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Opening Remarks from the Chairs
Working Group Priorities
Working Groups: Report Out

- Economic Development & Workforce
- Speakers: Co-Chairs Pugh & Baker
Working Groups: Report Out

Transportation, Infrastructure, & Rural Development

Speakers: Co-Chairs Leewright & Phillips
Working Groups: Report Out

Health & Human Services

Speakers: Co-Chairs Haste & Hilbert
Working Groups: Report Out

Government Transformation & Collaboration

Speakers: Co-Chairs Hall & Boatman
Scoring Rubric Review
Approach to Creating Scoring Rubric

- **Review Scoring Rubrics through Guidehouse Center of Excellence**
  - Leverage Guidehouse’s Center of Excellence (COE) to view and understand the rubrics and processes being used nationally by other Guidehouse ARPA teams
  - Use the Center of Excellence to understand best practices when creating a scoring rubric

- **Develop Scoring Rubric Draft Utilizing Best Practices**
  - Work to develop criteria based on Treasury Guidance, the Joint Committee’s expectations, and rubrics and best practices from Guidehouse COE
  - Incorporate criteria into a scoring rubric that allows Working Groups the flexibility to score projects based on four ratings: Not Demonstrated, Below Expectation, Meets Expectations, and Exceeds Expectations
  - Assign scoring to each rating, provide explanations for each in the context of the specific criteria, and provide Guiding Considerations for reference

- **Incorporate OMES, 929, and OMES Legal Feedback**
  - OMES, 929, and OMES Legal provided feedback into the scoring rubric

- **Submit to Joint Committee for Review**
  - Send draft scoring rubric once updated with OMES, 929, and OMES Legal feedback to the JC Chairs for review
  - Incorporate the Chairs feedback into the scoring rubric
  - Present updated scoring rubric to full Joint Committee for review
## Scoring Rubric Criteria and Weighting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Alignment with Joint Committee Goals and Working Group Priorities</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. One-Time or Recurring Funding Required</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Availability of alternative, non-SLRF Federal or State Funding (i.e. ARPA funds appropriated to State agencies or Infrastructure funds)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Use of Matching Funds (i.e. county, metro city, NEU SLRF funds; private, philanthropy)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Project Feasibility &amp; Plan</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. State &amp; Community Impact</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Disproportionately Impacted/Vulnerable Populations</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Identification of Evidence Based Practices and Project Metrics</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Return on Investment and Outcomes</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Potential for Transformational Impact</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>115</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scoring Rubric Abbreviations Key:**
- JC – Joint Committee
- WG – Working Group
- SLRF – State and Local Recovery Funds
- DI – Disproportionately Impacted
- QCTs – Qualified Census Tracts
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## Proposed Rubric for Working Group Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Not Demonstrated</th>
<th>Below Expectation</th>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Exceeds Expectations</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Alignment with Joint Committee Goals and Working Group Priorities</td>
<td>Project does not align with any of the three goals set by JC</td>
<td>Project can be related to one JC goal and WG priority, but alignment is not clear</td>
<td>Project aligns closely with two JC goals and WG priority</td>
<td>Project aligns closely with all three JC goals and one WG priority</td>
<td>0 points 3 points 7 points 10 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. One-Time or Recurring Funding Required</td>
<td>Yes, needs recurring state general fund appropriations</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No, needs only one-time funding and no recurring state general fund appropriation</td>
<td>0 points 10 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Availability of alternative, non-SLRF Federal or State Funding (i.e. ARPA funds appropriated to State agencies or Infrastructure funds)</td>
<td>Significant non-SLRF federal or state funding source is available</td>
<td>Non-SLRF federal or state funding source is available</td>
<td>Some non-SLRF federal or state funding source may be available</td>
<td>No other non-SLRF federal or state funding source has been identified</td>
<td>0 points 3 points 7 points 10 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Use of Matching Funds (i.e. county, metro city, NEU SLRF funds; private, philanthropy)</td>
<td>No evidence of public, private, philanthropic partnerships</td>
<td>Actual or potential public, private, or philanthropic partnerships identified</td>
<td>Actual or potential public, private, or philanthropic partnerships with actual or potential funding identified</td>
<td>One or more actual public, private, or philanthropic partnerships identified with letter of support or funding commitment</td>
<td>0 points 5 points 10 points 15 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Project Feasibility &amp; Plan</td>
<td>No clear plan for use of funds or feasibility of project</td>
<td>Project plan for use of funds and feasibility are unclear and/or ambiguous</td>
<td>Project plan and use of funds are clear and project feasibility is presented with some details</td>
<td>Project plan and use of funds and feasibility are clear and presented with significant detail</td>
<td>0 points 5 points 10 points 15 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. State &amp; Community Impact</td>
<td>No identification of project impact, including populations to benefit</td>
<td>Some identification of project impact, but lack of clarity on populations to be benefited</td>
<td>State and community impacts of project clearly identified and described with populations to benefit</td>
<td>State &amp; Community impacts of project clearly identified with multiple populations to benefit</td>
<td>0 points 3 points 7 points 10 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Disproportionately Impacted/Vulnerable Populations</td>
<td>No DI/vulnerable populations to benefit from the project</td>
<td>Project intends to benefit DI and vulnerable populations but not clearly identified</td>
<td>Project description clearly identifies DI/vulnerable populations that will be benefited</td>
<td>Project description clearly identifies multiple DI/vulnerable populations to be benefited and describes in detail how</td>
<td>0 points 5 points 10 points 15 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Identification of Evidence Based Practices and Project Metrics</td>
<td>No mention of evidence based-practices or metrics to be utilized or furthered in the project</td>
<td>Intent expressed in application for use of evidence-based practices and project metrics but not clearly identified</td>
<td>Identification of anticipated evidence-based practices and project metrics</td>
<td>Detailed description of all anticipated evidence-based practices and project metrics</td>
<td>0 points 3 points 7 points 10 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Return on Investment and Outcomes</td>
<td>No mention of project outcomes or ROI</td>
<td>Recognition within project description of project outcomes and ROI but not specified</td>
<td>Identification of anticipated project outcomes and ROI</td>
<td>Detailed description of all expected project outcomes and positive ROI</td>
<td>0 points 3 points 7 points 10 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Potential for Transformational Impact</td>
<td>No opportunity for transformational impact for Oklahoma citizens.</td>
<td>Project may have transformational impact on citizens and state capabilities over the next 2-5 years</td>
<td>Project may have transformational impact on citizens and state capabilities over the next 5-10 years</td>
<td>Project is a once in a generation opportunity for the state</td>
<td>0 points 3 points 7 points 10 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**
Non-Entitlement Units (NEU) Status
NEU Status Update

579 NEUs in the State of Oklahoma
$119M available to NEUs in the first tranche

Next Steps

- Completion of October’s NEU eligible/transaction reports (completed 11/17)
- Continue communication to remaining 37 NEUs on completing signup (lacking contact info)
- US Treasury extension request submission due 12/3
- November’s monthly US Treasury report due 11/30

542 NEUs have received a login to sign up in the portal (~94% of NEUs)

304 NEUs are signed up on the portal and have accepted funds (~53% of NEUs)

225 NEUs have ARPA funds in their accounts (~39% of NEUs)

97 Million dollars distributed (~82% of total funding for first tranche)
Intake Stats
Intake Form Statistics

Submissions through 11/16

73 Ideas Submitted
324 Projects Submitted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligible Use</th>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Funds Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Expenditures</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>$1.2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressing Negative Economic Impacts</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>$2.3B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Public Sector Revenue</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$445.1M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium Pay for Essential Workers</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$109.8M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in Water, Sewer, and Broadband</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$1.6B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>$5.7B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highest dollar amount requested: $350,000,000
Lowest dollar amount requested: $40,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity Type</th>
<th>Ideas</th>
<th>Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small 501-C3 Nonprofit</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large 501-C3 Nonprofit</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Nonprofit Entity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Agency</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Government Entity</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Government Entity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Business</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Business Entity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Resident</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Federal Funding – Capital Projects Fund, Infrastructure Investment Act
Federal Funding for Oklahoma 2020-2026
Stimulus Available to Oklahoma ($ in Millions)

Funding from CARES, FFCR, CPR, PPP & HCEA, Consolidated Appropriations Act, Executive Action, and ARPA represent emergency assistance and recovery grant funding totaling ~$13,583,000,000 funneled through U.S. Agencies for distribution to Oklahoma recipients.

Legislation: funding allocated to Oklahoma (grant funding only) → Funds flow through Federal Agencies → Distribution to Oklahoma Recipients

Source: Federal Funds Information for States (FFIS)
Oklahoma Allocation of Selected Federal Funding*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Funding Amount</th>
<th>Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Food and Forestry</td>
<td>$108 Million</td>
<td>• Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Emergency Food Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Commodity Supplemental Foods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>$18.3 Million</td>
<td>• Economic Adjustment Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• State Planning Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>$3.38 Billion</td>
<td>• Governor’s Emergency Education Relief Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Higher Education Emergency Relief Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Department of Health</td>
<td>$658 Million</td>
<td>• COVID Crisis Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Lab capacity programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• COVID vaccine preparedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• COVID testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Authority</td>
<td>$332 Million</td>
<td>• Enhanced Medicaid reimbursement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Services</td>
<td>$927 Million</td>
<td>• Child Care Development Block Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Child Care Stabilization Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Community Services BG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Head Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Low Income Energy Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health &amp; Substance Abuse</td>
<td>$123.5 Million</td>
<td>• Mental Health Block Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Substance Abuse Block Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Community Mental Health Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Certified Behavioral Health Clinics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>$387 Million</td>
<td>• Surface Transportation Block Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• FAA Formula Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• FTA Rescue Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Airport Rescue Grants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These allocations are based on FFIS reported grant funding amounts. The programs listed are representative of received agency funding.
ARPA Capital Projects Fund
ARPA Capital Projects Fund – Treasury Guidance Overview

State of OK Allocation: $167,683,747

Project Eligibility (must meet all of the following):

1. Capital assets designed to directly enable work, education, and health monitoring

2. Addresses a critical need that results from, revealed or exacerbated by COVID

3. Critical need of the community to be served

Period of Performance: March 15, 2021, through December 31, 2026
Application must be filed in Treasury On-Line Portal by December 27, 2021
Capital Funds not used by December 31, 2026 must be returned

Presumptively Eligible Projects

Broadband Infrastructure Projects - Construction and deployment of broadband focused on last-mile connections. Grant plan must address if projects will result in affordable options for targeted service areas

Digital Connectivity Technology Projects - Purchase/installation of devices and equipment to facilitate broadband access. Permitted devices include laptops, tablets, desktop computers for public distribution through loan program or available for use in public facilities

Multi-purpose Community Facility Projects - Construct or improve buildings designed to jointly and directly enable work, education, and health monitoring. For example, full-service community schools that provide academic services to students and adults, health monitoring, and workforce training/career counseling

Eligible Entities
States, Territories, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and Tribal Governments

Eligible Tribal Government is the recognized government body individually identified in the most recent list published under Sec. 104 of the Federally Recognized Indian Tribal List Act of 1994
Alternative Capital Projects – Reviewed on a Case-by-Case Bases

In addition to the presumptively eligible Capital Projects, a Recipient may propose a different use of funds. Such a use must meet all three of the ARPA statutory criteria, specifically:

1. The project invests in a capital project designed to **directly enable work, education, and health monitoring**.
   - Capital Project means construction, purchase, installation or improvement in a capital asset (costs are capitalized or depreciated, including ancillary costs); examples: Buildings, towers, digital devices and equipment, fiber-optic lines and broadband networks.
   - Capital Project must enable all three purposes of work, education and health (physical or behavioral) monitoring.
   - Project must provide eligible services and activities for at least **five years from completion of Capital Project**.

2. The project will be designed to address a critical need that results from or **was made apparent or exacerbated by the Public Health Emergency**.
   - Projects must be designed to address impediments to community members’ ability to directly engage in work, education, and health monitoring that resulted from or were made apparent or exacerbated by the Public Health Emergency.
   - Recipients are expected to first identify one or more impediments to participation in work, education, and health monitoring that resulted from or were made apparent or exacerbated by the public health emergency and then identify how any such impediments would be remediated with the Project.
Alternative Capital Projects – Reviewed on a Case-by-Case Bases

In addition to the presumptively eligible Capital Projects, a Recipient may propose a different use of funds. Such a use must meet all three of the ARPA statutory criteria, specifically:

1. The project is designed to **address a critical need in the community to be served by it.**

   - The Project must be designed to address a **critical need for the Project in the community to be served by it.** Communities with a critical need for the project include those that do not have access to the resources or services that are provided by the project, whether because of the physical absence or insufficiency within the community of the type of resources provided by the project, or because access to those resources is unaffordable, resulting in barriers to work, education, and health monitoring that were caused or exacerbated by the Public Health Emergency.

   - When determining the individuals and communities with a critical need that will be served by a proposed Capital Project, Recipients may choose to consider any available data including federal and/or state collected data; interviews with community members and business owners; reports from community organizations; documentation of existing facilities providing similar or identical services to those the Capital Project is intended to provide; and any other information they deem relevant.
Broadband Infrastructure Projects
Construction and deployment of broadband focused on last-mile connections. Grant plan must address if projects will result in affordable options for targeted service areas.

Construction and deployment of broadband infrastructure projects are eligible for funding under the Capital Projects Fund program if the infrastructure is designed to deliver, upon project completion, service that reliably meets or exceeds symmetrical download and upload speeds of 100 Mbps.

If impracticable due to geography, topography, or excessive cost, the project must be designed so that it reliably

– meets or exceeds 100 Mbps download speeds
– and between 20 Mbps and 100 Mbps upload speeds
– and be scalable to a minimum of 100 Mbps symmetrical for download and upload speeds
ARPA Capital Projects Fund – Treasury Broadband Guidance

Priority Areas
Recipients encouraged to prioritize last mile connections, fiber-optic infrastructure, and collaboration with networks owned, operated or affiliated with local government, non-profits and cooperatives.

Critical Need
Recipients must explain why communities identified for broadband have a critical need for those projects as is related to access, affordability, reliability, and/or consistency.

Service Providers
Recipients are required to ensure that the service provider for a completed CPF-funded Broadband Infrastructure Project participate in federal programs that provide low-income consumers with subsidies on broadband internet access services.

Affordability
Recipients are encouraged to address affordability as a barrier to full use of the internet when developing their plans.

Broad use of available data allowed to identified project communities, including actual customer experience, speed of existing service at various hours, latency or jitter, use of existing legacy technology.
Digital Connectivity Technology Projects
The purchase or installation of devices and equipment to facilitate broadband internet access are eligible for funding under Capital Projects where affordability has been identified as a barrier to broadband adoption.

Permitted devices and equipment include laptops, tablets and desktop personal computers for distribution to members of the public through short-term or long-term loan programs, or to be made available in public facilities.

Ownership of the equipment must be maintained by the recipient or subrecipient.

Recipients must demonstrate why the communities they have identified to be served by Digital Connectivity Technology Projects have a critical need for those projects.

To identify those communities, recipients may choose to consider any available data, including documentation of existing service performance and pricing, federal or state broadband data, user speed test results, broadband maps, HUD QCT data, interviews with community members and any other relevant information.
Multi-Purpose Community Facility Projects
Projects to construct or improve buildings that are designed jointly and directly to enable work, education and health monitoring. Examples include:

1. **Community Schools**
   Projects to construct or improve full-service community schools that provide a comprehensive academic program to their students and adult education in the community at large; health monitoring to their students and the community; and workforce training or career counseling services that provide community members with the knowledge needed to engage in work, including digital literacy training programs.

2. **Libraries**
   Projects to construct or improve libraries that provide public access to the internet for purposes including work, education, and health monitoring such as offering digital skills programs and support for community members engaging in virtual learning.

3. **Community Health Centers**
   Projects to construct or improve community health centers that, in addition to engaging in health monitoring, provide a broader range of services to the communities they serve, including activities such as access to job counseling employment services, as well as health education classes or internship programs for medical professionals.
Capital Projects Fund - Application Information

Key Timelines
- Application due date: **December 27, 2021**
- Grant Plan due date: **September 24, 2022**

1. **Complete Application**
   **Due: 12/27/2021**
   - Requested Grant Amount – cannot exceed State’s allocation
   - Requested Amount for Program Administrative Costs
     - Must specify the amount of funding for admin costs that they wish to have access to following the execution of grant agreement
   - Designation Letter
     - Must include a designation letter signed by the Governor that identifies and delegates authority to the authorized representative (who will sign certifications, submit application, and sign grant agreement)

2. **Sign Grant Agreement**
   **Due: upon approval of application**
   - the State will execute grant agreement that contains terms and conditions of the award:
     - Roles and responsibilities
     - Accounting and reporting requirements
     - Audits, recordkeeping, and internal controls
     - Other terms required or permitted by federal law

3. **Submit Grant Plan**
   **Due: 9/24/2022**
   - Summary of the State’s plan for the Capital Projects Fund
   - Allocation Table of intended spend across three major categories (Broadband, Digital Connectivity, Community Facility)
   - Detailed program plans - one or more that provides more detailed information on a particular type of Capital Project
   - State’s program plan may be supplemented; i.e. plan for statewide broadband can include detailed program plan for some counties and then update for remaining counties
Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA)
Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021
H.R. 3684 – As Enacted

- **Roads, Bridges and Major Projects**: $110 Billion
- **Rail, Safety, Freight**: $78 Billion
- **Airports/Public Transit**: $64 Billion
- **FEMA/Army Corps**: $38 Billion
- **Electric Vehicles/EV Infrastructure**: $15 Billion
- **Existing Spending (Highway Trust Fund, etc.)**: $650 Billion
- **Environmental Remediation**: $21 Billion
- **Broadband**: $65 Billion
- **Cyber Security**: $1.1 Billion
- **Energy, Water Infrastructure & Western Water Infrastructure**: $178 Billion

**Total**: $1.2 Trillion
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Infrastructure Investment & Jobs Act  $5.77 Billion for **Oklahoma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Highways</th>
<th>Bridges</th>
<th>Public Trans.</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4.3 Billion</td>
<td>$266 Million</td>
<td>$349 Million</td>
<td>$530 Million</td>
<td>$337 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The bill requires **local coordination** on the part of the state. Specifically, the bill requires the state to submit a "5-year-action plan" as part of its proposal, which "shall be informed by collaboration with local and regional entities."

$100 Million Threshold allocation to each State, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia;

$100 Million Threshold allocation to be divided evenly among the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands;

$4.245 Billion To “high-cost areas,” to be distributed by formula

$37.15 Billion (Remaining amount) to be distributed by formula according to the percentage of unserved locations within each entity as part of the US

Maximum of 2% ($849 million) can be used for administrative expense
States may *competitively award subgrants for*:

1. **Unserved service projects**
   (defined as an area that lacks access or access to 25/3 speed and latency sufficient to support real-time, interactive applications) and underserved service projects (defined as an area that lacks access to 25/100 speed and a latency sufficient to support real-time, interactive applications).

2. **Multifamily residential projects**
   Installing broadband infrastructure or providing reduced-cost services within a multifamily residential building, with priority given to a building that has a "substantial share" of unserved households or in a designated poverty area.

3. **Connecting eligible community anchor institutions**
   (defined as an entity such as a school, library, health clinic, health center, hospital or other medical provider; public safety entity; institution of higher education; public housing organization or community support organization that facilitates greater use of broadband service by vulnerable populations, including low-income individuals, unemployed individuals and aged individuals).

4. **Data collection, broadband mapping and planning**

5. **Broadband adoption**
### Digital Equity Competitive Grant (Competitive)

- $2.75 Billion
- Creates **two grant programs**
  - State Digital Equity Capacity Grant Program: Appropriates $60 million for planning grants to states to develop State Equity Plans; $240 million for FY 2022 and $300 million per year for FY 2023 to 2026, funding years to support implementation and digital inclusion initiatives
  - Digital Equity Competitive Grant Program: Appropriates $250 million per year for competitive grants to public and nonprofit entities for a range of digital inclusion and broadband adoption activities
- Timing
  - NOFO not later than 180 days after the funds are made available
  - Awards not later than 270 days after issuing the NOFO

### Enabling Middle Mile Broadband Infrastructure (Competitive / Not State Focused)

- $1 Billion to remain available until September 30, 2026, for **competitive grants**
- Timing
  - Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) due 180 days after bill signing
  - Awards issued within 270 days of NOFO posting
- Uses
  - construction, improvement or acquisition of middle-mile infrastructure, which is defined as "any infrastructure that does not connect directly to an end-user location, including an anchor institution."
- Eligibility
  - telecommunication companies, technology companies, electric utilities and utility cooperatives

### Broadband Affordability Programs (Constituent Focused)

- $14.2 Billion
- Funding Purpose
  - Provides a **$30 per month voucher for low-income families** to use toward any internet service plan
- Other Purpose
  - Renames the FCC program to Affordable Connectivity Program
  - Makes the program permanent and expands eligibility
  - Requires the display of labeling to help consumers make educated decisions
  - Requires new FCC rules regarding digital discrimination and provide best practices for states and municipalities

---
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Follow up items
Follow-Up Items from September 22 meeting

Action Items Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Required Programmatic Indicators</td>
<td>Treasury guidance regarding the required programmatic performance indicators for the Recovery Plan</td>
<td>Sent to Joint Committee members (10/1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Follow-Up Items from September 8 meeting

### Action Items Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualified Census Tracts</td>
<td>Map of Qualified Census Tracts</td>
<td>Sent to Joint Committee members (10/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium Pay</td>
<td>One pager: Overview of Premium Pay requirements and allowable uses</td>
<td>Sent to Joint Committee members (10/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim Final Rule Vulnerable Populations</td>
<td>One pager: Overview of Interim Final Rule requirements regarding vulnerable populations</td>
<td>Sent to Joint Committee members (10/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement Memo</td>
<td>Memo indicating Guidehouse will not pursue any work with Oklahoma cities, counties, municipalities or other local units without prior written consent</td>
<td>Delivered to CFO &amp; Co-Chairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Closing Discussion and Remarks from the Chairs
Appendix
Proposed Guiding Considerations for Scoring Rubric

A. Alignment with JC Goals and WG Priorities
1. Does the project align with the three ARPA program goals adopted by the JC?
2. Does the project align with the Working Group priorities adopted by each Working Group?

B. One-Time or Recurring Funding Required
1. After initial funding from the State, would this project require additional recurring state general fund appropriations?

C. Availability of alternative, non-SLRF Federal or State Funding (i.e., ARPA funds appropriated to State agencies or Infrastructure funds)
1. Are there alternative sources of federal or state funding that could fund this project, other than SLRF?

D. Use of Matching Funds (i.e., county, metro city, NEU SLRF funds; private, philanthropy)
1. Has the applicant sought or obtained SLRF funding from one or more other county, metro city, or NEU in Oklahoma for the project? If so, how much and from which local government?
2. Has the applicant sought or obtained any other federal, state, or philanthropic funding?

E. Project Feasibility & Plan
1. Is the project one of the following: idea, planning in progress, existing plans not commenced, existing project plan commenced?
2. Has the applicant or entity designated to implement the project completed a similar project in Oklahoma in the past?
3. Is the project a part of or otherwise complements other investments or approved plans & projects? If so, are these related to Pandemic response efforts?
4. Can the goals of the project realistically be accomplished using the funds requested and existing resources?

F. State & Community Impact
1. Is the project statewide, regional (involving more than one county) or local (one targeted area within a single county)? How many counties, metro areas and NEU’s will be impacted?
2. How many Oklahomans is the project anticipated to impact?
3. Does the project expand an existing service or capability, or will it provide a new service or capability?

G. Disproportionately Impacted/Vulnerable Populations
1. Will the project deliver direct services? If so, are services to be delivered to vulnerable populations?
2. Is the project anticipated to benefit vulnerable populations?
3. Will the project impact QCTs? If so, how many and are they identified?

H. Identification of Evidence Based Practices and Project Metrics
1. Does the project identify evidence-based practices to be implemented as part of the project plan?
2. Does the project identify how the success of the project will be measured and reported?

I. Return on Investment and Outcomes
1. Does the project identify an anticipated and measurable ROI?
2. Are project outcomes identified and measurable?

J. Potential for Transformational Impact
1. Does the project address multiple goals/priorities across the state in a transformational manner?
2. Does the project introduce a multiplier effect on the economic development growth of significant portions of the Oklahoma economy?
3. Will the project have an extraordinary beneficial impact on the lives of Oklahomans?