#### STATE QUESTION 695 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 322 Special Election — September 25, 2001

The measure adds a new section to the State Constitution. It adds Section 1A to Article 23. The measure defines the term "labor organization." "Labor organization" includes unions. That term also includes committees that represent employees.

The measure bans new employment contracts that impose certain requirements to get or keep a job. The measure bans contracts that require joining or quitting a labor organization to get or keep a job. The measure bans contracts that require remaining in a labor organization to get or keep a job. The measure bans contracts that require the payment of dues to labor organizations to get or keep a job. The measure bans contracts that require other payments to labor organizations to get or keep a job. Employees would have to approve deductions from wages paid to labor organizations. The measure bans contracts that require labor organization approval of an employee to get or keep a job.

The measure bans other employment contract requirements. Violation of this section is a misdemeanor.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	===============	==============	======
10170	1 0 2 0	1 500	0.000
ADAIR	1,239	1,589	2,828
ALFALFA	1,331	328	1,659
ATOKA	1,518	949	2,467
BEAVER	985	158	1,143
BECKHAM	2,866	1,042	3,908
BLAINE	1,956	672	2,628
BRYAN	4,806	1,881	6,687
CADDO	3,583	2,183	5,766
CANADIAN	14,794	8,501	23,295
CARTER	6,747	3,717	10,464
CHEROKEE	3,344	5,168	8,512
CHOCTAW	1,353	1,361	2,714
CIMARRON	630	88	718
CLEVELAND	29,876	23,164	53,040
COAL	706	765	1,471
COMANCHE	10,207	6,660	16,867
COTTON	825	622	1,447
CRAIG	1,314	2,535	, 3,849
CREEK	6,433	10,959	17,392
CUSTER	4,565	1,346	5,911
DELAWARE	3,609	3,971	7,580
DEWEY	1,107	341	1,448
ELLIS	1,029	262	1,291
GARFIELD	9,113	6,485	15,598
GARVIN	3,491	2,696	6,187
GULATIN	5,491	2,090	0,107

### STATE QUESTION 695 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 322 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO	TOTAL VOTES
			======
GRADY	6,293	5,283	11,576
GRANT	1,095	503	1,598
GREER	900	393	1,293
HARMON	502	193	695
HARPER	833	194	1,027
HASKELL	924	1,722	2,646
HUGHES	1,460	1,518	2,978
JACKSON	3,462	1,376	4,838
JEFFERSON	845	494	1,339
JOHNSTON	1,491	1,022	2,513
KAY	6,572	6,087	12,659
KINGFISHER	3,209	924	4,133
KIOWA	1,614	739	2,353
LATIMER	735	1,377	2,112
LeFLORE	2,599	4,430	7,029
LINCOLN	4,334	4,090	8,424
LOGAN	5,394	3,688	9,082
LOVE	1,207	667	1,874
McCLAIN	3,947	3,634	7,581
McCURTAIN	2,127	2,397	4,524
McINTOSH	1,975	3,226	5,201
MAJOR	1,672	572	2,244
MARSHALL	1,830	995	2,825
MAYES	3,372	7,719	11,091
MURRAY	1,792	1,472	3,264
MUSKOGEE	6,333	11,142	17,475
NOBLE	2,210	943	3,153
NOWATA	900	1,785	2,685
OKFUSKEE	1,086	1,572	2,658
OKLAHOMA	96,535	62,841	159,376
OKMULGEE	3,148	7,030	10,178
OSAGE	3,996	7,474	11,470
OTTAWA	2,126	4,392	6,518
PAWNEE	1,710	2,427	4,137
PAYNE	9,493	6,026	15,519
PITTSBURG	4,389	6,473	10,862
PONTOTOC	4,044	3,800	7,844
POTTAWATOMIE	7,660	7,902	15,562
PUSHMATAHA	1,154	955	2,109
ROGER MILLS	847	230	1,077

# STATE QUESTION 695 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 322 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO ========	TOTAL VOTES ======
ROGERS	8,143	13,662	21,805
SEMINOLE	2,671	2,308	4,979
SEQUOYAH	2,043	3,418	5,461
STEPHENS	6,770	3,748	10,518
TEXAS	2,210	464	2,674
TILLMAN	1,291	611	1,902
TULSA	79,059	68,876	147,935
WAGONER	6,218	9,217	15,435
WASHINGTON	8,160	б,384	14,544
WASHITA	2,302	670	2,972
WOODS	1,805	724	2,529
WOODWARD	3,158	1,233	4,391
STATE TOTAL:	447,072	378,465	825,537

#### STATE QUESTION 687 INITIATIVE PETITION 365 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure adds a new section to Title 21 of the Oklahoma Statutes. The measure makes cockfighting illegal. It defines "cockfight" or "cockfighting" as:

- 1. A fight between birds.
- 2. Whether or not fitted with spurs, knives, or gaffs.
- 3. Whether or not bets or wagers are made on the outcome of the fight.

The definition includes training fights.

The measure defines equipment used for training or handling a fighting bird.

Under the measure:

- 1. It is a felony to instigate or encourage cockfighting.
- 2. It is a felony to keep places, equipment or facilities for cockfighting.
- 3. It is a felony to aid or assist in cockfighting.
- 4. It is a felony to own, possess, keep or train birds for cockfighting.

Under the proposal it is a misdemeanor to knowingly be a spectator at a cockfight.

The measure provides for the forfeiture of birds and equipment use in cockfighting.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	2,137	3,704	5,841
ALFALFA	920	1,075	1,995
ATOKA	839	2,897	3,736
BEAVER	807	1,114	1,921
BECKHAM	2,811	2,343	5,154
BLAINE	1,537	1,792	3,329
BRYAN	2,848	6,864	9,712
CADDO	3,343	4,137	7,480
CANADIAN	16,380	11,518	27,898
CARTER	5,008	7,955	12,963
CHEROKEE	5,280	7,353	12,633
CHOCTAW	918	2,805	3,723
CIMARRON	516	719	1,235
CLEVELAND	41,506	22,451	63,957
COAL	389	1,617	2,006
COMANCHE	11,593	9,410	21,003
COTTON	721	1,048	1,769
CRAIG	1,954	2,440	4,394
CREEK	10,364	9,251	19,615

# STATE QUESTION 687 INITIATIVE PETITION 365 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL — YES ========	PROPOSAL — NO	VOTES ======
CUSTER	4,590	3,233	7,823
DELAWARE	5,420	5,102	10,522
DEWEY	708	1,094	1,802
ELLIS	567	1,037	1,604
GARFIELD	10,155	7,200	17,355
GARVIN	3,333	5,260	8,593
GRADY	6,495	7,624	14,119
GRANT	957	1,137	2,094
GREER	729	1,116	1,845
HARMON	368	473	841
HARPER	506	876	1,382
HASKELL	1,176	2,927	4,103
HUGHES	1,505	2,494	3,999
JACKSON	3,689	2,470	6,159
JEFFERSON	753	1,073	1,826
JOHNSTON	810	2,510	3,320
KAY	8,589	6,522	15,111
KINGFISHER	1,734	3,096	4,830
KIOWA	1,383	1,609	2,992
LATIMER	1,080	2,114	3,194
LeFLORE	4,903	6,643	11,546
LINCOLN	4,515	6,467	10,982
LOGAN	5,896	4,958	10,854
LOVE	893	1,782	2,675
McCLAIN	4,108	5,482	9,590
McCURTAIN	1,840	б,450	8,290
McINTOSH	2,758	3,786	6,544
MAJOR	1,091	1,659	2,750
MARSHALL	1,407	2,757	4,164
MAYES	5,828	6,397	12,225
MURRAY	1,350	3,019	4,369
MUSKOGEE	8,392	11,111	19,503
NOBLE	1,625	2,507	4,132
NOWATA	1,516	2,072	3,588
OKFUSKEE	1,301	2,049	3,350
OKLAHOMA	122,276	59,900	182,176
OKMULGEE	5,691	5,120	10,811
OSAGE	6,630	6,924	13,554
OTTAWA	4,337	4,043	8,380
PAWNEE	2,256	2,593	4,849

# STATE QUESTION 687 INITIATIVE PETITION 365 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
PAYNE PITTSBURG	11,694 6,451	7,958 8,653	19,652 15,104
PONTOTOC	3,949	6,249	10,198
POTTAWATOMIE	9,201	9,903	19,104
PUSHMATAHA	765	2,869	3,634
ROGER MILLS	511	898	1,409
ROGERS	13,298	11,241	24,539
SEMINOLE	3,029	4,138	7,167
SEQUOYAH	3,468	5,729	9,197
STEPHENS	6,803	7,046	13,849
TEXAS	2,031	2,707	4,738
TILLMAN	1,044	1,490	2,534
TULSA	123,293	46,995	170,288
WAGONER	10,072	8,081	18,153
WASHINGTON	11,380	5,485	16,865
WASHITA	1,682	2,017	3,699
WOODS	1,648	1,463	3,111
WOODWARD	2,617	3,119	5,736
STATE TOTAL:	565,967	441,220	1,007,187

#### STATE QUESTION 693 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 321 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 35 of Article 10. The amendment allows local governments to issue bonds for economic or community development. Voter approval would be required to issue bonds. Local governments could pass a special sales tax to repay the bonds. The tax rate could not be more than one cent (\$0.01). The tax could not be repealed until the bonds were repaid. When not needed for bond repayment the tax could be suspended.

This measure would also allow regional economic development districts to be created by laws. The districts could issue bonds. The procedures by which regional economic development district bonds could be issued may be specified by the Legislature.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	2,142	3,213	5,355
ALFALFA	1,060	837	1,897
ATOKA	1,262	2,201	3,463
BEAVER	923	908	1,831
BECKHAM	2,513	2,365	4,878
BLAINE	1,462	1,719	3,181
BRYAN	4,019	4,953	8,972
CADDO	3,633	3,418	7,051
CANADIAN	13,192	13,559	26,751
CARTER	6,007	6,234	12,241
CHEROKEE	5,756	6,296	12,052
CHOCTAW	1,335	2,079	3,414
CIMARRON	536	640	1,176
CLEVELAND	32,647	28,370	61,017
COAL	772	1,082	1,854
COMANCHE	10,228	10,133	20,361
COTTON	825	888	1,713
CRAIG	2,029	2,112	4,141
CREEK	9,449	9,187	18,636
CUSTER	4,081	3,411	7,492
DELAWARE	4,685	5,228	9,913
DEWEY	790	909	1,699
ELLIS	731	800	1,531
GARFIELD	8,687	7,949	16,636
GARVIN	3,190	4,929	8,119
GRADY	5,527	7,936	13,463
GRANT	1,032	969	2,001
GREER	790	879	1,669
HARMON	385	365	750
HARPER	628	695	1,323

# STATE QUESTION 693 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 321 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	==============================	==================	======
HASKELL	1,483	2,263	3,746
HUGHES	1,489	2,202	3,691
JACKSON	3,283	2,551	5,834
JEFFERSON	789	892	1,681
JOHNSTON	1,410	1,688	3,098
KAY	7,645	6,932	14,577
KINGFISHER	2,156	2,534	4,690
KIOWA	1,308	1,492	2,800
LATIMER	1,279	1,643	2,922
LeFLORE	4,707	6,186	10,893
LINCOLN	4,535	5,919	10,454
LOGAN	5,063	5,419	10,482
LOVE	1,309	1,132	2,441
McCLAIN	4,045	5,084	9,129
McCURTAIN	2,867	4,855	7,722
McINTOSH	2,500	3,563	6,063
MAJOR	1,413	1,214	2,627
MARSHALL	1,649	2,206	3,855
MAYES	4,832	6,753	11,585
MURRAY	1,636	2,433	4,069
MUSKOGEE	8,612	9,907	18,519
NOBLE	1,859	2,128	3,987
NOWATA	1,647	1,704	3,351
OKFUSKEE	1,277	1,820	3,097
OKLAHOMA	89,908	81,892	171,800
OKMULGEE	5,014	5,183	10,197
OSAGE	6,830	6,097	12,927
OTTAWA	3,222	4,671	7,893
PAWNEE	2,382	2,270	4,652
PAYNE	10,538	8,305	18,843
PITTSBURG	5,923	8,414	14,337
PONTOTOC	4,995	4,702	9,697
POTTAWATOMIE	8,205	10,080	18,285
PUSHMATAHA	1,288	1,978	3,266
ROGER MILLS	535	795	1,330
ROGERS	12,181	11,298	23,479
SEMINOLE	3,295	3,547	6,842
SEQUOYAH	3,694	4,857	8,551
STEPHENS	6,117	7,084	13,201
TEXAS	2,250	2,336	4,586
	2,250	2,330	1,550

# STATE QUESTION 693 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 321 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
TILLMAN	1,026	1,374	2,400
TULSA	99,128	61,585	160,713
WAGONER	9,052	8,155	17,207
WASHINGTON	9,341	6,718	16,059
WASHITA	1,653	1,796	3,449
WOODS	1,632	1,351	2,983
WOODWARD	2,565	2,976	5,541
STATE TOTAL:	489,883	464,248	954,131

#### STATE QUESTION 696 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 323 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 6 of Article 10. This measure would exempt up to 100 square feet of a storm shelter from property tax. To be exempt, a storm shelter must be designed to provide protection and safety from tornadoes. Storm shelters include safe rooms built within a home. The exemption would apply to storm shelters installed or added after January 1, 2002. A transfer of real property with an exempt storm shelter would result in the shelter being subject to property tax.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES =======
ADAIR	2,998	2,383	5,381
ALFALFA	1,167	752	1,919
ATOKA	1,858	1,631	3,489
BEAVER	1,066	782	1,848
BECKHAM	3,226	1,676	4,902
BLAINE	1,875	1,313	3,188
BRYAN	5,358	3,666	9,024
CADDO	4,479	2,626	7,105
CANADIAN	18,318	8,744	27,062
CARTER	7,761	4,553	12,314
CHEROKEE	7,691	4,403	12,094
CHOCTAW	1,892	1,526	3,418
CIMARRON	681	497	1,178
CLEVELAND	43,388	18,326	61,714
COAL	955	902	1,857
COMANCHE	13,538	6,907	20,445
COTTON	1,055	657	1,712
CRAIG	2,666	1,535	4,201
CREEK	13,025	5,774	18,799
CUSTER	4,389	3,155	7,544
DELAWARE	6,152	3,807	9,959
DEWEY	939	768	1,707
ELLIS	787	756	1,543
GARFIELD	10,993	5,755	16,748
GARVIN	4,767	3,388	8,155
GRADY	8,505	5,036	13,541
GRANT	1,237	792	2,029
GREER	966	675	1,641
HARMON	470	275	745
HARPER	680	649	1,329
HASKELL	2,120	1,639	3,759
HUGHES	2,206	1,532	3,738
JACKSON	3,878	2,010	5,888
JEFFERSON	1,056	633	1,689

### STATE QUESTION 696 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 323 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	==================	=================	======
JOHNSTON	1,868	1,238	3,106
KAY	9,174	5,466	14,640
KINGFISHER	2,875	1,835	4,710
KIOWA	1,629	1,178	2,807
LATIMER	1,764	1,191	2,955
LeFLORE	б,546	4,455	11,001
LINCOLN	6,637	3,895	10,532
LOGAN	6,851	3,677	10,528
LOVE	1,625	844	2,469
McCLAIN	6,105	3,138	9,243
McCURTAIN	4,088	3,665	7,753
McINTOSH	3,687	2,444	6,131
MAJOR	1,622	1,003	2,625
MARSHALL	2,262	1,642	3,904
MAYES	7,242	4,441	11,683
MURRAY	2,448	1,664	4,112
MUSKOGEE	12,151	6,430	18,581
NOBLE	2,406	1,610	4,016
NOWATA	2,160	1,240	3,400
OKFUSKEE	1,793	1,321	3,114
OKLAHOMA	116,858	56,748	173,606
OKMULGEE	6,788	3,491	10,279
OSAGE	8,873	4,139	13,012
OTTAWA	4,447	3,480	7,927
PAWNEE	3,192	1,499	4,691
PAYNE	13,062	5,904	18,966
PITTSBURG	8,589	5,830	14,419
PONTOTOC	6,388	3,355	9,743
POTTAWATOMIE	11,782	6,581	18,363
PUSHMATAHA	1,733	1,557	3,290
ROGER MILLS	743	585	1,328
ROGERS	16,379	7,310	23,689
SEMINOLE	4,387	2,505	6,892
SEQUOYAH	5,082	3,506	8,588
STEPHENS	8,635	4,690	13,325
TEXAS	2,732	1,867	4,599
TILLMAN	1,448	952	2,400
TULSA	117,539	44,779	162,318
WAGONER	12,088	5,298	17,386
WASHINGTON	10,920	5,284	16,204
	- ,	-,	-,

# STATE QUESTION 696 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 323 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO ======	TOTAL VOTES ======
WASHITA WOODS WOODWARD	2,164 1,733 3,204	1,314 1,263 2,361	3,478 2,996 5,565
STATE TOTAL:	635,841	326,198	962,039

#### STATE QUESTION 697 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 324 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 6B of Article 10. Businesses pay property tax on business property. Some kinds of business have an exemption from property tax for up to five years. After the exemption expires, the business pays property tax. Property taxes are used to support Counties and other local governments. If this measure passes, an election could be called. If voters approve, up to one-fourth of county property tax from previously exempt business property could be used for economic development. Only property tax a county receives could be used in this way. Other local governments that receive property tax would get their full amount of property tax from business property.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	2,503	2,819	5,322
ALFALFA	977	890	1,867
ATOKA	1,389	2,014	3,403
BEAVER	887	912	1,799
BECKHAM	2,510	2,325	4,835
BLAINE	1,482	1,673	3,155
BRYAN	4,294	4,616	8,910
CADDO	3,552	3,440	6,992
CANADIAN	13,916	12,597	26,513
CARTER	6,347	5,794	12,141
CHEROKEE	6,334	5,625	11,959
CHOCTAW	1,594	1,788	3,382
CIMARRON	555	613	1,168
CLEVELAND	33,707	26,524	60,231
COAL	804	1,046	1,850
COMANCHE	11,435	8,769	20,204
COTTON	884	798	1,682
CRAIG	2,221	1,892	4,113
CREEK	10,290	8,189	18,479
CUSTER	3,647	3,781	7,428
DELAWARE	5,193	4,637	9,830
DEWEY	740	944	1,684
ELLIS	653	866	1,519
GARFIELD	9,165	7,335	16,500
GARVIN	3,601	4,418	8,019
GRADY	6,357	6,965	13,322
GRANT	1,045	950	1,995
GREER	777	833	1,610
HARMON	387	348	735
HARPER	576	729	1,305
HASKELL	1,604	2,106	3,710

### STATE QUESTION 697 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 324 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	==================	================	======
	1 (10	0.051	2 (51
HUGHES	1,610	2,061	3,671
JACKSON	3,241	2,557	5,798
JEFFERSON	785	882	1,667
JOHNSTON	1,549	1,521	3,070
KAY	7,932	6,532	14,464
KINGFISHER	2,216	2,439	4,655
KIOWA	1,340	1,405	2,745
LATIMER	1,299	1,591	2,890
Leflore	5,266	5,537	10,803
LINCOLN	4,871	5,511	10,382
LOGAN	5,189	5,178	10,367
LOVE	1,344	1,078	2,422
McCLAIN	4,337	4,690	9,027
MCCURTAIN	3,256	4,374	7,630
McINTOSH	2,970	3,040	6,010
MAJOR	1,323	1,273	2,596
MARSHALL	1,793	2,015	3,808
MAYES	5,622	5,863	11,485
MURRAY	1,942	2,110	4,052
MUSKOGEE	10,191	8,155	18,346
NOBLE	1,875	2,076	3,951
NOWATA	1,781	1,556	3,337
OKFUSKEE	1,333	1,739	3,072
OKLAHOMA	93,432	75,825	169,257
OKMULGEE	5,531	4,559	10,090
OSAGE	7,277	5,539	12,816
OTTAWA	3,872	3,938	7,810
PAWNEE	2,522	2,100	4,622
PAYNE	10,318	8,286	18,604
PITTSBURG	6,834	7,400	14,234
PONTOTOC	5,173	4,436	9,609
POTTAWATOMIE	8,783	9,300	18,083
PUSHMATAHA	1,386	1,832	3,218
ROGER MILLS	511	793	1,304
ROGERS	12,994	10,286	23,280
SEMINOLE	3,457	3,335	6,792
SEQUOYAH	4,184	4,272	8,456
STEPHENS	6,928	6,176	13,104
TEXAS	2,286	2,267	4,553
TILLMAN	1,156	1,191	2,347

# STATE QUESTION 697 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 324 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
TULSA	100,827	57,876	158,703
WAGONER	9,853	7,168	17,021
WASHINGTON	9,416	6,467	15,883
WASHITA	1,599	1,794	3,393
WOODS	1,561	1,408	2,969
WOODWARD	2,504	2,992	5,496
STATE TOTAL:	514,895	428,659	943,554

#### STATE QUESTION 698 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 325 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 2 of Article 5. It changes the number of legal voters needed to propose an amendment to the law of this state. At present 8% of the legal voters are required to propose a change in the law. This measure will change the number of legal voters to 15%. It would only apply to certain types of laws. It would apply to laws that would do away with methods for hunting, fishing, or trapping. It would also apply to laws that would do away with occupations dealing with animals. Also, it would apply to laws that would do away with sporting or entertainment events dealing with animals.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	3,023	2,478	5,501
ALFALFA	. 964	. 942	1,906
ATOKA	1,895	1,626	3,521
BEAVER	964	864	1,828
BECKHAM	2,439	2,481	4,920
BLAINE	1,522	1,677	3,199
BRYAN	5,005	4,096	9,101
CADDO	3,516	3,592	7,108
CANADIAN	12,512	14,487	26,999
CARTER	6,317	6,053	12,370
CHEROKEE	6,522	5,679	12,201
CHOCTAW	1,824	1,651	3,475
CIMARRON	569	615	1,184
CLEVELAND	25,791	35,716	61,507
COAL	1,002	902	1,904
COMANCHE	9,681	10,731	20,412
COTTON	949	774	1,723
CRAIG	2,189	2,014	4,203
CREEK	9,245	9,604	18,849
CUSTER	3,628	3,885	7,513
DELAWARE	4,858	5,136	9,994
DEWEY	879	836	1,715
ELLIS	761	783	1,544
GARFIELD	7,935	8,771	16,706
GARVIN	4,041	4,147	8,188
GRADY	6,706	6,880	13,586
GRANT	968	1,048	2,016
GREER	847	824	1,671
HARMON	380	381	761
HARPER	629	695	1,324
HASKELL	2,247	1,597	3,844
HUGHES	1,870	1,906	3,776

# STATE QUESTION 698 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 325 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	=================	===============	======
JACKSON	2,852	3,034	5,886
JEFFERSON	920	786	1,706
JOHNSTON	1,814	1,328	3,142
KAY	6,851	7,747	14,598
KINGFISHER	2,380	2,326	4,706
KIOWA	1,292	1,509	2,801
LATIMER	1,455	1,504	2,959
LeFLORE	5,556	5,502	11,058
LINCOLN	5,267	5,307	10,574
LOGAN	4,781	5,768	10,549
LOVE	1,380	1,112	2,492
McCLAIN	4,897	4,364	9,261
McCURTAIN	4,363	3,523	7,886
McINTOSH	3,111	3,025	6,136
MAJOR	1,475	1,174	2,649
MARSHALL	2,090	1,838	3,928
MAYES	5,784	5,937	11,721
MURRAY	2,401	1,749	4,150
MUSKOGEE	9,780	8,783	18,563
NOBLE	2,086	1,937	4,023
NOWATA	1,894	1,535	3,429
OKFUSKEE	1,617	1,539	3,156
OKLAHOMA	70,680	102,679	173,359
OKMULGEE	4,993	5,286	10,279
OSAGE	6,662	6,397	13,059
OTTAWA	3,616	4,291	7,907
PAWNEE	2,430	2,252	4,682
PAYNE	8,500	10,553	19,053
PITTSBURG	6,962	7,465	14,427
PONTOTOC	5,970	3,876	9,846
POTTAWATOMIE	8,900	9,512	18,412
PUSHMATAHA	1,743	1,613	3,356
ROGER MILLS	695	640	1,335
ROGERS	11,723	12,010	23,733
SEMINOLE	3,339	3,565	6,904
SEQUOYAH	4,495	4,169	8,664
STEPHENS	6,379	6,965	13,344
TEXAS	2,305	2,314	4,619
TILLMAN	1,243	1,149	2,392
TULSA	69,363	92,827	162,190

# STATE QUESTION 698 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 325 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
WAGONER	8,642	8,764	17,406
WASHINGTON WASHITA	7,420 1,664	8,826 1,799	16,246 3,463
WOODS	1,427	1,565	2,992
WOODWARD	2,519	3,031	5,550
STATE TOTAL:	447,394	515,746	963,140

#### STATE QUESTION 701 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 326 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 40 of Article 10. This measure changes certain procedures related to the tobacco trust fund. It changes the way it is determined how much money in the fund may be spent each year. Now only earnings of the fund may be spent. This measure would base spending from the fund on the average market value of the fund. Each year an amount not to exceed  $5\frac{1}{2}$  percent of the average market value of the fund may be spent. The actual percentage amount to be expended is set by the Board of Investors. It cannot exceed  $5\frac{1}{2}$  percent. Monies from the fund may be used to pay outside vendors and for financial management services.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES =======	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
ADAIR	1,887	3,396	5,283
ALFALFA	751	1,126	1,877
ATOKA	970	2,419	3,389
BEAVER	596	1,198	1,794
BECKHAM	1,996	2,851	4,847
BLAINE	1,177	1,965	3,142
BRYAN	3,048	5,759	8,807
CADDO	2,882	4,100	6,982
CANADIAN	11,900	14,728	26,628
CARTER	5,296	6,814	12,110
CHEROKEE	4,926	6,992	11,918
CHOCTAW	1,089	2,247	3,336
CIMARRON	381	772	1,153
CLEVELAND	29,275	31,101	60,376
COAL	610	1,232	1,842
COMANCHE	9,281	10,889	20,170
COTTON	641	1,045	1,686
CRAIG	1,591	2,518	4,109
CREEK	8,226	10,204	18,430
CUSTER	3,420	4,013	7,433
DELAWARE	3,735	5,991	9,726
DEWEY	543	1,137	1,680
ELLIS	542	979	1,521
GARFIELD	7,819	8,736	16,555
GARVIN	2,869	5,123	7,992
GRADY	5,375	7,967	13,342
GRANT	791	1,187	1,978
GREER	604	1,007	1,611
HARMON	273	457	730
HARPER	461	840	1,301
HASKELL	1,241	2,452	3,693
HUGHES	1,258	2,423	3,681

# STATE QUESTION 701 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 326 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	=================	================	=======
JACKSON	2,531	3,251	5,782
JEFFERSON	622	1,010	1,632
JOHNSTON	1,094	1,963	3,057
KAY	6,332	8,076	14,408
KINGFISHER	1,853	2,803	4,656
KIOWA	1,051	1,702	2,753
LATIMER	934	1,958	2,892
Leflore	3,805	6,941	10,746
LINCOLN	4,028	6,352	10,380
LOGAN	4,455	5,966	10,421
LOVE	999	1,394	2,393
McCLAIN	3,619	5,414	9,033
McCURTAIN	2,405	5,190	7,595
McINTOSH	2,235	3,715	5,950
MAJOR	987	1,604	2,591
MARSHALL	1,274	2,524	3,798
MAYES	4,208	7,263	11,471
MURRAY	1,492	2,517	4,009
MUSKOGEE	8,269	10,014	18,283
NOBLE	1,546	2,412	3,958
NOWATA	1,271	2,069	3,340
OKFUSKEE	1,045	2,017	3,062
OKLAHOMA	83,032	87,664	170,696
OKMULGEE	4,322	5,757	10,079
OSAGE	5,581	7,182	12,763
OTTAWA	2,894	4,887	7,781
PAWNEE	1,856	2,737	4,593
PAYNE	8,611	10,060	18,671
PITTSBURG	5,040	9,131	14,171
PONTOTOC	4,555	5,060	9,615
POTTAWATOMIE	7,244	10,798	18,042
PUSHMATAHA	957	2,238	3,195
ROGER MILLS	421	889	1,310
ROGERS	10,550	12,673	23,223
SEMINOLE	2,892	3,928	6,820
SEQUOYAH	3,047	5,375	8,422
STEPHENS	6,232	6,875	13,107
TEXAS	1,801	2,727	4,528
TILLMAN	924	1,416	2,340
TULSA	84,684	73,989	158,673
	01,001	. : ; ; ; ; ; ;	200,010

### STATE QUESTION 701 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 326 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
WAGONER	7,628	9,328	16,956
WASHINGTON	7,273	8,570	15,843
WASHITA	1,318	2,066	3,384
WOODS	1,317	1,644	2,961
WOODWARD	2,029	3,463	5,492
STATE TOTAL:	425,717	518,280	943,997

#### STATE QUESTION 702 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 327 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends part of Section 5 of Article 10 of the State Constitution. The measure allows the Legislature to pass laws permitting abatement of taxes. Under the proposal, the Legislature could abate taxes only if:

- 1. Collection of the tax with interest and penalties would cause the taxpayer to declare bankruptcy;
- 2. The tax is not collectible because, for reasons beyond his control, the taxpayer is insolvent;
- 3. Other similar factors beyond the taxpayer's control caused the tax not to be collectible;
- 4. The tax resulted from actions of a person other than the taxpayer. Moreover, it must be unfair to hold the taxpayer responsible; or
- 5. The tax is a trust fund tax which the taxpayer did not collect from his customer. Additionally, the taxpayer must have believed in good faith that he did not have to collect the tax.

The measure does not amend the portion of Section 5 of Article 10 which requires that taxes be uniform upon the same class of subjects.

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL — YES	PROPOSAL — NO	VOTES
	=======		======
ADAIR	2,226	3,037	5,263
ALFALFA	881	999	1,880
ATOKA	1,202	2,193	3,395
BEAVER	839	954	1,793
BECKHAM	2,447	2,340	4,787
BLAINE	1,381	1,752	3,133
BRYAN	3,939	4,835	8,774
CADDO	3,233	3,702	6,935
CANADIAN	14,309	12,002	26,311
CARTER	5,617	6,387	12,004
CHEROKEE	5,945	5,922	11,867
CHOCTAW	1,389	1,915	3,304
CIMARRON	498	657	1,155
CLEVELAND	34,114	25,688	59,802
COAL	647	1,178	1,825
COMANCHE	10,259	9,854	20,113
COTTON	750	932	1,682
CRAIG	1,998	2,066	4,064
CREEK	10,237	8,137	18,374
CUSTER	3,434	3,947	7,381

# STATE QUESTION 702 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 327 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL — YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
	======	=================	======
DELAWARE	4,951	4,773	9,724
DEWEY	4,951 648	1,011	1,659
ELLIS	616	891	1,507
GARFIELD	8,834	7,574	16,408
GARVIN	3,415	4,565	7,980
GRADY	6,295	6,924	13,219
GRANT	919	1,058	1,977
GREER	705	881	1,586
		404	722
HARMON	318		
HARPER	488	810	1,298
HASKELL	1,377	2,282	3,659
HUGHES	1,480	2,169	3,649
JACKSON	3,114	2,642	5,756
JEFFERSON	756	883	1,639
JOHNSTON	1,305	1,742	3,047
KAY	7,567	6,811	14,378
KINGFISHER	1,913	2,729	4,642
KIOWA	1,190	1,533	2,723
LATIMER	1,123	1,739	2,862
LeFLORE	4,753	5,949	10,702
LINCOLN	4,883	5,443	10,326
LOGAN	5,344	4,984	10,328
LOVE	1,083	1,305	2,388
McCLAIN	4,390	4,581	8,971
McCURTAIN	2,970	4,597	7,567
McINTOSH	2,654	3,279	5,933
MAJOR	1,271	1,311	2,582
MARSHALL	1,631	2,142	3,773
MAYES	5,333	6,073	11,406
MURRAY	1,783	2,215	3,998
MUSKOGEE	9,347	8,903	18,250
NOBLE	1,691	2,264	3,955
NOWATA	1,624	1,699	3,323
OKFUSKEE	1,234	1,816	3,050
OKLAHOMA	96,500	71,263	167,763
OKMULGEE	5,102	4,930	10,032
OSAGE	7,045	5,699	12,744
OTTAWA	3,553	4,202	7,755
PAWNEE	2,440	2,152	4,592
PAYNE	10,547	7,926	18,473
	-	•	· -

# STATE QUESTION 702 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 327 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO ========	TOTAL VOTES ======
PITTSBURG	6,192	7,922	14,114
PONTOTOC	5,053	4,516	9,569
POTTAWATOMIE	8,837	9,129	17,966
PUSHMATAHA	1,241	1,933	3,174
ROGER MILLS	450	839	1,289
ROGERS	13,003	10,111	23,114
SEMINOLE	3,021	3,749	6,770
SEQUOYAH	3,840	4,583	8,423
STEPHENS	6,695	6,281	12,976
TEXAS	2,176	2,325	4,501
TILLMAN	1,088	1,253	2,341
TULSA	103,096	53,670	156,766
WAGONER	9,843	7,028	16,871
WASHINGTON	9,467	6,291	15,758
WASHITA	1,566	1,788	3,354
WOODS	1,468	1,480	2,948
WOODWARD	2,338	3,121	5,459
STATE TOTAL:	506,911	428,670	935,581

#### STATE QUESTION 703 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 328 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 53 of Article 5. This measure would allow the Legislature to pass certain laws. The laws would affect persons contracting with the state. The laws could limit the liability those persons have to the state. The liability limit would apply only to certain contracts. It would apply to contracts for information technology. Liability could not be limited to less than the amount of the contract.

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
			======
ADAIR	1,961	3,283	5,244
ALFALFA	784	1,083	1,867
ATOKA	989	2,390	3,379
BEAVER	710	1,077	1,787
BECKHAM	2,014	2,743	4,757
BLAINE	1,251	1,876	3,127
BRYAN	3,427	5,308	8,735
CADDO	2,893	4,005	6,898
CANADIAN	11,340	14,926	26,266
CARTER	4,907	7,052	11,959
CHEROKEE	4,729	7,141	11,870
CHOCTAW	1,194	2,112	3,306
CIMARRON	444	693	1,137
CLEVELAND	25,924	33,695	59,619
COAL	579	1,253	1,832
COMANCHE	8,645	11,420	20,065
COTTON	671	1,006	1,677
CRAIG	1,655	2,397	4,052
CREEK	8,383	9,933	18,316
CUSTER	2,964	4,408	7,372
DELAWARE	4,179	5,471	9,650
DEWEY	578	1,084	1,662
ELLIS	510	998	1,508
GARFIELD	7,647	8,726	16,373
GARVIN	2,801	5,143	7,944
GRADY	5,172	8,042	13,214
GRANT	786	1,177	1,963
GREER	589	987	1,576
HARMON	281	445	726
HARPER	477	813	1,290
HASKELL	1,180	2,481	3,661
HUGHES	1,276	2,360	3,636
JACKSON	2,718	3,024	5,742
JEFFERSON	649	974	1,623

### STATE QUESTION 703 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 328 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	==================	================	======
	1 100	1 000	2 . 0.0.0
JOHNSTON	1,136	1,893	3,029
KAY	6,669	7,652	14,321
KINGFISHER	1,788	2,836	4,624
KIOWA	1,010	1,710	2,720
LATIMER	977	1,874	2,851
Leflore	3,959	6,719	10,678
LINCOLN	3,969	6,323	10,292
LOGAN	4,315	5,987	10,302
LOVE	948	1,426	2,374
McCLAIN	3,484	5,447	8,931
MCCURTAIN	2,510	4,976	7,486
McINTOSH	2,125	3,793	5,918
MAJOR	1,053	1,529	2,582
MARSHALL	1,404	2,348	3,752
MAYES	4,419	6,941	11,360
MURRAY	1,483	2,502	3,985
MUSKOGEE	7,077	11,144	18,221
NOBLE	1,592	2,345	3,937
NOWATA	1,393	1,919	3,312
OKFUSKEE	1,106	1,945	3,051
OKLAHOMA	75,229	92,107	167,336
OKMULGEE	4,327	5,663	9,990
OSAGE	5,869	6,807	12,676
OTTAWA	3,075	4,621	7,696
PAWNEE	1,987	2,596	4,583
PAYNE	8,464	9,962	18,426
PITTSBURG	5,230	8,842	14,072
PONTOTOC	4,376	5,174	9,550
POTTAWATOMIE	6,892	10,993	17,885
PUSHMATAHA	980	2,190	3,170
ROGER MILLS	406	873	1,279
ROGERS	10,439	12,579	23,018
SEMINOLE	2,463	4,275	6,738
SEQUOYAH	3,167	5,226	8,393
STEPHENS	5,710	7,190	12,900
TEXAS	1,935	2,557	4,492
TILLMAN	987	1,337	2,324
TULSA	84,312	71,959	156,271
WAGONER	7,820	8,993	16,813
WASHINGTON	7,719	7,939	15,658

# STATE QUESTION 703 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 328 (continued)

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO =======	TOTAL VOTES ======
WASHITA WOODS WOODWARD	1,349 1,254 2,028	2,008 1,670 3,420	3,357 2,924 5,448
STATE TOTAL:	412,742	519,816	932,558

#### STATE QUESTION 704 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 329 General Election — November 5, 2002

This measure amends the Oklahoma Constitution. It amends Section 10 of Article 10. School districts have building funds. Property taxes are levied for this purpose. Generally, monies in these funds are used to construct school buildings. This measure would allow the building funds to be used for payment of certain costs. These costs are related to the inspection of property by the county assessor. The inspections are part of the property tax system. The way in which building funds could be used for this purpose could be prescribed by law.

	FOR THE PROPOSAL — YES	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL — NO	TOTAL VOTES
	=======	==============	======
ADAIR	2,099	3,267	5,366
ALFALFA	929	979	1,908
ATOKA	1,226	2,227	3,453
BEAVER	839	992	1,831
BECKHAM	2,238	2,644	4,882
BLAINE	1,374	1,806	3,180
BRYAN	3,245	5,742	8,987
CADDO	3,284	3,794	7,078
CANADIAN	10,952	15,923	26,875
CARTER	5,041	7,187	12,228
CHEROKEE	5,492	6,558	12,050
CHOCTAW	1,323	2,067	3,390
CIMARRON	529	640	1,169
CLEVELAND	26,641	34,237	60,878
COAL	739	1,125	1,864
COMANCHE	9,288	11,084	20,372
COTTON	767	930	1,697
CRAIG	1,787	2,375	4,162
CREEK	8,285	10,319	18,604
CUSTER	3,670	3,823	7,493
DELAWARE	3,981	5,913	9,894
DEWEY	710	990	1,700
ELLIS	625	917	1,542
GARFIELD	7,872	8,796	16,668
GARVIN	2,920	5,187	8,107
GRADY	5,301	8,174	13,475
GRANT	962	1,045	2,007
GREER	663	972	1,635
HARMON	354	386	740
HARPER	536	784	1,320
HASKELL	1,350	2,387	3,737
HUGHES	1,363	2,366	3,729

### STATE QUESTION 704 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 329 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL - YES	PROPOSAL - NO	VOTES
			======
JACKSON	2,849	2,996	5,845
JEFFERSON	741	932	1,673
JOHNSTON	1,355	1,750	3,105
KAY	6,248	8,330	14,578
KINGFISHER	1,992	2,708	4,700
KIOWA	1,189	1,592	2,781
LATIMER	1,102	1,835	2,937
Leflore	4,211	6,722	10,933
LINCOLN	4,062	6,382	10,444
LOGAN	4,096	6,373	10,469
LOVE	1,101	1,342	2,443
McCLAIN	3,418	5,706	9,124
MCCURTAIN	3,020	4,704	7,724
MCINTOSH	2,319	3,722	6,041
MAJOR	1,220	1,405	2,625
MACOR	1,220	2,459	3,870
	4,471		
MAYES		7,106	11,577
MURRAY	1,497	2,565	4,062
MUSKOGEE	8,982	9,531	18,513
NOBLE	1,794	2,203	3,997
NOWATA	1,366	1,986	3,352
OKFUSKEE	1,201	1,888	3,089
OKLAHOMA	70,186	101,966	172,152
OKMULGEE	4,518	5,655	10,173
OSAGE	5,869	7,042	12,911
	3,256	4,664	7,920
PAWNEE	1,960	2,690	4,650
PAYNE	8,563	10,257	18,820
PITTSBURG	5,575	8,812	14,387
PONTOTOC	4,556	5,160	9,716
POTTAWATOMIE	7,088	11,178	18,266
PUSHMATAHA	1,100	2,151	3,251
ROGER MILLS	477	853	1,330
ROGERS	10,399	13,075	23,474
SEMINOLE	2,838	4,022	6,860
SEQUOYAH	3,508	5,027	8,535
STEPHENS	5,574	7,641	13,215
TEXAS	2,229	2,359	4,588
TILLMAN	1,090	1,300	2,390
TULSA	80,167	79,828	159,995

### STATE QUESTION 704 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM 329 (continued)

	FOR THE	AGAINST THE	TOTAL
	PROPOSAL — YES	PROPOSAL — NO	VOTES
	=======	=======	======
WAGONER	7,862	9,295	17,157
WASHINGTON	7,388	8,631	16,019
WASHITA	1,566	1,885	3,451
WOODS	1,436	1,553	2,989
WOODWARD	2,153	3,380	5,533
STATE TOTAL:	415,388	538,297	953,685