STATE QUESTION 705 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 330 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure creates the Oklahoma Education Lottery Act. It creates the Oklahoma Lottery Commission. The Commission will be governed by a board of trustees. The Commission will operate a state lottery. The board will write rules regarding the conduct of lottery games. At least 45% of the revenue from ticket sales will be returned as prizes. The net proceeds of the lottery will be used for education purposes. Net proceeds will equal at least 35% of ticket proceeds except for the first two years. The act contains provisions relating to the selection and regulation of retailers. This act would lose the force and effect of law under certain conditions. The act contains many other provisions relating to the conduct of a state lottery.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	TOTAL VOTES
	==========	=========	======
ADAIR	3,934	3,337	7,271
ALFALFA	1,615	1,002	2,617
ATOKA	2,643	2,221	4,864
BEAVER	1,292	1,192	2,484
BECKHAM	4,526	2,774	7,300
BLAINE	2,909	1,451	4,360
BRYAN	9,090	4,829	13,919
CADDO	6,344	3,880	10,224
CANADIAN	27,724	14,871	42,595
CARTER	11,171	6,857	18,028
CHEROKEE	11,891	6,138	18,029
CHOCTAW	3,255	2,245	5,500
CIMARRON	696	652	1,348
CLEVELAND	67,878	30,597	98,475
COAL	1,630	861	2,491
COMANCHE	22,446	9,881	32,327
COTTON	1,634	914	2,548
CRAIG	4,050	2,259	6,309
CREEK	17,774	10,538	28,312
CUSTER	6,393	4,048	10,441
DELAWARE	9,906	5,269	15,175
DEWEY	1,356	851	2,207
ELLIS	1,265	767	2,032
GARFIELD	15,522	7,447	22,969
GARVIN	6,946	4,135	11,081
GRADY	12,451	7,424	19,875
GRANT	1,485	987	2,472
GREER	1,310	891	2,201
HARMON	693	444	1,137
HARPER	1,100	523	1,623
HASKELL	2,828	2,292	5,120
HUGHES	3,310	1,875	5,185
JACKSON	5,860	3,202	9,062

STATE QUESTION 705 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 330 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=========	==========	======
JEFFERSON	1,616	819	2,435
JOHNSTON	2,820	1,404	4,224
KAY	13,189	6,573	19,762
KINGFISHER	4,212	2,383	6,595
KIOWA	2,604	1,270	3,874
LATIMER	2,618	1,692	4,310
LeFLORE	9,792	7,002	16,794
LINCOLN	8,821	5,204	14,025
LOGAN	10,971	5,123	16,094
LOVE	2,313	1,316	3,629
McCLAIN	8,441	5,156	13,597
McCURTAIN	5,646	5,003	10,649
McINTOSH	5,672	3,218	8,890
MAJOR	1,947	1,634	3,581
MARSHALL	3,446	1,818	5,264
MAYES	10,453	6,169	16,622
MURRAY	3,617	2,014	5,631
MUSKOGEE	16,493	10,828	27,321
NOBLE	3,441	1,784	5,225
NOWATA	2,869	1,509	4,378
OKFUSKEE	2,551	1,627	4,178
OKLAHOMA	181,027	85,622	266,649
OKMULGEE	9,582	5,758	15,340
OSAGE	12,880	6,397	19,277
OTTAWA	7,848	4,223	12,071
PAWNEE	4,329	2,550	6,879
PAYNE	19,738	9,625	29,363
PITTSBURG	11,605	6,608	18,213
PONTOTOC	9,222	5,220	14,442
POTTAWATOMIE	16,207	9,202	25,409
PUSHMATAHA	2,794	1,732	4,526
ROGER MILLS	974	767	1,741
ROGERS	23,095	13,425	36,520
SEMINOLE	5,753	3,334	9,087
SEQUOYAH	8,878	5,376	14,254
STEPHENS	11,429	7,336	18,765
TEXAS	3,699	2,539	6,238
TILLMAN	2,109	1,191	3,300
TULSA	159,762	89,636	249,398
WAGONER	17,107	10,719	27,826
WASHINGTON	13,348	9,685	23,033

STATE QUESTION 705 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 330 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
WASHITA WOODS WOODWARD	2,945 2,738 4,914	1,966 1,312 2,654	4,911 4,050 7,568
STATE TOTAL:	928,442	507,077	1,435,519

STATE QUESTION 706 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 331 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It adds a new Section 41 to Article 10. This measure would create the Oklahoma Education Lottery Trust Fund. The trust fund shall consist of monies from the Oklahoma Education Lottery. The monies of the trust fund may only be used for certain purposes. All of the purposes for which the trust fund may be used relate to education. The monies in the trust fund may not be used to replace other state funds used to support education. The State Board of Equalization will determine if any of the monies in the trust fund are being used to replace state funding of education. If such a finding is made, the Legislature may not make any appropriations until the amount of replaced funding is returned to the trust fund. This measure would only become effective if voters approved the lottery contained in House Bill No. 1278 (State Question 705).

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=======================================	==========	======
ADAIR	4,140	3,091	7,231
ALFALFA	1,764	844	2,608
ATOKA	2,698	2,127	4,825
BEAVER	1,453	1,011	2,464
BECKHAM	4,781	2,499	7,280
BLAINE	3,035	1,311	4,346
BRYAN	9,300	4,494	13,794
CADDO	6,668	3,517	10,185
CANADIAN	29,236	13,239	42,475
CARTER	11,932	5,979	17,911
CHEROKEE	12,353	5,592	17,945
CHOCTAW	3,264	2,164	5,428
CIMARRON	740	598	1,338
CLEVELAND	70,905	27,241	98,146
COAL	1,642	829	2,471
COMANCHE	23,057	9,129	32,186
COTTON	1,723	812	2,535
CRAIG	4,166	2,106	6,272
CREEK	18,464	9,745	28,209
CUSTER	6,939	3,476	10,415
DELAWARE	10,064	5,010	15,074
DEWEY	1,475	712	2,187
ELLIS	1,397	622	2,019
GARFIELD	16,423	6,464	22,887
GARVIN	7,181	3,849	11,030
GRADY	13,402	6,393	19,795
GRANT	1,576	882	2,458
GREER	1,341	849	2,190
HARMON	725	407	1,132
HARPER	1,152	471	1,623
HASKELL	3,088	1,997	5,085

STATE QUESTION 706 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 331 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	==========	=========	======
HUGHES	3,395	1,757	5,152
JACKSON	6,093	2,909	9,002
JEFFERSON	1,673	726	2,399
JOHNSTON	2,862	1,312	4,174
KAY	13,987	5,709	19,696
KINGFISHER	4,693	1,872	6,565
KIOWA	2,654	1,192	3,846
LATIMER	2,706	1,571	4,277
LeFLORE	10,069	6,594	16,663
LINCOLN	9,137	4,815	13,952
LOGAN	11,403	4,666	16,069
LOVE	2,388	1,198	3,586
McCLAIN	8,954	4,604	13,558
McCURTAIN	5,789	4,749	10,538
McINTOSH	5,846	2,977	8,823
MAJOR	2,181	1,390	3,571
MARSHALL	3,541	1,664	5,205
MAYES	10,969	5,613	16,582
MURRAY	3,840	1,758	5,598
MUSKOGEE	17,491	9,717	27,208
NOBLE	3,616	1,595	5,211
NOWATA	2,938	1,419	4,357
OKFUSKEE	2,566	1,592	4,158
OKLAHOMA	189,037	76,482	265,519
OKMULGEE	9,870	5,419	15,289
OSAGE	13,171	6,033	19,204
OTTAWA	8,131	3,842	11,973
PAWNEE	4,443	2,406	6,849
PAYNE	20,763	8,482	29,245
PITTSBURG	11,905	6,237	18,142
PONTOTOC	9,591	4,767	14,358
POTTAWATOMIE	16,926	8,389	25,315
PUSHMATAHA	2,812	1,661	4,473
ROGER MILLS	1,025	712	1,737
ROGERS	24,221	12,184	36,405
SEMINOLE	5,910	3,151	9,061
SEQUOYAH	9,156	4,961	14,117
STEPHENS	12,159	6,521	18,680
TEXAS	3,839	2,350	6,189
TILLMAN	2,244	1,032	3,276
TULSA	167,095	81,288	248,383
WAGONER	17,853	9,866	27,719

STATE QUESTION 706 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 331 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	==========	=========	======
WASHINGTON	14,716	8,250	22,966
WASHITA	3,077	1,816	4,893
WOODS	2,934	1,108	4,042
WOODWARD	5,234	2,306	7,540
STATE TOTAL:	970,987	458,122	1,429,109

STATE QUESTION 707 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 332 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure amends Section 6C of Article 10 of the Oklahoma Constitution. The amendment deals with the use of certain city, town and county taxes and fees. When authorized by law, cities, towns or counties can put these taxes and fees to use in three ways. The first use is specific public investments. The second use is aid in development financing. The third use is an income source for other public bodies in the area.

The Legislature can authorize cities, towns and counties to direct the apportionment of these fees and taxes among or between these uses. The amendment allows these apportionments to be prospective. The amendment permits these apportionments to continue from year to year.

The amendment permits cities, towns and counties to pledge certain taxes and fees beyond the current fiscal year and to pledge certain taxes and fees to repay some debts of other public entities.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	2,642	4,323	6,965
ALFALFA	1,586	961	2,547
ATOKA	1,566	3,127	4,693
BEAVER	1,240	1,159	2,399
BECKHAM	3,759	3,304	7,063
BLAINE	2,303	1,941	4,244
BRYAN	6,204	7,041	13,245
CADDO	5,070	4,867	9,937
CANADIAN	22,658	18,577	41,235
CARTER	9,145	8,245	17,390
CHEROKEE	7,539	9,955	17,494
CHOCTAW	2,256	2,984	5,240
CIMARRON	664	616	1,280
CLEVELAND	52,708	41,690	94,398
COAL	1,099	1,306	2,405
COMANCHE	15,924	15,478	31,402
COTTON	1,223	1,243	2,466
CRAIG	2,689	3,448	6,137
CREEK	12,423	15,044	27,467
CUSTER	5,439	4,741	10,180
DELAWARE	6,426	8,134	14,560
DEWEY	1,128	1,009	2,137
ELLIS	1,063	909	1,972
GARFIELD	12,241	10,063	22,304
GARVIN	5,128	5,581	10,709
GRADY	9,544	9,681	19,225
GRANT	1,302	1,110	2,412
GREER	1,116	1,004	2,120
HARMON	584	496	1,080

STATE QUESTION 707 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 332 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	==========	==========	======
HARPER	895	684	1,579
HASKELL	1,959	2,953	4,912
HUGHES	2,342	2,656	4,998
JACKSON	4,284	4,454	8,738
JEFFERSON	1,136	1,148	2,284
JOHNSTON	2,128	1,908	4,036
KAY	10,619	8,650	19,269
KINGFISHER	3,727	2,684	6,411
KIOWA	2,127	1,614	3,741
LATIMER	1,901	2,243	4,144
LeFLORE	6,927	9,171	16,098
LINCOLN	6,495	7,137	13,632
LOGAN	8,224	7,445	15,669
LOVE	1,785	1,684	3,469
McCLAIN	6,550	6,633	13,183
McCURTAIN	3,570	6,651	10,221
McINTOSH	3,649	4,897	8,546
MAJOR	1,923	1,565	3,488
MARSHALL	2,259	2,766	5,025
MAYES	7,009	9,154	16,163
MURRAY	2,721	2,725	5,446
MUSKOGEE	12,356	14,192	26,548
NOBLE	2,483	2,604	5,087
NOWATA	1,894	2,344	4,238
OKFUSKEE	1,884	2,126	4,010
OKLAHOMA	146,744	109,032	255,776
OKMULGEE	6,636	8,246	14,882
OSAGE	8,821	9,888	18,709
OTTAWA	5,601	6,057	11,658
PAWNEE	2,879	3,832	6,711
PAYNE	15,521	12,709	28,230
PITTSBURG	7,873	9,835	17,708
PONTOTOC	7,245	6,691	13,936
POTTAWATOMIE	12,093	12,584	24,677
PUSHMATAHA	1,855	2,468	4,323
ROGER MILLS	758	928	1,686
ROGERS	16,431	18,948	35,379
SEMINOLE	4,317	4,541	8,858
SEQUOYAH	5,931	7,791	13,722
STEPHENS	7,558	10,623	18,181
TEXAS	3,159	2,871	6,030
TILLMAN	1,699	1,474	3,173

STATE QUESTION 707 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 332 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
TULSA	124,820	114,832	239,652
WAGONER	12,368	14,569	26,937
WASHINGTON	11,010	11,305	22,315
WASHITA	2,520	2,252	4,772
WOODS	2,501	1,448	3,949
WOODWARD	3,939	3,421	7,360
STATE TOTAL:	709,795	674,470	1,384,265

STATE QUESTION 708 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 333 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 23 of Article 10. This section involves the Constitutional Reserve Fund also known as the Rainy Day Fund. This measure changes the amount which could be spent from the Rainy Day Fund. The State Board of Equalization would decide if the taxes the state collects each fiscal year will be less than predicted. This is called revenue failure. If this happens, up to three-eighths (3/8) of the Rainy Day Fund could be spent. The total amount spent from the Rainy Day Fund for revenue failure could not exceed the amount of the funds shortage predicted by the State Board of Equalization. The Rainy Day Fund can be used now if the prediction about state tax collections for the current year is less than the prediction made the year before. One-half (1/2) of the Fund can be spent now if this occurs. If this measure passes, that amount would change to three-eighths (3/8). Money can now be spent from the Fund for certain emergencies. One-half (1/2) of the Fund can now be spent for these emergencies. This measure would change that amount to one quarter (1/4).

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL — YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES =====
ADAIR	3,385	3,572	6,957
ALFALFA	1,763	778	2,541
ATOKA	2,130	2,506	4,636
BEAVER	1,488	897	2,385
BECKHAM	4,389	2,656	7,045
BLAINE	2,764	1,476	4,240
BRYAN	7,765	5,452	13,217
CADDO	6,116	3,755	9,871
CANADIAN	27,433	13,889	41,322
CARTER	10,975	6,401	17,376
CHEROKEE	9,901	7,553	17,454
CHOCTAW	2,816	2,381	5,197
CIMARRON	780	486	1,266
CLEVELAND	63,819	30,846	94,665
COAL	1,293	1,096	2,389
COMANCHE	20,828	10,546	31,374
COTTON	1,503	955	2,458
CRAIG	3,440	2,682	6,122
CREEK	16,053	11,420	27,473
CUSTER	6,879	3,285	10,164
DELAWARE	8,364	6,176	14,540
DEWEY	1,341	781	2,122
ELLIS	1,182	782	1,964
GARFIELD	14,720	7,613	22,333
GARVIN	6,382	4,336	10,718
GRADY	12,030	7,189	19,219
GRANT	1,496	897	2,393
GREER	1,249	843	2,092
HARMON	698	377	1,075

STATE QUESTION 708 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 333 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=======================================	=========	======
HARPER	954	628	1,582
HASKELL	2,445	2,429	4,874
HUGHES	2,820	2,157	4,977
JACKSON	5,515	3,224	8,739
JEFFERSON	1,326	963	2,289
JOHNSTON	2,480	1,557	4,037
KAY	12,858	6,431	19,289
KINGFISHER	4,329	2,103	6,432
KIOWA	2,467	1,267	3,734
LATIMER	2,270	1,872	4,142
LeFLORE	8,323	7,714	16,037
LINCOLN	8,015	5,597	13,612
LOGAN	10,082	5,599	15,681
LOVE	2,036	1,425	3,461
McCLAIN	8,383	4,827	13,210
McCURTAIN	4,685	5,491	10,176
McINTOSH	4,621	3,896	8,517
MAJOR	2,272	1,217	3,489
MARSHALL	2,874	2,150	5,024
MAYES	9,197	6,956	16,153
MURRAY	3,343	2,104	5,447
MUSKOGEE	15,425	11,067	26,492
NOBLE	3,111	1,981	5,092
NOWATA	2,379	1,853	4,232
OKFUSKEE	2,255	1,754	4,009
OKLAHOMA	174,501	81,988	256,489
OKMULGEE	8,403	6,472	14,875
OSAGE	11,046	7,668	18,714
OTTAWA	6,867	4,744	11,611
PAWNEE	3,720	2,976	6,696
PAYNE	18,385	9,844	28,229
PITTSBURG	9,801	7,850	17,651
PONTOTOC	8,879	5,047	13,926
POTTAWATOMIE	15,318	9,380	24,698
PUSHMATAHA	2,205	2,097	4,302
ROGER MILLS	942	736	1,678
ROGERS	21,579	13,836	35,415
SEMINOLE	5,202	3,625	8,827
SEQUOYAH	7,294	6,375	13,669
STEPHENS	11,104	7,073	18,177
TEXAS	3,651	2,382	6,033
TILLMAN	1,923	1,220	3,143

STATE QUESTION 708 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 333 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=======================================	=======================================	======
_			
TULSA	155,119	85,031	240,150
WAGONER	16,185	10,770	26,955
WASHINGTON	14,027	8,278	22,305
WASHITA	2,932	1,823	4,755
WOODS	2,767	1,175	3,942
WOODWARD	4,494	2,858	7,352
STATE TOTAL:	873,791	511,136	1,384,927

STATE QUESTION 711 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 334 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure adds a new section of law to the Constitution. It adds Section 35 to Article 2. It defines marriage to be between one man and one woman. It prohibits giving the benefits of marriage to people who are not married. It provides that same sex marriages in other states are not valid in this state. It makes issuing a marriage license in violation of this section a misdemeanor.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	5,807	1,393	7,200
ALFALFA	2,196	390	2,586
ATOKA	3,892	915	4,807
BEAVER	2,167	311	2,478
BECKHAM	6,002	1,222	7,224
BLAINE	3,552	779	4,331
BRYAN	11,086	2,647	13,733
CADDO	8,051	2,051	10,102
CANADIAN	33,740	8,528	42,268
CARTER	14,326	3,504	17,830
CHEROKEE	12,998	4,893	17,891
CHOCTAW	4,217	1,197	5,414
CIMARRON	1,101	234	1,335
CLEVELAND	67,137	30,474	97,611
COAL	1,966	506	2,472
COMANCHE	24,411	7,609	32,020
COTTON	2,060	454	2,514
CRAIG	4,917	1,327	6,244
CREEK	21,783	6,294	28,077
CUSTER	8,413	1,942	10,355
DELAWARE	11,781	3,249	15,030
DEWEY	1,901	291	2,192
ELLIS	1,677	331	2,008
GARFIELD	18,127	4,614	22,741
GARVIN	9,009	1,969	10,978
GRADY	15,864	3,832	19,696
GRANT	2,008	434	2,442
GREER	1,782	382	2,164
HARMON	991	140	1,131
HARPER	1,362	246	1,608
HASKELL	4,048	1,049	5,097
HUGHES	4,159	967	5,126
JACKSON	7,348	1,609	8,957
JEFFERSON	1,936	453	2,389
JOHNSTON	3,374	801	4,175
KAY	15,390	4,225	19,615
KINGFISHER	5,502	1,024	6,526

STATE QUESTION 711 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 334 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL — YES	PROPOSAL — NO	VOTES =====
KIOWA	3,125	684	3,809
LATIMER	3,412	861	4,273
LeFLORE	13,803	2,956	16,759
LINCOLN	11,276	2,612	13,888
LOGAN	12,250	3,726	15,976
LOVE	2,856	714	3,570
McCLAIN	11,053	2,443	13,496
McCURTAIN	8,641	1,987	10,628
McINTOSH	6,727	2,049	8,776
MAJOR	3,138	444	3,582
MARSHALL	4,161	1,006	5,167
MAYES	13,058	3,461	16,519
MURRAY	4,571	1,020	5,591
MUSKOGEE	20,782	6,230	27,012
NOBLE	4,129	1,057	5,186
NOWATA	3,423	925	4,348
OKFUSKEE	3,215	911	4,126
OKLAHOMA	187,949	75,877	263,826
OKMULGEE	11,743	3,486	15,229
OSAGE	14,623	4,515	19,138
OTTAWA	9,495	2,489	11,984
PAWNEE	5,273	1,571	6,844
PAYNE	20,379	8,733	29,112
PITTSBURG	14,027	4,045	18,072
PONTOTOC	11,480	2,857	14,337
POTTAWATOMIE	19,740	5,455	25,195
PUSHMATAHA	3,548	941	4,489
ROGER MILLS	1,441	294	1,735
ROGERS	28,828 7,026	7,401	36,229
SEMINOLE	•	1,961	8,987 14,180
SEQUOYAH	11,448 15,299	2,732 3,350	18,649
STEPHENS TEXAS	5,214	986	6,200
	•	593	·
TILLMAN TULSA	2,683 176,214	70,911	3,276 247,125
WAGONER	21,976	5,643	27,619
WASHINGTON	17,811	5,059	22,870
WASHITA	4,052	818	4,870
WOODS	3,212	791	4,003
WOODWARD	6,054	1,423	7,477
MOODWAND	0,034	1,423	/, 1//
STATE TOTAL:	1,075,216	347,303	1,422,519

STATE QUESTION 712 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 335 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure enacts the State-Tribal Gaming Act. The Act contains a Model Tribal Gaming Compact. Indian tribes that agree to the Compact can use new types of gaming machines. These machines are used for gambling. Compacting tribes could also offer some card games.

If at least four Indian tribes enter into the Compact, three State licensed racetracks could use the same electronic gaming machines.

The Act limits the number of gaming machines racetracks can use. The Act does not limit the number of machines that Indian tribes can use.

The State Horse Racing Commission would regulate machine gaming at racetracks. A tribal agency would regulate authorized gaming by a tribe. The Office of State Finance would monitor authorized tribal gambling.

Proceeds from authorized gaming at racetracks go to:

- 1. the racetrack,
- 2. the owners of winning horses,
- 3. horsemen's organizations,
- 4. breed organizations, and
- 5. the State to be used for educational purposes.

Some of the proceeds from authorized gaming by Indian tribes goes to the State. The State would use these proceeds for educational purposes and compulsive gambling programs.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	3,740	3,559	7,299
ALFALFA	1,443	1,160	2,603
ATOKA	2,553	2,322	4,875
BEAVER	1,149	1,296	2,445
BECKHAM	4,218	3,036	7,254
BLAINE	2,629	1,719	4,348
BRYAN	8,661	5,254	13,915
CADDO	5,586	4,604	10,190
CANADIAN	25,800	16,588	42,388
CARTER	9,874	8,046	17,920
CHEROKEE	11,051	6,958	18,009
CHOCTAW	3,137	2,403	5,540
CIMARRON	583	734	1,317
CLEVELAND	62,434	35,357	97,791
COAL	1,568	948	2,516
COMANCHE	18,948	13,132	32,080

STATE QUESTION 712 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 335 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	==========	=======================================	======
COTTON	1,459	1,081	2,540
CRAIG	3,855	2,441	6,296
CREEK	16,242	12,002	28,244
CUSTER	5,945	4,466	10,411
DELAWARE	9,155	5,984	15,139
DEWEY	1,265	938	2,203
ELLIS	1,179	835	2,014
GARFIELD	13,578	9,225	22,803
GARVIN	6,560	4,492	11,052
GRADY	11,636	8,196	19,832
GRANT	1,316	1,142	2,458
GREER	1,183	1,002	2,185
HARMON	626	498	1,124
HARPER	972	641	1,613
HASKELL	2,837	2,306	5,143
HUGHES	2,914	2,253	5,167
JACKSON	4,961	3,995	8,956
JEFFERSON	1,418	970	2,388
JOHNSTON	2,590	1,618	4,208
KAY	11,870	7,813	19,683
KINGFISHER	3,866	2,686	6,552
KIOWA	2,437	1,431	3,868
LATIMER	2,482	1,840	4,322
LeFLORE	9,073	7,707	16,780
LINCOLN	8,182	5,810	13,992
LOGAN	10,074	5,981	16,055
LOVE	2,175	1,452	3,627
McCLAIN	8,241	5,318	13,559
McCURTAIN	5,066	5,698	10,764
McINTOSH	5,178	3,681	8,859
MAJOR	1,835	1,733	3,568
MARSHALL	2,981	2,233	5,214
MAYES	9,896	6,715	16,611
MURRAY	3,471	2,165	5,636
MUSKOGEE NOBLE	15,159	12,075	27,234
	3,107	2,097	5,204
NOWATA OKFUSKEE	2,655	1,704	4,359
OKLAHOMA	2,259 166,604	1,894 98,096	4,153 264,700
OKMULGEE	8,615	6,735	15,350
OSAGE	11,946	7,321	19,267
OTTAWA	7,276	4,776	12,052
O T T17//17	7,270	1,770	12,032

STATE QUESTION 712 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 335 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	TOTAL VOTES
	=======================================	=========	======
PAWNEE	3,932	2,946	6,878
PAYNE	17,450	11,650	29,100
PITTSBURG	10,751	7,467	18,218
PONTOTOC	8,547	5,855	14,402
POTTAWATOMIE	14,535	10,798	25,333
PUSHMATAHA	2,655	1,913	4,568
ROGER MILLS	950	780	1,730
ROGERS	21,713	14,750	36,463
SEMINOLE	5,148	3,938	9,086
SEQUOYAH	8,522	5,793	14,315
STEPHENS	10,324	8,353	18,677
TEXAS	3,190	2,992	6,182
TILLMAN	1,882	1,390	3,272
TULSA	145,171	102,991	248,162
WAGONER	15,888	11,886	27,774
WASHINGTON	12,328	10,599	22,927
WASHITA	2,734	2,159	4,893
WOODS	2,283	1,745	4,028
WOODWARD	4,366	3,144	7,510
STATE TOTAL:	849,882	579,311	1,429,193

STATE QUESTION 713 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 336 General Election — November 2, 2004

The measure ends sales tax on cigarettes and other tobacco products. The measure places a new tax on cigarettes. This tax will be 4 cents per cigarette. The measure places a new tax on other tobacco products. These taxes begin January 1, 2005.

Some monies from the new taxes will be given to state, county, and local government. Some monies from these taxes will be used for various health-related purposes. These purposes include health care, building a cancer center, trauma care, long-distance medical care, substance abuse, breast cancer, and aid to hospitals and ambulance services.

A committee is created to recommend rules regarding tobacco product taxes.

The measure provides penalties for Indian tribes that break tobacco tax compacts.

The measure makes several income tax changes. It makes the highest Method One individual income tax rate 6.65%. It increases the amount of certain retirement benefits not subject to income tax. It allows certain capital gains of an individual to not be subject to income tax.

The measure sets maximum income levels for individuals making claims under the Sales Tax Relief Act.

The measure makes other changes.

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	==========	=========	======
ADAIR	2,914	4,354	7,268
ALFALFA	1,448	1,159	2,607
ATOKA	1,994	2,846	4,840
BEAVER	1,255	1,204	2,459
BECKHAM	3,846	3,413	7,259
BLAINE	2,287	2,078	4,365
BRYAN	6,814	7,052	13,866
CADDO	4,999	5,172	10,171
CANADIAN	24,413	18,022	42,435
CARTER	9,043	8,919	17,962
CHEROKEE	8,254	9,728	17,982
CHOCTAW	2,499	2,969	5,468
CIMARRON	618	707	1,325
CLEVELAND	58,915	39,117	98,032
COAL	1,078	1,417	2,495
COMANCHE	17,341	14,819	32,160
COTTON	1,215	1,324	2,539
CRAIG	2,640	3,658	6,298
CREEK	12,723	15,525	28,248

STATE QUESTION 713 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 336 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=======================================	=========	======
CUSTER	5,949	4,462	10,411
DELAWARE	7,159	7,954	15,113
DEWEY	1,039	1,176	2,215
ELLIS	886	1,140	2,026
GARFIELD	13,033	9,850	22,883
GARVIN	5,347	5,698	11,045
GRADY	10,195	9,648	19,843
GRANT	1,337	1,131	2,468
GREER	1,073	1,099	2,172
HARMON	628	499	1,127
HARPER	810	803	1,613
HASKELL	2,127	2,989	5,116
HUGHES	2,283	2,875	5,158
JACKSON	4,929	4,059	8,988
JEFFERSON	1,128	1,281	2,409
JOHNSTON	2,045	2,162	4,207
KAY	10,168	9,536	19,704
KINGFISHER	3,529	3,025	6,554
KIOWA	2,046	1,816	3,862
LATIMER	1,871	2,421	4,292
LeFLORE	7,580	9,073	16,653
LINCOLN	6,197	7,793	13,990
LOGAN	8,651	7,399	16,050
LOVE	1,729	1,905	3,634
McCLAIN	7,107	6,442	13,549
McCURTAIN	4,486	6,124	10,610
McINTOSH	3,727	5,121	8,848
MAJOR	1,910	1,654	3,564
MARSHALL	2,562	2,657	5,219
MAYES	7,105	9,492	16,597
MURRAY	2,856	2,777	5,633
MUSKOGEE	12,646	14,606	27,252
NOBLE	2,535	2,673	5,208
NOWATA	1,853	2,505	4,358
OKFUSKEE	1,723	2,429	4,152
OKLAHOMA	160,370	104,969	265,339
OKMULGEE	6,774	8,545	15,319
OSAGE	8,711	10,568	19,279
OTTAWA	5,818	6,204	12,022
PAWNEE	3,042	3,813	6,855
PAYNE	17,287	11,917	29,204
PITTSBURG	8,285	9,913	18,198

STATE QUESTION 713 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 336 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=======================================	===========	======
PONTOTOC	7,698	6,711	14,409
POTTAWATOMIE	12,293	13,031	25,324
	•	· ·	
PUSHMATAHA	1,933	2,597	4,530
ROGER MILLS	776	952	1,728
ROGERS	17,649	18,802	36,451
SEMINOLE	4,190	4,862	9,052
SEQUOYAH	6,356	7,865	14,221
STEPHENS	9,212	9,527	18,739
TEXAS	3,326	2,880	6,206
TILLMAN	1,633	1,644	3,277
TULSA	139,413	109,194	248,607
WAGONER	13,033	14,744	27,777
WASHINGTON	11,793	11,172	22,965
WASHITA	2,613	2,288	4,901
WOODS	2,384	1,658	4,042
WOODWARD	3,900	3,626	7,526
	EC2 024	667 020	1 420 052
STATE TOTAL:	763,034	667,239	1,430,273

STATE QUESTION 714 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 337 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 8C of Article 10. This measure changes the method for determining the fair cash value of the homestead of certain heads of household. The change only applies to heads of household who meet two requirements. First, they must be at least 65 years old.

Second, their gross household income must meet income level requirements.

This measure changes the income level requirement. At present, to be eligible, the gross household income from all sources must be \$25,000 or below. The amendment changes the qualifying income level. To be eligible under the change, gross household income cannot exceed the area's mean income for the prior year. A federal agency determines these mean income levels. The agency determines mean income levels for counties and metropolitan areas. The State Tax Commission must inform each county assessor of the established mean income levels each year.

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	==========	==========	======
ADAIR	3,901	3,160	7,061
ALFALFA	1,847	712	2,559
ATOKA	2,235	2,464	4,699
BEAVER	1,496	917	2,413
BECKHAM	4,778	2,316	7,094
BLAINE	2,787	1,488	4,275
BRYAN	8,437	4,972	13,409
CADDO	6,412	3,550	9,962
CANADIAN	30,312	11,184	41,496
CARTER	11,775	5,753	17,528
CHEROKEE	10,899	6,686	17,585
CHOCTAW	2,859	2,415	5,274
CIMARRON	764	517	1,281
CLEVELAND	69,713	25,148	94,861
COAL	1,325	1,095	2,420
COMANCHE	22,747	8,823	31,570
COTTON	1,552	946	2,498
CRAIG	3,720	2,427	6,147
CREEK	18,575	9,103	27,678
CUSTER	7,350	2,862	10,212
DELAWARE	9,200	5,512	14,712
DEWEY	1,349	781	2,130
ELLIS	1,246	725	1,971
GARFIELD	16,002	6,432	22,434
GARVIN	6,825	3,964	10,789
GRADY	13,057	6,258	19,315
GRANT	1,526	891	2,417
GREER	1,303	806	2,109

STATE QUESTION 714 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 337 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=======================================	==========	=====
HARMON	703	379	1,082
HARPER	960	618	1,578
HASKELL	2,714	2,234	4,948
HUGHES	2,993	2,036	5,029
JACKSON	5,803	2,937	8,740
JEFFERSON	1,446	877	2,323
JOHNSTON	2,522	1,561	4,083
KAY	13,591	5,849	19,440
KINGFISHER	4,626	1,832	6,458
KIOWA	2,496	1,272	3,768
LATIMER	2,447	1,754	4,201
LeFLORE	9,194	7,084	16,278
LINCOLN	8,712	4,990	13,702
LOGAN	10,891	4,854	15,745
LOVE	2,197	1,318	3,515
McCLAIN	9,063	4,213	13,276
McCURTAIN	5,218	5,119	10,337
McINTOSH	5,091	3,523	8,614
MAJOR	2,387	1,117	3,504
MARSHALL	3,177	1,885	5,062
MAYES	10,742	5,564	16,306
MURRAY	3,626	1,858	5,484
MUSKOGEE	17,234	9,521	26,755
NOBLE	3,362	1,753	5,115
NOWATA	2,782	1,490	4,272
OKFUSKEE	2,383	1,663	4,046
OKLAHOMA	189,965	67,653	257,618
OKMULGEE	9,251	5,731	14,982
OSAGE	12,590	6,265	18,855
OTTAWA	7,425	4,296	11,721
PAWNEE	4,344	2,405	6,749
PAYNE	19,664	8,599	28,263
PITTSBURG	10,691	7,133	17,824
PONTOTOC	9,393	4,660	14,053
POTTAWATOMIE	16,149	8,650	24,799
PUSHMATAHA	2,257	2,109	4,366
ROGER MILLS	974	717	1,691
ROGERS	24,956	10,749	35,705
SEMINOLE	5,607	3,295	8,902
SEQUOYAH	8,139	5,765	13,904
STEPHENS	11,477	6,843	18,320
TEXAS	3,963	2,102	6,065

STATE QUESTION 714 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 337 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	=======================================	=========	======
TILLMAN	2,040	1,142	3,182
TULSA	176,703	65,294	241,997
WAGONER	18,742	8,413	27,155
WASHINGTON	15,600	6,830	22,430
WASHITA	3,108	1,681	4,789
WOODS	2,844	1,125	3,969
WOODWARD	4,868	2,529	7,397
STATE TOTAL:	961,102	433,194	1,394,296

STATE QUESTION 715 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 338 General Election — November 2, 2004

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It adds a new section to Article 10. The new section is Section 8E. The measure creates an exemption from property tax. The exemption would apply to certain injured veterans. The exemption would also apply to veterans' surviving spouses. The exemption would be for the full fair cash value of the homestead. To qualify for the exemption an injured veteran would have to meet several requirements. First, the veteran must have been honorably discharged from a branch of the Armed Forces or the Oklahoma National Guard. Second, the veteran would have to be a State resident. Third, the veteran would have to have a 100% permanent disability. Fourth, the disability would have to have been sustained through military action or accident, or result from a disease contracted while in active service. Fifth, the disability would have to be certified by U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. Finally, the veteran would have to be otherwise qualified for homestead exemption. The exemption can be claimed beginning January 1, 2006.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	TOTAL VOTES
	==========	=========	=====
ADAIR	5,483	1,668	7,151
ALFALFA	2,170	409	2,579
ATOKA	3,418	1,342	4,760
BEAVER	2,038	410	2,448
BECKHAM	6,003	1,177	7,180
BLAINE	3,470	830	4,300
BRYAN	11,109	2,496	13,605
CADDO	8,112	1,945	10,057
CANADIAN	36,050	5,869	41,919
CARTER	14,652	3,026	17,678
CHEROKEE	14,468	3,314	17,782
CHOCTAW	4,156	1,198	5,354
CIMARRON	1,057	256	1,313
CLEVELAND	83,313	13,070	96,383
COAL	1,848	594	2,442
COMANCHE	25,921	5,992	31,913
COTTON	1,964	541	2,505
CRAIG	5,022	1,197	6,219
CREEK	23,508	4,417	27,925
CUSTER	8,726	1,603	10,329
DELAWARE	12,398	2,513	14,911
DEWEY	1,714	456	2,170
ELLIS	1,610	381	1,991
GARFIELD	19,075	3,561	22,636
GARVIN	8,850	2,017	10,867
GRADY	16,375	3,188	19,563
GRANT	1,925	512	2,437
GREER	1,736	407	2,143
HARMON	932	176	1,108

STATE QUESTION 715 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 338 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	==========	==========	======
HARPER	1,277	315	1,592
HASKELL	3,772	1,238	5,010
HUGHES	3,959	1,106	5,065
JACKSON	7,390	1,524	8,914
JEFFERSON	1,910	446	2,356
JOHNSTON	3,323	813	4,136
KAY	16,576	2,977	19,553
KINGFISHER	5,465	1,043	6,508
KIOWA	3,089	703	3,792
LATIMER	3,362	869	4,231
LeFLORE	13,259	3,291	16,550
LINCOLN	11,224	2,570	13,794
LOGAN	13,384	2,503	15,887
LOVE	2,956	600	3,556
McCLAIN	11,293	2,110	13,403
McCURTAIN	7,994	2,480	10,474
McINTOSH	6,756	1,947	8,703
MAJOR	2,897	644	3,541
MARSHALL	4,176	948	5,124
MAYES	13,701	2,736	16,437
MURRAY	4,578	965	5,543
MUSKOGEE	21,581	5,337	26,918
NOBLE	4,179	974	5,153
NOWATA	3,565	761	4,326
OKFUSKEE	3,062	1,000	4,062
OKLAHOMA	224,835	36,220	261,055
OKMULGEE	12,173	2,969	15,142
OSAGE	15,953	3,089	19,042
OTTAWA	9,619	2,253	11,872
PAWNEE	5,626	1,186	6,812
PAYNE	24,759	4,007	28,766
PITTSBURG	14,275	3,706	17,981
PONTOTOC	11,545	2,649	14,194
POTTAWATOMIE	20,234	4,750	24,984
PUSHMATAHA	3,312	1,119	4,431
ROGER MILLS	1,330	380	1,710
ROGERS	31,249	4,877	36,126
SEMINOLE	7,090	1,850	8,940
SEQUOYAH	11,235	2,802	14,037
STEPHENS	14,786	3,760	18,546
TEXAS	5,214	925	6,139
TILLMAN	2,597	618	3,215

STATE QUESTION 715 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 338 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL — YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=======================================	==========	======
TULSA	212,708	32,680	245,388
WAGONER	23,399	4,066	27,465
WASHINGTON	19,433	3,281	22,714
WASHITA	3,934	906	4,840
WOODS	3,360	630	3,990
WOODWARD	6,137	1,318	7,455
STATE TOTAL:	1,186,634	224,506	1,411,140