| FY21 Fiscal Agent | Total Budget | et Approval Status | | | Reviewer Name / Date | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|
| | #N/A | SELE | CT APPROVAL STATUS: | | | | | | |
| | E= 10 | 00% - 85% | M = 85% - 65% | TA = 64 | 1.99% - 0 | | TOTAL SCORE | | |
| | the proposed activiti | cation clearly describes how ies will meet the criteria of e section. | Meets Expectation: The application provides adequate evidence to support proposed activities. | Little or no infor | ical Assistance: mation to support d activities. | Criteria Not Met | 0.00% | | |
| | | 10-9 | 8-6 | Ę | i-4 | 3-0 | | | |
| | S | ection 1: Federal Finan | cial Information Collection Requi | rements | | | | | |
| Questions [1.1] - [1.7] are completed, if applicable | Select YES or NO below | Compensa | Compensation of the 5 Top Executives (only fill out if you meet qualifications needed = Yes on 1.6 and 1.7) | | | | | | |
| 1.1SAM EUI number is correct | YES | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 1.2 SAM's registration updated | YES | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 1.3 Zip+4 for the PHYSICAL street address | YES | 3 | | | | | | | |
| 1.4 Congressional District Number | YES | 4 | | | | | | | |
| 1.5 Revenues: 80% or more AND \$25 million or more in revenues from the listed sources? | YES | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 1.6 Compensation of executives | No | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7 Names and compensation of the 5 most executives. | No | | | | | | | | |
| | | Section 2: Con | nprehensive Needs Assessment | | | | | | |
| 2.1(1) List the top 3-5 priorities as identified by the CLNA | | ise identifies the top 3- y as listed in the CLNA. | Meets response lists 3-2 priorities from the CLNA | response lists of | ical Assistance only 1-2 priorities he CLNA | Does not Meet Criteria has no priorities listed | | | |
| 2.1(2) For each priority listed, address ALL of these requirements: A. Identify the specific Student Opportunity or Performance Gap this priority will address. B. Name the program this priority related to. C. Describe what data in your CLNA was used to determine that this should be a priority. | the specific student opportunity or performance gap this priority will address. Provides the name of the the priority | | Meets response describes how CLNA results were used to determine opportunities or gaps in this area. Identifies the specific student opportunity or performance gap this priority will address. Provides the name of the the priority program. | response does in CLNA results determine oppo this area or; does specific studer performance g does not provide | ical assistance not describes how is were used to rtunities or gaps in es not Identify the it opportunity or ap to address or; es the name of the ty program. | Little or no information provided on priorities or gaps. | | | |

| FY21 Fiscal Agent | Total Budget Approval Status | | | | Reviewer Name / Date | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|-------|
| | #N/A | #N/A SELECT APPROVAL STATUS: | | | | | |
| | E= 100% - 85% M = 85% - 65% TA = 64.9 | | 64.99% - 0 | | TOTAL SCORE | | |
| | the proposed activiti | ration clearly describes how es will meet the criteria of e section. | Meets Expectation: The application provides adequate evidence to support proposed activities. | to Little or no information to suppor | | Criteria Not Met | 0.00% |
| | | 10-9 | 8-6 | 5 | 5-4 | 3-0 | |
| 2.2 Action Items to Address Priorities List and discuss the actions the school plans to take each fiscal year to address identified opportunities or gaps in the five key areas of the CLNA for FY21 and FY22: >Student Performance Data >Labor Market Alignment >Size, Scope, Quality >Implementing Programs of Study | requiring the use of Perkins funds to increase student performance or address gaps in the five key areas for both fiscal years . Actions must be listed in the correct key area (Step). The expected outcome of each action should be listed along with a plan to evaluate the effectiveness of each action. | | Meets response describes fully all actions requiring the use of Perkins funds to increase student performance or address gaps in the five key areas for both fiscal years. Actions must | | stance response all actions that g. Actions are not correct key area al year. Action vague and lacks | Not met response does not list all actions that require funding. Actions are not listed in the correct key area (Step) or fiscal year. Actions are not provided for both fiscal years. Action | |
| >Recruitment, Retention and Staff Training Actions are not required in ALL listed areas. | | | | | etali. | description is missing. | |
| Section 3: Complete, accurate Programs of Study are attached for every area using Perkins funds. | of all required Pr must include com specific courses, b at the high scho and/or communit pathway chosen s an industry lice degree. Little to n work is seen thro | se includes submission ograms of Study. POS plete listings/names of oth CTE and academic, ol, technology center y college level. Career should lead student to nse, certification, or o duplication of course ughout the Program of study. | Meets response includes complete sequence of courses. Minor duplication of course work occurs between the secondary and post-secondary course outlines. Course outline leads to industry certification, licensure or degree. | course out incomplete of course number Duplication so course pathwa or other inform POS does not certification | udy include some dines but are or provide only ers (not names). een throughout y. School names nation is missing. lead to industry n, licensure or gree. | Not met response - not all required Programs of Study were submitted with the application. (Automatic Rejection) | |

| FY21 Fiscal Agent | Total Budget Approval Status Reviewer Name / Date | | | | | Reviewer Name / Date | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|-------------|--|
| | #N/A | SELE | CT APPROVAL STATUS: | | | | | |
| | E= 10 | 00% - 85% | M = 85% - 65% | TA = 64 | 1.99% - 0 | | TOTAL SCORE | |
| | the proposed activiti | cation clearly describes how ies will meet the criteria of e section. | Meets Expectation: The application provides adequate evidence to support proposed activities. | Little or no infor | nical Assistance: rmation to support Criteria Not Met d activities. | | 0.00% | |
| | | 10-9 | 8-6 | ij | 5-4 | 3-0 | | |
| 4.0 4-year Budgeting Goals: Provide us with an overview of your 4-year budgeting goals: | overview of the recipients 4 year budget providing specific goal purchases and their desired results. | | Meets response would provide a overview of the recipients 4 year budget. It provides a broad view and may contain specific goal purchases and state the desired results. (Points 6-8) | Technical assistance response: provides a broad budget overview but would not contain specific budgeting goals or state the desired results. (Points 4-5) | | Not met response does not provide an overview of the recipients 4 year budget, and/or provides little to no budgeting goals. (Points 0-3) | | |
| Budget narrative questions 4.1 - 4.5 should be judged as a single, complete unit by placing your total score on this row. The recipient should ensure that all equipment and supplies being purchased are listed in the narrative, along with the program area, and the school in necessary. (ex: Crockett Ag – Smartboard; Lincoln FACS – Chromebooks) Response should include rationale for purchase, meaning how will they be used in the class room to improve instruction and help lead students to the award of a certification, licensure or degree. Points: 17-20 Exemplary; 12-16 Meets; 6-11 Technical Assistance, 0-5 Not Met) | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1 Student Performance Provide narrative for priorities identified in CLNA area #1 - Student Performance. Budget items in this area would encompass areas in the following approved program/Use of Funds areas: • Academic Integration (330) • Evaluation (337) • Secondary/Post-secondary Link (340) | Exemplary response identifies specific gaps in student performance, and targets use(s) of funds to make improvement(s). | | Meets response describes/discusses using student data to improve performance. Some evidence of plans for associating use(s) of funds with improvement(s) | Technical assistance response provides little evidence of identifying performance gaps and associating use(s) of funds with improvement(s). | | Not met response provides evidence of identifying performance gaps, but no association of use(s) of funds toward improvement(s). | | |

| FY21 Fiscal Agent | Total Budget | | Approval Status | Reviewer Name / Date | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|
| | #N/A | SELE | CT APPROVAL STATUS: | | | | | | |
| | E= 10 | 00% - 85% | M = 85% - 65% | TA = 64.99% - 0 | | | TOTAL SCORE | | |
| | the proposed activiti | cation clearly describes how ies will meet the criteria of e section. | Meets Expectation: The application provides adequate evidence to support proposed activities. | Needs Technical Assistance: Little or no information to supp proposed activities. | | Criteria Not Met | 0.00% | | |
| | | 10-9 | 8-6 | į | 5-4 | 3-0 | | | |
| 4.2 Labor Market Alignment Provide narrative for priorities identified in CLNA area #2 - Labor Market Alignment. Budget items in this area would encompass areas in the following approved program/Use of Funds areas: • Workforce Partnerships (338) • Services & Activities (High Skill, High Wage, In-Demand (339) | specific use(s) of funds will addresses labor market alignment. Evidence of workforce partnerships are included and describe how the partnerships guide decision-making in areas that are high skill, high wage, and/or in-demand for | | specific use(s) of funds will addresses labor market alignment. Evidence of workforce partnerships are included and describe how the partnerships guide decision-making in areas that are high skill, high wage, and/or in-demand for | | Meets response discusses local job market, possibly without verified resource data. Some word of mouth, industry input and/or requests from students are used to align to local labor markets. Recipient describes a less formal process that may not occur annually. | Technical assistance response provides little evidence of utilizing any workforce or economic indicators when working toward labor market alignment. | | Not met response provides no evidence of utilizing any workforce or economic indicators to align programs to labor market needs. | |
| 4.3 Size, Scope and Qualify Provide narrative for priorities identified in CLNA area #3 Size,Scope and Quality Budget items in this area would encompass areas in the following approved program/Use of Funds areas: • Use of Technology (332) • Work-Based Learning (331) | Oklahoma Size, Scope and Quality. Recipient response should cover how the POS fulfills the requirements listed in the IsSQ definitions. Recipient response must provide data from a reputable source such as the Oklahoma Department of | | Meets response shows some evidence that the POS meets the requirements of SSQ. Recipient response may be limited in the provision of actual data from a reputable source such as the Oklahoma Department of Labor, the commerce department or other verified source. | Technical assistance response provides little evidence of meeting SSQ. There may be evidence that data is from local sources that are not associated with DOL, Commerce, or other verified sources. | | Not met response provides no evidence of meeting SSQ and/or no verified data source. | | | |
| 4.4 Implementing Programs of Study Provide narrative for priorities identified in CLNA area #4 — Implementing Programs of Study. Budget items in this area would encompass areas in the following approved program/Use of Funds areas: • Guidance and Counseling (334) • Career Awareness (335) | Exemplary responsubstantially Achie | nse would be showing eved on all indicators in CLNA | Meets response would show a mix of substantially achieved and Moderately achieved on all indicators in CLNA | Technical Assisantce would show a mix of moderately Achieved and Minimally Achieved on all indicators in CLNA | | Not Met would show a mx of Minimally Achieved and Not at all Achiveded on all indicators in CLNA | | | |

| | FY21 Fiscal Agent | Total Budget Approval Status | | | | Reviewer Name / Date | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|-------------|
| ľ | | #N/A | SELE | CT APPROVAL STATUS: | | | | |
| | | E= 10 | 00% - 85% | M = 85% - 65% | TA = 64.99% - 0 | | | TOTAL SCORE |
| | | the proposed activiti | cation clearly describes how ies will meet the criteria of e section. | Meets Expectation: The application provides adequate evidence to support proposed activities. | Needs Technical Assistance: Little or no information to support proposed activities. | | Criteria Not Met | 0.00% |
| | | | 10-9 | 8-6 | 5 | 5-4 | 3-0 | |
| | 4.5 Staff Recruitment, Retention and Training Provide narrative for priorities identified in CLNA area #5 — Recruitment, Retention and Staff Training. Budget items in this area would encompass areas in the following approved program/Use of Funds areas: • Professional Development (333) • Recruitment and Retention (336) | for recruitmen listings, college re media service especially tho represented gro describe approad such as teacher mentoring, profe activities and co opportunities. preparation and including profes workshops and at certifications. information on co through occupa Perkins Administra | ase identifies strategies at such as online job cruitment, career fairs, is, and publications are targeting under ups. Recipient should ches to retaining staff induction programs, essional development ontinuing education Recipient describes at training of teachers assional development estainment of additional Recipient includes coperation with ODCTE ational divisions and ation on activities such cher professional elopment. | Meets response will identify strategies for recruitment such as online job listings, college recruitment, career fairs, media services, and publications especially those targeting under represented groups. Recipient may describe approaches to retaining staff such as teacher induction programs, mentoring, professional development activities and continuing education opportunities. Recipient does not include information on cooperation with ODCTE. | identifies limit recruitment of not go into great of recruitmen describe some | stance response red strategies for of CTE staff. Will at detail on types t or results. Will retention policy ad terms. | Not met response lacks depth and detail, no information given about recruitment and retention but no details are provided. | |
| | 4.6 Consortium Allocations List each school in the consortium, followed by their allocation amount, THEN the amount being budgeted by the consortium. Consortia Only: Providing the exact ODCTE allocation back to each member district will lead to an Automatic Rejection of the application. | Fiscal lead lists | consortium. Fa | ortium, includes the original ODC illure to provide all the information of the informatio | n will result in a | deduction of 10 | The state of the s | |

| | FY21 Fiscal Agent | Total Budget Approval Status | | | | Reviewer Name / Date | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|------------------|-------------|
| | | #N/A | SELE | CT APPROVAL STATUS: | | | | |
| | | E= 10 | 00% - 85% | M = 85% - 65% | TA = 64 | 4.99% - 0 | | TOTAL SCORE |
| | | the proposed activiti | ation clearly describes how es will meet the criteria of esection. | Meets Expectation: The application provides adequate evidence to support proposed activities. | Needs Technical Assistance: Little or no information to support proposed activities. | | Criteria Not Met | 0.00% |
| ļ | | | 10-9 | 8-6 | | 5-4 | 3-0 | |
| ı | 4.7 Consortium Purchasing Select the method the consortium will use to purchase budgeted items. Option A: The fiscal agent will do all the purchasing and ship the items to their member districts. Option B: The member districts will make their approved purchases and submit reports and invoices to the fiscal agent for reimbursement. | Consortium did | | s for which they plan to reimburse GENTS NOT OPERATING A CONSO | | | | |
| | Section 6: Budget Worksheet | ksheet clearly shows for planned purchases tailed descriptions. enefits are itemized arately. | Meets Expectation: Worksheet shows school/programs for planned purchases with brief descriptions. | Worksh school/progr purchases wi | ical Assistance: eet shows am for planned th irrelevant or escriptions. | All or Most Criteria Not Met (Automatic Rejection) | | |

| FY21 Fiscal Agent | Total Budget | | Approval Status | Reviewer Name / Date | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|---|--|-----------|------------------|-------------|
| | #N/A | SELE | ECT APPROVAL STATUS: | | | | |
| | E= 10 | 00% - 85% | M = 85% - 65% | TA = 6 | 4.99% - 0 | | TOTAL SCORE |
| | the proposed activit | cation clearly describes how les will meet the criteria of e section. | Meets Expectation: The application provides adequate evidence to support proposed activities. | Needs Technical Assistance: Little or no information to support proposed activities. | | Criteria Not Met | 0.00% |
| | | 10-9 | 8-6 | 5-4 | | 3-0 | |
| The Grant itemized Budget should be judged as a single complete unit. All planned expenditures, including professional development activities, must be listed, along with the program (and school if a consortium) utilizing the purchase(s) and/or services. The maximum score is 20pts and the ranges are listed in the cells to the right. EX: Memphis Ag. Ed Plasma Cutter | 17 | 7-20pts | 12-16pts | 6-11pts | | 3-0 0-5pts | |