Technology or BART. Other air quality programs such as the Cross State Air Pollution Rule, or CSAPR, and the CSAPR Update, and additional New Source Performance 16 Standards, NSPSs, and even indirectly, National 17 Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, or NESHAPs, have also contributed to a general decline in emissions in Oklahoma during this period, which have all helped improve visibility. 21 We are currently in the second planning period, which goes from 2018 to 2028. DEQ started working on this planning period in 2016 when 24 EPA began issuing guidance documents and proposing revisions to the Regional Haze Rule. Final changes Page 7 1 to the rule occurred in January 2017. One of the 2 most significant changes was to the deadline for 3 submittal, which was changed from July 31, 2018 to 4 July 31, 2021. There were several other changes 5 that are not noted on this slide, but another one we 6 wanted to mention was EPA's acknowledgment that 7 adjustments could be made for international 8 contributions and wildland fires. Consultation has 9 always been part of the Regional Haze Rule, but was 10 also amended in 2017. Consultation is an important part of the process since emissions impacting the Wichita Mountains Wilderness Area may be coming from sources in Oklahoma as well as from other states. Oklahoma consulted with its surrounding states primarily through the Regional Planning Organization CenSARA or Central States Air Resource Agencies. 17 For the second planning period, 18 Oklahoma worked with our neighboring states and CenSARA to contract with Ramboll Environ for an Area of Influence study. The AOI study helped confirm for Oklahoma that sulfur dioxide emissions come from 22 fewer sources with larger individual contributions whereas nitrogen oxide emissions have more sources 24 with smaller individual contributions. Oklahoma

25 then applied criteria to the AOI data, as explained

1 in the proposed Regional Haze SIP, and ultimately <sup>2</sup> selected 12 sources within the state for further <sup>3</sup> analysis. In addition, we applied the same criteria 4 to sources in other states that might be impacting <sup>5</sup> the Wichita Mountains Wilderness Area. We sent 6 letters to Arkansas, Louisiana, Nebraska, and Texas 7 regarding potential sources within their states and 8 requested they evaluate these sources as part of our <sup>9</sup> consultation process with neighboring states. We also received "ask" letters from Arkansas and 11 Missouri asking DEQ to evaluate sources. 12 This slide shows a list of the five 13 SO2 sources and the seven NOx sources that were 14 selected. 15 And here is a map of their locations <sup>16</sup> as compared to Oklahoma's Class I area and the Class 17 I areas in Arkansas and Missouri. Kind of hard to 18 19 Once sources were selected, a 20 four-factor analysis was conducted. The four 21 factors required in the Regional Haze Rule are: cost 22 of compliance, time necessary for compliance, energy 23 and non-air quality environmental impacts of 24 compliance, and the remaining useful life of the 25 source. These factors should all be evaluated when Page 9 <sup>1</sup> determining if there are cost-effective controls <sup>2</sup> that can be implemented at the identified sources. 3 DEQ sent letters to the 12 sources and requested 4 that the facilities perform a four-factor analysis. The responses to DEQ's requests for a 6 four-factor analysis were reviewed by DEQ staff. In <sup>7</sup> some cases, a full four-factor analysis was 8 determined to not be necessary because the equipment 9 had already been modified, controlled, or shut down

10 or the company committed to doing so by the end of 11 the planning period. Some sources completed the 12 four-factor analysis and determined that there were 13 no cost-effective control technologies for their 14 units. 15 DEQ selected a long-term strategy 16 that includes the commitments received from sources 17 through the four-factor process during this second 18 planning period. Oklahoma's long-term strategy also 19 recognizes and relies in large part upon existing 20 pollution control programs and clean energy 21 technology advances that have resulted in and will 22 continue to result in advanced progress. Oklahoma's 23 updated Smoke Management Plan is also a part of the 24 long-term strategy. In addition, EPA has recently 25 proposed some regulations that would further reduce

Page 12

1 emissions in Oklahoma, if finalized. Although these

<sup>2</sup> future regulations have not been relied upon for the

3 long-term strategy in Oklahoma's Planning Period 2

4 Regional Haze SIP, it is important to recognize that

<sup>5</sup> they are on the horizon. As all of these

6 requirements are realized, meeting a reasonable

7 progress goal will be achievable with this long-term

strategy.

As prescribed by the Regional Haze

10 Rule, the 20 percent most impaired days and the

20 percent clearest days must be evaluated from a --

12 (An issue occurred with the slides.)

13 MS. FOSTER: As prescribed by the Regional

14 Haze Rule, the 20 percent most impaired days and the

15 20 percent clearest days must be evaluated from a

<sup>16</sup> baseline period to current conditions to track

17 progress toward achieving the goal of natural

18 visibility conditions by 2064. The uniform rate of

19 progress or URP line, is also known as the

20 glidepath, is drawn from baseline conditions to

21 natural conditions. It provides a good illustration

22 for whether the state is making appropriate

23 progress. Oklahoma is requesting adjustment of the

24 glidepath to account for wildland fires and

<sup>25</sup> international sources as allowed by EPA. This also

Page 11 <sup>1</sup> results in an adjustment to the 2064 visibility

<sup>2</sup> target. The resulting adjusted uniform rate of

3 progress requires Oklahoma to be at or under 17.36

4 deciviews by 2028. EPA's modeling, without the

5 additional shutdowns and controls Oklahoma has

6 included in this Regional Haze SIP, projects

7 Oklahoma to be at 16.93 deciviews on the 20 percent

most impaired days in 2028.

This chart is a visual representation

10 of this information. Actual, measured IMPROVE data

11 is shown on the jagged red line on the left.

Although from year to year the values may go up or

13 down, the overall trend is down. The straight red

14 line is the unadjusted URP glidepath that will take

<sup>15</sup> us to natural conditions by 2064. The adjusted URP

16 to remove international and wildland fire

17 contributions, as allowed by EPA, is shown as a

dashed green line. The single, red diamond is the

19 EPA projection in 2028, without any additional

<sup>20</sup> reductions from the second planning period, which is

<sup>21</sup> below the adjusted URP. With the additional

22 reductions from Oklahoma's long-term strategy, the

23 2028 projection should be even better. Factor in

24 the upcoming EPA regulations and Oklahoma should

25 continue to make significant improvement in

visibility from anthropogenic sources at the Wichita

2 Mountains Wilderness Area.

3 Once Oklahoma prepared its draft

4 Planning Period 2 Regional Haze SIP, it was shared

5 with the Federal Land Managers for a 60-day comment

6 period as required by the rule. Comments were

7 received from the U.S. Forest Service. These

8 comments were responded to in the public draft of

9 the Regional Haze SIP. EPA Region 6 supplied

10 informal comments. Revisions were made to the

11 Regional Haze SIP based on FLM and EPA comments if

12 necessary and appropriate. The draft SIP was also

13 shared with our tribal partners and any neighboring

14 states that sent us an "ask" letter or were sent an

15 "ask" letter. No comments were received from the

16 tribal partners or neighboring states. The 60-day

17 consultation process led to the public comment

period we are in today.

19 DEQ's next steps after this public

20 hearing are to close the comment period at 4:30 pm

21 today. DEQ staff will begin reviewing all comments

22 received at the hearing today or in writing through

23 the end of today. A response to comments document

24 will be created and DEO staff will revise the

25 Regional Haze SIP to address the comments received

Page 13

<sup>1</sup> if necessary and appropriate. DEQ plans to submit

<sup>2</sup> the Planning Period 2 Regional Haze SIP and all

3 supporting materials to EPA Region 6 by August 15,

4 2022.

12

This concludes my brief presentation

6 on the Planning Period 2 Regional Haze SIP. I will

7 now turn it back over to Travis Couch.

MR. COUCH: DEQ will now begin taking

public comments on our proposed Regional Haze State

10 Implementation Plan for the Second Planning Period.

11 Jeremy Jewell is our first commenter.

MR. JEWELL: Jeremy Jewell for the

13 Environmental Federation of Oklahoma.

EFO has -- well, so we generally

15 support this SIP, I would say with one exception and

16 one note for the record.

17 First, the note is just that if you

18 continue that graph out to 2022 that you just -- or,

excuse me, 2020 that you just displayed, because

<sup>20</sup> there is now 2020 IMPROVE data available from

21 Colorado State University, then the trend line

22 continues even further downward.

The one exception to our support is

24 we do not support DEQ's use of 3.25 percent as a

25 capital recovery interest rate in any capital -- or,

www.proreporters.com

1	excuse me, any cost effectiveness calculations, even	1	the Second Planning Period.
2	if it's just presented as a sensitivity analysis.	2	_
	EFO thinks any use of the bank prime rate, which is	3	•
	what that number was based upon, to estimate private	4	
	company borrowing capability is unreasonable	5	
1	regardless of EPA's recommendation to do so.	6	
7	-	7	
8	bank prime rate has actually increased by	8	
9		9	
10		10	
11	Thank you.	11	
12	-	12	
13		13	
14		14	
15	no hands, we will recess until DEQ receives a	15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19	•	19	
20		20	
21		21	
22	Thank you.	22	
23		23	
24		24	
25	right now, a second one from Jeremy Jewell.	25	
1	Page 15	1	Page 17
	Till 02 (1222) 1001 1 call, tham you, colonly	2	021111101112
	Jewell again, this time on behalf of Oxbow Calcining in Kremlin, Oklahoma. Two relatively minor		i, reinif Bongiej, Certifica Siloratana
4	•	1	Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing hearing
5			was by me taken in shorthand and thereafter
	SIP, in Table 6-4, the value for the "Cost of	1	transcribed; and that I am not an attorney for nor
	Compliance (dollars per ton)" under the "City of	1	relative of any of said parties or otherwise
1	Enid" column in the row identified by "Kiln 2",		interested in the event of said action.
1		9	
	number should be '\$14,944'.	10	
11			2022.
	In Section 6.4.1.1 actually, it continues on page	12	
	37 the I believe it's the second-to-last	13	, 0 0 =
14		14	
15	-	15	
16	•	16	
17		17	
18	-	18	
19		19	
20		20	
21	-	21	
22		22	
23		23	
24	Therefore, this concludes the hearing on the	24	
1	proposed Regional Haze State Implementation Plan for	25	