

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Adult Prisons & Jails

Interim Final

Date of Report 09/27/18

Auditor Information

Name: Patrick J. Zirpoli	Email: pzirpoli@ptd.net
Company Name: Patrick J. Zirpoli LLC.	
Mailing Address: 149 Spruce Swamp Road	City, State, Zip: Milanville, PA 18443
Telephone: 570-729-4131	Date of Facility Visit: 08/22/18 – 08/24/18

Agency Information

Name of Agency: Oklahoma Department of Corrections	Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): State of Oklahoma
Physical Address: 3400 MLK Avenue	City, State, Zip: Oklahoma City, OK 73111
Mailing Address: Same as above	City, State, Zip:
Telephone: 405-425-2505	Is Agency accredited by any organization? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
The Agency is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Military <input type="checkbox"/> Private for Profit <input type="checkbox"/> Private not for Profit <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal <input type="checkbox"/> County <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State <input type="checkbox"/> Federal
Agency mission: The core mission of the Oklahoma Department of Corrections is to protect the public and increase public safety, promote a safe working environment for the staff and to encourage positive change in offender behavior by promoting successful reentry and rehabilitation programs.	
Agency Website with PREA Information: Oklahoma.DOC/GOV	

Agency Chief Executive Officer

Name: Joe M. Allbaugh	Title: Director
Email: director@doc.ok.gov	Telephone: 405-425-2506

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

Name: Jeff McLaughlin	Title: Agency PREA Coordinator
Email: jeff.mclaughlin@doc.ok.gov	Telephone: 405-425-7074
PREA Coordinator Reports to: Millicent Newton-Embry, Director Region I	Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator 24

Facility Information

Name of Facility: Mack Alford Correctional Center

Physical Address: 1151 N Hwy 69 Stringtown. OK 74569

Mailing Address (if different than above): PO Box 220 Stringtown, OK 74569

Telephone Number: 580-889-6651

The Facility Is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for profit	<input type="checkbox"/> Private not for profit
<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal
Facility Type:	<input type="checkbox"/> Jail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prison	

Facility Mission: The core mission of the Oklahoma Department of Corrections is to protect the public and increase public safety, promote a safe working environment for the staff and to encourage positive change in offender behavior by promoting successful reentry and rehabilitation programs.

Facility Website with PREA Information: Oklahoma.DOC/GOV

Warden/Superintendent

Name: Kameron Harvanek	Title: Warden
Email: Kameron.harvanek@doc.ok.gov	Telephone: 580-346-7301

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Name: John Montgomery	Title: Deputy Warden
Email: john.montgomery@doc.ok.gov	Telephone: 580-346-7301

Facility Health Service Administrator

Name: Heather Knight	Title: Correctional Health Services Administrator
Email: heather.knight@doc.ok.gov	Telephone: 580-346-7301

Facility Characteristics

Designated Facility Capacity: 760	Current Population of Facility: 801
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months	642
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:	565
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	638
Number of inmates on date of audit who were admitted to facility prior to August 20, 2012:	92

Are youthful inmates housed separately from the adult population?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Number of youthful inmates housed at this facility during the past 12 months:	0		
Average length of stay or time under supervision:	153 days		
Facility security level/inmate custody levels:	Medium & Minimum		
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:	143		
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:	43		
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:	2		
Physical Plant			
Number of Buildings: 46	Number of Single Cell Housing Units: 1		
Number of Multiple Occupancy Cell Housing Units:	8		
Number of Open Bay/Dorm Housing Units:	6		
Number of Segregation Cells (Administrative and Disciplinary):	45 cells		
<p>Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.):</p> <p>The facility has 124 cameras placed throughout the facility, these cameras are either stationary and PTZ. The cameras provide adequate coverage of inmate housing areas, and inmate accessed areas. The cameras can be viewed by the Warden, Deputy warden and in C.O.S Surveillance. During the onsite audit the monitors were examined, the views of the cameras do not show any areas that would cause an issue of cross gender viewing.</p>			
Medical			
Type of Medical Facility:	24 hour minor emergency clinic and infirmary		
Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at:	Atoka Memorial Hospital		
Other			
Number of volunteers and individual contractors, who may have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	105		
Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse:	13		

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

Pre-Onsite Audit Phase

Audit Planning and Logistics:

I had the opportunity to discuss the audit process and expectations of both parties with the Agency PREA Coordinator Jeff McLaughlin. We coordinated the dates for the onsite audits at the facility. I further had the opportunity to discuss the audit with the PREA Compliance Manager at the facility.

During these conversations we outlined an overall audit schedule and notified the facility of the estimated time of arrival onsite.

Posting Notice of the Audit:

I forwarded the audit postings to the Agency PREA Coordinator on July 10, 2018, he then forwarded the postings to the individual facilities. The posting included the dates of the audit, purpose of the audit, my contact information and a statement regarding the confidentiality of any communication received. The postings were placed throughout the facility, including all housing units, visiting areas, recreational areas and all common areas. I verified the placement of the audit notices during the onsite portion of the audit during the facility tour, and during the inmate and staff interviews. No staff nor inmates contacted me. The scheduled onsite audit needed to be rescheduled, an updated audit posting was forwarded to the Agency PREA Coordinator on August 1, 2018.

Review of Agency and Facility Policies, Procedures and Supporting Documentation:

The Agency PREA Coordinator had previously provided me a flash drive containing all Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policies and Procedures related to the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The facility PREA Compliance Manager provided me with a flash drive containing all facility level Policies and Procedures, as well as documentation that all Department and Facility Policies and Procedures were practiced on a daily basis. They also provided me a completed PRE-Audit Questionnaire. The Policies, Procedures and Documents reviewed during this phase of the audit are listed under Onsite Audit, with all documentation reviewed during the course of the audit.

Outreach to Community Advocacy Organizations:

I contacted Tulsa Sane who provides both forensic examinations and victim advocacy for Oklahoma State Penitentiary. The audited facility has contacted numerous agencies to provide victim advocacy for the facility, but to date they have not found any victim advocate for the facility. The Tulsa Sane knew of no ongoing issues at the facility.

Agency level interviews:

I had previously conducted the agency level interviews on October 16, 2017. At that time I met with the Agency PREA Coordinator at his office in Oklahoma City, a portion of his interview was conducted at this time. I also interviewed investigative staff, as well as Region I Director Millicent Newton-Embry as the Agency Head Designee. I also had the opportunity to meet with Director Joe M. Allbaugh, we discussed the overall aspects of the PREA Audits, as well as the overall agency implementation of the Prison Rape Elimination Act.

Onsite Audit Phase

Site Review:

The Agency PREA Coordinator and I met with Warden Kameron Harvanek and Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager John Montgomery on August 22, 2018 at approximately 8:00 a.m. We discussed the onsite portion of the audit, including facility tour, inmate and staff interview location, and document review. During the tour I had the opportunity to view all areas of the facility, no areas were not toured. I interacted with both staff and inmates, as well as observed the interaction between the staff and inmates. I was able to verify the location of cameras throughout the facility, as well as the camera monitor locations. While on the housing units I observed the related PREA information, Audit Posting, and applicable policies and procedures posted in the common areas, which are accessible to all inmates. These postings were further observed in common areas throughout the facility. While conducting the tour I reviewed log books on the housing units.

The inmate interviews began after the audit tour. They were conducted in the separate housing units utilizing a vacant office, these offices provided a private area to conduct the interviews. I randomly selected the inmates from inmates on the housing unit, and highlighted them on the daily master roster. During this process I interviewed inmates in the following categories:

Interview Type	Number
Random Inmate Interviews	21
Youthful Inmates	Facility does not house
Inmates with a Physical Disability	1
Inmates who are Blind, Deaf, or Hard of Hearing	1
Inmates who are Limited English Proficient	2
Inmates with a Cognitive Disability	1
Inmates who Identify as Lesbian, Gay or Bisexual	4
Inmates who identify as Transgender or Intersex	0
Inmates in Segregated Housing for High Risk of Sexual Victimization	No inmates housed at facility at this time
Inmates who Reported Sexual Abuse	2
Inmates who Reported Sexual Victimization During Risk Screening	0
Total Inmate Interviews	32

During the interview process several targeted categories of inmates were not being housed at the facility. I conducted the interviews with all inmates in the same manner, a preamble to the interview was related to the inmate explaining the purpose of the interview, and how they were selected and explaining to them that they did not have to speak with me if they choose not to. No inmates refused to speak with me. During the interviews I utilized a copy of the initial PREA information received by inmates, Inmate Handbook, and Screening form to visually stimulate the inmate's recollection of their initial intake process.

Upon completion of the inmate interviews the staff interviews were conducted throughout multiple locations in the facility. These interviews were conducted on both August 23rd & 24th 2018. The facility was currently working two shifts, interviews were conducted on both shifts. During the process I interviewed staff in the following categories:

Interview Type	Number
Random Staff Interviews	13
Intermediate or Higher Level Staff Conducting Unannounced Rounds and Intake Staff	2
Line Staff who Supervise Youthful Inmates	Not Applicable
Education and Program Staff who Work with Youthful Inmates	Not Applicable
Medical and Mental Health Staff	3
Administrative Staff	1
Volunteers and Contractors	1
Investigative Staff	1
Staff who Perform Screening	2
Staff who Supervise Inmates in Segregated Housing	2
Staff on the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Team	2
First Responders	1
Warden	1
PREA Compliance Manager and Designated to Monitor for Retaliation	1
Total Staff Interviews	30

I conducted the interviews with all staff in the same manner, a preamble to the interview was related to the staff member explaining the purpose of the interview, and how they were selected and explaining to them that they did not have to speak with me if they choose not to. No staff refused to speak with me. During the interviews I utilized a copy of the training they received and any documentation related to a specific targeted interview. These items were used to visually stimulate the staff's recollection on the daily practices at the facility.

The following is a list of documentation reviewed during the Pre-Audit, Onsite Audit and Post Audit Phases, with the applicable standard to each.

Documentation Reviewed Pre-Audit, Onsite Audit and Post Audit Phases	Applicable Standard
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Memorandum of Appointment of PREA Coordinator Memorandum of Appointment of PREA Manager PREA Compliance Manager List Organizational Chart – Dept. of Corrections Organizational Chart - Facility</p>	<p>Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030401 Private Prison Monitoring Requirements Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030402 Community Corrections Residential Contracts Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-090109 Contract County Jail Program Executed contracts between ODOC and Private Prisons, or Jails with relevant PREA language</p>	<p>Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Staffing Plan Facility diagrams showing camera locations Unit Logs (showing unannounced rounds) – all shifts Program Calendars Facility Information from ODOC Website Facility Brochure</p>	<p>Standard 115.13: Supervision and Monitoring</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy MB-040102-16 Youthful Inmate Post Orders Facility Specific Criteria (DOC 060204A) Move list</p>	<p>Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy OP-040110 Search and Seizure Pat Search Lesson Plan PREA Training PowerPoint (sample pages) Training Lesson Plan Training Transcripts</p>	<p>Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</p>

<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy OP-060201 Initial Reception of Inmates PREA materials (Spanish translation): • “Zero Tolerance” Notice (Spanish) • PREA Poster, “Step Out of the Shadows” (Spanish) List of Interpreters (employees) Translated materials (regarding PREA) Job Roster with Activity/Housing Summary from Medical (showing disabilities) Medical Flag Report (showing disabilities)</p>	<p>Standard 115.16: Inmates with Disabilities and Inmates who are Limited English Proficient</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policies: OP-110105 Employee Personnel Records OP-110210 Background Investigations OP-110215 Individual Conduct of Employees OP-110235 Hiring and Promotional Procedures OP-110237 Separation Process State of Oklahoma – Terms and Conditions Applicant Questionnaire Contractor/Employee Request for Record Contractor/Employee Documentation of 5 year Criminal Background Record Checks for Staff Documentation of 5 year Criminal Background Record Checks for Contractors Personal Data Summary Sheet (4B) Verification of the Rap Back System through OLETS</p>	<p>Standard 115.17: Hiring and Promotion Decisions</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-150101 Physical Plant Development Diagrams of Buildings with Camera Locations Non-Occurrence Memo</p>	<p>Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policies: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act with Attachment C OP-040117 Investigations Documentation of available SANE --Sheila Johnson</p>	<p>Standard 115.21: Evidence Protocol and Forensic Medical Examination</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy with Attachment A Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy : OP-040117 Investigations Documentation of completed investigations Section 3 - Policy and Procedures Website Investigative Reports of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment (Other Facilities) Request for Investigation Memo Notification of Investigation Status</p>	<p>Standard 115.22: Policies to Ensure Referral of Allegations for Investigations</p>

<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy PREA Training PowerPoint 2018 PREA Training Rosters 2017 PREA Training Rosters Training Records and Acknowledgement Forms for Staff, Volunteers and Contractors</p>	<p>Standard 115.31: Employee Training</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-100101 Employee Development Course Roster for volunteers/contractors reflecting PREA Lesson Plan for Volunteer Training “Documentation of Volunteer Training” (Attachment C - OP-090211) “Volunteer Contractor Training Acknowledgement” (Attachment G – OP- 030601) Completed Acknowledgement Forms</p>	<p>Standard 115.32: Volunteer and Contractor Training</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy In-Depth Orientation Roster w/ arrival date and move sheet Zero Tolerance Acknowledgment Signed Inmate Handbook, relevant pages, (English and Spanish) Photos of Posters Posted on Units (regarding PREA and zero tolerance) Activity Housing Summary (IHAP) Intake Records for Inmates Inmate Files and Case Manager Notes</p>	<p>Standard 115.33: Inmate Education</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations Specialized PREA Investigation Training PowerPoint – relevant pages Letter to PREA Auditors regarding specialized training Training Records for Investigators</p>	<p>Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy PowerPoint “Medical/Dental/Mental Health PREA Training Training Rosters</p>	<p>Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</p>

<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Inmate Handbook w/relevant language (English and Spanish) Cell Assessment Form (Attachment A – OP-030102) Self-Report Form (Attachment B – OP-030102) Zero Tolerance Acknowledgements (shows ways to report) Signed Copies Viewed in Inmate Files</p>	<p>Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030103 inmate Job and Program Assignments Housing Unit Rosters Cell Assessment Form (Attachment A – OP-030102) Self-Report Form (Attachment B – OP-030102)</p>	<p>Standard 115.42: Use of screening information</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy</p>	<p>Standard 115.43: Protective Custody</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Inmate Handbook w/relevant language (English and Spanish) Memorandum of Understanding between Oklahoma Department of Corrections and Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations (External Reporting Source) Zero Tolerance Acknowledgements (shows ways to report) Signed Copies Viewed in Inmate Files</p>	<p>Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-090124 Inmate/Offender Grievance Process Non-Occurrence Memo</p>	<p>Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Mandatory Report Maps/Laws Memorandum of Understanding – Sheila Johnson</p>	<p>Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Memorandum of Understanding between Oklahoma Department of Corrections and Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations (External Reporting Source) Zero Tolerance Acknowledgement Signed Copies of Posted Reporting Instructions</p>	<p>Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting</p>

Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Mandatory Reporting Laws for Oklahoma PREA Report with mental health and third-party involvement Investigative Reports	Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy	Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Investigation files at facility	Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations Incident Notification Checklist (Attachment H – OP-050108) Request for Investigation Comprehensive Report (Attachment A) Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Memo of Non-Occurrence	Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations Incident Notification Checklist (Attachment H – OP-050108) Request for Investigation Comprehensive Report (Attachment A) Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment	Standard 115.65: Coordinated response
Oklahoma Right to Work Law enacted September 28, 2001	Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Completed Attachment I of OP-030601 (Protection Against Retaliation-Inmates Form)	Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment	Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations Incident Notification Checklist (Attachment H – OP-050108) Request for Investigation Comprehensive Report (Attachment A) Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Specialized Training Power Point Specialized Training Rosters</p>	<p>Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Agency Investigations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Completed Agency investigations</p>	<p>Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-040117 Investigations Notification of Investigation Status (Attachment D – OP-030601)</p>	<p>Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-110215 Individual Conduct of Employees Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-110415 Progressive Discipline Memo of non-occurrence</p>	<p>Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-090211 Volunteer Services Volunteer Alert form (Attachment F – OP- 090211) Volunteer Code of Conduct (Attachment K – OP-090211), relevant pages Memo of non-occurrence</p>	<p>Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</p>
<p>Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-060125 Disciplinary Procedures Acts Constituting Rule Violations (Attachment A – OP-060125) Mental Health Recommendations (DOC 060125R) Zero Tolerance Acknowledgement Notification of Investigation Status and Discipline</p>	<p>Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates</p>

Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Intra-Facility Health Screening Form (DOC 140113B) Medical/Mental Health Screening Intake Form Consent Form Inmate Records	Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-140118 Emergency Care Offender's Guide to Sexual Misconduct (Attachment B – OP-030601) Progress Note PRE Response Checklist	Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-140118 Emergency Care Offender's Guide to Sexual Misconduct (Attachment B – OP-030601) Zero Tolerance Acknowledgement Incident Notification Checklist Progress Notes Sexual Abuse Incident Review	Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Sexual Abuse Incident Review	Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy DOC Website – PREA Resources Data reports from 2012 through 2016	Standard 115.87: Data collection
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Data and Comparison DOC Website – PREA Resources Data reports from 2012 through 2016	Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy DOC Website – PREA Resources Data reports from 2012 through 2016	Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Prior Audit Reports	Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits
Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy Prior Audit Reports Agencies Website	Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

At the conclusion of the Onsite Audit an exit conference was held with the administration. At this time I provided an overview of the audit findings during the onsite audit portion. During the audit several doors were found to have locks that inmates could lock, and a shower curtain was missing from a shower. These issues were immediately discussed and corrected before my departure. I physically re-examined these areas.

During the audit I found that on the medium yard the initial screening process had been changed from having the receiving officer do the screening upon immediate arrival, to having the case manager on the intake block. During her interview I found that the screenings were not being conducted in a timely manner, this had been occurring for approximately a month. An immediate corrective action plan was put into place. The inmates who needed to be screened were immediately screened, and the screenings are now taking place immediately upon arrival, this was verified through review of screenings since the onsite audit.

Post Audit:

Upon completion of the Pre-Audit and Onsite Audit phases I conducted a systematic evidence review of all of the information obtained during the audit process. I utilized the Compliance Tool as a guide to ensure that all aspects of each standard were met. This assurance was made by a triangulation of the policies and documentation reviewed, my personal observations during the onsite audit, and through the information received during the interviews. After taking all of these factors into account I found that the facility has met all of the standards and are in compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act National Standards for Prisons and Jails.

Facility Characteristics

The Mack Alford Correctional Center (MACC) is located at 1151 North Highway 69, Stringtown, Oklahoma, 74569. The area surrounding the facility is rural, and the facility sits along Highway 69, a main North South Highway on the eastern side of Oklahoma.

In the early 1930's the Mack Alford Correctional Center was used as a sub-prison of the Oklahoma State Penitentiary in McAlester, Oklahoma. Offenders assigned to MACC were "trusties" who worked the farm and cattle. Sometime in the 1930's, the offenders were returned to the main institution and the facility became a federal, state, and local venereal disease hospital. During the early 1940's the facility was used as a German prisoner-of-war camp. During the late 1940's the state penitentiary again used the facility as a sub-prison. In 1948, the offenders were returned to the main prison, and the facility became the Stringtown Training School for White Boys. In August 1956, the vocational rehabilitation schools were added and the institution became known as the Vocational Training School, a sub-unit of the main institution. In 1968, the institution erected the current fence and towers and became a medium and minimum security sub-unit. In July of 1973, the unit was separated from the main institution, in November 1977, the name was changed to the Stringtown Correctional Center, and it became a medium security facility. On March 27, 1986, the institutional name was changed to Mack Alford Correctional Center in honor of Mack Alford, who served as Warden for 24 years.

The institution has two Oklahoma Correctional Industry (OCI) factories for furniture renovation and sign production. The Agri-Services program at MACC consists of a 150 herd cow/calf operation and hay production. The facility also has a Pro Com Call Center located at the facility.

The facility is located on over 1,560 acres, 1400 acres of which are dedicated to the farm to raise cattle. The facility consists of 46 buildings, about 20 located inside the secure compound with the rest of the buildings outside the fence including the two minimum security housing units, minimum security visiting building, key control and arsenal building, administrative building, caustics building, staff wellness building, surplus tool storage building and various farm and ranch buildings.

Entrance to the main portion of the facility is controlled by main control, all visitors must pass through a metal detector and provide identification to be allowed entrance.

The housing units are constructed to provide privacy while toileting, showering or changing clothes. All toilets on the medium yard are either in the inmate's cell or behind a partition wall. All of the showers throughout the facility have curtains to allow privacy. The segregated housing unit also has toilets in the cells, these are positioned to allow adequate privacy. The door for the shower is constructed to allow privacy while showering.

All of the bathrooms on the minimum yard have walls to provide privacy while toileting and curtains to provide privacy while showering.

The inmate movement is monitored by staff who are either posted within the housing units, as well as posted throughout the facility. The Unit Managers and Case Managers have offices on the units, this allows the inmates access to them during business hours.

Cameras are located throughout the facility, with the main monitors in a secure control room, and visible by the Warden and Deputy Warden.

During my tour of the facility I found that information on the Prison Rape Elimination Act, and reporting avenues are located throughout all of the buildings at the facility, all of this information is also available to the inmates in their issued handbook.

I found that administrative staff, as well as general staff move throughout the compound frequently, this movement of staff deters any violation of the PREA policy, and more importantly provides an overall safe environment for both inmates and staff.

Summary of Audit Findings

Number of Standards Exceeded: 5

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
Standard 115.33: Inmate education
Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations
Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting
Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

Number of Standards Met: 40

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring
Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates
Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions
Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies
Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
Standard 115.31: Employee training
Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training
Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
Standard 115.42: Use of screening information
Standard 115.43: Protective Custody
Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies
Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services
Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting
Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties
Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties
Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities
Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties
Standard 115.65: Coordinated response
Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation
Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody
Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates
Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff
Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services
Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews
Standard 115.87: Data collection
Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action
Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction
Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits
Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

Summary of Corrective Action

During the audit several doors were found to have locks that inmates could lock, and a shower curtain was missing from a shower. These issues were immediately discussed and corrected before my departure. I physically re-examined these areas.

I also found that on the medium yard the initial screening process had been changed from having the receiving officer do the screening upon immediate arrival, to having the case manager on the intake block. During her interview I found that the screenings were not being conducted in a timely manner, this had been occurring for approximately a month. An immediate corrective action plan was put into place. The inmates who needed to be screened were immediately screened, and the screenings are now taking place immediately upon arrival, this was verified through review of screenings since the onsite audit.

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

115.11 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.11 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? Yes No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? Yes No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?
 Yes No

115.11 (c)

- If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) Yes No NA
- Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The Oklahoma Department of Corrections Policy: OP-030601 Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy

dictates the agency's mandated zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such conduct. This policy furthermore defines all sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

I reviewed the policy in its entirety, as well as questioned staff members on its content and applicable sections to their specific duties within the facility. The staff understood the policy and its practical application to the daily operation of the facility.

The agency has designated an agency wide PREA Coordinator. During the interview he related that he has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards. I found the Agency PREA Coordinator to be well versed in the PREA Standards, and their daily application in the Oklahoma Department of Corrections. Prior to becoming the Agency PREA Coordinator he was an Inspector General Investigator, as a prior investigator he brings a level of knowledge of the investigative process for PREA incidents, and has the experience of working on these investigations. I reviewed the Department of Corrections Organizational Chart and found that the PREA Coordinator is in the upper-level of the administration.

The agency has also designated a PREA Compliance Manager at each of their facilities. The audited facility has on PREA Compliance Manager who oversees the facilities compliance. During the interview with the PREA Compliance Manager he related that he has enough time to implement the PREA Standards at the facility.

During the interviews at the facility I was informed that the Agency PREA Coordinator is always accessible to answer questions and provide advice on PREA related issues. I personally observed this during the onsite audit process when he was answering questions via email or phone that arose at other facilities. I was also advised that the Agency PREA Coordinator will spot check the facilities to ensure that they are consistent in the application of the agency policies that apply to PREA.

Prior to the onsite audit all documentation was reviewed, during the onsite portion I observed the policies in daily practice, and this was further confirmed during my interviews with both staff and inmates.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency has substantially exceeded the requirements of this standard, and all provisions. This decision was based on the agencies overall commitment to sexual safety in their facilities, this commitment is shared by all staff from the Director down.

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

115.12 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) Yes No NA

115.12 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates OR the response to 115.12(a)-1 is "NO".) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The Oklahoma Department of Corrections has eleven contracts with agencies to house inmates. I reviewed these contracts in their entirety, the contracts specify that the contractor has to adhere to specific Oklahoma Department of Corrections policies, one being OP-030601 the Oklahoma Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy.

I further confirmed during interviews that the facilities are monitored for compliance through direct assignment of staff at each facility. All contracted facilities were audited during the first auditing cycle, and will be audited during this auditing cycle.

The audited facility has direct contact with Marshall County, where inmates for Oklahoma DOC are incarcerated. These inmates are visited by a case manager from the Mack Alford Correctional Center.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

115.13 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? Yes No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the generally accepted detention and correctional practices in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any judicial findings of inadequacy in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration all components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated) in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the inmate population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the number and placement of supervisory staff in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the institution programs occurring on a particular shift in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? Yes No

115.13 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)
 Yes No NA

115.13 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? Yes No

115.13 (d)

- Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? Yes No
- Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has developed a facility staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities have taken into consideration all areas enumerated under this standard. Compliance was determined by reviewing the aforementioned

policies. I further questioned staff on the policies and the ability to fully staff the facility at all times. I was informed that the facility will fill posts with overtime if needed to be at full compliment. The facility also has the ability to collapse posts and limit inmate activity and movement when needed.

The staffing plan was completed and reviewed by the Warden on June 20, 2018 and the Agency PREA Coordinator on June 21 2018. This was confirmed through interviews and viewing their signatures on the staffing plan.

During the interviews with the administration at the facility I confirmed a daily review of the staffing at the facility. The administration meets every morning, this includes the Warden, Deputy Wardens, Chief of Security and the Unit Managers. During this meeting the roster from the previous day is reviewed. This review of the roster ensures that all critical posts at the facility are manned at all times.

The staffing plan has not been deviated from within the last 12 months. I confirmed during staff interviews that any posts would be filled with overtime if needed, and they have the ability to collapse other posts if need be.

The administration meets on a regular basis to review incidents that have occurred at the facility, as well as discussing normal facility operation. During these meetings, they discuss any adjustments that may need to be made to the staffing plan, deployment of video monitoring and other technologies, and any resources available. This was confirmed during the staff interviews.

The agency has implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These unannounced rounds take place during all shifts at the facility. The agency has a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring. I further confirmed the rounds are occurring during the staff and inmate interviews as well as reviewing the logs generated by the housing unit officers

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

115.14 (a)

- Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

115.14 (b)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

115.14 (c)

- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA
- Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

This facility does not house youthful offenders.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

115.15 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? Yes No

115.15 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates in non-exigent circumstances? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) Yes No NA
- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) Yes No NA

115.15 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? Yes No
- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? Yes No

115.15 (d)

- Does the facility implement a policy and practice that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No
- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? Yes No

115.15 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? Yes No
- If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? Yes No

115.15 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No
- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The facility does not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches unless in exigent circumstances or when performed by a medical practitioner. I confirmed this procedure during staff and inmate interviews, as well as review of policy. I also confirmed that the facility has not conducted a search under these circumstances.

The facility is an all-male facility and does not house any females.

The above policies outline procedures and practices that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. The policies further dictates that staff of the opposite gender announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. These practices were confirmed during the staff and inmate interviews as well as during the facility tour when I observed the announcements taking place.

The housing units are constructed to provide privacy while toileting, showering or changing clothes. All toilets on the medium yard are either in the inmate's cell or behind a partition wall. All of the showers throughout the facility have curtains to allow privacy. The segregated housing unit also has toilets in the cells, these are positioned to allow adequate privacy. The door for the shower is constructed to allow privacy while showering.

All of the bathrooms on the minimum yard have walls to provide privacy while toileting and curtains to provide privacy while showering.

The facility does not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex inmate for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status. If the inmate's genital status is unknown, it is determined during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. I further confirmed the practices during the staff and medical interviews.

The agency has trained security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches, and searches of transgender and intersex inmates, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. This was confirmed by reviewing the provided training materials. I further verified this training during staff interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

115.16 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? Yes No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision? Yes No

115.16 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No

115.16 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency has taken appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities (including, for example, inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, those who are blind or have low vision, or those who have intellectual, psychiatric, or speech disabilities), have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. These steps include, when necessary to ensure effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary. In addition, the agency shall ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities, including inmates who have intellectual disabilities, limited reading skills, or who are blind or have low vision. The facility outlines the response to inmates in these categories in the above directives as well as the Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan. This plan outlines procedures for inmates who are not only non-English

speaking, but all who are enumerated in this standard. I confirmed the use of this plan during the staff and inmate interviews.

The agency has taken steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient. The agency has a list of approved interpreters within the agency who are available when needed. This interpretation includes verbal as well as sign language.

The agency does not rely on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistants. The interviewed agency investigator is aware of the approved interpreters and confirmed during their interview that they utilize these services.

During the inmate interviews I interviewed inmates with limited English proficiency, Cognitive Disabilities, Physically Disabled and hard of hearing. All of these inmates related that the staff further explained the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies, and ensured that the inmates understood the reporting avenues.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

115.17 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No

115.17 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates? Yes No

115.17 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: perform a criminal background records check? Yes No
- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? Yes No

115.17 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? Yes No

115.17 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? Yes No

115.17 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? Yes No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? Yes No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? Yes No

115.17 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? Yes No

115.17 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency has policies and procedures in place that identify anyone who had been convicted of sexual abuse in a confinement setting, engaged in or attempted to engage in sexual activity in the community or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated for the same. The agency has developed the Oklahoma Department of Corrections Applicant Questionnaire and Background Investigation Form. This form specifically asks the applicant about these activities, and all provisions enumerated in this standard. During the interviews with staff, and Human Resources I verified that the form is being utilized, I further verified the utilization by reviewing personnel files, I found that the questions were asked and answered in all of the reviewed files. During the staff, volunteer and contractor interviews I verified they were asked these questions.

During the documentation review, and review of personnel files I found that this process is also being utilized in the promotion system throughout the agency. This was further confirmed through agency level interviews, and interviews of promoted personnel.

The agency has also implemented a background investigation process for all new employees, contractors and volunteers. The background investigations are being conducted as per Department policy. During the review of personnel files I ensured that the background checks were being completed. Part of the background investigation is a Criminal History Check through the National Crime Information Center (NCIC). The agency utilized the Rap Back System through the Oklahoma Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. The Rap Back System notifies the agency if any changes occur in any Staff, Volunteer or Contractors Criminal History, this system runs constantly and negates the five year Criminal History Check.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

115.18 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

115.18 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has made no substantial expansion to this facility nor is any planned. During the interviews I confirmed that if any expansion or acquisition of facilities takes place, the overall security and safety is taken into consideration, including the sexual safety of the inmates.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

115.21 (a)

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
 Yes No NA

115.21 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA
- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.21 (c)

- Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? Yes No
- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? Yes No
- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? Yes No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? Yes No

115.21 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? Yes No

- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? Yes No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? Yes No

115.21 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? Yes No
- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? Yes No

115.21 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.21 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? [N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.21(d) above.] Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency is responsible for both the administrative and criminal investigations of all sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents. These investigations are initially responded to at the facility level utilizing a team approach, where the administration, medical and mental health will initially be notified. The investigation is further conducted by the investigators in the Office of Fugitive Apprehensions and Investigations. These investigators are sworn law enforcement officers and are highly trained in evidence collection and identification. I reviewed the training materials utilized, and interviewed investigators from this division. I found that they follow the evidence protocols outlined in the policy, and are well versed in evidence identification and collection.

The facility utilizes Sheila Johnson a SANE nurse. She would travel to the hospital to conduct the examination. I confirmed the utilization of this program through interviews.

The protocols outlined in the policies are developmentally appropriate for youth, and exceed nationally accepted standards.

The facility has made numerous attempts to obtain a MOU for victim advocacy, but could not find a victim advocate who would be willing to enter into an MOU. I did confirm that if anyone in the State of Oklahoma is taken to a hospital for a sexual assault examination, they would be offered a victim advocate that works with the hospital. These victim advocates are available to the victim during the forensic medical examination process, the investigatory interviews and they provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. Mental health services would also be provided by the Mental Health Department at the facility. This was confirmed during staff interviews.

It should be noted that the facility has not had any allegations of Sexual Abuse nor Sexual Harassment.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? Yes No

115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? Yes No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Yes No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? Yes No

115.22 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.22 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE:

The agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This was confirmed through review of policies which outline the procedures for investigating sexual abuse and sexual harassment. I further verified all allegations are investigated during investigator interviews, staff interviews and review of the facility and agency investigative reports.

The agency investigates all allegations. I verified that the investigative procedure is published on the agencies website.

The agency has policies in place that govern the investigative process. This was confirmed during policy review and investigator interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

115.31 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? Yes No

115.31 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? Yes No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? Yes No

115.31 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?
 Yes No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? Yes No
- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.31 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency provides training to all employees on the areas enumerated in this standard. I reviewed the training curriculum and materials, I found that they address all areas. I further confirmed the training during the staff interviews and the review of training records. The employees receive the initial training and annual updates. It was confirmed during staff interviews that they also receive updates during roll calls.

All employees receive training on both genders and youthful inmates. This was confirmed during review of training materials and during staff interviews.

The employees are verifying the receipt of the training through a signature, this was verified during the review of the sample signature logs.

All staff at the facility are provided with a laminated card that outlines the required response to a PREA incident. All of the interviewed staff showed me their cards during the interview.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

115.32 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? Yes No

115.32 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? Yes No

115.32 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has trained all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures. This was confirmed during the volunteer and contractor interviews at the facility. I also confirmed this practice with the facilities Chaplin, who is the volunteer coordinator. They are trained at the agency level, and receive recertification training every two years. The facility has trained 76 volunteers and contractors to date.

The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates. At a minimum they are notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents. This was confirmed during the volunteer and contractor interviews.

The agency maintains all documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received. This documentation is maintained at the facility level, this was confirmed during review of the volunteer and contractor acknowledgment forms.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No

115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? Yes No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? Yes No

115.33 (c)

- Have all inmates received such education? Yes No
- Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? Yes No

115.33 (d)

- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? Yes No

- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? Yes No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? Yes No

115.33 (e)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? Yes No

115.33 (f)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

During the intake process inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This was confirmed during the inmate and staff interviews, this information is located in the inmate handbook. I further confirmed this by reviewing inmate files and ensuring that the Zero Tolerance Acknowledgment for Offenders Forms were in the files and signed by the inmates.

The inmates receive an in depth orientation at which time the facility provided training on the Prison Rape Elimination Act. This was confirmed during the staff interviews and the inmate interviews. This orientation takes place within seven days of arriving at the facility. I confirmed that the facility Chaplin provides the PREA Education during orientation.

The facility provides inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates, this includes inmates who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates who have limited reading skills. The facility provides materials to inmates in Spanish, they also have designated staff who can provide interpretation of other languages. The Case Managers would provide education to other individuals if needed.

The facility has all key information on the zero tolerance policy and reporting avenues provided through signage placed throughout the facility. I viewed this signage during the facility tour. I further confirmed that the signage has been in place during the inmate and staff interviews.

I conducted several interviews with inmates who were in custody at the time of the implementation of the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The inmates informed me that they were provided initial written material on PREA, and also viewed a video.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the facility substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

115.34 (a)

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA

115.34 (b)

- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.34 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does

not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).]
 Yes No NA

115.34 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency is responsible for both the administrative and criminal investigations of all sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents. These investigations are conducted by the Office of Fugitive Apprehensions and Investigations. These investigators are sworn law enforcement officers and are trained in conducting criminal investigations. The training they have received includes the use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. This was confirmed during the investigator interviews, investigation review and policy review.

The agency documents all training attended by the investigators. This was confirmed during the interviews with the investigators, as well as review of the training records.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

115.35 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? Yes No

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.35 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) Yes No NA

115.35 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? Yes No

115.35 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? Yes No
- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

All full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners have been trained on the following:

- How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
- How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse;
- How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and
- How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

This was confirmed by reviewing the training materials utilized by the Oklahoma Department of Corrections, and during the review of the PREA Training for Medical & Mental Health Course Rosters at the facility. I also confirmed this training with the medical and mental health staff during interviews. The medical staff at the facility do not conduct sexual assault examinations.

The medical and mental health care practitioners also receive the training mandated for employees under § 115.31 or for contractors and volunteers under § 115.32, depending upon the practitioner's status at the agency. This was confirmed during the review of training rosters at the facility. I also confirmed this training with the medical and mental health staff during interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

115.41 (a)

- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? Yes No
- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? Yes No

115.41 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?
 Yes No

115.41 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?
 Yes No

115.41 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?
 Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?
 Yes No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? Yes No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? Yes No

115.41 (e)

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? Yes No
- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? Yes No
- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? Yes No

115.41 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? Yes No

115.41 (g)

- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Request? Yes No

- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? Yes No

115.41 (h)

- Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? Yes No

115.41 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

All inmates are assessed during the intake process, which is completed upon arrival at the facility. This screening is conducted utilizing the Self Report Form and the Cell Assessment Form. These instruments identifies all areas of victimization enumerated in this standard. This was verified through interviews with staff and inmates, as well as review of the completed instruments. The screening is being conducted by a specific trained staff. I verified through staff interviews that if an inmate is transferred to another facility they would receive a screening again.

The initial screening for risk of being sexually abusive considers any known prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse. This was confirmed during review of the screening tool and interviews with both staff and inmates.

The facility is reassessing all inmates within 30 days of arrival, this reassessment is being conducted by the case managers, and they are taking into considerations all information available to them at the time of reassessment. This was confirmed by reviewing the reassessment documentation and staff interviews.

The facility would reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that may change the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. This was confirmed during the staff interviews.

Inmates are informed during the screening process that they will not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information. This was confirmed during review of the screening tools, and during the staff and inmate interviews.

The agency implements control on the dissemination of screening information at the facility level. The information from the screening tools are only available to case managers, medical if needed, and administration.

The agency further screens all inmate through medical, where they again ask questions relative to sexual victimization, this was confirmed by reviewing the medical screening forms and interviews.

The inmates are constantly being reassessed by their assigned Case Managers. The Case Managers are located on the housing units and are accessible to the inmates, or the case managers will tour the housing unit to interact with the inmates. This gives the Case Managers the opportunity to observe the inmates and ensure there is no change in their behavior or status. This was confirmed through interviews and watching the interaction between inmates and the Case Managers.

During the audit I found that on the medium yard the initial screening process had been changed from having the receiving officer do the screening upon immediate arrival, to having the case manager on the intake block. During her interview I found that the screenings were not being conducted in a timely manner, this had been occurring for approximately a month. An immediate corrective action plan was put into place. The inmates who needed to be screened were immediately screened, and the screenings are now taking place immediately upon arrival, this was verified through review of screenings since the onsite audit.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

115.42 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? Yes No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? Yes No

115.42 (b)

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? Yes No

115.42 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? Yes No
- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Yes No

115.42 (d)

- Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? Yes No

115.42 (e)

- Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Yes No

115.42 (f)

- Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? Yes No

115.42 (g)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay,

bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency utilizes the information from the screening and reassessment to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. This was confirmed during review of the policy and I confirmed these procedures during staff and inmate interviews.

The agency makes all of these determinations on an individualized basis, this ensures the safety of each inmate. This was confirmed during policy review, and staff and inmate interviews.

I confirmed during policy review and interviews that when deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, and in making other housing and programming assignments, the agency considers on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether the placement would present management or security problems. I also confirmed that the inmates own views would be taken into consideration during these decisions. Through policy and interviews I confirmed that a transgender inmate would be given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates.

I confirmed during interviews with the Unit Manager and Case Managers that placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate would be reassessed at least twice each year. This is also addressed in policy.

The agency nor facility place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status. This was confirmed during agency level interviews, as well as inmate interviews, several inmates at the facility interviewed identified as gay, and bisexual.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

115.43 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? Yes No
- If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? Yes No

115.43 (b)

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? Yes No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? Yes No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The opportunities that have been limited? Yes No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The duration of the limitation? Yes No
- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The reasons for such limitations? Yes No

115.43 (c)

- Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?
 Yes No
- Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? Yes No

115.43 (d)

- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? Yes No
- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? Yes No

115.43 (e)

- In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made. This policy addresses all provisions in the standard, the language in the policy meets all aspects of the standard.

This facility has had no incident where they have segregated an inmate due to being at high risk of sexual victimization.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

REPORTING

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

115.51 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? Yes No

115.51 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? Yes No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? Yes No
- Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? Yes No
- Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? Yes No

115.51 (c)

- Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? Yes No
- Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.51 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility provides the inmates the information on reporting in the inmate handbook provided at intake and through signage throughout the facility. The inmates can report directly to any staff, through the PREA reporting hotline at #73 on the phone, or to the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigations, which is the agencies external reporting avenue. The instructions for the usage of these reporting avenues is extremely comprehensive and the step by step usage of the reporting avenues is provided in all written materials both posted and given to the inmates. During the interviews with both staff and inmates I confirmed that they were aware of the reporting avenues, and that they can remain anonymous.

The agencies website further instructs third parties on how to report. This was confirmed by viewing the agencies website.

The staff interviews related that they understood the agency policy that states that staff shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and shall promptly document any verbal reports.

The agency provides in policy a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates. The policy allows the staff to report directly to the Inspector Generals through a telephone number or email.

I found during the inmate interviews that the inmates who were interviewed felt that if something was happening they would feel comfortable telling a staff member at the facility. This confidence in utilizing this reporting avenue shows the overall culture at the facility. Although this statement may not be true for all inmates, the agency has provided so many different reporting avenues that an inmate should feel comfortable with one of them.

I interviewed several inmates who had reported an incident, all of these inmates felt comfortable in the reporting avenues and their allegation was acted upon immediately.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

115.52 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. Yes No NA

115.52 (b)

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA

115.52 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.52 (g)

- If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

All provisions of this standard are addressed in the agencies Inmate/Offender Grievance Process. I reviewed this policy in its entirety. I further questioned staff on this procedure, they understood the process if an inmate filed a grievance pertaining to sexual abuse.

The audited facility did not have any grievances filed within the last 12 months relating to sexual abuse. This was confirmed through interviews and a memo from the facility.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

115.53 (a)

- Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Yes No
- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? Yes No
- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? Yes No

115.53 (b)

- Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.53 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

Access to outside confidential support services is outlined in the agencies policies and procedures. The inmate would have the ability to utilize the services a victim advocate provided by the hospital. The services that the inmates would receive are the same as the level received in the community.

Through interviews I further established that follow up mental health care would be provided by the facility for any inmate who was involved in an incident.

All of the information required under this standard and all provisions is provided to the inmates, this was verified through review of the documentation and interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

115.54 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has established third party reporting methods in policy, these methods allow inmates to report for other inmates and outside individuals to report. The agencies website outlines the third party reporting avenues, this was confirmed through review of the agency website.

The facility has third party reporting avenues posted in areas in the facility where they can be viewed by visitors.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? Yes No

115.61 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? Yes No

115.61 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? Yes No

115.61 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.61 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency policy states that any staff, volunteer and contractors shall immediately report to their supervisors or higher authority:

1. Any knowledge, suspicion, or other information regarding an incident of sexual abuse, assault or harassment that occurred in a facility/unit or other location, whether or not it is part of the agency;
2. Retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such incidents; and
3. Any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

The staff interviewed understood their responsibilities under this policy. During interviews with staff who reported an allegation I verified that they followed the policy.

The policy further states that staff is prohibited from revealing any information related to sexual abuse to anyone other than the extent necessary. All staff interviewed understood this requirement.

The agency would report to the Oklahoma Department of Human Services for any individual under the age of 18.

During the interviews of medical and mental health staff I confirmed their duty to report, they understood their obligations to report an incident to security staff.

As an agency all allegations are being reported to security and administration for immediate action. This was confirmed during staff interviews and review of the facility investigations.

I had the opportunity to interview staff who were the first responders where the incident was directly reported to them. They all followed the policy and immediately reported the incident to the facility PREA Compliance Manager.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

115.62 (a)

- When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agencies policies dictate that when staff learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it shall take immediate action to protect the inmate. The staff interviewed understood their responsibility and all responded that they would immediately take appropriate steps to protect the inmate.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities

115.63 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? Yes No

115.63 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? Yes No

115.63 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? Yes No

115.63 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLAINT

Through policy the agency has established procedures and practices that meet all of the requirements of the standard and provision. These include notification by the facility head to the head of the facility where the allegation allegedly took place within 72 hours, as well as documentation of the information received and notification. The policy further states that if an allegation is received in such a manner the facility needs to notify the Office of Fugitive Apprehensions and Investigations for investigation. I confirmed these policies and practices through documentation review of forwarded investigations at other facilities, as well as through staff interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

115.64 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any

actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No

115.64 (b)

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency policies outline the initial response by staff. These policies include all of the provisions of the standard. The staff interviewed understood their responsibilities if they were the first responder to an allegation.

The volunteers and contractors interviewed related that if they were a first responder they would request that the victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff.

I verified compliance during the interview process with first responders, as well policy and investigation review.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

115.65 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility has adopted the Oklahoma Prison Rape Elimination Act Policy OP-030601 as the overall institutional plan to respond to sexual abuse incidents. This plan dictates the actions and coordination between first responders, supervisors, administration, medical, mental health and the Office of Fugitive Apprehensions and Investigations. I confirmed the institutional plan through review of the plan, as well as during staff interviews. The facility has not had any investigations related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment, but the interviewed staff understood the policy on how to coordinate the investigations.

During the investigation review I verified that the investigations followed the policy for a coordinated response.

After a careful review of all documentation and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

115.66 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? Yes No

115.66 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

Oklahoma is a right to work state and does not enter into any collective bargaining agreement. This is established under state statute in the Oklahoma Personnel Act.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

115.67 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? Yes No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? Yes No

115.67 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? Yes No

115.67 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct

and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? Yes No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? Yes No
- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? Yes No

115.67 (d)

- In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?
 Yes No

115.67 (e)

- If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?
 Yes No

115.67 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has established a policy that meets this provisions of this standard. The agency has identified the facility designated monitors to monitor the inmate or staff member for alleged retaliation.

The agency has established through past incidents that they utilize housing transfers, as well as facility transfers of perpetrators to protect the victim in an incident. This was confirmed during review of investigations at other facilities and through staff interviews.

As previously mentioned the Case Managers and Unit Managers are located on the housing units, they would be assigned to help monitor the inmate on a daily basis to ensure that no issues were occurring.

I reviewed completed Protection Against Retaliation form that show the monitoring of the inmates.

The interviewed staff understood their obligation under the policy, and this was confirmed through review of the logs.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

115.68 (a)

- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility has established a policy that states any inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse are subject to the requirements of standard 115.43. This was confirmed through review of the policy. The audited facility did not have any inmates who suffered sexual abuse who were put into segregated housing.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

115.71 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA
- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] Yes No NA

115.71 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? Yes No

115.71 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? Yes No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?
 Yes No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? Yes No

115.71 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? Yes No

115.71 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? Yes No
- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? Yes No

115.71 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? Yes No
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? Yes No

115.71 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? Yes No

115.71 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? Yes No

115.71 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? Yes No

115.71 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? Yes No

115.71 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.71 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

During the policy review I established that the agency has policies in place that address all provisions of this standard. More importantly during the review of agency investigations, and staff interviews I found they understand the provisions of the standard and are applying them throughout their investigations.

The Administrative Investigators have received training on how to conduct the investigations at the facility level. They work closely with the Office of Fugitive Apprehensions and Investigations during any investigation. The members of this unit are highly trained sworn law enforcement officers who will conduct both in depth administrative investigations as well as all criminal investigations. After reviewing investigations throughout the agency, I was impressed with the consistency of the overall investigation process.

During the review of the investigation reports at this facility and across the agency I found that all substantiated allegations that violated criminal law were sent for a prosecutorial decision.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency substantially exceeds the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

115.72 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policies that states there shall not be any standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. During the agency investigation review and investigator interviews I verified that they are applying preponderance of evidence to make a determination.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard and all provisions.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

115.73 (a)

- Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? Yes No

115.73 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) Yes No NA

115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Yes No

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.73 (d)

- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No
- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.73 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? Yes No

115.73 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policies in place that address all provisions of this standard. The agency utilizes the Notification of Investigation Status form to notify the inmate of the status of the investigation. I confirmed this through policy review, staff interviews, inmate interviews and review of the signed Notification of Investigation Status form.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

115.76 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.76 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? Yes No

115.76 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? Yes No

115.76 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No
- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policy in place that address staff discipline for a violation of any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs staff conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through review of the agency investigations, and staff interviews.

The audited facility has disciplined staff within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies. I reviewed the documentation of the disciplinary process and found they meet the policies.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

115.77 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policy in place that addresses corrective action for volunteers and contractors who violate of any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through review of the agency investigations, and staff interviews.

The audited facility has not disciplined any volunteers and contractors within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

115.78 (a)

- Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? Yes No

115.78 (b)

- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? Yes No

115.78 (c)

- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? Yes No

115.78 (d)

- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? Yes No

115.78 (e)

- Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? Yes No

115.78 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? Yes No

115.78 (g)

- Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policy in place that addresses discipline for inmates who violate of any provision of their Prison Rape Elimination Act policy, as well as any other policy that governs inmate conduct. I confirmed the utilization of the discipline through review of the agency investigations, and staff interviews.

The audited facility has not disciplined any inmates within the last 12 months for a violation of these policies.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

115.81 (a)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? Yes No

115.81 (b)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) Yes No NA

115.81 (c)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? Yes No

115.81 (d)

- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? Yes No

115.81 (e)

- Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policies in place that address the provisions of this standard. As previously stated under standard 115.41 the medical department does a second screening of the inmates and asks questions relative to sexual victimization as well as sexual abusiveness. If it is found that any inmate has experienced either they will be scheduled for an evaluation with a mental health practitioner within 14 days. I confirmed these evaluations with the medical and mental health personnel as well as during the inmate interviews.

All medical records are kept secure and are only available to medical and mental health personnel.

I confirmed compliance with the standard through the review of inmate medical files, staff interviews and inmate interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

115.82 (a)

- Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
 Yes No

115.82 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? Yes No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.82 (c)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? Yes No

115.82 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLAINE

The medical personnel ensures that victims of sexual assault receive prompt and appropriate medical intervention. The nature and scope are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment.

The facility does not have 24 hr. medical coverage, but during off hours medical staff are on call and will respond to the facility for a PREA incident. This was confirmed during staff interviews.

The policy further states that prophylactic treatment and testing is offered to the patient, as well as follow up care for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases. An evaluation by a mental health provider is completed for crisis intervention counseling and long term follow up plans.

The above services are offered at no financial cost to the inmate. These policies and procedures were confirmed with the medical and mental health staff during interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

115.83 (a)

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? Yes No

115.83 (b)

- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? Yes No

115.83 (c)

- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? Yes No

115.83 (d)

- Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) Yes No NA

115.83 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) Yes No NA

115.83 (f)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? Yes No

115.83 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

115.83 (h)

- If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The medical personnel ensures that victims of sexual assault receive prompt and appropriate medical follow up treatment. The nature and scope are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment.

The facility does not have 24 hr. medical coverage, but during off hours medical staff are on call and will respond to the facility for a PREA incident. This was confirmed during staff interviews and investigation review.

The policy further states that prophylactic treatment and testing is offered to the patient, as well as follow up care for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases. An evaluation by a mental health provider is completed for crisis intervention counseling and long term, follow up plans.

The above services are offered at no financial cost to the inmate. These policies and procedures were confirmed with the medical and mental health staff during interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

115.86 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? Yes No

115.86 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? Yes No

115.86 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.86 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? Yes No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? Yes No
- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? Yes No

115.86 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policy in place that outlines the facilities review of incidents. The policy addresses all provisions of the standard. The facility utilizes the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Form, which address all of the aforementioned questions of concern when reviewing an incident.

I confirmed the incidents are being reviewed by reviewing the policy and all applicable documentation, I further discussed the reviews during the staff interviews.

During the facility tour the Warden pointed out an area in the kitchen that had been redesigned due to a PREA Incident. This change in the physical layout was the result of the review teams discussions during the review process.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.87: Data collection

115.87 (a)

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? Yes No

115.87 (b)

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?
 Yes No

115.87 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? Yes No

115.87 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? Yes No

115.87 (e)

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) Yes No NA

115.87 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has established policies that address all provision of this standard. The agency utilizes the Sexual Assault Report, which is a data collection instrument utilized to collect all sexual abuse data.

The data is also collected from all contracted facilities.

Compliance was confirmed through review of completed data collection instruments, and staff interviews.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

115.88 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? Yes No

115.88 (b)

- Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? Yes No

115.88 (c)

- Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.88 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has policies in place that address all provisions of the standard. The agency PREA Coordinator reviews all collected data from both the agencies facilities as well as the contracted facilities. A report titled review of collected data is generated.

During staff interviews I confirmed that if a trend was identified while reviewing the data a corrective action plan would be developed for that facility and immediately be put into place.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

115.89 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?
 Yes No

115.89 (b)

- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.89 (c)

- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? Yes No

115.89 (d)

- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has a policy in place that addresses the provisions of this standard. I found that the agency digitally securely retains all data collected, this data is available to the public through the website.

The annual reports from 2012 through 2016 are published on the website. All personal identifiers have been removed from the reports.

The agency has a policy in place that maintains all sexual abuse data for at least 10 years from the date received.

Staff interviews and review of the annual reports further confirmed this procedure.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

115.401 (a)

- During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period thereafter, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (N/A before August 20, 2016.)
 Yes No NA

115.401 (b)

- During each one-year period starting on August 20, 2013, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited? Yes No

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?
 Yes No

115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? Yes No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?
 Yes No

115.401 (n)

- Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

All agency facilities and contracted facilities were audited once during the auditing cycle from August 20, 2013, and August 20, 2016.

The agency had formulated their Audit Schedule for the current audit cycle utilizing a Frequently Asked Question from the PREA Resource Center dated April 23, 2014. The question and answer are as follows:

What happens to an agency's three-year audit timeline if an agency fails to have the required minimum of one-third of its facilities audited by August 19, 2014?

The standards require generally that an agency must have "at least one-third" of its facilities audited during each one-year period, which began on August 20, 2013; and that all facilities must be audited by the conclusion of each three-year period, which began on the same date. See 28 C.F.R. § 115.401(a) & (b). Compliance with the audit timeline is evaluated both on a year-to-year basis and at the conclusion of the three-year audit cycle. Failure to comply with the audit timeline during the initial year of an audit cycle does not preclude compliance during years two and three of an audit cycle. Similarly, failure to comply with the audit timeline during the first two years of an audit cycle does not preclude compliance during the final year of each audit cycle. It is important to note that, for purposes of complying with standard 115.401(a) (requiring audits of each facility during the three-year audit cycle), agencies must ensure that each facility is audited at least once by August 19, 2016, and during every three-year anniversary thereafter.

The agency had scheduled all audits for the current auditing cycle on the three year anniversary of the facilities original PREA Audit, this in turn caused the agency to have only one audit completed during the first year of the current audit cycle.

The PREA Coordinator participated in a conference call with officials from the State of Oklahoma, and the Department of Justice, during this conference call they discussed this issue, and the confusion that was caused by this Frequently Asked Question was rectified. The State of Oklahoma has issued a new Certification of Assurance, stating that all facilities will be audited prior to the end of the current audit cycle.

The agency is currently on schedule to complete all necessary audits for the current audit year.

During the audit process I was able to receive copies of all relevant documentation, conduct private interviews with staff and inmates, tour the complete facility, and receive confidential correspondence from both inmates and staff.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE

The agency has published all final audit reports on their website, this was confirmed by navigating to the page on the website and reviewing all of the audit reports.

After a careful review of all documentation, and the information received during both the agency level and the facility level interviews, I found that the agency is substantially compliant with the requirements of this standard, and all provisions.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Patrick J. Zirpoli

September 27, 2018

Auditor Signature

Date